

VOLUME 54 / 2019



The Metropolitan Museum of Art NEW YORK

EDITORIAL BOARD

Niv Allon Associate Curator, Egyptian Art

Stephanie D'Alessandro Leonard A. Lauder Curator of Modern Art and Curator in Charge of the Leonard A. Lauder

Curator in Charge of the Leonard A. Lauder Research Center for Modern Art

Sarah Graff Associate Curator, Ancient Near Eastern Art

Navina Najat Haidar Nasser Sabah al-Ahmad al-Sabah Acting Curator in Charge, Islamic Art

Melanie Holcomb Curator, Medieval Art

Marco Leona David H. Koch Scientist in Charge, Scientific Research

Dorothy Mahon Conservator, Paintings Conservation

Mark McDonald Curator, Drawings and Prints This publication is made possible by a gift from Assunta Sommella Peluso, Ada Peluso, and Romano I. Peluso, in memory of Ignazio Peluso.

The Metropolitan Museum Journal is published annually by The Metropolitan Museum of Art.

Mark Polizzotti, Publisher and Editor in Chief Gwen Roginsky, Associate Publisher and General Manager Peter Antony, Chief Production Manager Michael Sittenfeld, Senior Managing Editor

Editor of the *Metropolitan Museum Journal*: Elizabeth L. Block Edited by Elizabeth L. Block, with Sarah McFadden

Production by Lauren Knighton Designed and typeset by Tina Henderson, based on original design by Lucinda Hitchcock Image acquisitions by the authors and Josephine Rodriguez-Massop

Manuscripts submitted for the *Journal* and all correspondence concerning them should be sent to journalsubmissions@metmuseum.org. Guidelines for contributors are given on p. 6.

Published in association with the University of Chicago Press. Individual and institutional subscriptions are available worldwide. Please direct all subscription inquiries, back issue requests, and address changes to: University of Chicago Press, Journals Division, P. O. Box 37005, Chicago, IL 60637-0005, USA. Phone: (877) 705-1878 (U.S. and Canada) or (773) 753-3347 (international), fax: (877) 705-1879 (U.S. and Canada) or (773) 753-0811 (international), email: subscriptions@press.uchicago.edu, website: www.journals.uchicago.edu

ISBN 978-0-226-67696-8 (University of Chicago Press) ISSN 0077-8958 (print) ISSN 2169-3072 (online)

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 68-28799 The Metropolitan Museum of Art endeavors to respect copyright in a manner consistent with its nonprofit educational mission. If you believe any material has been included in this publication improperly, please contact the Publications and Editorial Department.

Photographs of works of art in The Met's collection are by the Imaging Department, The Metropolitan Museum of Art, unless otherwise noted. Additional illustration credits are on p. 176.

Unless otherwise noted, all translations are by the authors.

Copyright © 2019 by The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from The Metropolitan Museum of Art.

Typefaces: Calibre, Lyon, and Harriet Printed on Creator Silk, 150 gsm Separations by Professional Graphics, Inc., Rockford, Illinois Printed by Brizzolis, Madrid, and bound by Ramos, Madrid Printing and binding coordinated by Ediciones El Viso, Madrid

Front cover illustration: Andrea della Robbia (Italian, 1435–1525). Detail of *Saint Michael the Archangel*, ca. 1475. See fig. 1, p. 48.

Back cover illustration: Iranian (Safavid dynasty, 1501–1722). Tile panel with reclining woman and man in European costume, ca. 1600–1610. Painted and polychromeglazed stonepaste. See fig. 1, p. 63.

Illustration on p. 2: Qian Xuan (Chinese, ca. 1235–before 1307). Detail of *Wang Xizhi Watching Geese*, late 13th century. See fig. 10, p. 36.

Contents

ARTICLES

Stone Sculpture and Ritual Impersonation in Classic Veracruz Caitlin Earley, 8 $\,$

Qian Xuan's Loyalist Revision of Iconic Imagery in *Tao Yuanming Returning Home* and *Wang Xizhi Watching Geese* SHI-YEE LIU, 26

Workshop Practice Revealed by Two Architectural Reliefs by Andrea Della Robbia WENDY WALKER AND CAROLYN RICCARDELLI, 47

All the City's Courtesans: A Now-Lost Safavid Pavilion and Its Figural Tile Panels FARSHID EMAMI, 62

Epigraphic and Art Historical Responses to *Presenting the Tripod*, by Wang Xuehao (1803) MICHAEL J. HATCH, 87

John Singer Sargent Painting Fashion ANNA REYNOLDS, 106

RESEARCH NOTES

New Research on a Rare Enameled Horse Bit from the Angevin Court at Naples MARINA VIALLON, 125

Passignano, Not Leoni: A New Attribution for *A Cardinal's Procession* IAN KENNEDY, 136

Margareta Haverman, *A Vase of Flowers*: An Innovative Artist Reexamined GERRIT ALBERTSON, SILVIA A. CENTENO, AND ADAM EAKER, 143

The Cornish Celebration Presentation Plaque by Augustus Saint-Gaudens: Newly Identified Sources THAYER TOLLES, 160

MANUSCRIPT GUIDELINES FOR THE METROPOLITAN MUSEUM **JOURNAL**

Founded in 1968, the *Metropolitan Museum Journal* is a double-blind peerreviewed scholarly journal published annually that features original research on the history, interpretation, conservation, and scientific examination of works of art in the Museum's collection. Its scope encompasses the diversity of artistic practice from antiquity to the present day. The *Journal* encourages contributions offering critical and innovative approaches that will further our understanding of works of art.

The Journal publishes Articles and Research Notes. Articles contribute extensive and thoroughly argued scholarship. All texts must take works of art in the collection as the point of departure. The maximum length is 8,000 words including endnotes. The recommended limit for illustrations is 10-12 images. Research Notes typically present a concise, neatly bounded aspect of ongoing research, such as the presentation of a new acquisition or attribution, or a specific, resonant finding from technical analysis. The maximum length is 4,000 words including endnotes. The recommended limit for illustrations is 4-6 images. Authors may consult previous volumes of the Journal as they prepare submissions: www. metmuseum.org/art/metpublications. The Journal does not accept papers that have been previously published elsewhere, nor does it accept translations of such works. Submissions should be emailed to: journalsubmissions@metmuseum.org.

Manuscripts are reviewed by the Journal Editorial board, composed of members of the curatorial, conservation, and scientific departments, as well as scholars from the broader academic community.

To be considered for the following year's volume, the complete article or research note must be submitted by September 15.

Manuscripts should be submitted as three separate double-spaced Word files in Times New Roman 12-point type with page numbers inserted: (1) a 200-word abstract; (2) manuscript and endnotes (no images should be embedded within the main text); (3) Word document or PDF of lowresolution images with captions and credits underneath. Please anonymize your submission for blind review.

For the style of captions and bibliographic references in endnotes, authors are referred to The Metropolitan Museum of Art Guide to Editorial Style and Procedures, which is available from the Museum's Publications and Editorial Department upon request, and to The Chicago Manual of Style. Please provide a list of all bibliographic citations that includes, for each title: full name(s) of author or authors; title and subtitle of book or article and periodical; place and date of publication; volume number, if any; and page, plate, and/or figure number(s). For citations in endnotes, please use only the last name(s) of the author or authors and the date of publication (e.g., Jones 1953,

p. 65; Smith and Harding 2006, pp. 7–10, fig. 23).

The Museum will acquire all high-resolution images and obtain English-language, world rights for print and electronic editions of the *Journal*, at no expense to authors.

Once an article or research note is accepted for publication, the author will have the opportunity to review it after it has been edited and again after it has been laid out in designed pages. Each author receives two copies of the printed *Journal*. The *Journal* appears online at metmuseum.org/art/ metpublications; journals.uchicago. edu/toc/met/current; and on JStor.

ABBREVIATIONS

MMA The Metropolitan Museum of Art MMAB The Metropolitan Museum of Art Bulletin

MMJ Metropolitan Museum Journal

Height precedes width and then depth in dimensions cited.

Workshop Practice Revealed by Two Architectural Reliefs by Andrea Della Robbia

WENDY WALKER AND CAROLYN RICCARDELLI

Recent conservation treatment of two Della Robbia architectural reliefs in The Metropolitan Museum of Art revealed fingerprints, tool marks, coded numbering systems, and an apparent nonchalance with handling clay that provided fresh insight into the dynamic human engagement and mastery of the material that is characteristic of the Della Robbia workshop. The backs and sides of reliefs that are often hidden from the viewer because they are framed, situated in niches, or mortared into a wall or ceiling—contain information that can lead to a deeper understanding of the creative process, perhaps more directly than any other source. During the critical early stages of conservation treatment, which are predominantly activities of observation and examination, conservators may rely on microchemical tests or



high-tech imaging techniques to aid the eye. They also depend on their apprehensive knowledge to assess an object. By feeling the weight, the texture, the relative temperature of a surface, or the sound an object makes when tapped, they gain insights into how things are made and have been treated over time.

As the observations in the following sections will show, this concept of combining technical and hands-on knowledge relates not only to the work of the conservator, but also to the workshop practice of Della Robbia. While their artisanal tradition had some technological basis, it was heavily rooted in practical knowledge, an understanding of materials based on vast experience that was passed down from master to apprentice, perhaps with the most closely guarded secrets expressed orally.

During the Renaissance, clay used for sculptures did not originate from standardized combinations of raw materials as it does today; it was excavated from the earth and processed manually before using. The famous Della Robbia blue glaze, a technological wonder at the time, can vary significantly in hue even within the same object, indicating that mixing the glaze, perhaps one of the most technical aspects of ceramics, was based more on experience than precise formulas. Firing the kiln was done completely by eye, and depended on the skill of the kiln master who could judge the firing temperature by the color of the kiln's interior. Technical innovations were sprung from artisanal traditions and a reliance on craft—not on science in the modern sense of the word. Successful completion of each step in the ceramic process was required before moving on to the next.

ANDREA DELLA ROBBIA AT THE METROPOLITAN MUSEUM

The Metropolitan Museum began acquiring Della Robbia glazed terracotta sculptures in the early twentieth century. Among the many magnificent pieces at the Museum, Saint Michael the Archangel (fig. 1) and Prudence (fig. 2), both created by Andrea della Robbia about 1475, are two of his most exceptional works. The present article describes discoveries made during the conservation treatment of these two sculptural reliefs, which arose for very different reasons: one following an accident, and the other on the occasion of an exhibition focused on Della Robbia sculpture. Before coming to the conservators in the Department of Objects Conservation, the Saint Michael lunette was installed above a doorway in a gallery of fifteenth-century sculpture and decorative arts. Prudence was in storage and had not been exhibited in more than twenty-five years. Through the circumstances of their treatments, these two works have rightly regained their position as some of the finest expressions of Renaissance sculpture at the Museum.

Overview of Della Robbia Workshop and Practice Andrea della Robbia (1435–1525), the second in the long line of the distinguished Florentine family, was trained

fig. 1 Saint Michael the Archangel after treatment, and before mounting. Andrea della Robbia (Italian, 1435–1525). Saint Michael the Archangel, ca. 1475. Glazed terracotta, 31½ × 61‰ in. (79.1 × 157.2 cm). The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Harris Brisbane Dick Fund, 1960 (60.127.2)

fig. 2 Prudence after treatment, secured on new mounting system. Andrea della Robbia. Prudence, ca. 1475. Glazed terracotta, Diam. 64 % in. (164.5 cm). The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Purchase, Joseph Pulitzer Bequest, 1921 (21.116)



by his uncle Luca and furthered the development of their increasingly famous glazed terracotta sculpture. While Luca della Robbia (1399/1400–1482) invented the technique, giving rise to an entirely new and widely valued art form, Andrea expanded their production to include works for architectural use on a grand scale. In time, the workshop was passed to Andrea's sons, of whom Giovanni and Girolamo were most notably active. The family business continued successfully until these descendants passed away, Giovanni in 1530 and Girolamo in 1566. Within a relatively short time thereafter, the Della Robbias' carefully guarded technological secrets were lost.

Luca was a leading Florentine sculptor initially trained and celebrated for his work in stone and bronze. Sometime in the 1440s he began to experiment in clay and became famous for his novel use of glazes to decorate terracotta sculpture.¹ His first important commission was *The Resurrection* (1442–45), followed by *The Ascension* (1446–51), each located above the northern and southern sacristy doors in the Cathedral of Santa Maria del Fiore, more commonly known as the Duomo, in Florence.

When *Saint Michael the Archangel* and *Prudence* were produced, Andrea was about forty years old and had been working alongside his uncle Luca for more than twenty years. The workshop, which was also the Della Robbia residence, was located on Via Guelfa in Florence, about a ten-minute walk from the Duomo. By then Luca had stopped working due to ill health, and when he died in 1482, Andrea inherited half the house and the business, eventually becoming sole owner. Even before Luca's death, Andrea took the operation to the next level, increasing the fame and productivity of the workshop and passing the knowledge to his own children.²

About 1475, Andrea and his workshop were in the midst of several major commissions, two of which were the Museum's Saint Michael the Archangel and Prudence, the latter of which was likely part of a larger decorative scheme including the other cardinal virtues, Justice, Temperance, and Fortitude.³ The lunette and tondo are large, both about 5 feet (155 cm) in diameter and weighing 220 and 775 pounds (100 and 350 kg), respectively. They were created as architectural elements to be installed above doors or mortared into walls, for example. One of the most extraordinary features of Della Robbia's glazed terracotta is its durability, even in outdoor environments. Many of the Della Robbia works found on facades throughout Florence have been in place for more than five hundred years, such as those of the Ospedale degli Innocenti and Orsanmichele. In fact, the glazed surface of the Saint Michael lunette is in remarkable condition despite having been installed on the exterior of a church and exposed to the elements for more than three hundred years.

In order to produce large glazed sculptures such as these, many steps are required to transform raw clay into a strong ceramic body covered in fields of shiny, colored glazes so characteristic of the Della Robbia workshop. The Della Robbia clay was mined from a secret location along the banks of the Arno River and carefully processed. Larger works were initially modeled in one piece, in a simplified form suitable for mold making, importantly, without undercuts. The work was then strategically cut into pieces. For example, the Saint Michael lunette was cut into twelve sections in such a way that the divisions run inconspicuously along drapery folds or at elevation changes within the relief's composition.

Next, a plaster mold was made from each clay section. Once the plaster hardened, the clay model was removed from the mold, a process that destroyed the original work. Then, an even layer of fresh, soft clay was pressed into the molds, and over the course of several hours water from the clay was absorbed by the porous plaster, causing the clay to shrink slightly and separate from the mold. The newly molded sections were extracted from the plaster and the surface was smoothed, adding clay where needed to build out relief not provided by the mold forms, then worked with tools to bring expression to the composition.

The still-soft sides were paddled inward to create V-shaped voids between sections. The sections were then dried slowly to lessen the risk of warpage, and once bone-dry, they went into a kiln and were fired to approximately 1,832°F (1,000°C). The sections emerged from the bisque firing as baked clay at this stage; raw glaze slurries could be applied by brush in separate fields of blue and white. Finally, the prepared sections were fired again, to a slightly lower temperature this time, as was necessary for glazed terracotta. The work emerged with a blue and white glaze, dimpled and satiny with a slightly uneven gloss.⁴

Regarding *Saint Michael the Archangel* and *Prudence*, it is likely that Andrea conceived, sculpted, and divided the original sculptures, then added finishing touches to complete the masterworks. His workmen fulfilled the tasks of making the plaster molds, filling, and removing the clay when set, perhaps even glazing and firing. When this entire process was first accomplished in the fifteenth century, the brilliant blue-and-white glazed terracotta made the Della Robbia workshop famous, establishing a family practice that would be active for more than one hundred years.

Saint Michael the Archangel

Saint Michael is presented with wings outstretched, wearing the armor of God, a mighty sword in one hand and in the other, a scale weighing the virtue of souls. He gazes off to the left with a serene yet sorrowful expression. Modeled in high relief, Saint Michael's graceful stance, his wings, the dramatic lion's head on the pauldron, the winged head embellishing the cuirass, and the naturalistic folds of his garment convey a sense of physical presence and spiritual power. The simple yet dazzling palette of blue and white further accentuates the exquisite rendering of the work.

Saint Michael the Archangel is the leader of all angels and of God's army against evil; his qualities are courage, strength, and mercy (for those who deserve it). He is regarded and prayed to as a protector against evil as well as a healer of the sick. Depictions of Saint Michael have evolved through the ages. Often presented *fig.* **3** The Saint Michael lunette set into a modern gilded frame, shortly after it was acquired by the Museum in 1960

fig. **4** The lunette as it was found in the gallery on the morning of July 1, 2008

in full armor valiantly battling and defeating the dragon as described in the Book of Revelation (12:7–8), he was also known as the angel who would weigh the souls of the dead for their ultimate judgment and verdict. Here, Saint Michael is depicted simply with his sword and scales. Andrea chose to represent him this way, no longer as the angel at war against Satan, but rather the angel of divine justice and compassion.⁵

The Saint Michael lunette was made about 1475 to be installed over the main entrance on the exterior of the church of San Michele Arcangelo in Faenza, Italy.⁶ Set over a doorway through which the faithful would pass, the figure's serene expression could be interpreted in two ways: the repentant may be comforted, but a sinner might feel his dispassion and potential judgment.

When acquired by the Museum in 1960, the lunette's twelve interlocking sections were mounted on a heavy plywood panel with a gilded frame (fig. 3). It was displayed in various galleries, until its most recent





setting above a doorway in Gallery 500, also known as the Quattrocento Gallery, where it stayed for twelve years. In the early hours of July 1, 2008, it fell to the floor and landed on its back, still contained within the wooden mount. The lunette's sections were secured by T-shaped nails, preventing them from bouncing off the mount upon impact. Even so, the lunette suffered extensive damage and its fragments were strewn across the gallery floor (fig. 4). A systematic recording and retrieval system was employed to gather the fragments, which proved helpful in locating where the broken pieces belonged once the reassembly process began. The lunette was broken into pieces ranging in size from tiny glaze flakes to larger pieces weighing up to five pounds, all of which were riddled with cracks. Fortunately, major elements such as the head, hands, and even the little souls remained remarkably intact.

TREATMENT

The conservation treatment was lengthy but relatively straightforward. The first step was to sort through the debris to find all the glaze flakes and ceramic pieces, separating them from damaged mount components, including plaster and wooden shims. Plaster dust had infiltrated even the smallest cracks in the ceramic body. Thorough and careful vacuuming and surface cleaning prepared the pieces for the next step. Loose pieces contained within the frame after the fall were grouped according to where they were found on the object. Disassociated pieces that had flown across the floor had to be relocated by finding clues in the color and surface texture details to help put the puzzle back together; this step was painstaking and continued for months. Many internal fragments without glaze were set aside and not used because they were impossible to relocate. Furthermore, when fired clay breaks and is reassembled, the overall dimensions of an object can increase after bonding. If all the internal fragments had been used, the accumulation of such minute increases would have resulted in an imperfect alignment of Andrea's sculpted, glazed surface.

Several dry runs (assembling pieces without adhesive) were carried out to determine the correct sequence of assembly and to avoid lockouts (fig. 5). Pieces were bonded with a reversible acrylic resin and held together with clamps while the adhesive set, usually over a two-week period (fig. 6).⁷ Assembling a large section all at once was avoided, as the weight of the pieces could cause slippage and misalignment during the slow setting time. Such sections were done in several stages, adding a smaller group of bonded *fig. 5* Sorting and locating fragments of the lunette.

fig. 6 Bonding and clamping a section of the wing

fragments to a larger piece, and so on, giving the adhesive time to fully set before bonding the next fragment group. It was crucial not to rush the process so as not to leave out any necessary pieces. Once the sections were assembled, then the multitude of glaze flakes could be placed and bonded. Such a three-dimensional puzzle was challenging, as the infinite variety of shapes and surfaces of the pieces demanded an assembly unique to each section. The characteristics of the clay pieces dictated how they interlocked, and assembly was carried out accordingly.

Missing areas were filled with reversible conservation materials, and inpainted with acrylic paints. The famous Della Robbia blue proved to be challenging to replicate due to a well-known but vexing characteristic of many modern blue pigments. The same blue pigment can appear to be quite a different hue depending on the color temperature of the ambient light source, a phenomenon described as "metameric shift." However, we found that mixtures of Golden Acrylic's ultramarine blue, Naples yellow, raw umber, and occasionally titanium white had less of a metameric shift than others and remained successfully color-matched to the original Della Robbia blue even under gallery lighting.⁸

After assembly, we turned to creating a new mount for the object. The sections of the lunette

were carefully designed to fit tightly together according to a specific sequence of assembly. Della Robbia clearly meant to hide the gaps between sections because, once the relief is assembled in this way, its joins are barely noticeable (fig. 7). To maintain this illusion, a new low-profile and unobtrusive mount was fabricated from a solid aluminum panel and custom-made brass clips to hold each section of the lunette securely. Finally, the visible portions of the clips were inpainted with acrylics to match the surrounding glaze color. When fully assembled, the lunette and its backing plate were secured to a reinforced wall with an interlocking cleat. *Saint Michael the Archangel* has now returned to the same gallery in which it was displayed before the accident.

DISCOVERIES MADE DURING TREATMENT

The detachment of the lunette from its frame allowed us to study—for the first time in decades—the back and sides of the sculpture in great detail. Even more unusual was the opportunity to examine the interior clay structure of the fragments, providing us with a rare glimpse into the working methods and expertise of the Della Robbia workshop. The following describes some of the most informative details discovered during the conservation treatment.







$Tool\,Marks\,and\,Impressions$

In 2013 at La Torre Ceramica d'Arte, a ceramic factory producing Della Robbia reproductions in Scandicci, Italy, one of the workers demonstrating the process of pressing clay into a mold explained, "Pressing the clay into the mold, I can feel the resistance of the plaster below and can therefore make the walls even."⁹ This contemporary account bears a direct connection to our observations of the Saint Michael lunette. In sections like the torso, which is in high relief, a great deal of care was taken to press the clay into the mold evenly (fig. 8a,b). In contrast, the head was sculpted by hand as a solid form, then hollowed out to achieve even wall thickness and reduce mass. Generally speaking, consistent wall thickness is critical to avoid cracking and warping as an object is dried and fired. Throughout the lunette, each section that has areas of high relief was hollowed out from the back for this reason.

Figure 9a illustrates how the process of pressing clay into the mold left numerous finger marks. There is some discussion among scholars as to whether the clay was pressed into the mold or the mold filled completely and then scooped out. Examples supporting both strategies have been observed, but it is clear from these



fig.7 Diagram highlighting the twelve sections of the lunette. Numbers indicate necessary order of assembly. Once the figure was assembled, egg-and-dart could be placed in any order.

fig. 8 (a) The torso section;(b) The torso from behind,showing even wall thickness

fig. 9 (a) Finger marks from pressing soft clay into the mold; (b) Fingerprints found on the back of the lunette

fig. 10 (a) Tool marks along the side of a section; (b) Wood impressions in the clay



marks that the clay was quite wet when introduced into the mold.¹⁰ Occasionally, distinct impressions of fingerprints are preserved on unglazed surfaces (fig. 9b). A variety of tool marks is present along the sides of the lunette's sections including incised graffiti, paddling marks, and impressions of wood planks pressed against the clay (fig. 10a, b). The marks not only provide a sense of the physical labor involved in forming, handling, and maneuvering large clay sculptures before they were fired, but also betray the direct touch of the workers the immediacy of the malleable material responding to a proficient hand.

Clay Body and Glaze

Looking along the edges of each broken piece provided a cross-sectional view of the Della Robbia terracotta clay body itself. One of the most striking findings revealed how seemingly little care was taken while working the clay. On the right arm, for example, large voids and folds suggest that the wet clay was hastily pressed into the mold (fig. 11a). Distinct color variations and lumps observed in other pieces indicate that the clay was not thoroughly wedged before use (fig. 11b). As students of ceramics know, properly wedged, or kneaded, clay produces a compressed matrix with smooth consistency and even color. Wedging is done to reduce risk of firing flaws that can be caused by the rapid and destructive expansion of water vapor contained inside air pockets. It was surprising to discover that the Della Robbia workshop, known for reliably producing large-scale sculptures, was not meticulous in handling its clay. This ostensibly cavalier workmanship reveals that the workers had an intimate understanding of their clay and of how far the boundaries could be pushed while still achieving an excellent result.

The Della Robbia clay has been studied extensively. Legend persists of a secret source at a property they had along the Arno River. This chalky clay, also referred to as "marly clay," fires to a pale buff color (as opposed to the usual terracotta red) and has the effect of making the overlying glazes appear especially luminous. It also fires well at a wide range of temperatures and is a good "fit" for the Della Robbia glazes, in that the clay and glaze expand and contract at the same rate throughout *fig.* **11** (a) Air pockets revealed in the clay body under Saint Michael's right hand; (b) Lumps and color variations in the clay visible in a cross section of a large fragment

fig. 12 (a) "Abdomen" area exposed after drapery fragment detached; (b) Torso section with drapery fragments in place; the dotted red line indicates location of fragment seen in next figure; (c) White glaze on the underside of a portion of drapery fragment, revealed after accident the firing.¹¹ The Della Robbia family carefully guarded the secrets of their clay preparation as well as their glaze recipes, much to the chagrin of contemporary sculptors attempting to produce similarly glazed works.

Glaze Repairs

One unexpected discovery made during the treatment concerns a large firing flaw in the torso section originating from the time of manufacture. When the lunette fell from the wall, a large section of the drapery broke away, exposing an area of the clay body (fig. 12a). Upon close examination, we found that the matching surfaces of the exposed "abdomen" and the detached fragment were not fractured; they were, in fact, smooth, and it was clear they had never been whole. This observation suggests that the torso was molded as a basic form and was then further sculpted by adding more clay to create the drapery with its many undercuts (fig. 12b) and other details such as the lion's head pauldron on Saint Michael's right shoulder. Probably in this case, the underlying clay was too dry to adhere to the supplementary layer, and as a result, they separated during the first firing.

To salvage the piece, Della Robbia applied white glaze to the exposed ceramic substrate and the drapery

fragment was put back in place; some of this glaze is visible in figure 12c. A thicker paste of glaze and fired clay was used to fill gaps around the edges.¹² Finally, the whole section was glazed in white and blue in the usual manner, and fired a second time during which the "glaze glue" melted and bonded the separated fragments together. In this example we see how the workshop's proficiency with clay and ability to adapt to the unexpected enabled them to execute this potentially risky repair in order to save an extraordinary work. The glaze repair secured the fragment in place for more than 540 years until the impact of the recent fall caused it to detach. There is evidence that the Della Robbia workshop often executed glaze repairs, but to see it as we did on Saint Michael's torso is rare.¹³

DISCUSSION

The Della Robbia workshop was an industrious place. Apart from *Saint Michael the Archangel* and *Prudence*, many other works dating to about the same time have been attributed to Andrea, for example *The Madonna of the Architects*, as well as the *Annunciation*, which was the first of many commissions of large-scale altarpieces for the sanctuary church associated with Saint Francis









of Assisi in La Verna.¹⁴ One might think that in such a prolific environment, attention to detail might lapse. However, despite the volume of work, the Saint Michael lunette is a result of carefully performed steps, starting with planning the composition and structure, and continuing through all stages of sculpting and glazing.

Decisions on how to divide the work into sections so they fit together relatively invisibly were made early in the manufacturing process. For example, Michael's left wing is divided into two vertical sections, but the gap between them is disguised by the high relief of his hand and sleeve. At that time, the sides of each section were modeled to slant inward, creating inverted V-shaped voids that would help secure them to the wall. The cavalier approach to wedging clay with the resulting folds and air pockets, the sweeping finger marks that cover every inch of the torso's interior, and tool marks in the form of scraping, paddling, and cutting are all evidence of the workmen's direct and expert engagement with the heavy clay. Glaze repairs indicate a commitment to saving a damaged piece and the subsequent adept use of the materials at hand to achieve a successful result. Errare humanum est is exemplified in one small triangle where blue was accidentally painted over white and fired turquoise-a tiny error in a sea of excellence. Above all, Saint Michael's face carries a sublime and transcendent expression born from Andrea della Robbia's genius. Here we see how the combination of artistic mastery with artisanal tradition produced a magnificent work.

Prudence

The Prudence tondo provides another opportunity to appreciate Andrea and the glorious consistency of his work at a time when the workshop was creating numerous commissions. One of the largest Della Robbia works at the Museum, the tondo depicts the cardinal virtue Prudence and, like Saint Michael the Archangel, is composed of multiple parts: seven sections for the inner tondo, and eight vibrant garland sections framing the piece, each containing hand-modeled and molded components. In a field of blue, a three-quarter-length young woman is portrayed floating among clouds, looking to her right. She holds a mirror in her right hand and, coiling vertically along her torso, a snake is gripped by her left. The surrounding garland is a colorful and realistic arrangement of citrons, oranges, grapes, quinces, cucumbers, and pinecones accompanied by their associated foliage, all grouped, and separated by blue ribbons.

The figure of Prudence represents the mother of all virtues; she is morally good, the measure of justice,

temperance, and fortitude. The snake represents wisdom and careful thought, and the mirror refers to the Delphic inscription "Know thyself." One of Prudence's most striking attributes is her second face—that of an old man—implying wisdom of the past. Prudence herself looks into the future.¹⁵

Apart from documentation of the tondo's modern provenance, there is little known of its origins in Italy.¹⁶ Most of the literature on Prudence has focused on attribution, wavering between Luca and Andrea. The relief was attributed to Luca until the 1980s when John Pope-Hennessy argued for Andrea based on stylistic details such as the posture of the figure, treatment of the garments, and the position of the eyelids.¹⁷ Prudence is closely associated with two other tondi depicting the virtues Temperance and Faith.¹⁸ However, details of the Faith tondo indicate that it may be from a separate decorative scheme depicting the theological virtues (Faith, Hope, and Charity). Art historical dating of Prudence to about 1475 appears to have been based on an association between its creation and the time when Andrea became the de facto leader of the workshop due to his uncle's failing health.¹⁹

After *Prudence* was purchased by the Museum in 1921, the tondo was displayed in the galleries for many years, but eventually it was placed in storage, where it stayed out of sight for a generation. The decision to conserve *Prudence* came in 2014, in preparation for Marietta Cambareri's exhibition "Della Robbia: Sculpting with Color in Renaissance Florence," which was notable for reframing these works as true sculpture rather than merely decorative arts.²⁰

TREATMENT

When conservators examined *Prudence* and associated archival images they found the tondo was relatively unchanged from when it was acquired by the Museum. On the front surface were aged and discolored restorations and extensive plaster fills (fig. 13a). The fifteen sections of the tondo were mortared into a heavy iron ring surrounding the relief, and on the back were the remains of a brick wall from a previous installation (fig. 13b).

Our examinations determined that the tondo was too unstable to travel safely on loan, and we decided to completely disassemble it and create a new mount. The tondo was dismantled in a slow and deliberate process that took place over several months. With the sections separated, we turned to removing the remnants of its previous installation and cleaning away centuries' worth of accumulated dirt. We also removed oil-based restoration paint that covered not only plaster fills, but *fig.* **13** (a) Front of *Prudence* before treatment; (b) Back of tondo, showing remains of brick wall

fig. 14 (a) Pinecone section of garland during cleaning;(b) Same section after cleaning revealed sequencing numbers 3 and 4

also significant areas of perfectly preserved glaze. After cleaning, any losses were filled and inpainted as done with *Saint Michael the Archangel*.

One of the most time-consuming aspects of the project was the development and fabrication of a mounting system. The basic concept of the mount was adapted from the one made for the Saint Michael lunette. Each section of the tondo was independently supported using a system of conforming clips made of carbon fiber fabric and connected to an aluminum honeycomb backing panel.²¹

DISCOVERIES MADE DURING TREATMENT Garland Numbering Sequence

A fascinating feature of the tondo was uncovered as we cleaned the white molding that frames the inner tondo, located on the inward-facing sides of the garland sections. As the layers of overpaint and grime were removed, we noticed numbers carved into the clay, underneath the glaze (fig. 14a, b). We found each section similarly marked; it was then that we realized these numbers were related to the arrangement of the garland.

Each garland section is furnished with a consecutive pair of numbers, one at each vertical edge of the white molding. Accompanying them are what could best be described as asterisks (the purpose of which is unclear) located below each number except in the case of "2," where they appear above. Figure 15a shows the garland as it originally came to the Museum. This arrangement ignores the numbering system and instead groups similar elements together: a pair of pinecones at the top, the grapes below, and the yellow fruits grouped at the sides.

After uncovering all of the numbers, a pattern emerged. The first section was marked 1 and 2. The adjacent section was marked 2 and 3, and the next 3 and 4, and so on. The final section was marked 8 and 1,











completing the sequence. Because of the large scale of the tondo, we initially used digital images to rearrange the garland into its proper numeric order, finding that, rather than grouping similar fruits together, the rediscovered numbering system alternated them. The result was a much livelier composition (fig. 15b, and see fig. 2).

Uncovering the numbering sequence was an exciting moment in the project, and led to fruitful discussions between conservators and curators, particularly about what join to place in the top position. Could we simply assume that "1" started at the top? Or, did the upper position of the asterisks above the "2"s provide a clue? We contacted colleagues in Florence who had experience dismantling in situ Della Robbia works with similar numbering systems, and they confirmed that they consistently found a 1-1 or equivalent Roman numeral join oriented at the top.²² Taking these factors into

fig. 15 (a) *Prudence* garland as arranged before treatment showing tracings of the numbering system; (b) Garland digitally arranged according to rediscovered numbering system

fig. 16 (a) Finger markings on outer surfaces of garland might have helped keep sections in order during fabrication; (b) Impressions of a round tool made in the wet clay



consideration, we decided to go with the 1-1 join with quinces and pinecones at the top.

This type of numbering system was not Andrea's innovation, as complex works of art that require assembly from a large number of parts were commonly numbered, like Andrea Riccio's nearly thirteen-foottall bronze Paschal candlestick located in the Basilica di Sant'Antonio, Padua, Italy.²³ More significantly, numbering systems are found in architecture throughout history, where stone blocks were notated to aid in construction.

Tool Marks and Impressions

While many interesting marks from fingers and tools came to light during the treatment of the Prudence tondo, the most unexpected were found around the outside of the garland. They only became apparent to



fig. 17 Digital reconstruction of *Prudence* proposing how gilded rays might have appeared us once the tondo was fully mounted in its intended configuration and we could view the continuous surface of the unglazed outer edges. At the intersection of each pair of garland sections are markings that matched up and are unique to each join. Where the quince and pinecone sections meet, there is a distinct impression of three fingers dragged across the join (fig. 16a). At the connection between the pinecone and the orange, there are two round impressions that were clearly made by a single tool (fig. 16b). The marks are undoubtedly deliberate and suggest that there were two phases of organizing the garland sections: the marks on the outer surfaces were executed in the wet clay, probably as a way to keep the sections in order as they were being made; and the numbering system on the inner sides was meant to direct the orientation of the garland during installation in its architectural setting.

Gilding

In the blue field of the inner tondo, we observed the faint remains of rays emanating from the figure of Prudence. Della Robbia terracottas were often gilded, but the nature of the embellishment is impermanent, often leaving us today with a "ghost" of where the gilding once was. With that in mind, we suspected that the rays were the remains of mordant, or drying



oil, from the gilding process, which was confirmed by scientific analysis.²⁴ However, at this stage, it is not possible to speculate on when the gilding might have been applied as there is ample evidence that glazed terracottas were often regilded many times over the years.²⁵ Furthermore, because mordant gilding techniques have not changed significantly since the Middle Ages, it is difficult to pinpoint a date based solely on the materials used.

To provide an impression of how *Prudence* may have looked surrounded by a golden aureole, we created a digital reconstruction. Various techniques were employed to enhance the contrast of the digital image, which helped to visualize the remnants of the gilded rays and provide a guide for where to place golden lines over the blue field. After some experimentation with the length of the rays, we settled on a varying pattern based on contemporary comparisons with which Andrea would have been familiar (fig. 17). For example, his uncle's group of roundels (1461-62) in the Chapel of the Cardinal of Portugal in the basilica of San Miniato al Monte in Florence introduced gilded rays as a pictorial element, as did Luca's The Ascension over the door of the South Sacristy in the Duomo in Florence. Other contemporary gilding references that would have been known to Andrea include Botticelli's paintings dating to the 1480s, such as Madonna del Magnificat and Madonna della Melagrana, both now in the Galleria degli Uffizi, Florence.26

CONCLUSION

Art historians of the mid-nineteenth century considered Della Robbia terracottas to be mass-produced works. Although there are a few scientific investigations dating back to the 1870s that attempted to uncover secrets of the glaze,²⁷ early art historical studies were overwhelmingly focused on attribution and symbolism and not on fabrication methods. Thus, interest in the appearance of the object and its meaning drew focus away from what the object itself could reveal about Della Robbia's workshop methods.

The technique and immediacy of working the clay, from the moment of pulling it from a vat to the final glazed terracotta splendor is preserved in the dimensional surfaces of *Saint Michael the Archangel* and *Prudence*. Starting at the back and working around to the front, evidence of the process unfolds before us. The act of pressing heavy wet clay into plaster molds left behind fingerprints and rutted grooves still as crisp as the day they were made. The sides of the reliefs, with their paddled, manipulated, and intentionally coded surfaces, bridge the transition from the rough terracotta to the refined glazed front. Here, form and color are displayed in the teeming garland arrangements and sublime emotion expressed in the faces of Saint Michael and Prudence. The back represents process and the front, artistic vision. The work was carried out by the expert hands of Andrea della Robbia and his workmen, with the knowledge and virtuosity to transform such humble materials as clay and glaze into works of artistic mastery.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Wendy Walker thanks the Margaret and Herman Sokol Travel Grant that supported her travel to Florence to meet Della Robbia specialists Marietta Cambareri, Abigail Hykin, Rachel Boyd, and Catherine Kupiec, in order to visit galleries, churches, and the magnificent La Verna Sanctuary outside Arezzo. Additional thanks go to archaeologist Marino Marini at the Musei del Bargello, Florence, for his valuable time in setting up appointments and driving us to the ceramic factories in Scandicci, Italy.

We extend our gratitude to Peter Bell, James David Draper, Curator Emeritus, and Luke Syson, former Iris and B. Gerald Cantor Chairman, European Sculpture and Decorative Arts at the Metropolitan Museum, for their enthusiasm and support throughout both conservation treatments. Denise Allen contributed a great deal to this article by providing inspiration, art historical context, and editing. Conservators Janis Mandrus and Michael Morris made invaluable contributions during the conservation treatments. Thanks also go to preparators Frederick Sager and Matthew Cumbie for their impressive work throughout both projects. Many interns contributed to this project: Sara Levin, Ashley Jehle, and Camille Beziers carried out the initial research, documentation, examination, and cleaning tests on Prudence. We also thank senior collections manager Denny Stone for her fortitude following the accident. Finally, we are indebted to Marietta Cambareri for bringing justice to the art of Della Robbia.

WENDY WALKER

Conservator, Department of Objects Conservation, The Metropolitan Museum of Art

CAROLYN RICCARDELLI

Conservator, Department of Objects Conservation, The Metropolitan Museum of Art

NOTES

- 1 Raggio 1961; Pope-Hennessy 1980, pp. 33-41.
- 2 Cambareri 2016, p. 145.
- 3 Ibid., pp. 145-46.
- 4 For a thorough description of the Della Robbia manufacturing process, as well as technical details about the clay and glazes, see Hykin 2016.
- 5 Raggio 1961, pp. 142-43.
- 6 Although the commission of Saint Michael the Archangel for the church of San Michele Arcangelo is undocumented, Olga Raggio (1961, pp. 135–36) connects the date of manufacture for the relief with that of the church's maiolica consecration roundel which dedicates the building to Saint Michael and is inscribed with the date 1475. Additionally, she emphasizes the stylistic similarities between the lunette of Saint Michael and other works by Andrea from the 1470s as "undeniable evidence of its date and authorship" (ibid., p. 138). The church of San Michele Arcangelo was deconsecrated in 1798, and a few decades later the lunette was transferred into private collections. It was first owned by Count Pasolini dell'Onda, a nobleman from Florence and eventually, in 1875, the lunette was acquired by German collector Heinrich Vieweg of Braunschweig. In 1930, the lunette was purchased by Myron C. Taylor of New York, and in 1960, acquired by the Museum at auction (Parke-Bernet Galleries, New York, November 11-12, 1960, lot 899). See Marquand 1922, vol. 1, pp. 36-37, no. 24, and Raggio 1961.
- 7 The acrylic adhesive mixture used on the lunette was researched, tested, and used successfully on Tullio Lombardo's marble

sculpture Adam, making it an excellent choice for repairing an object the size and weight of Saint Michael the Archangel. The "Tullio Blend," a 3:1 mixture of paraloids B-72 and B-48N, is prepared as follows: make one batch of each adhesive (40g B-72, 54g acetone, 6g ethanol; and 40g B-48N, 54g acetone, 6g ethanol) and then combine by volume 3 parts B-72 and 1 part B-48N (Riccardelli et al. 2014).

- 8 For more details about the conservation treatment of *Saint Michael the Archangel*, see Riccardelli and Walker 2017.
- 9 On a 2013 research trip to Italy, Wendy Walker visited ceramic factories outside Florence that manufacture Della Robbia reproductions. She spoke to a worker at La Torre Ceramica d'Arte who spoke about his process. This quote is translated from Italian.
- 10 Exactly how the Della Robbias filled their molds with clay was a topic of discussion at a Della Robbia study day at the Walters Art Museum, Baltimore, in May 2015, attended by Wendy Walker. Scholarly debate on this matter has not yet been published.
- 11 Scanning electron microscope-energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopic (SEM-EDS) analysis of the lunette and tondo found the clay bodies to consist of a high-lime, or calcareous, clay with relatively small amounts of sodium, magnesium, and potassium. The white glaze is tin-opacified; the blue is the same white glaze with cobalt, iron, copper, and nickel added. For more detail about this analysis, see Wypyski 2013 and Basso, Carò, and Wypyski 2015. For a technical review of Della Robbia clay and glazes, see Hykin 2016.

- 12 These materials—a combination of white glaze and ground fired clay used to fill in gaps around the drapery fragment—were confirmed with SEM-EDS and wave dispersive X-ray spectroscopic (WDS) analysis. See Wypyski 2013 for more detail.
- 13 For more on glaze repairs, see Hykin 2016, pp. 142-43.
- 14 *The Madonna of the Architects* is in the Museo Nazionale del Bargello, Florence (74 Robbiane). Cambareri 2016, p. 145.
- 15 Wardropper 2011, pp. 31-33.
- 16 The earliest documented acquisition of *Prudence* was that of Edward Cheney in the mid-nineteenth century. There is a photograph dated to 1888 that shows the tondo prominently displayed at his Georgian country house, Badger Hall, in Shropshire, England (see Knox 2007, p. 9). It remained there until 1905. After Shropshire, the tondo was owned by various private collectors, then sold at auction, ultimately ending up in Paris before being purchased by the Museum from Jacques Seligmann and Company in 1921. See Wardropper 2011, pp. 31–33.
- 17 Pope-Hennessy 1980, p. 271; Wardropper 2011, p. 32.
- 18 Temperance is in the Musée National de la Renaissance, Château d'Ecouen (ECL 2068). Faith is in the Museu Calouste Gulbenkian, Lisbon (540). See Marquand 1912, pp. 169–74.
- 19 Pope-Hennessy 1980, p. 271; Cambareri 2016, p. 145.
- 20 "Della Robbia: Sculpting with Color in Renaissance Florence" opened at the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, in August 2016, then traveled to the National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C., in February 2017.
- 21 For a detailed description of the backing techniques used on Saint Michael the Archangel and Prudence, see Riccardelli and Walker 2017. For a description of how the carbon fiber clips for Prudence were made, see Riccardelli 2017.
- 22 Laura Speranza, director of the Department of Conservation of Terracotta and Wooden Sculpture at the Opificio delle Pietre Dure, and conservator Daniele Angellotto, both in Florence, were helpful in understanding the orientation of the numbering system.
- 23 Sturman et al. 2009.
- 24 Fourier transform infrared micro-spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis of the radiating lines showed that the residual material is primarily calcium oxalate (whewellite). Research scientist Adriana Rizzo (2015) reported that this compound could be derived from an oil or proteinaceous layer, which is consistent with the theory that the bands are the remains of mordant from the gilding process.
- 25 Hykin 2016, p. 139.
- 26 These contemporary references, both in the Galleria degli Uffizi, Florence, were suggested by curator Denise Allen. Madonna del Magnificat, inv. 1890, no. 1609; general catalogue number 00188562; Madonna della Melagrana, general catalogue number 00188563.
- 27 Hykin 2016, p. 135.

REFERENCES

Basso, Elena, Federico Carò, and Marc Wypyski

2015 "Examination and Analysis Report for 60.127.2." Unpublished report, Department of Objects Conservation, MMA.

Cambareri, Marietta **2016** Della Robbia: Sculpting with Color in Renaissance Florence. Exh. cat., Museum of Fine Arts, Boston; National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C. Boston: MFA Publications. Hykin, Abigail

2016 "Materials and Techniques." In Cambareri 2016, pp. 129–43.

Knox, Tim

2007 "Edward Cheney of Badger Hall: A Forgotten Collector of Italian Sculpture." *Sculpture Journal* 16, no. 1, pp. 5–20. Marquand. Allan

1912 "On Some Recently Discovered Works by Luca della Robbia." *American Journal of Archaeology* 16, no. 2 (April– June), pp. 163–74.

1922 Andrea della Robbia and His Atelier. Princeton Monographs in Art and Archaeology **11**. 2 vols. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Pope-Hennessy, John

1980 Luca della Robbia. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press. Raggio, Olga

1961 "Andrea della Robbia's Saint Michael Lunette." *MMAB* 20, no. 4 (December), pp. 135-44.

Riccardelli, Carolyn

2017 "Carbon Fiber Fabric and Its Potential for Use in Objects Conservation." In *Objects Specialty Group Postprints, Volume Twenty-Four, 2017,* edited by Emily Hamilton, Kari Dodson, and Lara Kaplan. Washington, D.C.: American Institute for Conservation of Historic & Artistic Works, in press.

Riccardelli, Carolyn, Jack Soultanian, Michael Morris, Lawrence Becker, George Wheeler, and Ronald Street

2014 "The Treatment of Tullio Lombardo's *Adam*: A New Approach to the Conservation of Monumental Marble Sculpture." *MMJ* 49, pp. 48–116.

Riccardelli, Carolyn, and Wendy Walker

2017 "The Treatment of Two Terracotta Architectural Reliefs by Andrea della Robbia at The Metropolitan Museum of Art." In *Objects Specialty Group Postprints, Volume Twenty-Four, 2017,* edited by Emily Hamilton, Kari Dodson, and Lara Kaplan. Washington, D.C.: American Institute for Conservation of Historic & Artistic Works, in press.

Rizzo, Adriana

2015 "Examination and Analysis Report for 60.127.2." Unpublished report, Department of Objects Conservation, MMA. Sturman, Shelley, Simona Cristanetti, Debra Pincus, Karen Serres, and Dylan Smith

2009 "Beautiful in Form and Execution': The Design and Construction of Andrea Riccio's Paschal Candlestick." *Burlington Magazine* 151, no. 1279, pp. 666–72.

Wardropper, lan

2011 European Sculpture, 1400–1900, in The Metropolitan Museum of Art. New York: MMA.

Wypyski, Marc

2013 "Examination and Analysis Report for 60.127.2." Unpublished report, Department of Objects Conservation, MMA.

ILLUSTRATION CREDITS

Stone Sculpture and Ritual Impersonation in Classic Veracruz: age fotostock / Alamy Stock Photo, photo by Ignacio Guevara: fig. 18; Courtesy of the Division of Anthropology, American Museum of Natural History, photo by John Bigelow Taylor: fig. 8; Archivo Digital de las Colecciones del Museo Nacional de Antropología. INAH-CANON: figs. 7, 17; Courtesy of Caitlin Earley: fig. 10; Drawing by Ian Graham. © President and Fellows of Harvard College, Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology: fig. 13; Photo by Justin Kerr: figs. 11, 14; From Koontz 2009a, pp. 39, 53, 57, 67, Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art, photo by Heather Johnson: figs. 9, 15a, c, 19; From Ladrón de Guevara 1999, p. 76, Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art, photo by Heather Johnson: fig. 16; Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art: figs. 3, 4; Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art, photo by Joseph Coscia Jr.: figs. 1, 5, 6; From Proskouriakoff 1954, Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art, photo by Heather Johnson: fig. 15b; Drawing by Linda Schele © David Schele. Photo courtesy of Ancient Americas at Los Angeles County Museum of Art: fig. 12; Courtesy of Cherra Wylie: fig. 10

Qian Xuan's Loyalist Revision of Iconic Imagery in Tao Yuanming Returning Home and Wang Xizhi Watching Geese: Freer Gallery of Art and Arthur M. Sackler Gallery, Smithsonian Institution: fig. 3; Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art: figs. 1, 6, 10, 13, 14; Photograph © 2019 Museum of Fine Arts, Boston: fig. 15; Courtesy of Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art: fig. 5; Palace Museum, Beijing: figs. 2, 16

Workshop Practice Revealed by Two Architectural Reliefs by Andrea Della Robbia: Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art: figs. 1–17

All the City's Courtesans: A Now-Lost Safavid Pavilion and Its Figural Tile Panels: From Dieulafoy 1883, p. 129, Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art, photo by Heather Johnson: fig. 13; Digital library of the The Institut national d'histoire de l'art, Jacques Doucet collections: fig. 9; Courtesy of Farshid Emami: figs. 12, 15; Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art: figs. 1, 3, 4, 6, 17, 18; National Heritage Organization, Isfahan: fig. 10; © RMN-Grand Palais / Art Resource, NY, photo by Raphaël Chipault: fig. 5; From Sarre 1901–10, vol. 1, p. 90 and vol. 2, pl. [4], Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art, photo by Heather Johnson: figs. 8,11; Seattle Art Museum, photo by Paul Macapia: fig. 7; © Victoria and Albert Museum, London: fig. 2; Walters Art Museum: fig. 16 **Epigraphic and Art Historical Responses to Presenting the Tripod, by Wang Xuehao (1803):** Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art: fig. 7; Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art, photo by Oi-Cheong Lee: figs. 1–4; Palace Museum, Beijing: fig. 10; Ruan Yuan, *Jiguzhai zhongding yiqi kuanzhi*, vol. 4, pp. 6–7, Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art, photo by Heather Johnson: fig. 11; From Ruan Yuan, *Jiguzhai zhongding yiqi kuanzhi*, 1804, vol. 9, pp. 6b–7b, Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art, photo by Heather Johnson: fig. 12

John Singer Sargent Painting Fashion: Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art, photo by Heather Johnson: fig. 10; Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art: figs. 1, 5, 6, 11, 13, 14; © National Trust Images / John Hammon: fig. 3; © Tate, London 2019: fig. 12

New Research on a Rare Enameled Horse Bit from the Angevin Court at Naples: Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art, photo by Bruce Schwarz: figs. 1, 4; Su concessione del Ministero dei beni e delle attività culturali e del turismo-Torino, Musei Reali-Armeria Reale: figs. 6, 7; Lorenzo Morigi, Cappella del Tesoro di San Gennaro, Napoli: fig. 10; RMN-Grand Palais (Musée de Cluny - Musée National du Moyen-Âge) / Michel Urtado: figs. 5, 8; RMN-Grand Palais (Musée du Louvre) / Stéphane Maréchalle: fig. 9

Passignano, Not Leoni: A New Attribution for A Cardinal's Procession: © The Fitzwilliam Museum, Cambridge. Reproduced by the kind permission of Downing College, Cambridge: fig. 4; Gallerie degli Uffizi: fig. 3; Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art: fig. 1; National Gallery of Canada: fig. 5; © 2019 Photo Scala, Florence: fig. 2

Margareta Haverman, A Vase of Flowers: An Innovative Artist Reexamined: Photo by Jon Albertson: fig. 2; © The Fitzwilliam Museum, Cambridge. Reproduced with the kind permission of The Fitzwilliam Museum and the Hamilton Kerr Institute, University of Cambridge: fig. 12; Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art: figs. 1, 5, 7; Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art, photo by Evan Read: fig. 6; Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam: fig. 15; Photo by SMK Photo / Jacob Schou-Hansen: fig. 13; Statens Museum for Kunst, Copenhagen: fig. 3

The Cornish Celebration Presentation Plaque by Augustus Saint-Gaudens: Newly Identified Sources: Dalton Alves / NPS: fig. 15, Image © The Metropolitan Museum of Art: figs. 1–4, 8–11, 13, 14; Museum of Fine Arts, Boston: fig. 16

ARTICLES

Stone Sculpture and Ritual Impersonation in Classic Veracruz Caitlin Earley

Qian Xuan's Loyalist Revision of Iconic Imagery in *Tao Yuanming Returning Home* and *Wang Xizhi Watching Geese* Shi-yee Liu

Workshop Practice Revealed by Two Architectural Reliefs by Andrea Della Robbia Wendy Walker and Carolyn Riccardelli

All the City's Courtesans: A Now-Lost Safavid Pavilion and Its Figural Tile Panels Farshid Emami

Epigraphic and Art Historical Responses to *Presenting the Tripod*, by Wang Xuehao (1803) Michael J. Hatch

John Singer Sargent Painting Fashion Anna Reynolds

RESEARCH NOTES New Research on a Rare Enameled Horse Bit from the Angevin Court at Naples Marina Viallon

Passignano, Not Leoni: A New Attribution for *A Cardinal's Procession* lan Kennedy

Margareta Haverman, A Vase of Flowers: An Innovative Artist Reexamined Gerrit Albertson, Silvia A. Centeno, and Adam Eaker

The Cornish Celebration Presentation Plaque by Augustus Saint-Gaudens: Newly Identified Sources Thayer Tolles



