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Director's Foreword

It is most appropriate that the first exhibition to be held in The Metropolitan Museum of Art’s new Iris and B. Gerald Cantor Exhibition Hall should be a selection of sculptures by Auguste Rodin from the B. Gerald Cantor Collection, because forty-one years ago Mr. Cantor, then a young entrepreneur, visited the Metropolitan Museum and fell in love with a marble sculpture. That sculpture was Rodin’s Hand of God. As Mr. Cantor recalls, it was about two years later that he bought a small bronze version of the Hand of God and thus began what would ultimately become the most comprehensive private collection of Rodin’s sculptures in the world.

To speak of a single B. Gerald Cantor Collection is somewhat misleading, however, as there have, in fact, been several, owing to Mr. and Mrs. Cantor’s generous gifts to a long list of American museums, ranging geographically from the Museum of Modern Art and the Brooklyn Museum in his native New York to the Los Angeles County Museum of Art and the B. G. Cantor Rodin Gallery at Stanford University in California. In the past year, the Metropolitan Museum has joined this group as the recipient of twenty-one of a promised gift of thirty-one works. Among them are some very significant additions to the Museum’s well-known collection, a collection that includes the first considerable body of works by Rodin—bronzes, marbles, terra-cottas, and plaster studies, as well as drawings and prints—to be acquired by a public museum in America.

The majority of Mr. and Mrs. Cantor’s gifts to the Metropolitan Museum are included in this exhibition as part of a selection from the Cantor Collection and the former B. G. Cantor Sculpture Center. Some are drawn from three of Rodin’s great public commissions: the model for the prodigious Gates of Hell, undelivered and unfinished at the sculptor’s death; The Burghers of Calais, Rodin’s best-known and perhaps most successful monument; and the brooding, expressionistic Monument to Bérard, which suffered the wrath of its original commissioners. The variety of works exhibited here encompasses preparatory studies and models as well as individual figures and groups detached from their larger compositions and presented as separate works of art; one monument in its final state, The Burghers of Calais, newly cast in bronze for the occasion by the Coubertin Foundry in Saint-Rémy-Chevreuse, France, is also included. A fourth commission, the Monument to Claude Lorrain in Nancy, France, is represented by a model for the figure of the great seventeenth-century French painter. Other works are drawn from Rodin’s private creations: portraits of family members or friends, intimate studies of the human body in motion, under stress, or as victim of varying psychological states.

These works have been chosen neither in an attempt to survey the entire B. Gerald Cantor Collection nor the entire range of the sculptor’s oeuvre but rather to illustrate the depth and seriousness of Mr. and Mrs. Cantor’s interests, as well as to complement the Rodin sculptures that may be seen in a nearby gallery devoted to the Museum’s collection of late nineteenth- and early twentieth-century French sculpture. Thanks to the Cantors’ recent substantial gift of endowment funds, this gallery is now known as the B. Gerald Cantor Sculpture Gallery.

We are very grateful to Joan Vita Miller, Curator of the Cantor Collections, and to her coauthor and husband, Gary Marotta, University Dean and Associate Professor of History, Long Island University, for their participation as informative and gracious guides through Rodin’s life and work as reflected in the B. Gerald Cantor Collection. The present exhibition and catalogue may properly be viewed as a celebration of Mr. and Mrs. Cantor’s long-standing commitment to share their delight in the work of the preeminent French sculptor with a wide American public, and it is in that spirit that this book is dedicated to them.

Philippe de Montebello, Director
Camille Claudel
Bust of Auguste Rodin
1888–92
Bronze, $15\frac{3}{4} \times 9\frac{3}{4} \times 11$ in.
Introduction

Rodin: A Sketch

Descended on his father's side from Norman lower-middle-class stock and on his mother's side from the peasantry of Lorraine, Auguste Rodin was born in 1840 in a working-class section of Paris near the Panthéon. He was a mediocre student at best, but he showed a talent for art early in life. His father sent him to the École Impériale Spéciale de Dessin et de Mathématiques, known as the Petite École, where the young Rodin learned how to model and to draw from memory under the instruction of Horace Lecoq de Boisbaudran. He frequented the Louvre and the Bibliothèque Impériale, took additional classes at the Manufacture des Gobelins and the Collège de France, and studied animal anatomy with Antoine-Louis Barye at the Musée d'Histoire Naturelle. Rodin was determined to enter the École des Beaux-Arts, but he was rejected three times. This rejection would have a profound impact on his life: always, he would rebel against the French academic establishment; always, he would yearn for official recognition.

After his third rejection, humiliated yet still determined, Rodin began working for commercial decorators and sculptors. His uncertain career nearly ended with the death of his sister, Maria. Shaken, he entered the Order of the Fathers of the Holy Sacrament in 1862. Rodin's compulsion to sculpt resumed; he left the order and rented a stable to serve as his first studio. Earlier, Rodin had made portraits of his father, Jean-Baptiste, and of the superior of the monastery, Father Eymard. In his freezing studio, Rodin now created The Man with the Broken Nose, modeled after a neighborhood man called Bibi; he submitted it to the Salon of 1864—his first official competition—and identified himself as a student of Barye and of the commercial sculptor Albert-Ernest Carrier-Belleuse. The entry was rejected.

During this period Rodin met Rose Beuret, a poor neighborhood seamstress. She became his lover and companion for life, and in January 1866 they had a son, Auguste-Eugène Beuret. Rose Beuret also modeled for Rodin and was the subject of several outstanding works, such as Mignon and The Mask of Rose Beuret. Despite Rodin's legendary sexual promiscuity, she remained always loyal and faithful.

The Franco-Prussian War of 1870 left Rodin without work. During the winter, just before the rise of the Commune, Rodin departed Paris for Belgium to join Carrier-Belleuse in executing a commission to decorate the Brussels Stock Exchange. Work was plentiful, and Rodin stayed in Belgium for six years. During these years he expanded and refined his craftsmanship.

Rodin made enough money in Belgium to travel to Italy in 1875, the year of the fourth Michelangelo centennial. He visited Turin and Genoa and stayed longer in Florence and Rome. He was profoundly moved by Michelangelo, and he wrote to Rose Beuret that the great Renaissance artist "is letting me into some of his secrets." In later years he wrote, "I owe my liberation from academics to Michelangelo." The two-month trip to Italy proved decisive. When Rodin returned to Brussels he executed The Bronze Age, and in 1877 he exhibited it at the Paris Salon. The thirty-seven-year-old sculptor at last attracted some attention; ironically, he was accused of molding the sculpture from life. Nevertheless, Rodin believed he could gain acceptance at the Salon. Over the next few years he produced the monumental figures Saint John the Baptist Preaching, Eve, and Adam and continued to enter public competitions.

During the 1880s he secured commissions for The Gates of Hell and The Burgers of Calais. In 1889 his reputation grew as a result of the Galerie Georges Petit joint Monet/Rodin exhibition. Rodin had enemies, but by now outstanding critics, including Camille Chaudron, Gustave Geffroy, Octave Mirbeau, and Roger Marx, would come to his defense. Also in 1889 he received commissions for the
Monument to Claude Lorrain and the Monument to Victor Hugo. Finally, in 1891, he received the very attractive and highly prized commission for the Monument to Balzac from the Société des Gens de Lettres.

In 1883 Rodin met the high-spirited nineteen-year-old Camille Claudel, who became his mistress for fifteen turbulent years. Theirs was a passionate and productive love, during which Rodin reached the height of his creativity. Claudel assisted him on The Burghers of Calais, The Gates of Hell, and the marble replicas of his bronzes and modeled for several studies, among them Thought and the portrait busts Camille Claudel and Camille Claudel in a Bonnet. Her own sculpture was brilliant—she was the foremost female sculptor in France at the turn of the century—and Rodin's favorite portrait of himself was her Bust of Auguste Rodin. It has a naturalistic expression, powerfully profiled, revealing Rodin's intensity and rugged handsomeness. Claudel failed to persuade Rodin to leave Rose Beuret. In 1898 she left Rodin, became despondent, and suffered a nervous breakdown. In 1913 her family committed her to an insane asylum, where she was kept for thirty years until her death.3

Rodin emerged from these years as the most controversial and famous sculptor in the Western world. In 1900, at the age of sixty, he offered his first major retrospective exhibition, known as the Exposition Rodin, in a special pavilion at the Place de l'Alma, near the entrance gate of the Universal Exposition. At the dawn of the new century Rodin was celebrated as the greatest sculptor since Michelangelo and Donatello, and he was lionized as the prophet of bold modernity. Men and women of wealth, power, and influence sought him out. He was friendly with the great intellectuals, writers, journalists, performers, and critics of his time, and a new generation of artists came to Paris to pay him homage.

Rodin reveled in his popularity, and he worked to promote his reputation and to supply the market for his sculpture. He gave many interviews, the critics Paul Gsell and Judith Cladel became his Boswells, and the poet Rainer Maria Rilke interpreted his genius.4 He used photography not only to perfect his works in progress but also to build his own image as a prolific creative giant.5 And he fed the insatiable demand for his art by employing a small army of artisans. The Collas machine, a kind of pantograph invented by the French engineer Achille Collas in 1836, was worked by Rodin's "perfect collaborator," Henri Lebossé; it made numerous enlargements and reductions of his sculpture. Rodin was the sculptor of the avant-garde and bourgeoisie alike; between 1898 and 1918 the Barbédienne foundry alone produced 231 bronze casts of The Eternal Spring and 319 of The Kiss, in a selection of four sizes. Indeed, during his lifetime Rodin employed at least twenty-eight foundries.6 As his clientele expanded with his reputation, and the commissions for busts increased, Rodin nonetheless preserved time to continue his work on fragments and studies for his private use and personal experimentation.

Judith Cladel and the critic Gustave Coquiot convinced Rodin that he should give all of his work to the state on the condition that the Hôtel Biron—in which Rodin worked and which was owned by the French government—be converted into a museum. It was a long, typically controversial campaign, strongly opposed by the Right and, later, even by the extreme Left in the Chamber of Deputies. Arrangements were finally worked out in July 1916, and the Musée Rodin was established.7

In his old age Rodin's productivity diminished, he became erratic, his business affairs suffered, and he quarreled or withdrew from many of his friends. Alleged friends, admirers, and imposters exploited his fame and looted his collection, but Judith Cladel and the minister of commerce, Étienne Clémentel, intervened to
Rodin, seventy-six, married Rose Beuret, seventy-two; she died two weeks later. "How beautiful she is—a piece of sculpture," he said as he watched her on her deathbed. Rodin died within a year of Rose, on November 17, 1917. He was buried at Meudon, and a cast of the monumental Thinker was set over the grave site.

Rodin and His Collectors: The Rise and Fall of a Reputation

Rarely does an artist rise from poverty and obscurity to enjoy unprecedented international fame and to witness the assembly of major collections of his work. The Musée Rodin in Paris contains the world's largest, most comprehensive, and most distinguished collection of his works. But the Musée does not have a monopoly on Rodin, and even before its establishment serious collections were developed outside of France by, among others, Karl Jacobson in Denmark, Max Linde and August Thyssen in Germany, Kate Seney Simpson and Thomas Fortune Ryan in the United States, and numerous individuals in England. As early as 1903 the dancer Loie Fuller brought enough of Rodin's works to the National Arts Club in New York to form the first significant exhibition in the United States. Fuller also interested Alma de Bretteville Spreckels and Samuel Hill in collecting Rodin's sculpture. The Spreckels collection now forms the basis of the Rodin collection at the California Palace of the Legion of Honor in San Francisco, and the Hill collection now constitutes the main body of the smaller Rodin collection at the Maryhill Museum of Fine Arts in Maryhill, Washington. In 1908 fifty-eight drawings by Rodin were exhibited at "291," the Photo-Secession gallery established in New York by Alfred Stieglitz and Edward Steichen. But the first great American museum to open a gallery devoted exclusively to Rodin was New York's Metropolitan Museum of Art, which in 1912 announced the opening of its exhibition of thirty-two works.

Shortly after his death, Rodin's reputation began to slip into critical disfavor, although he remained popular among the public and the great international collectors. M. Kojiro Matsukata, the Japanese industrialist, assembled a Rodin collection that was donated to the National Museum of Western Art in Tokyo. The Philadelphia film magnate Jules Mastbaum donated his huge collection to the Rodin Museum, located near the Philadelphia Museum of Art. Both Mastbaum and Matsukata collected Rodin sculpture during the 1920s and were generous philanthropists, each commissioning casts of The Gates of Hell for his countrymen and each contributing to the Musée Rodin collections.

As the canons of neoclassical art collapsed and modern art advanced at an extraordinary pace, Rodin no longer seemed audacious. From the 1920s to the early 1950s, the avant-garde passed him by and collectors showed little interest in him. The formalist Roger Fry, who once helped to select Rodin works for The Metropolitan Museum of Art, criticized him for his sentimentality and lack of refined taste. Fry dismissed Rodin: "His conception is not essentially a sculptural one. Rodin's concern is with the expression of character and situation; it is essentially dramatic and illustrational." Expressive, symbolic, and literary content were replaced as goals by the simple and abstract in form, and modeling was no longer fashionable. The English critic Kenneth Clark consigned Rodin to the dark past as one "with whom an epoch and an episode have come to an end." Rodin failed at irony, was too serious, too public, and too accessible. The critic Leo Steinberg felt that he had outgrown Rodin.

So too, perhaps, had the public. The Rodin Museum in Philadelphia reported a sharp decline in attendance. No scholarly articles or exhibitions on Rodin appeared during the 1930s. The Musée Rodin in Paris was ignored; its collections...
were not well maintained. The Metropolitan Museum of Art put its Rodin bronzes in storage, leaving only the marble sculptures on public display. The Spreckels collection of early casts and original plasters was also kept in storage at the California Palace of the Legion of Honor. The Boston Museum of Fine Arts labeled its bronze *Iris* “unexhibitible.” New York’s Museum of Modern Art did not even possess any of Rodin’s sculpture until 1955. Everybody conceded the critics, knew *The Kiss, The Thinker*, and Rodin; the sculptures had become clichés and the artist passé.¹³

**Rodin Rescued: Scholars and Criticism**

Rodin’s latter-day critics were not entirely wrong. In fundamental ways, Rodin was conservative. His sculpture was representational. He drew inspiration from the ancients, from the Gothic, from the Renaissance. He was dramatic and concerned with literary and classical motifs. But in their enthusiasm for purity in modern art, the critics riveted their attention on the traditional in Rodin. They failed to see him in historical context; they either forgot, ignored, or were ignorant of his battles with nineteenth-century orthodoxy, his liberation of form and material, his experimentation with fragments and motion, and his insistence on personal artistic expression. Rodin in fact was of his time, yet he looked both to the past and to the future.

Rodin’s revival began with scholarship. In 1949 Albert Elsen, under the supervision of Meyer Schapiro at Columbia University, started his serious research into the historical meaning of Rodin. In 1952 Elsen published a preliminary appraisal in the *Magazine of Art* and in 1960 published his *Rodin’s Gates of Hell*. During the years between these two publications, the revision of Rodin’s reputation proceeded. In 1953 the Museum of Modern Art organized a major exhibition of nineteenth-century sculpture; the curator featured Rodin as the precursor of modern sculpture. Leo Steinberg, in his review of the exhibition catalogue in *Art Digest*, accepted the proposition of Rodin’s essential link to the “contemporary vision.” In 1954 Curt Valentin exhibited forty-four Rodin sculptures, all but one of them bronze casts or original plasters. “This show,” wrote Steinberg, “marked a turning point in Rodin’s posthumous fortune. For me it meant a loyalty renewed, and being restored to my childhood enthusiasms.”⁴⁴ And in 1957 The Metropolitan Museum of Art exhibited nearly its entire Rodin collection on the balcony above the Museum’s main entrance—where it remained prominently on view for more than a decade.

By this time Rodin scholarship and exhibitions were advancing apace. In addition to Elsen in America, Joseph Gantner in Austria and J. A. Schmoll gen. Eisenwerth in Germany were reconsidering Rodin’s historical import, particularly through an examination of his partial figures. In 1962 the Louvre opened its *Rodin inconnu* exhibition, and in 1963 the Charles E. Slarokin Galleries in New York mounted a penetrating Rodin exhibition that toured twelve museums in the United States and Canada—the brilliant, provocative introduction to the catalogue was written by Leo Steinberg. In that same year, 1963, the Museum of Modern Art launched a major Rodin retrospective, accompanied by a comprehensive study and appraisal, Albert Elsen’s *Rodin*.¹⁵

The rescue of Rodin succeeded. “Within the Western anatomic-figure tradition,” wrote Steinberg, “Rodin is indeed the last sculptor. Yet he is also the first of a new wave, for his tragic sense of man victimized is expressed through a formal intuition of energies other than anatomical. . . . For while modern art was in the making Rodin seemed irrelevant. And now . . . it is his relevance that astonishes us as we look again.”⁶⁶
The initial rescue effort was indeed a success, but Rodin's lifeline to permanency remains tenuous. Steinberg celebrates Rodin because of his affinities with the modern temper; Rodin is resuscitated by Steinberg solely because of his relevance to twentieth-century art. At the start of his essay, Steinberg asks the reader to put most of Rodin aside, to forget the marbles, the monuments, the rhetorical and expressive pieces. "Rodin is accepted in his twentieth-century guise," observes Rodin scholar John Tancock, "but rejected totally as an artist of the nineteenth century." For the rescue to be complete, Rodin must be appreciated in his nineteenth-century context as well as in his relationship to the history of art. Rodin must be seen in his diversity as a great watershed figure, and his rediscovery must be grounded in close, serious study if Rodin is to endure and to elude a return to oblivion.

B. Gerald Cantor and the Rediscovery of Rodin

In 1945, when Rodin was in disfavor, the young B. Gerald Cantor, just returned to civilian life from the U.S. Army to resume his career in the securities business, saw Rodin’s marble Hand of God in The Metropolitan Museum of Art. Eighteen months later Cantor acquired his first Rodin, another version of the same sculpture. This marked the beginning of a long and earnest commitment to the artist and his work: Cantor has devoted four decades to the study of Rodin literature and the amassing of the world’s largest and most distinguished private Rodin collection.  

The search for knowledge led Cantor to Mme Cécile Goldscheider, then curator of the Musée Rodin. Mme Goldscheider introduced Cantor to the full range of Rodin’s oeuvre: not only the pretty marbles that first caught his interest, but the monuments, bronzes, busts, studies, partial figures, and fragments as well. The curator and the financier became good friends, and, with the support of the French government, the Musée Rodin authorized new casts of original plaster models and studies, the majority of which had never been executed during Rodin’s lifetime.

Cantor’s passion for Rodin was intense, his acquisitions prodigious. He did far more than revive a sagging market, although prices for Rodin sculpture began to rise in the 1960s and have been rising ever since. He engaged in a comprehensive, long-term exhibition program, touring selections from his vast and growing collection throughout the world. In 1967 the Los Angeles County Museum of Art first exhibited Cantor’s collection. In the introduction to the catalogue Homage to Rodin, Mme Goldscheider wrote: "The B. Gerald Cantor collection not only typifies the recurrent interest in Rodin’s work, but surveys little-known areas within the master’s prolific working life. The Cantor collection is certainly the most important private collection in the United States." It would become the most important private collection in the world.

Cantor is heir to an American tradition of distinguished Rodin collectors, including Loïe Fuller, Thomas Fortune Ryan, Kate Seney Simpson, Samuel Hill, Alma de Bretteville Spreckels, and Jules Mastbaum. B. Gerald Cantor has far surpassed all of them in the size and diversity of his collection and in the scale of his benefactions. His philosophy of "art must be seen" has led him to startle the art world with his gifts: he donated 33 Rodins to the Los Angeles County Museum; nearly 150 to the Stanford University Museum of Art; 21 to The Metropolitan Museum of Art; 55 to the Brooklyn Museum; 10 to the Museum of Modern Art; and 10 to the College of the Holy Cross, Worcester, Massachusetts. During the past twenty years he has also given hundreds of Rodin sculptures to other museums as well as to other colleges and universities across America. He
has donated millions of dollars for the development of exhibitions, galleries, and sculpture gardens. B. Gerald Cantor has generously bestowed his gifts during his lifetime and disseminated them widely to reach the largest serious audience.

Perhaps Cantor's most enduring investment in Rodin appreciation has been his support of Rodin studies. The scholarships, research grants, travel fellowships, and publication subventions, particularly to scholars at Stanford University, may enable Rodin to remain forever "rediscovered." Cantor was introduced to Stanford by his friend Peter S. Bing, former President of the Board of Trustees at the university. When Cantor learned of Albert Elsen's work at Stanford, he determined to join forces with the scholar to make the United States the world center for Rodin studies. The Stanford University Rodin collection, which includes manuscripts, reminiscences, and other bibliographical materials, is the world's largest outside of the Musée Rodin in Paris. The Musée, once insulated from American scholars, has been made accessible by the current curator, Mme Monique Laurent, due in part to the diplomacy of B. Gerald Cantor.

As a result of Cantor's commitment, a school of Rodin studies has flourished at Stanford around the premier Rodin scholar, Albert Elsen. The work of these scholars has been outstanding: Rodin and Balzac, with an exhibition at Stanford; The Partial Figure in Modern Sculpture: From Rodin to 1969, with an exhibition at the Baltimore Museum of Art; Rodin Drawing, True and False, with exhibitions at the National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C., and the Solomon R. Guggenheim Museum, New York; and Rodin's Burghers of Calais, with an exhibition at Stanford.** Former Cantor Fellows, such as Kirk Varnedoe and Daniel Rosenfeld, among others, are teaching, researching, and publishing, taking their places alongside such already established scholars as Albert Elsen, John Tancock, Jacques de Caso, Patricia B. Sanders, Athena Tacha Spear, and Ruth Butler.

The exploration of new fields of Rodin scholarship culminated in the 1981 Rodin retrospective at the National Gallery of Art in Washington, D.C., which was organized by Elsen. The massive exhibition publication, Rodin Rediscovered, is a critical anthology of the latest research, and it goes far toward documenting Rodin's diversity and securing the survival of his reputation.*** For the exhibition Cantor commissioned a unique cast of The Gates of Hell. Iris Cantor, who shares her husband's passion for Rodin, produced the film Rodin: The Gates of Hell for the occasion. Her award-winning documentary, itself a work of insight and art, is a valuable addition to the study of Rodin.

Cantor's belief that "art must be seen" has led not only to an extensive dissemination of his own collection but also to the development of collections of excellence at the Los Angeles County Museum of Art and Stanford University in California and at the Brooklyn Museum and The Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York. His insistence on public accessibility prompted the opening of the B. G. Cantor Sculpture Center at the corporate offices of Cantor, Fitzgerald in New York City and the B. Gerald Cantor Rodin Sculpture Garden on the campus of Stanford University.

Cantor works to advance public appreciation of art through his personal and corporate philanthropy as well as through his policy roles as a trustee of The Metropolitan Museum of Art and as a member of the Business Committee for the Arts. He continues to build his collection, which includes sculpture by other artists, such as Bourdelle, Kolbe, and Manzù, in addition to paintings from the Impressionist, Post-Impressionist, and Expressionist periods. This extraordinary public and private labor is guided and assisted by Iris Cantor, who succeeds her husband as a trustee of the Los Angeles County Museum of Art.

In recognition of these many ventures, Cantor has received numerous commen-
Rodin with the *Hand of God*, 1902(?).
(Photo, Musée Rodin)

dations and honorary degrees, including membership as an Officer of the Order of
Arts and Letters conferred by the French Ministry of Culture. A particularly
meaningful gift, from the directors of the Musée Rodin, is a plaster of the *Hand of
God*—the sculpture that first fired Cantor’s passion for Rodin. The directors
expressed their gratitude “for the magnificent effort that you made and continue
to make to assemble the most important collection, ever achieved, of the works of
the great French sculptor. You are,” the citation continues, “always ready to
exhibit or even to donate these works, which you selected with so much compe-
tence and love.”

Rodin has been rescued and is being rediscovered. B. Gerald Cantor has played
a vital role in this process. This exhibition pays tribute to Rodin and to his
greatest collector. *Rodin: The B. Gerald Cantor Collection* is a selection of sixty-
eight representative sculptures from the corpus of Rodin’s work—from his earliest
bust through his monuments to his late personal studies. Especially for this
exhibition, Cantor has commissioned a cast of the monumental *Burghers of Calais.*
Included as well are a bust of Auguste Rodin by Camille Claudel and a model of
the “lost-wax” bronze casting process. The catalogue text, like the exhibition,
breaks no new ground; its intent is to introduce and to appreciate genius, and its
appraisal of Rodin is openly partisan.
The Gates of Hell

The Gates of Hell is a public monument to a private vision. It is also the most important and most prodigious work by one of the most important and most prodigious sculptors in the history of Western civilization.

The history of The Gates of Hell began officially on August 16, 1880, when the French minister of public instruction and fine arts authorized Rodin to proceed, in the words of the commission, with "a decorative door destined for the Museum of Decorative Arts: 'Bas-reliefs representing the Divine Comedy of Dante.'" But the Gates was not "destined" for the new Parisian museum, for that museum—to have been constructed on the site of the former Cour de Comptes, destroyed by fire during the Paris Commune of 1871—was never built. Instead, the Gare d’Orsay railroad station was erected on that site in 1900, the same year Rodin first exhibited the plaster Gates to the public. As a result, the French government never called for its delivery or bronze casting. Since Rodin’s death, however, five privately funded bronze casts have been made. They can be seen in Tokyo, Zurich, Paris, Philadelphia, and Stanford, California; this last, commissioned by B. Gerald Cantor and the only one produced by the "lost-wax" method as Rodin wished, has toured the United States and now permanently resides at Stanford University. Nevertheless, the Gates does at last seem "destined" to be located at a museum on the original site: the Gare d’Orsay station is being renovated and transformed into the Musée d’Orsay, which will replace the Musée du Jeu de Paume as the Louvre’s showcase for late nineteenth-century French art. Among its treasures will be the 1917 plaster model of The Gates of Hell.²

When Rodin received the commission in 1880, he was an impoverished artist, without academic training or awards. Worse still, he had acquired a reputation for fraud: critics had charged that his Bronze Age and Saint John the Baptist Preaching were not sculpted but cast from the bodies of models. Rodin insisted on an official inquiry. He was vindicated, and the government purchased his statues, but the attacks had hurt and enraged him. Yet despite these attacks, Rodin was acquiring a following, and Edmond Turquet, undersecretary of fine arts, arranged the commission for him. Rodin suggested the theme of the Divine Comedy and decided to mold many small figures in bas-relief to prove that he did not cast from life.³ While Rodin recognized his opportunity for a masterpiece, with such a theme and a point to prove, he did not begin the Gates with a master plan. The Gates evolved, conceptually and sculpturally, over a period of twenty years.

Rodin went to work. He read and reread Dante, and he sketched, filling copybook after copybook with his drawings. Sculptural plans were only part of the work, however, for he would also have to be an architect. Just as he had turned to the medieval epic poem for his theme, Rodin turned to the Renaissance for the prototype of the doors themselves. His first sketches for the architecture of the doors derived from Lorenzo Ghiberti’s Gates of Paradise, the east portals of the Baptistery of San Giovanni Battista in Florence.

Dante and Ghiberti served as starting points. The ten panels on Ghiberti’s doors were reduced to eight, perhaps corresponding to the number of circles in the Inferno. But as Rodin began to mold his sculptures other influences absorbed him, until only a few figures, such as Ugozino and His Sons and Paolo and Francesca, were inspired by Dante. These other influences included characters from the Bible and from mythology. He called on his own earlier works, too, such as The Man with the Broken Nose and the head of Saint John the Baptist, as well as the people around him. Rodin even incorporated a likeness of himself. Additional figures were invented, unidentified sufferers. Possibly even more potent a source of inspiration than Dante’s Divine Comedy was Baudelaire’s Les Fleurs du mal, an edition of which Rodin illustrated while working on the Gates. Like the Divine...
Comedy. Les Fleurs du mal expressed a tragic view of the human condition, and Rodin responded to Baudelaire's evocation of sexual decadence and images of seduction, fatal women and rejected, remorseful men.

The early architecture of the Gates could not contain Rodin's expanding conception. (He would eventually populate the Gates with some 186 figures.) Rodin soon abandoned the eight-framed door panels and, using designs from French Gothic churches and Baroque art, replaced them with two huge, cavernous, undulating panels. These would capture light and shadow and carry a multitude of figures in high and low relief, swirling, jumping off the structure, defying the rigid framework of conventional decorative architecture.

There is a certain composition to the Gates, notably in the placement of the larger figures. The monumental Adam and Eve, freestanding before the Gates, form the base of a pyramid, at the zenith of which stand The Three Shades and, directly below them, The Thinker. This arrangement rivets our attention on the plenitude of reliefs adorning the Gates. There is no sequential story neatly illustrated on clearly defined panels, however. As Rodin stated, "There is no intention of classification or method of subject, no scheme of illustration or intended moral purpose. I followed my imagination, my own sense of arrangement, movement, and composition." Rodin was driven by a large, consuming idea, producing a large, extraordinarily detailed dramatic effect. It touches our sense of the nether side of life.

Rodin's commission set the date of 1885 for the delivery of the doors. In January 1883 Roger Ballu of the Ministry of Fine Arts visited Rodin at his studio. Ballu reported that he saw only isolated groups, but that the work was "extremely interesting. . . . This young sculptor reveals a really astonishing originality and power of expression. . . . Beneath the energy of the attitudes, beneath the vehemence of the poses expressive of movement, he conceals his disdain for . . . a coldly sculptural style." Ten months later, Ballu visited Rodin again, and his second report, now touching on the composition of the portals, was even more favorable. "The design of the work will, I think, be a startling one . . . when it is completed, this portal may seem a little strange in parts, but it will be a work of daring, it will command attention as an original creation. It may be criticized in the name of consecrated principles and prevailing rules; it will be accepted by virtue of the undeniable power of the style in which it is executed. It will be truly, and in the full sense of the word, a work of art."

By 1885 Rodin had informed the government that a plaster model would be finished shortly, had secured an estimate for a bronze cast for the Gates, and had taken on several major commissions. Nonetheless, he continued to work on the Gates, experimenting, redesigning, refining. He publicly exhibited several of its statues.

Rodin once called the Gates his "Noah's Ark" of inventions, and the progeny issuing from that monument are remarkable. Indeed, many of the figures created for the Gates have become independent works of art and are frequently better known than the portals themselves. The Kiss, The Thinker, The Three Shades, The Prodigal Son, Fugitive Love, Adam, and Eve are some of the most popular pieces that have been reproduced, enlarged, or reduced in several media to meet the demand for Rodin's art. Although Rodin decided not to incorporate The Kiss in the Gates, it remains a self-sufficient artistic achievement and perhaps the best known of his works. The Gates of Hell made Rodin both famous and wealthy.

During these years Rodin was also working on many other commissions, including the controversial Burghers of Calais and Balzac. His studio was constantly visited by intellectuals, artists, journalists, disciples. The Gates generally received high praise and established Rodin's reputation as the greatest sculptor.
since Michelangelo, but it did not escape criticism entirely. The Gates, said the critics, was derivative—a literary, not an original, creation. Its sculpture was described as obscene, vulgar, illogical. Rodin was described as crazy and accused of betraying the public trust by not completing the Gates. Rodin's friends, such as the American sculptor Truman H. Bartlett, and Rodin himself issued strong, convincing defenses in the public press. Still, criticism persisted, particularly the notion that the Gates was not ready for delivery.

The Universal Exposition was scheduled for Paris in 1900. It gave Rodin an opportunity to exhibit the Gates publicly, to demonstrate it was complete. With financial aid from several friends, he planned a major retrospective of his works. He built a pavilion in the Louis XVI style at the Place de l'Alma, adjacent to the exposition, where he displayed 170 of his works, including a plaster model of the monumental Gates of Hell, which was largely denuded of the high reliefs. Rodin sold over 200,000 francs' worth of sculpture and received orders from museums and collectors around the world. Everything, including the sculptures derived from the Gates, was for sale; everything, that is, except the Gates themselves.

Still, the critics insisted that a scandal was involved in the nondelivery of the Gates. That the Museum of Decorative Arts was never erected and that the government never called for the delivery of the Gates appear to have been ignored. Although it is true that Rodin himself never felt that the Gates was “finished” in his meaning of the term—for he believed that art was continually unfolding and developing, yielding to new experiments and formations—it was certainly completed. Albert Elsen, the definitive scholar of the Gates, writes: “If the government in 1900 had called for the bronze casting of The Gates of Hell, Rodin would have complied, with or without modifications. The same could be said, in my view, if the government had called for the casting in 1889 or 1885.”

The plaster Gates was removed after the 1900 exhibition and remained in Rodin's studio until it was assembled in 1917 under the supervision of Léonce Bénédite, first director of the Musée Rodin. The 1917 plaster Gates contained more sculptural pieces than the 1900 plaster, but the additional high-relief pieces had been in the studio at least since 1900. “M. Bénédite and Mademoiselle Cladel,” according to an article published a month after Rodin’s death, “work without rest to arrange the Musée Rodin... They will set up a plaster cast of the Porte de l'Enfer... During Rodin’s last days,” the article continues, “M. Bénédite gathered in the studio of the rue de l'Université all the morceaux of the Porte de l'Enfer, and he was greatly surprised to notice that the monument was complete. It was not lacking one piece.”

The Gates of Hell drew initial inspiration from a Christian cosmography, but Rodin’s final Gates reveals a secular hell. Adam and Eve, as independent statues of the first man and woman, stand before the Gates, inviting us to look at their progeny. Yet no Christ sits in the tympanum, just as no angels or saints stand on the lintel above. Nor is there reference to a Savior or Devil, Heaven, Hell, or Redemption. The Three Shades stand at the summit; it is The Thinker, originally conceived as Dante, then transformed into the universal poet-artist, then transformed again into mankind—beholding, musing—who now occupies the tympanum.

There is no didactic narrative. Instead, the Gates is everywhere peopled by living men and women, agonizing in their passions, perversions, and tragedies. Their “evil demons,” as the novelist Anatole France wrote, “are their own passions, their loves and hatreds; they are their own flesh, their own thought.” The Gates dramatically draws us to the depths of the human condition. It is Rodin’s unprecedented modern vision, born of experience, sympathy, and pity, not of vengeance. Hell, Rodin insists, is here on earth, to be faced alive and, finally, alone.
The Three Shades

Dante, in the *Inferno*, describes three shades who danced in a circle as they told of their woe in Hades. Rodin’s *Three Shades* stand at the center of the top lintel of *The Gates of Hell*, crowning the tympanum just above *The Thinker*. The downward gesture of their left arms and their heads conveys despair as it summons the viewer to gaze upon the Gates. Each shade in *The Three Shades* is the same figure, not a different sculpture; each is repeated and juxtaposed to form a new composition. Art historian John Tancock observed that their abbreviated right hands—the modeling, creative hand—may symbolize the powerlessness of the shades to change the fate of human suffering.¹¹

Their formal history derives from Rodin’s *Adam*, but the *Shades* show less tension and greater coordination of movement.¹² This 38½-inch version of *The Three Shades* is the original size and was intended for the Gates; the enlarged version of about 1898 adds the hands and reveals the Michelangelesque musculature Rodin so admired in Italian Renaissance sculpture. The positioning of *The Three Shades* at the summit of the Gates, reminiscent of Gothic tympana, may also have been influenced by Andrea Sansovino’s three stone figures on the lintel above Ghiberti’s *Gates of Paradise* at the Baptistry of San Giovanni Battista in Florence.¹³
1. *The Three Shades*
1881–86, cast 1969
Bronze, 38¼ x 37 x 20½ in.
Fallen Caryatids

The ancient Greeks considered caryatids to be priestesses, and draped versions of them were used as architectural props, supporting columns in the form of upright women. Unlike their Greek counterparts, Rodin's caryatids are compressed forms ridden with burden, existing as autonomous works of art.

In the Purgatorio Dante describes frightened souls trying to expiate their sins by carrying huge stones on their backs. The stone in Rodin's Fallen Caryatid with Stone has been characterized by the critic Gustave Geffroy as "heavy like unhappiness," and the poet Rainer Maria Rilke observed that the caryatid "beares its burden as we bear the impossible in dreams from which we can find no escape." Thus, the stone symbolizes not only physical suffering but mental anguish as well, both inescapable. The fatalism of these figures is reinforced by the Fallen Caryatid with Urn, since the urn is associated with tomb ornamentation and is a symbol of mourning and bereavement.

Rodin conceals the caryatid figure in the Gates under voluminous drapery and a swirling scroll. Contorted like the figures on medieval doorways, she rests in the upper-left corner. Both the Fallen Caryatid with Urn and the Fallen Caryatid with Stone were considered by Rodin and his friends to be among his very best compositions, and the latter was the first figure from the Gates to be reworked into marble and exhibited as a freestanding work of art. There are numerous versions of each, in various media, including bronze, marble, and limestone.
2. Fallen Caryatid with Stone
Ca. 1881, cast 1981
Bronze, 52½ x 33 x 39 in.
3. Fallen Caryatid with Urn
1883, cast 1981
Bronze, 45\(\frac{1}{4}\) x 36\(\frac{3}{4}\) x 31\(\frac{1}{4}\) in.
Ugolino and His Sons

The story of Ugolino, chronicled by the medieval historian Villani, is dramatized in Dante’s *Inferno*. Ugolino della Gherardesca, count of Donoratico and admiral of the Pisan fleet, was involved in the complicated and treacherous politics of the Italian city-states of the thirteenth century. The archbishop of Pisa had him accused of treason and imprisoned, together with his sons. “The Pisans,” wrote Villani, “caused the tower to be locked, the key thrown into the Arno, and all food to be withheld from them.”9

In Dante’s version it appears that Ugolino, now blind, starves to death as did the children. It is uncertain whether he cannibalizes them. The nineteenth-century interpretation, however, has Ugolino devouring his sons. Rodin’s studies of this grim theme begin with a tender Ugolino cradling his sons, as in the *Drawing of Ugolino and His Son*. Ugolino sits upright, with the boy, probably the first son, Gaddo, draped across his lap, recalling the iconography of the dead Christ and the Virgin Mary.22 It is the portrait of a horrified but compassionate Ugolino. The sketches go on to portray a continuing degradation, until the famished father, bestialized, pounces on the flesh of his children.

Early sculptures and an 1880 clay model of the Gates show Ugolino seated in a vertical position, his dead son across his lap.23 On the completed Gates, *Ugolino and His Sons* appears on the middle of the left door, at eye level, with Ugolino no longer up-
right. Here a blind Ugolino, starved and near death himself, crawls on the ground. The horizontal figure, hunched over his children, resembles the beast he is becoming. One child, his head turned upward, may still be alive, as Ugolino appears to caress him with his arm. Another son pulls himself up from the side, reaching his arm across his father's back. The two younger children are dead. Ugolino, still retaining his humanity, is horrified by the cadavers and his own crazed thoughts.

The Torso of Ugolino's Son is a fragment derived from the complete sculpture of Ugolino and His Sons. It is the son, probably Uguccione, reaching up with his arm across his father's back, but now separated from his environment. In this remarkable fragment, arms and legs as well as muscular and facial details have been discarded. Only the clarity of the conceptual gesture, the horror, the pity, and the pleading, remain. Rodin, drawing on a medieval theme, anticipated the essence of modern sculpture.
5. Ugolino and His Sons
1882
Plaster, 16¼ x 25½ x 15 in.
6. Torso of Ugolino's Son
1882, cast 1980
Bronze, 9½ x 7½ x 4½ in.
7. Head of Sorrow
1882, cast 1963
Bronze, 9 x 9 x 10¼ in.
Rodin's assistant, Henri Lebossé, attaches the *Head of Sorrow* to the enlargement of *Ugolino and His Sons* at Meudon, 1906. (Photo, Philadelphia Museum of Art)

**Head of Sorrow**  
**Fugitive Love**  
**The Prodigal Son**  
**Paolo and Francesca**

Rodin demonstrated a genius for combining, recombining, juxtaposing, enlarging, and reducing figures and parts of figures to form new, vital compositions. In some part this method derives from the industrial concept of interchangeability, but for the most part it stems from Rodin's conviction that art is transformable, always alive and never finished.

The *Head of Sorrow* is the same head that appears on the son who pulls himself onto his father's back in *Ugolino and His Sons*. This head appears three more times on *The Gates of Hell*: on the male figure in *Fugitive Love*, which appears twice; and on Paolo in *Paolo and Francesca*. Detached from the *Gates*, the head also appears on the figure of *The Prodigal Son*, itself a repositioning of the isolated male figure in *Fugitive Love*. The *Head of Sorrow*, with its open mouth and closed eyes expressing grief, held great fascination for Rodin. As an independent work it was known by many titles, including *Head of Orpheus*, *The Last Sigh*, *Anxiety*, and *Joan of Arc*. The head is sexually neutral, and Rodin used it for both male and female figures.

On *Fugitive Love*, the female below eludes the grasp of the male, whose back moves along the back of her legs as he grasps for his lover. She flies away from him, holding her head, her lips curled in a disdainful smile. He writhes in torture. Physically, since they are back to back, their carnal union is impossible. Not only fusing Dante's damned adulterers and Baudelaire's tormented souls, Rodin also here symbolizes man's psychological inability to comprehend woman—a prevalent theme in nineteenth-century European art and literature. *Fugitive Love* appears twice on the right portal of *The Gates of Hell*: above the lower-right corner, with the female figure underneath; and in the middle, reversed and extending outward in high relief, the female figure changed both in posture and in relation to the male body.

The male body in *Fugitive Love*, a forceful portrayal of suffering and long-
8. *Fugitive Love*

1881

Bronze, 20¼ x 33 x 15 in.
ing, was separated and exhibited in 1894 as a single work entitled *The Prodigal Son.* In *The Prodigal Son* this versatile figure is upright and in a suppliant position, body arched, face and arms raised to heaven. “I have accented the swelling muscles which express distress,” said Rodin. “I have exaggerated the straining of the tendons which indicate prayer.” His cries, in Rodin’s words, are “lost to the heavens.”

Dante, in the *Inferno*, meets the lovers Paolo Malatesta and Francesca de Rimini. Francesca explains that their love began innocently while “reading about Lancelot and how love seized upon him. . . . But there was one passage that was our ruin. When we read how this tender lover kissed a smile on the adored mouth, he who shall never leave me tremblingly kissed me on the mouth.” The lovers were discovered by Francesca’s husband, who murdered them both. Paolo and Francesca were consigned to the circle of carnal sinners for their adultery. The pathos of this story moved Rodin, and he was determined to incorporate it in the Gates, giving it as prominent a position as Ugolino.

The story of Paolo and Francesca inspired *The Kiss*, but Rodin did not incorporate that work in the Gates, perhaps because, as Rainer Maria Rilke said, he wanted to eliminate “everything that was too solitary to subject itself to the great totality.” Yet *Paolo and Francesca* survive in the Gates—in a very different form, however—on the lower-left door, below *Ugolino and His Sons*. They appear in a tender but exhausted embrace, Paolo’s arms draped across Francesca’s back and shoulder. The tragic lovers are fated to an eternity of unrealized desire. In this sense, *Paolo and Francesca* symbolize all the frustrated lovers on the Gates.
9. The Prodigal Son
Ca. 1885–87, cast 1969
Bronze, 54¾ x 34¾ x 28¾ in.
10. *Paolo and Francesca*
1889, cast 1981
Bronze, $12\frac{3}{8} \times 22\frac{1}{4} \times 14\frac{3}{4}$ in.
11. Crouching Woman
1886–82, cast 1963
Bronze, 37½ x 25 x 22 in.
Crouching Woman
I Am Beautiful

The Crouching Woman appears twice in The Gates of Hell: to the left of The Thinker in the tympanum and cradled in the arms of the figure known as the Falling Man in a composition called I Am Beautiful. The compact Crouching Woman, executed at the same time as Adam, Eve, and The Shade, reflects the influence of Michelangelo. The locked limbs suggest immobility, and her left hand, which squeezes her breast, possibly represents a lament for a lost child (children without parents appear elsewhere on the portal). The solitary figure arouses intense pathos. The Crouching Woman, however, when joined to the male figure in I Am Beautiful, evokes entirely different meanings; suddenly she is transformed from a forlorn, bereft woman into a yielding, voluptuous lover.

Created by joining two independently conceived figures, Crouching Woman and Falling Man, I Am Beautiful appears on the upper-right pilaster of The Gates of Hell. To achieve this coupling, Rodin had to modify the figures slightly to accommodate each other's embrace. As an individual work, the Falling Man has his right leg bent back at the knee with his foot in the air and his arms pointed downward. In I Am Beautiful both feet touch the ground, bracing him for the weight of his lover, and his arms extend upward, enveloping the female. The Crouching Woman has also undergone alterations. She no longer grasps her foot. Instead, her arm hangs motionless, accentuating her submissive attitude. The individual figures thus transformed, a powerful, muscular male now gathers a trembling, submissive woman in his arms, lifting her to his mouth. At the Exposition Rodin of 1900, one critic described it as "magnificent" but "evidently not intended to be used in the education of young girls."

The title I Am Beautiful comes from Baudelaire's poem, which is inscribed on the base of the sculpture: "I am beautiful as a dream of stone, but not maternal! And my breast, where men are slain, none for his learning! Is made to inspire in the Poet passions
that, burning./Are mute and carnal as matter and as eternal.” The poet, apparently, holds the beauty for a tantalizing moment only, then is denied eternal pleasure.

12. I Am Beautiful
Ca. 1881–82, cast 1968
Bronze, 27¼ x 12 x 12½ in.
Danaïd

In Greek mythology the fifty daughters of King Danaüs, known as the Danaïds, murdered their bridegrooms at their father's command on the first conjugal night and were condemned forever to pour water into a bottomless vessel in Hades. The life figure of the Danaïd was prepared for the Gates, but Rodin never incorporated it into the monument. The figure is shown curled on a rock, exhausted, her hair spilling over like water, the vessel under her arm. She is sensuous, but fated to eternal sorrow and frustration. Rodin highlights the smooth shape of the Danaïd by contrasting it against the rough, jagged surface of the rock. “It is wonderful to walk slowly about,” said the poet Rilke, “to follow the long line that curves about the richly unfolded roundness of the back to the face that loses itself in the stone as though in a great weeping.”

13. Danaïd
Ca. 1884–85
Bronze, 8 3/8 x 15 3/8 x 10 3/4 in.
Despair

Victor Frisch, Rodin’s studio assistant, noted that “Rodin preserved over twelve figures embodying the various human expressions of despair.” This Despair holds her raised left foot with both hands, her body straining and pushing, recalling Rodin’s dance movements. Despair is located in the upper-left door of The Gates of Hell. Like the Fallen Caryatid with Stone, she is partly hidden by drapery. The French expression that “equates putting one’s foot in one’s hand with sexual orgasm may have occurred to Rodin and made this unusual and expressive pose all the more appropriate for The Gates of Hell,” observed Albert Elsen.  

14. Despair
Ca. 1890, cast 1967
Bronze, 12½ x 12 x 9 in.
Fragment of the Right Pilaster

By February 1885, both the right and the left decorative pilasters of The Gates of Hell were complete. This fragment is a reduction of the middle section of the right pilaster, located between the two lovers entitled I Am Beautiful, above, and the two sets of lovers below. The figures on the pilaster are closely related to the nymphs, satyrs, and cupids depicted by Rodin on works done for the Manufacture de Sèvres from the middle of 1879 to 1882.

This fragment illustrates two fundamental ways in which Rodin broke with accepted historical and contemporary methods of modeling sculpture in relief. Students of the Ecole des Beaux-Arts were taught to model from a single viewpoint perspective, but Rodin modeled his figures as if they would be seen from numerous angles—front, back, and side. One of the methods Rodin used to accomplish this was to sculpt a figure or group of figures away from the portal and then experiment with its placement on the Gates. This facilitated variety in the degree to which the figures would be hidden, resulting in both high and low relief. The undulating surface of the Gates encouraged this type of experimentation. In contrast to the ancient friezes of Roman sarcophagi, Rodin's figures are neither static nor uniform, but dynamic.

Beaux-Arts students were also taught to compartmentalize figures in their own defined space, whereas Rodin's figures commingle discreetly. The leg of the middle female figure reaches down to touch the lifted arm of the figure below, for example, while the leg and foot of the top female in profile curve along the shoulder and arm of the frontal figure below. The figures are free, yet they touch, with chubby infants acting as connecting motifs. The figures even break out of the architectural frame itself, as seen where the knee of the middle figure and the foot of the top figure graze the pilaster's edge, and the knee of the figure below intrudes into and beyond the frame of the pilaster.

The date of this right-pilaster frag-
15. Fragment of the Right Pilaster of the Gates of Hell
Ca. 1900, cast 1982
Bronze, 10⅛ x 2½ x 1¼ in.

...ment is uncertain. Historians cite 1900 or thereabouts for reductions of the left-pilaster fragments. Their premise is based on the Rodin retrospective of 1900 that exhibited a stripped-down version of the plaster Gates of Hell. The absence of high-relief sections in the Cantor bronze would also date this reduction to about 1900.44
Embracing Couple

The Embracing Couple appears in the lower-right pilaster of The Gates of Hell. Its subject could have been influenced by designs Rodin created for decorative porcelain vases earlier in his career. Unlike those frolicking nymphs and satyrs, however, this bas-relief depicts lovers in emotional turmoil and was probably inspired by Baudelaire's Les Fleurs du mal. Absent here is the tenderness displayed by the couple in The Kiss; these lovers are frenetic. The tendons in the neck and leg of the male are tense as he grips his partner's face from around her neck, throwing it back and toward him. Her body is smooth, and she appears physically to yield to his clutch. His legs are open, but hers are closed. Their embrace may be artificial and given in despair, since the couple appears to be incompatible.

There are two plaster reliefs of this subject, one in the Cleveland Museum and one in the National Gallery, Washington, D.C., but neither has the left arm of the male intact. That the Cantor bronze has the full arm suggests that it was taken from an earlier plaster, since, as art historian Athena Tacha Spear documents, the Gazette des Beaux-Arts of May 1898 reproduced the lower-right pilaster, showing the Embracing Couple with the male's left arm complete.45

16. Embracing Couple
1898, cast 1979
Bronze, 4 ¼ x 2 ½ x ½ in.
Protection

Protection is a reduction of the composition with two lovers entitled Vain Tenderness, which appears in the upper-left pilaster of The Gates of Hell. The young couple embraces softly, her hand on his arm, her left leg draped across his thigh; his left arm touches her hip, his right hand moves to caress her shoulder. It is a tender embrace, but it is in vain. Conceived in the 1880s, Vain Tenderness was probably one of the earliest works Rodin modeled for the Gates. In 1905 a group called Les Amis de la Médaille planned to cast this relief under the title of Art Embracing Matter. By 1916 Vain Tenderness was reduced in size, and a medal was cast for the French Actors Guild as Protection.47

Rodin’s choice of title may have been ironic, for vain also connotes vanity. Rodin could have been suggesting that vanity, normally considered a vice, may be essential to the protection of actors, or, possibly, that the medal might protect them from vanity.

The following words are impressed on the reverse side of the medallion:

PROTECTION/COMPOSE PAR/AUGUSTE
ROBIN/POUR/LE FRENCH ACTORS
FUND/AU PROFIT DE L’/AIDE AUX
ARTISTES/ET EMPLOYES DE THEATRE/
EN COLLABORATION/AVEC/
L’ASSOCIATION/DES/DIRECTEURS DE
THEATRE/DE PARIS/PARIS. NEW-YORK/
MCMXVI

17. Protection
1916
Bronze, 3¼ x 1½ x ¼ in.
The Creator

At the base of the inward right side of The Gates of Hell is a small crouching figure in low relief called The Creator. The figure rests on his knees, his legs tucked, body leaning forward, his left arm lifted to his brow, as if in thought. The right arm holds a small female figure near his shoulder, close to his head. Albert Elsen, in his early study of the Gates, interpreted the male figure as a "reference to God as maker of the world and its laws." Albert Alhadeff later argued that the figure was a self-portrait of Rodin and represented the artist's signature. It is indeed Rodin's face, with its large profile, high forehead, and long, wavy beard. The secondary female figure can be interpreted as an inspiring muse, but is more likely a personification of the "idea born from the creative effort," arising out of Rodin's "will, as Athena once sprang from the forehead of Zeus." Elsen indicated that this may have been Rodin's last work for the Gates, and his later study agrees that The Creator is a self-portrait of Rodin, albeit as "a godlike artist." Rodin's description of The Thinker as it evolved bears an uncanny resemblance to his self-image in The Creator: "I conceived another thinker, a naked man... his feet drawn under him... he dreams. The fertile thought slowly elaborates itself within his brain. He is no longer dreamer, he is creator."
18. The Creator
Ca. 1900, cast 1983
Bronze, 16 x 14¼ x 2½ in.
The Burghers of Calais was Rodin's first completed major public monument. It commemorates a dramatic historical event narrated in the medieval Chronicles of Jean Froissart: in 1347, during the Hundred Years' War, six leading citizens of the French town of Calais volunteered to hostage themselves to King Edward III of England in return for his lifting the eleven-month siege of the city and thus saving it from annihilation. The English king demanded that the six hostages wear sackcloth and halters, carry the keys to the city, and present themselves at his camp, ready for execution. Their lives were spared at the last moment, owing to the pleading of Queen Philippa, the pregnant wife of Edward III. In The Burghers of Calais Rodin portrays these secular martyrs at a unique psychological moment, as they are about to leave the city to march to the king's camp. Each man expresses his own personal anguish even as the six stand united in a common fate and cause. The Burghers of Calais is a triumph in sculpture, composition, and feeling. It captures, in Rodin's words, "the truth of history."

In September 1884 the municipal council of Calais voted unanimously to commission a statue of Eustache de Saint-Pierre, the first burgher to offer his life for the city. This decision by the council was embroiled in historical and political controversy.

The facts narrated in Froissart's Chronicles had been challenged as early as the eighteenth century by, among others, the skeptic English philosopher David Hume and by the iconoclastic French philosopher Voltaire, who in his Essai sur les moeurs accused Eustache de Saint-Pierre of treason, of complicity with King Edward III. The debate raged but was later quelled by the force of French nationalism. For, in the years following France's defeat in the Franco-Prussian War of 1870, the French government of the Third Republic encouraged the erection of public monuments to inspire patriotism, and the government was particularly interested in sustaining the spirit of the famous burghers: like Calais in 1347, Paris in 1870 had been besieged by a foreign army that had exacted total surrender.

This controversy over historical accuracy was exacerbated by local politics. Calais, an old and traditional city, was about to be merged with the neighboring and more prosperous industrial city of Saint-Pierre. Despite their differences, the two cities moved toward unification to foster economic development; this movement accelerated in 1882 when the English Parliament announced its decision to build a tunnel under the Channel to connect Dover with Calais. The municipal councils of Saint-Pierre and Calais recognized that their consolidation would create a major "maritime, industrial and commercial center: a large city whose prosperity will be even greater after the union." In order to join the two cities, however, the medieval walls of Calais would have to be demolished. The outraged citizens denounced the plan. The mayor of Calais, Omer Dewavrin, then proposed to erect a monument to Eustache de Saint-Pierre and successfully lobbied with Paris to unite the two cities under the name Calais—the name of the city that "immortalized the devotion of Eustache de St. Pierre and his companions."

Auguste Rodin, who had served in the Paris National Guard during the Franco-Prussian War, perceived the proposed monument as a timely opportunity to create a masterpiece. He had learned of the commission from his young artist friend Alphonse Isaac, who also lent Rodin Froissart's Chronicles. Rodin saw the burghers as Froissart described them: not Eustache de Saint-Pierre alone, but six martyrs "with their heads and their feet bare, halters round their necks and the keys of the town and castle in their hands." Eustache de Saint-Pierre would be joined by Jean d'Aire, Jacques and Pierre de Wiessant, Jean de Fiennes, and Andrieu d'Andres. Rodin summoned his friends—Isaac, Jean-Charles Cazin, Alphonse Legros, Jean-Paul Laurens—and campaigned for the commission.
Mayor Dewavrin personally sought candidates from among the great sculptors of the nation. Isaac, a native of Calais who had worked to elect Dewavrin to the municipal council in 1878, advised the mayor to visit Rodin at his studio in Paris. Dewavrin was immediately impressed, but the council took eighteen months to officially invest Rodin with the commission.

Rodin modeled two maquettes for the council. In the first maquette, submitted in the autumn of 1884, Rodin arranged the six figures in a compact cube, linked by a rope and elevated triumphantly on a pedestal. By January 1885 the council decided in favor of Rodin yet insisted that a second maquette, one-third the final size, be submitted prior to the completion of the monument. On January 28, 1885, the contract was signed. Rodin worked on the figures for the second maquette, designing minor nudes, then enlarging and clothing them. The burghers, now modeled separately and without pedestal, remained in level, cubic form, with the figure of Eustache de Saint-Pierre at the center. Rodin significantly altered their movements and gestures and left space between the figures. The burghers were not portrayed as brave, high-headed patriots but rather as men who were mortal, forlorn, resigned, afraid. Only their purpose reconciled them to their fate.6

He submitted the second maquette in August 1885. The council was critical, objecting to Rodin’s failure to glorify the burghers and to his presentation of them in cubic form rather than in the pyramidal arrangement prescribed for heroic monuments. The committee cautioned:

This is not the way we envisaged our glorious citizens going to the camp of the King of England. Their defeated postures offend our religion. . . . The silhouette of the group leaves much to be desired from the point of view of elegance. The artist could give more movement to the ground which supports his figures and could even break the monotony and dryness of the silhouette by varying the heights of the six subjects. . . . We feel it our duty to insist that M. Rodin modify the attitudes of his figures and the silhouette of his group.7

Rodin defended himself, placing his design in the tradition of French Gothic art and denouncing academic convention. In a letter to Dewavrin, he wrote:

I read again the criticisms I had heard before, but which would emasculate my work; the heads to form a pyramid (Louis David method) instead of a cube (straight lines) means submitting to the law of the Academic School. I am dead against that principle, which has prevailed since the beginning of this century but is in direct contradiction with previous great ages in art and produces works that are cold, static and conventional. . . . I am the antagonist in Paris of that affected academic style. . . . You are asking me to follow the people whose conventional art I despise.8

Dewavrin and Jean-Paul Laurens overcame the objections, and Rodin was authorized to proceed with the project. Rodin worked feverishly on the details of the figures. He separately modeled hands, feet, trunks, and heads. He spent most of his time on hands. Several hundred studies of the group, isolated figures and parts of figures, were produced; over 250 of them were later cast in bronze.9 Rodin combined and recomposed the parts until his burghers came to life. The individual figures of the burghers were finished by the end of 1888.

The plaster model of The Burghers of Calais was exhibited to the public for the first time in 1889 at the Monet/Rodin exhibition held at the fashionable Galerie Georges Petit in Paris. It received critical acclaim, with Octave Mirbeau in the Echo de Paris proclaiming it “more than the work of a sculptor of genius.10 But Dewavrin was not reelected mayor of Calais, and the new mayor showed no inclination to support the project. Not until 1893, with Dewavrin in office again, did public support for the monument enable the council at Calais to complete the national subscription for its financing.11

The 5,000-pound monument, now cast in bronze, was finally unveiled in Calais
on June 3, 1895. The thirty thousand people at the celebration were treated to a lecture, a torchlit parade, a gymnastics competition, a music festival, a ball, and a fireworks display. “It was probably the greatest official triumph of his career,” writes Mary Jo McNamara, scholar of Rodin’s *Burghers of Calais.*¹⁷

Despite his public triumph, privately Rodin was displeased, for the monument was erected neither in the setting nor in the manner he desired. Rodin no longer wanted it on a tall pedestal, as originally conceived, but on a low base in front of the town hall, “like a living chaplet of suffering and of sacrifice. . . . My figures,” Rodin wrote, “would so have appeared to direct their steps from the municipal building toward the camp of Edward III, and the people of Calais of to-day, almost elbowing them, would have felt more deeply the tradition of solidarity which unites them to these heroes.”¹⁸ Instead, *The Burghers of Calais* was installed on a high pedestal, enclosed by an iron fence, in front of the Richelieu Garden.

In 1925, eight years after Rodin’s death, *The Burghers of Calais* was relocated to the front of the Calais Town Hall, on a pedestal close to the ground, where one could see six anguished men united in a moment of truth to a fate each had freely chosen. They are heroic and they are human: “Their soul pushes them onward, but their feet refuse to walk,” Rodin explained.¹⁹
The First Maquette

In 1884 the municipal council of Calais decided to commission a monument in honor of the city’s medieval hero Eustache de Saint-Pierre. The patriotic appeal of the council declared: “Since 1347, the name of Calais has been intimately connected with that of Eustache de St. Pierre, and it is not possible for anyone, from the scholar to the child who stammers the history of France, to pronounce the one without evoking the memory of the other.” Omer Dewavrin, the mayor of Calais, took charge of finding “an eminent sculptor” for the commission.15

The competition was keen, with Rodin matched against two academic sculptors of superior reputation, Emile Chatrousse and Laurent-Honoré Marqueste. Rodin had influential friends in Calais, but it was the originality of his conception for The First Maquette that won the commission for him.

Rodin had studied Jean Froissart’s medieval chronicle of the historic drama as well as paintings and sculptures of its episodes. Painters often portrayed all six burghers, usually kneeling before the English king; sculptors had limited themselves to the heroic Eustache de Saint-Pierre, usually representing him at the moment he volunteered to be the first hostage.16 Rodin made three crucial decisions in The First Maquette: first, to portray all six burghers; second, to organize them on a single plane in cube formation; third, to portray the complex psychological moment of their departure from the city gate.

“The idea,” Rodin wrote to Mayor Dewavrin, in what might be described as a hard sell,

seems to me to be completely original from the point of view of architecture and sculpture. Moreover, the subject itself is original and imposes an heroic conception; this group of six self-sacrificing figures has a communicative expression and emotion. The pedestal is triumphal and has the rudiments of a triumphal arch, in order to carry, not a quadriga, but human patriotism, abnegation, and virtue . . . . Rarely have I succeeded in giving a sketch such élan and sobriety. Eustache de Saint-Pierre, alone, his arm slightly raised, leads his
19. The First Maquette for the Burghers of Calais
1884
Bronze, 24 x 14\(\frac{3}{8}\) x 13\(\frac{3}{8}\) in.
The maquette derives from French Gothic calvaries; the pedestal recalls the victory monuments of ancient Rome and Napoleonic France. Rodin's first idea is a patriotic statement of courage in defeat. It is also a contradiction of the prescribed canons of nineteenth-century academic sculpture that dictated a single heroic figure atop a pyramidal form.

The composition of The First Maquette is that of four figures densely packed at the center and one figure at each of the sides. In the front, with heads raised, stand Jean d'Aire and Eustache de Saint-Pierre, who, with arm held high, takes the first step, urging the others to follow. Behind them are Jacques de Wiessant and Jean de Fiennes, facing each other. To these figures are joined, at front left, Pierre de Wiessant, and, at right, Andrieu d'Andres. They stand in contrast to the central four, with Pierre de Wiessant pointing to himself and Andrieu d'Andres holding his head in his hands. The figures are connected physically, demonstrating collective solidarity, and symbolically by a heavy rope, announcing their common fate. Rodin dramatized the heroic nature of the six burghers by raising them on a high pedestal, which is divided into four horizontal levels and embellished with three triumphal arches in low relief.

relatives and his friends by the dignity of his determined movement.\textsuperscript{17}
The Second Maquette

The First Maquette won the commission for Rodin. The contract between the mayor of Calais and Rodin, executed on January 28, 1885, called for Rodin to deliver a second maquette "one-third the final size of the monument, thoroughly worked out, finished, and completed, needing only to be enlarged and perfected. He will, however, ultimately incorporate in the final group any changes an examination of said model by the Committee would suggest." On February 12, Rodin wrote that the study would be finished early in 1886. He actually submitted it to the council in early August 1885.

For The Second Maquette Rodin worked on shaping the individual figures. He chose as models men of character rather than physical perfection: Auguste Beuret, Rodin's son; a painter of Calaisian background, Jean-Charles Cazin; and the Abruzzi peasant Pignatelli. It was Rodin's method to sculpt nude figures before clothing them. In a letter to Dewavrin on July 14, 1885, Rodin wrote that the maquette was near completion. "It is made to be executed on a large scale, so there are negligences of details that should not be the cause of astonishment. . . . The nudes, that is to say the part underneath, are complete, and I am going to have them executed definitively so as not to waste any time. You see it is the part one does not see, which is nonetheless the most important, that is finished." Rodin did not tell the full story.

Rodin had remained faithful to the three crucial decisions he made for The First Maquette. But the bearing of the individual burghers in The Second Maquette differs sharply: gone are the heads held high, the strong frontal mass, the sense of solidarity, the forward gait. The individual burghers have taken on more human dimensions; they are resigned, afraid of their impending deaths. There is space between them, they do not touch, the rope no longer joins them. Sculpted separately, they stand alone—physically and psychologically. Rodin plumbed their souls, and the figures in
The Second Maquette are close to their appearance in the final version of The Burghers of Calais. Unlike the figures of The First Maquette, the enlarged forms for The Second Maquette were produced on individual bases not joined together on a single pedestal. This procedure enabled Rodin to experiment with various combinations of the figures. It is therefore difficult to determine their final configuration at the time Rodin assembled them in the Calais Town Hall. Cécile Goldscheider, former curator of the Musée Rodin, has reconstructed the maquette by matching lines dug into the bases. That reconstruction, wrote Mary Jo McNamara, is "quite convincing visually, but it does not match the description" of The Second Maquette reviewed in Le Patriote just one day after its exhibition. Albert Elsen discovered photographs of an arrangement of the Second Maquette figures in the archives of the Musée Rodin, but these photographs correspond neither to Goldscheider's reconstruction nor the Le Patriote review.

“One cannot be absolutely certain that what one sees in the photograph was the arrangement Rodin showed the Calais commissioners," wrote Elsen of his own discovery, "as the photographs do not show if the bases of the figures were connected."25

There is no question, however, on the reception given The Second Maquette by the Calais commissioners. They were highly disapproving. Both the commission and Le Patriote criticized The Second Maquette for utterly failing to follow the rules established by the Ecole des Beaux-Arts for heroic monumental sculpture. They wanted a pyramid, not a cube; glory, not dejection. Rodin was "staggered by this volley of criticism," wrote his friend and biographer Judith Cladel.26 He defended himself with passion, describing academic conventions as sterile—the "cube gives expression; the cone is the hobbyhorse of pupils competing for the Prix de Rome."27 In a letter to the newspapers, he argued that his sculpture was true to its history—an "expression of the era of Froissart" that
embodies the artistic, medieval purity of the “Gallic soul.” Nor, Rodin insisted, were the burghers expressing humiliation; rather they were genuine heroes, for, as he told the critic and journalist Paul Gsell, “The more frightful my representation of them, the more people should praise me for knowing how to show the truth of history.”

Rodin had been uncertain and anxious during the creation of The Second Maquette, but “now that he had finally made up his mind about his work, he was immovable,” Cladel wrote. If the committee had forced him to make drastic changes, as the contract allowed, then, speculated Cladel, “he would have withdrawn it, as he was to do later with the Balzac, despite the serious question for him of expenses.”

Rodin dared to break with entrenched academic dogma and to reveal heroes as frail human beings. His fight for the monument was “crucial in the fight against ‘the outmoded sculptural style’ and false ‘theatrical art’ of the Ecole des Beaux-Arts.” Rodin prevailed.
20. Eustache de Saint-Pierre, Second Maquette
1885, cast 1971
Bronze, 27⅜ x 12 x 11⅜ in.
Eustache de Saint-Pierre

Eustache de Saint-Pierre, described by Froissart as the richest citizen in the town and the first to rise to save it, was the hero of Calais and a legend in French national history. He is the crucial figure in the evolution of The Burgers of Calais, and much of the controversy in the stormy history of the monument involves the portrayal of this figure.

In The First Maquette Rodin endows Eustache de Saint-Pierre with heroic prominence. Head erect, his right arm raised and his left hand holding the key to the city, Eustache takes the first forward step. He is the courageous leader of men to a tragic fate.

As he prepared The Second Maquette, Rodin worked first on the individual figures, sculpting nude versions of them. For the model of Eustache, Rodin selected his friend and fellow artist Jean-Charles Cazin, a native of Calais who claimed to be a descendant of Eustache de Saint-Pierre. In the Nude Study of Eustache, the head looks down to the right, dejected; his arms are at his sides. “Rodin,” wrote McNamara, “had already decided to depict Eustache dragging himself forward with the weight of his body pulling down against his will.” The “dignity of his determined movement,” as Rodin described Eustache’s departure in The First Maquette to Mayor Dewavrin, has been radically changed.

It was the Nude Study of Eustache, modeled after Cazin, then clothed in heavy, voluminous Gothic drapery, that served as the figure of Eustache in The Second Maquette. His forlorn facial expression is emphasized by the heavy rope around his neck; his arms are at his sides—and the key to the city is gone. “Public opinion,” wrote Dewavrin to Rodin, “is vigorously pronounced against the attitude of Eustache.” Indeed, the council at Calais, in their critical letter to Rodin, found the defeated figure of Eustache de Saint-Pierre to be the most offensive aspect of The Second Maquette.

Rodin refused to bow to the council, but his own judgment led him to modify the figure and head of Eustache
21. Eustache de Saint-Pierre, Nude Study
1885–86, cast 1965
Bronze, 38½ x 13 x 17 in.
22. *Eustache de Saint-Pierre*,
  Final Head
  By 1889, cast 1983
  Bronze, 16 x 9½ x 11½ in.

during 1885–86. These modifications were not along the lines recommended by his critics, however. Rodin abandoned the nude study based on Cazin; he was too youthful to portray the aging, emaciated Eustache. Rodin began a second *Nude Study* after Pignatelli, the Italian peasant who had earlier modeled for his *Saint John the Baptist Preaching* and *Ugolino*. This is the version exhibited here.

In describing Eustache, Jacques de Caso noted a number of anatomical inaccuracies: the legs and arms are of different lengths; the abdominal muscles do not correspond to the movement of the figure; and the neck is not properly positioned, favoring the left. These exaggerations seem contrary to Rodin’s philosophy of realism in art, of his practice of following nature. In a conversation with Paul Gsell on the altering of the nude form, Rodin replied, “I accentuate the lines which best express the spiritual state that I interpret . . . a mediocre man copying nature will never produce a work of art; because he really looks without
seeing, and though he may have noted each detail minutely, the result will be flat and without character."

Rodin also modeled Eustache’s head separately, probably using a combination of the model Pignatelli and a portrait of Eustache de Saint-Pierre done after a bust by the sculptor Eugène Courtot. The Final Head is the one used in the final monument of The Burghers of Calais. Rodin succeeded in showing age and character by building up flesh rather than drawing lines into the surface of the face. The bags under the recessed eyes, the prominent cheekbones, the smooth forehead, and the contrasting sharp nose and pinched nostrils—all produce contours for the play of shadow. It is the head of an old man, tired and desolate.

The Nude Study, modeled after Pignatelli, became the basis for the Grand Model of Eustache de Saint-Pierre in the final Burghers. In the Grand Model, Eustache de Saint-Pierre, still dressed in heavy Gothic drapery and the rope still around his neck, is haggard; rough skin and weak muscles cling to frail bones. Arms, slightly bent, hang from stooped shoulders. The body, consistent with the mental state revealed in the head, barely drags itself forward.

Throughout the preliminary studies of Eustache de Saint-Pierre, both nude and clothed, one can follow the evolution of the figure as Rodin experimented with physical problems of body structure and distribution of weight. The constant throughout is Eustache’s anguished mental attitude.

In the final Burghers Eustache de Saint-Pierre remains the central figure. Led by Eustache, the Burghers take "a short step to the contemporary anti-hero.” Rodin not only challenged nineteenth-century dogmas, he transformed the hero of a medieval ordeal into a modern man.

23. Eustache de Saint-Pierre, Grand Model
1885–86, cast 1983
Bronze, 85 x 30 x 48 in.
Jean d'Aire

The second burgher to volunteer was Jean d'Aire. According to Froissart, he was a “greatly respected and wealthy citizen, who had two beautiful daughters.” In The Second Maquette, Jean d'Aire holds the keys to the city on a cushion. His feet are planted firmly on the ground, and his arms are straight, just as his torso is rigid. He is the most determined of the burghers, but his bald head is bowed. In 1886 Rodin continued to work on the head, as seen in the Bust of Jean d'Aire. Here, the gesture differs from that in The Second Maquette: the head is held high and looks straight ahead, the attitude defiant. This head is of an older man, his eyes betraying sadness, yet the firm, turned-down mouth and forceful jaw expose an angry strength. When placed on the figure in the final Burghers, the head appears even more powerful. The exaggerated and swollen feet, hands, and oversize key seem barely to contain his energy and in so doing complement the resolute head.

The plaster bust is the same size as the upper portion of the Jean d'Aire figure in the Burghers monument. By the end of 1888 Rodin had finished the final versions of the individual figures for the monument and had had them cast in plaster. They were then exhibited at the Galerie Georges Petit in the Monet/Rodin exhibition of 1889. Plasters like these were made in a number of sections. In the Bust of Jean d'Aire, for example, the line caused by the joining of the head and neck sections is visible.

Rodin always made several plasters of each of his works and often gave them to colleagues and friends. The Belgian sculptor Victor Rousseau (1865–1954) received the Bust of Jean d'Aire exhibited here.
24. Jean d’Aire, Second Maquette
1885, cast 1970
Bronze, 27½ x 9½ x 9¼ in.
25. *Bust of Jean d’Aire*
By 1887
Plaster, 19 x 23½ x 16 in.
Pierre de Wiessant

Following his older brother Jacques, Pierre de Wiessant was the fourth burgher to volunteer and one of the two youngest. The Nude Study of Pierre de Wiessant became the basis for his figure in The Second Maquette of 1885. The Pierre de Wiessant, Head, Type A was done later, in 1885 or at the latest 1886, along with several other heads, but its characteristics are essentially those that appear in the final figure for the completed monument. Through Pierre de Wiessant, Rodin explores youth confronting death.

In The First Maquette Rodin portrays Pierre de Wiessant as a young adolescent, his soft face topped with a full head of curly hair. His expression is quizzical, and he raises his right arm, pointing to himself as if to question his fate. This attitude is altered in the Nude Study, which presents an older adolescent, looking down over his right shoulder; his lifted arm no longer points but shields.

For The Second Maquette Rodin clothes Pierre de Wiessant in simple drapery, the rope coiled around his neck. Pierre de Wiessant, in the Nude Study and in The Second Maquette, shows his agony and acknowledges his fate. In the Pierre de Wiessant, Head, Type A the curly haired adolescent is replaced by an older youth with short hair, his brow knitted, eyes half-shut, and mouth parted. The expression is tormented, as in the final Burghers. Rodin’s great interest in depicting the head of Pierre de Wiessant is evidenced by the number of heads existing in different sizes, media, and finishes. Rodin’s son, Auguste Beuret, and the actor Coquelin Cadet have both been cited as possible models for Pierre de Wiessant.

Rodin did not fully resolve the character of Pierre de Wiessant until the final figure. This he achieved by physical changes: the neck, unencumbered by rope as in The Second Maquette, is elongated, with tendons strained; muscles are more developed and defined; expressive hands and arms show protruding veins. The simple drapery of the earlier study is gone,
26. Pierre de Wiessant, Nude Study
1885, cast before 1952
Bronze, 26⅝ x 14¼ x 11¼ in.
27. Pierre de Wiessant, Second Maquette
1885, cast 1970
Bronze, 28 x 12\(\frac{3}{8}\) x 12\(\frac{3}{8}\) in.
replaced by broad planes of cloth with deep recesses to capture shadow. The drama and movement thus created underscore Pierre's anguish. Rilke, reflecting on the youthful hero, no longer full of terror but now resigned, wrote, "This figure, if placed by itself in a dim, old garden, would be a monument for all who have died young."
Jean de FienNES

The name Jean de FienNES does not appear in Froissart's Chronicles, where only four of the burghers are mentioned specifically by name. The names of the two unidentified burghers, Jean de FienNES and Andreu d'Andres, were discovered in the Vatican Library in 1863. Rodin assumed that Jean de FienNES was the youngest of the burghers, and in The Second Maquette he portrays Jean as such, his boyish torso exposed, his arms extended palms up. Tattered drapery falls down his arms and around his feet, concealing his movement. With lips parted and brow furrowed, he, like his fellow adolescent Pierre de Wiessant in The First Maquette, questions his fate. Unlike the figure in The Second Maquette, the 1885 Jean de FienNES, Study has an irregularly shaped base and is covered with heavy drapery from shoulder to foot. The Grand Model for the final monument does not change the stance or the arms of the earlier studies. His head, however, is now covered with long, wavy hair, and the drapery is lighter, its folds vertical, revealing feet about to step forward.

Of all the burghers, Jean de FienNES seems to have undergone the greatest number of physical changes, as shown in the preliminary studies. Perhaps that is why he is the subject of some controversy among some historians. What remains consistent throughout the dramatic evolution of this figure is Jean's doubting disposition as he looks back toward the town he may never see again. If Pierre de Wiessant has acknowledged his fate, the younger Jean de FienNES has not quite come to terms with it.
29. **Jean de Fierres, Second Maquette**  
1885, cast 1969  
Bronze, 28 x 18¼ x 17¾ in.
30. Jean de Fiennes, Study
1885, cast 1979
Bronze, 28⅜ x 10¼ x 14⅜ in.
Final Monument for the Burghers of Calais

The Burghers of Calais was installed at Calais on June 3, 1895, eleven years after Rodin had begun working on the project. It was erected in front of the Richelieu Garden, atop a pedestal just over five feet high and enclosed by a Gothic-style iron fence. The monument that had generated so much passionate controversy became the focal point of the city.42

The composition of the Burghers reconciles the individuality of each figure with the unity of the whole. “Each figure,” observed Mary Jo McNamara, “is allotted the amount of space he physically and psychologically needs and no more.” Thus Rodin has made each burgher “live again the last concentrated moment of life,” according to Rilke. Yet, he continues, “The entire impression of the group is precise and clear.”43 As one studies the gestures of each figure, one must move around the burghers counterclockwise to best see their movement and appreciate the relationship of each to the other and to the whole.44

Rodin, in conversation with Paul Gsell, described his intention for The Burghers of Calais:

I did not hesitate to make them as thin and as weak as possible. If, in order to respect some academic convention or other, I had tried to show bodies that were still agreeable to look at, I would have betrayed my subject. These people, having passed through the privations of a long siege, no longer have anything but skin on their bones. The more frightful my representation of them, the more people should praise me for knowing how to show the truth of history.

I have not shown them grouped in a triumphant apotheosis; such a glorification of their heroism would not have corresponded to anything real. On the contrary, I have, as it were, threaded them one behind the other, because in the indecision of the last inner combat which ensues, between their devotion to their cause and their fear of dying, each of them is isolated in front of his conscience. They are still questioning themselves to know if they have the strength to accomplish the supreme sacrifice—their soul pushes them onward, but their feet refuse to walk. They drag themselves along painfully, as much because of the feebleness to which famine has reduced them as because of the terrifying nature of the sacrifice....
And certainly, if I have succeeded in showing how much the body, weakened by the most cruel sufferings, still holds on to life, how much power it still has over the spirit that is consumed with bravery, I can congratulate myself on not having remained beneath the noble theme I dealt with. I did not want a pedestal for these figures. I wanted them to be placed on, even affixed to, the paving stones of the square in front of the Hôtel de Ville in Calais so that it looked as if they were leaving in order to go to the enemy camp. In this way they would have been, as it were, mixed with the daily life of the town: passersby would have elbowed them, and they would have felt through this contact the emotion of the living past in their midst; they would have said to themselves: “Our ancestors are our neighbors and our models, and the day when it will be granted to us to imitate their example, we would show that we have not degenerated from it.” . . . But the commissioning body understood nothing of the desires I expressed. They thought I was mad. . . . Statues without a pedestal! Where had that ever been seen before? There must be a pedestal; there was no way of getting around it."

But there was a way of getting around it. “Comprehension of the sculptor’s intention,” wrote Judith Cladel, “ripened slowly in the minds of the Calaisians and they grew proud of their monument.” In 1925 the Burgbers were moved to the front of the town hall, on a low pedestal, the excluding grille eliminated.

32. *The Burgers of Calais*  
1884–95, cast 1985  
Bronze, 82½ x 94 x 75 in.
Study for Claude Lorrain

During his work on The Burghers of Calais, Rodin sought the commission for a monument to the seventeenth-century French "painter of light," Claude Gellée, generally referred to as Claude Lorrain in honor of his native province. The idea for the monument came to Rodin rapidly, and he produced a rough model in the studio of Jules Desbois within forty-five minutes. Rodin "represented [Claude Lorrain] walking, palette in hand, toward the landscape he is about to paint. With a spirited gesture he faces the rising sun, and as an accompanying comment upon his vigorous attitude the horses of Apollo are seen springing up from the stone base, urged on by the young god who, like them, is intoxicated with his task of bringing light to the earth."47

The competition for the commission was held in April 1889 at the Galerie Durand-Ruel; Rodin just barely secured the commission from the jury. It was "the fine idea of putting, on the pedestal itself, the motif to which Claude consecrated his life, light, that won him the majority of the votes," declared M. Français, president of the committee.48

After the acceptance of his maquette, Rodin made numerous studies, nude and clothed, from a model who resembled the artist. This Study for Claude Lorrain depicts the youthful artist dressed in the type of costume he might have worn when painting in the countryside. His stance is casual—one leg bent at the knee with the foot on a hillock, the right leg firmly balancing the body, the face gazing upward into the sun. It is a fine portrayal that, as with the burghers, does not represent the subject in an idealized, heroic pose.49

Rodin finished the pedestal but was never completely satisfied with the figure of Claude Lorrain. Rodin's execution of the monument may have been affected by the grueling Burghers of Calais affair; although the figure of Claude Lorrain resists convention, it does not express the psychological meaning of the subject, and the pyramidal pedestal as well as the lush
33. Study for Claude Lorrain
1889, cast 1981
Bronze, 20 x 7 1/2 x 8 1/2 in.

allegory of Apollo and his horses emerging from clouds at the base of the column, conform to accepted conventions. Rodin, at least for a time, may have had his fill of controversy. The Monument to Claude Lorrain was unveiled in the Pépinière Garden in Nancy, the provincial capital of Lorraine, on June 6, 1892.
Balzac

Honorable de Balzac, extraordinary French author of the series of novels *The Human Comedy*, was a figure of vast influence, and each of the major nineteenth-century French literary movements—notably Naturalism and Symbolism—claimed him as their own. For Rodin, the creation of a monument to this astounding personality and literary genius inspired the full realization of his own aesthetic genius. That achievement, a work that is in itself a virtual manifesto for modern sculpture, unleashed the most furious artistic controversy in fin-de-siecle French life. "This work that has been laughed at," said Rodin, "is the logical outcome of my whole life, the very pivot of my aesthetics."

A monument to Balzac had been planned since his death in 1850 at the age of fifty-one. It took until 1891 for the Société des Gens de Lettres de France to offer the commission to Rodin. The offer was agreed to at once, and Rodin wrote Emile Zola, the president of the society, that he would deliver the statue in January 1893, only eighteen months later. Rodin placed himself in an impossible situation.

Rodin immersed himself in the study of Balzac, trying to capture the physical appearance as well as the soul of the man. Balzac was a man of passions—an insatiable lover, a careless debtor, an unabashed social climber, a reckless speculator, an avid art collector—and a compulsive literary worker who would exhaust himself from writing for sixteen hours a day for several weeks at a stretch. His great literary study of French society, *The Human Comedy*, was composed of some ninety books, peopled by over two thousand characters.

Rodin studied Balzac's life, read his books and stories, reflected on photographs, sculptures, paintings, drawings, and lithographs of him. No detail escaped Rodin. He found Balzac's old tailor and had him make a pair of trousers and a waistcoat in Balzac's measurements. He visited Balzac's native Tours, trying to capture a sense of the environment and sculpting the features of its inhabitants.

Rodin had turned to the medieval chronicler Froissart to guide him in his execution of the *Burghers*; for direction he now turned to the poet Lamartine and was moved by his description of Balzac's head as "the embodiment of an elemental force." That phrase, wrote Judith Cladel, "was the watchword he obeyed, for it corresponded with his own realistic lyricism, it confirmed his own ideas of spiritual greatness and nobility." In Balzac Rodin found a sympathetic hero and discovered an elemental force comparable to the one that fired his own artistic genius.

Studies for *Balzac*, both clothed and nude, appear to have been sculpted simultaneously; Rodin continued to experiment. Visitors to his studio described several sculptures of Balzac, including the *Balzac in Dominic Robe*, the *Balzac in Frock Coat*, and the *Naked Balzac with Folded Arms*.

By 1893 several members of the society expressed concern that Rodin would not finish the statue in time for the Balzac Centenary in 1899. In July a committee of the society visited Rodin's studio. They were shocked, according to Charles Chicholle, who chronicled the entire *Balzac affair* in *Le Figaro*, by "a strange Balzac in the attitude of a wrestler." Zola calmed the critics and persuaded them to give Rodin another year, postponing delivery of the completed bronze cast until the spring of 1895. In May 1894, however, the committee again visited the studio and declared the statue "artistically inadequate" and Rodin in poor health. To the astonishment of Jean Aicard, the new president of the society, a motion for Rodin to deliver the statue within twenty-four hours or cancel his agreement was approved. Aicard, together with Rodin's friends, persuaded the committee to give the artist another year, and Rodin agreed to return ten thousand francs of his commission as insurance for the completion of the statue. The opposition persisted; legal actions against Rodin were pressed. Jean Aicard, followed by other members of the society, resigned in protest. Aurelian Scholl then became presi-
dent of the society and worked out still another compromise.

The scandal had become public. From 1894 until the final explosion in 1898, the Balzac affair was the passionate artistic issue among Parisian intellectuals. Other sculptors—notably J.-J.-M. Marquet de Vasselot, who was closely tied to dissident members of the society—conspired to take the commission away from Rodin. The attacks against Rodin grew vicious.

In 1898 Rodin finished the Monument to Balzac and exhibited it in May, along with The Kiss, which had been completed fifteen years earlier, at the Salon of the Société Nationale des Beaux-Arts in Paris. The Monument to Balzac, recorded Cladel, which stood like a “towering phantom, sheathed in its robe of white plaster, raised its magnificent grief-stricken head almost seventeen feet above the crowds—its mouth twisted with irony and pity.”

It attracted a huge crowd, producing “in some a wordless astonishment, and in others indignation, or laughter, and for the most part a complete misunderstanding.” The next morning the press was strident with mean criticism. Opponents labeled the statue a seal, a sack of potatoes, a pile of flour, a lump of plaster, a pig. Rodin was described variously as impudent, boring, a lunatic, and a German agent. People gathered at the salon to make fun of the statue, cartoons lampooned it, and an art dealer gave a masked ball and greeted his guests dressed in a seal costume, disguised as the Balzac. Rodin’s defenders were equally vociferous in their attacks on his critics and in proclamations of Rodin’s genius and vision.

The scandal cut so deeply and was so extensive, both in 1894 and again in 1898, as to seem symptomatic of deeper problems in French life. Judith Cladel has documented that Rodin’s difficulties with his patrons were immediate and continuous, but academic opposition or financial concerns alone do not adequately explain the terrible anger of the confrontation. The more profound causes, as Jacques de Caso has argued, were created by a deep breach between the Naturalists and the Symbolists, especially an extreme Symbolist cult group called the Rosicrucians. As early as 1859 the poet Baudelaire predicted that the Balzac legend would be bifurcated by the Naturalists, who admired his sociology and materialism, and by the Symbolists, who admired his vision and occult doctrines. This literary struggle was caught up in the larger political issues of the day, particularly the famous Dreyfus Affair. Dreyfus, an officer in the French Army during the Franco-Prussian War, was being made a scapegoat to explain and assuage the French defeat; Dreyfus was Jewish, and the struggle involved an ugly anti-Semitism. Zola, a Naturalist and leading defender of Dreyfus, was associated with Rodin and despised by the Rosicrucians. Thus, the Balzac was appraised politically: like the Dreyfus Affair, it was a contest between the Right and the Left, and where one stood on the Balzac usually corresponded to where one stood on Dreyfus.

Rodin, an admirer of both Naturalists and Symbolists, did not want to choose artistic sides; his Balzac fused the aesthetics of both schools. “It is curious to think that this sculpture should have pleased the Naturalists and displeased the Symbolists instead of the reverse,” wrote Albert Elsen with some irony. In his embracing fidelity to Balzac, his culminating aesthetic, his break with tradition on form and finished surfaces, his revelation of the psychological sources of creativity—in all of these Rodin was neither on the Right nor on the Left, but in the vanguard, where he sought to be despite his moments of doubt and hesitation.

The Monument to Balzac was rejected by the society. Rodin withdrew from the controversy with dignity, refusing to sell it, wanting to keep it for himself. He took the statue to Meudon and placed it in an open meadow, where Edward Steichen photographed it in moonlight. In 1939, twenty-two years after Rodin’s death, the first bronze cast of the Balzac was erected in Paris.
Balzac in Frock Coat

Rodin had been informed that Balzac's old tailor, Bion, was still alive, residing outside Paris. Rodin ordered a pair of trousers and waistcoat in Balzac's measurements and produced studies of the writer in contemporary street clothes. Balzac in Frock Coat shows the writer casually dressed, arms folded, legs and ankles crossed, leaning against a support with a manuscript at its base. The head and body are those of a trimmer, albeit still portly, younger Balzac, and on the whole the figure appears self-satisfied. This is not the mature, powerful writer of the legendary Human Comedy—and even the idea of a nonchalant Balzac in rumpled clothing runs against the formalism conventionally prescribed for monumental sculpture. Rodin pursued this conception of Balzac no further.
34. Balzac in Frock Coat
1891–92, cast 1980
Bronze, 23\(\frac{3}{4}\) x 9\(\frac{3}{4}\) x 11\(\frac{1}{4}\) in.
Balzac in Dominican Robe

In his copy of Lamartine's *Balzac et ses œuvres*, published in 1866, Rodin had underlined a passage entitled *Balzac en négligé*: “At home one always found him dressed in an ample robe of white cashmere lined with white silk, cut like a monk's habit and tied with a belt of knotted silk.”* In Rodin apparently created several studies of Balzac in a Dominican robe, for not only was it the writer's working garb but also a solution to the difficult problem of portraying Balzac's unattractive physique, particularly his short, fat legs and massive potbelly. The robe concealed, timelessly, Balzac's “habit of working in a sort of dressing-gown . . .,” wrote Rodin, “gave me the opportunity of putting him into a loose flowing robe that supplied me with good lines and profiles without dating the statue.”* One of the earliest surviving robed studies, *Balzac in Dominican Robe* shows the writer with his hands on his waist and a pile of manuscripts by his right foot. Although Rodin would continue to experiment on how to portray and dress
35. Balzac in Dominican Robe
1891–92, cast 1971
Bronze, 41¼ x 20½ x 20 in.
Naked Balzac with Folded Arms

Rodin sculpted the assertive Naked Balzac with Folded Arms in late 1892 or 1893. This remarkable work shows an older Balzac, the writer at the height of his creative potency. Strong legs, much longer proportionally than those of the great author, are firmly set wide apart, supporting a hard, protruding stomach that builds to a powerful chest and square shoulders. A short, thick neck easily carries a large, square, confident head—"I shall carry a world in my head." Balzac once proclaimed. Muscular, defiant arms are folded across the chest. The torso and legs appear to be buttressed by an enormous tree-trunk shape that merges into the genitals, which suggests "the earth-fed creativity of Balzac's genius." Judith Cladel described this figure as one "in the attitude of a warrior marching into battle," and certainly it is the work described by Chincholle in 1894 as the Balzac portrayed "in the attitude of a wrestler." This depiction, noted Albert Elsen, is a distortion, since not only was Balzac not an athlete, he was incontestably grotesque and flabby: "Balzac's most strenuous physical activities were in bed." But Elsen's real point is that the Naked Balzac with Folded Arms was an inspired symbol and should be seen as "a convincing metaphor of Balzac's spirit as a creative artist" rather than a literal representation of the man's physique. Here Rodin brilliantly fused Naturalism and Symbolism—which, because of the complicated politics of intellectual envy and adulation, his own generation could not grasp—and allows us to apprehend that he was indeed a visionary.
36. Naked Balzac with Folded Arms
1892 or 1893, cast 1972
Bronze, 50¼ x 27¼ x 22¼ in.
Naked Balzac

The *Naked Balzac*, executed in 1896, is a conventional study, but it brings Rodin to an explicit representation and a symbolic expression of the connection between Balzac's consuming sexuality and his relentless creative genius. This headless athlete is posed in standard studio form, the left foot forward by half a step and the broad shoulders leaning back, curving the spine. Here convention ceases and impropriety begins: the crossed wrists conceal the groin, but, as Albert Elsen observed, the left hand "firmly grasps the figure's virility in an autoerotic gesture." This clasping of the penis should not be interpreted as a statement of sheer virility alone, for it is also a "fitting tribute to Balzac's potency as a creator from the sculptor most obsessed with the life-force!" Essentially, it was the *Naked Balzac*, robed and headed, that became the *Monument to Balzac*. Judith Cladel, angered by the mean-spirited, sarcastic reception given the final *Balzac* at the salon, felt that she might reply "with a single gesture, that of breaking the frock in which Balzac was clad and thereby revealing the ruthlessly exact modeling of the pulsating figure beneath."
37. Naked Balzac
1896, cast 1979
Bronze, 36¼ x 14⅝ x 14¼ in.
Balzac Heads

There are over fifty studies for the Balzac monument, 10 most of them heads. This disparity is a result of Rodin's belief that it was the head that should be emphasized in a statue of a man of intellect. Rodin told Camille Mauclair of the significance he assigned to the head of a figure:

Rodin explains that in his opinion the statue of a man celebrated for his heart and mind should not be a representation of his body, but a sort of construction whose lines should express the soul of the man. . . . It is illogical, he says, to give the feet and the dress the same value as the head, which is alone important, unless you want to make a photograph and not a work of homage. 11

Rodin's view was reinforced by Lamartine's metaphor of Balzac's head being like an "elemental force."

The Balzac Head R12 and the Monumental Head of Balzac, although executed about five years apart, the former in 1892 or 1893 and the latter in 1897, are remarkably similar. In each the features are distorted to capture the inner meaning, power, passions, and intellect of the man.

Jacques de Caso and Patricia B. Sanders concisely described head studies such as these:

The deeply gouged eyes beneath jutting eyebrows suggest a superhuman depth of vision; they allude to Balzac's prophetic powers and superior insights. The huge, sneering lips reflect Balzac's cynicism and sensuous nature. The bloated face . . . is the inevitable result of a life lived to excess and of disregard for the body of a mind on fire. This tumultuous life, the constant struggle with artistic creation, is also reflected in the wild shock of hair. 12

The agitated eyebrows and moustache diminish and the goatee disappears, but in the main the features of the Balzac Head R are exaggerated and extended into the Monumental Head. With that head upturned and tilted back, Rodin would capture its "elemental force" in the final monument.
38. Balzac Head R
1892–93, cast 1980
Bronze, 12 x 12¼ x 9¾ in.
39. *Monumental Head of Balzac*
1897, cast 1978
Bronze, 20¼ x 20¾ x 16½ in.
Final Study for the Monument to Balzac

Rodin took seven years to develop the Monument to Balzac, an enlargement of the Final Study. Rodin had decided upon the figure but continued to work on the head and clothing. Although the evolution of the monument is complex, the Final Study appears to join the Naked Balzac with the Monumental Head, together with a drastic reworking of the monk's garb of the Balzac in Dominican Robe. Rodin tried numerous arrangements for the drapery until he hit upon a solution—a smoother drapery with hanging sleeves, moving upward to the massive head. The Société des Gens de Lettres announced in April 1897 that the maquette was finished, just awaiting enlargement before the public exhibition.

Rodin was not yet satisfied. "Rodin is working constantly with love," recorded a journalist, "retouching a detail, strengthening a feature of the physiognomy." Even as late as March 1898, two months before its scheduled exhibition, another journalist reported that Rodin "wants to make Balzac's robe supplier and to make the smile... more profound."

Judith Cladel, who was present in the studio courtyard on the rue de l'Université when the artist took the enlarged plaster into the sunlight, disclosed that Rodin was distressed. He was "submitting it to a rigorous examination... It was a painful ordeal; the bright daylight diminished the importance of the volumes, flattened the planes, and played havoc with the modeling... "They're taking away my statue," he muttered complainingly. "Sculpture requires a lot of time; the artist ought to be able to forget his work, to go for months without seeing it, and then return to judge it as though it was someone else's." Rodin regained his composure before the exhibition and survived the controversy over the monument with his dignity intact. Years later, in 1907, in an interview with Paul Gsell, Rodin explained his Balzac:

... by what right do they reproach this dressing gown with its hanging, empty sleeves? Does an inspired writer dress
otherwise when at night he walks feverishly in his apartment in pursuit of his private visions! This just wasn't done before. By convention, a statue in a public place must represent a great man in a theatrical attitude which will cause him to be admired by posterity. But such reasoning is absurd. I submit that there was only one way to evoke my subject. I had to show a Balzac in his study, breathless, hair in disorder, eyes lost in a dream, a genius who in his little room reconstructs piece by piece all of society in order to bring it into tumultuous life before his contemporaries and generations to come: Balzac truly heroic who does not stop to rest for a moment, who makes night into day, who drives himself in vain to fill the gaps made by his debts, who above all dedicates himself to building an immortal monument, who is transported by passion, whose body is made frenetic and violent and who does not heed the warnings of his diseased heart from which he will soon die. It seems to me that such a Balzac, even, seen in a public place would be greater and more worthy of admiration than just any writer who sits in a chair or who proudly poses for the enthusiastic crowd. In sum, there is nothing more beautiful than the absolute truth or real existence.
40. Final Study for the Monument to Balzac
1897, cast 1972
Bronze, 41\(\frac{1}{4}\) x 17\(\frac{3}{4}\) x 16\(\frac{1}{4}\) in.
Rodin was a master modeler of the physiology of the head, and he gradually learned how to express his subject's biography by capturing his or her character in the portrait of the face. "To tell the truth, there is no artistic work which requires as much penetration as the bust and the portrait," he said.  

The importance Rodin ascribed to the head can be appreciated in his numerous studies for monumental works, such as those for The Burghers of Calais and particularly the Balzac, but his development as a sculptor of the head can best be seen through his portraits. Rodin did not start as a superior sculptor of portraits, despite his precocious technical skills. Yet he became the supreme sculptor of human psychology—an impressive achievement for Rodin as an expressive artist, though a quality not always pleasant for his patrons. "I have done my best, and I never lied," said Rodin, "and never flattered."  

Rodin's portrait style initially derived from three sources: first, the fragments of heads from Greek antiquity and the formal busts of Roman antiquity; second, eighteenth- and early nineteenth-century interpreters of the classical bust, as represented by such sculptors as David d'Angers, Jean-Baptiste Carpeaux, and Jean-Antoine Houdon; and third, the work of Albert-Ernest Carrier-Belleuse, one of the most highly esteemed and successful decorative sculptors of the mid-nineteenth century. Rodin spent hours in the Louvre studying the ancient sculptures and the neoclassical masters. Unlike the academicians in the École des Beaux-Arts, who developed formulas for the imitation of classical sculpture, Rodin saw in the ancients and their eighteenth- and early nineteenth-century interpreters a fidelity to nature and therein the essence of art. "Even the most insignificant head is the dwelling-place of life, that magnificent force, and so offers inexhaustible matter for the masterpiec."  

From these sources he learned how to model profiles and physiognomy, but it was from Carrier-Belleuse that Rodin learned decoration, scale, and versatility. Seeking regular pay, Rodin entered the employ of Carrier-Belleuse, who produced an extensive line of portraits, decorative façades, porcelains, monuments, figurines, candelabra, and bustes de fantaisie. Rodin shared Carrier-Belleuse's tastes in eighteenth-century decorative art, which differed from the accepted neoclassical conventions of the nineteenth century. Rodin's tenure with Carrier-Belleuse increased Rodin's awareness of the commercial possibilities of his work, even as he continued to develop his own personal style of expression and move into the vanguard. In addition, his employment with Carrier-Belleuse taught him to work in a broad range of media—in fact, Rodin may have been "the last sculptor to be trained as a polymath."  

The best description of Rodin's method of portrait sculpture comes from the poet Rainer Maria Rilke, who, in a letter to his wife, wrote the following account of the creation of Rodin's portrait of George Bernard Shaw:  

... I was present at the first sittings and saw for the first time how Rodin sets about his work. First there is a firmly-kneaded lump of clay which consists of nothing but a globe placed on a shoulder-like support. This globe is prepared for him and contains no armature, only firm kneading keeps it together. He begins his work by standing the model at a very short distance from him, about half a pace from his easel. With big iron calipers he takes the measurement from the top of the head to the tip of the beard and immediately fixes this proportion on the clay lump by the addition of more clay. Then in the course of his work he takes two more measurements: nose to back of head and ear to ear from behind. After he has made a quick incision for the eyebrows so that something resembling a nose is formed, and has determined the position of the mouth by a slit such as children make in a snowman, he begins, with the model standing quite close, to shape four profiles, then eight, then sixteen, making the model turn after every three minutes. He began with the front view and the two full profiles, as though he were modelling four different sketches in the clay on top of one another, then inserted...
intermediate profiles between these contours. Yesterday at the third sitting, he placed Shaw in a cunning little baby-chair (all of which afforded this satirist and really not unenlightened scolder an exquisite pleasure) and cut off the head of the bust with a wire (Shaw, whom the bust resembled in an almost supercilious way, so to speak, witnessed this decapitation with indescribable joy) and began working at the head reclining on two wedge-shaped supports, viewing it from above in roughly the same position as the model sitting at arm's-length below him. Then the head was set upright again, and the work now continues in the same way. To begin with Shaw stood, often quite close to the easel so that he was a little taller than the bust. But now he sits directly beside it at exactly the same height as the clay and parallel with it. At some distance a dark curtain has been hung so that the profiles always stand out sharply. The Master works quickly, compressing hours into minutes, it seems to me, carrying out strokes and touches after short pauses in which he assimilates tremendously, filling himself with form. You feel somehow that his lightning, hawk-like swoops only fashion one of all the faces that pour into him, and you only grasp his technique of work in your memory, long after the sitting is done. . . .

Despite the lightning swoops, Rodin's procedure was painstaking. He studied his subjects' movements and expressions with extraordinary care and demanded numerous sittings from them. "It was a process that seemed to belong to the study of an embryologist and not an artist," wrote Shaw, who, as a sitter, did not share Rilke's sense of Rodin's speed. Rodin had cast made of a bust at varying stages, until he was thoroughly satisfied with the sculpture. Malvina Hoffman, who studied with Rodin between 1910 and 1914, described his technique:

Frequently I knew him to start a portrait, and after a few sittings, to call in a plaster-caster and have a mould made as a record; then he would make a "squeeze," that is, the fresh clay would be pressed into the negative of the piece-mould and with this stage of the portrait safely registered, he would feel more free to make bold changes or experiments, without the fear of losing what had been achieved up to that point. The first plaster was a guide to which he could always refer if he felt himself in doubt during the subsequent sittings. Only then was a final bust executed, generally in bronze or marble but sometimes in wax, silver, ceramic, or glass.

Rodin explained what he was attempting to capture through his multiphased method of profiling in these words:

The sculptor must learn to reproduce the surface, which means all that vibrates on the surface: spirit, soul, love, passion—life. . . . Sculpture is thus the art of hollows and mounds, not of the smoothness of even, polished planes.

. . . To model shadows is to create thoughts. . . . The public mistakes smoothness for art, whence springs the current neo-Greek style to which we owe so much bad statuary. . . . By working at profiles we reach the depths. These cannot be made by superimposing on the surface; that would produce dull and insipid works, that could be looked at from only one side. . . . Who sells himself to a style turns his statues into bad literature. . . . Sculpture is man's grasp of nature in three-dimensional art.

The successful "grasp of nature," filtered through passionate empiricism, endowed Rodin with originality and greatness.

From the onset Rodin excelled at modeling, as exemplified in his earliest surviving sculpture, the bust of Jean-Baptiste Rodin. The most famous of his early portraits, The Man with the Broken Nose, shows Rodin's ability to capture nature and character, even though he had not yet reached his full powers. "Rodin," wrote Albert Elsen, "matured as a craftsman before he attained maturity as a person."

Rodin neither selected nor approached artistically his male and female sitters in the same way. He selected male subjects for their achievement and character, his female sitters for their beauty or sensuality. He usually chose bronze for male portraits, marble for female portraits. Rodin's male-female distinction was not superficial but profound, and it may have inhibited his development as an artist. "In portraits of our own sex," he told an assistant,

. . . we must pierce without pity the innermost crannies of their souls, must strip them
of disguise, lay bare the intemperate, even vicious passions that surge in their daily struggle to have and to hold. . . . But a portrait of a woman is another thing. Their nature is not ours, we are far from grasping it; we must therefore be respectful and discreet. We must be circumspect, in unveiling their tender and delicate mystery. Even with them, always the truth—but not always all the truth; sometimes we may, just a little, drop the veil.  

Thus, the Young Girl with Flowers in Her Hair, a pretty object produced for the commercial market, utterly fails as a work of insight, but in the later Mask of Rose Beuret the veil begins to drop. By the time he executed the bust of Mrs. Russell, Rodin was in possession of his full powers, although the subject remains confined to the appearance of a beautiful woman, however brilliant and determined. In the many studies of Hanako, as seen in the Mask of Hanako, Rodin at last reaches his maturity; perhaps it took a woman from another culture and race to emancipate him.

His style with men was always frank and mature, even though his early works were limited by the convention of portraying them as formal, latter-day Romans. By the time of the Balzac and Baudelaire his progress toward capturing a deeper psychological meaning in his male portraits is notable, and his supreme abilities are evident in his busts Clemenceau and Pope Benedict XV. Because of his deeply rooted male bias, Rodin excelled as a sculptor of his sex but rarely achieved such heights with his female portraits.

After 1900 Rodin was an international celebrity, and it is widely believed that he readily attracted the patronage of the rich and famous and could ask what he liked for a commissioned bust. Sums of up to 40,000 francs, many contend, were commonplace. Recent research suggests, however, that these are exaggerations, that most of Rodin's portraits were of his colleagues and friends, done for free, and that fees of 40,000 francs remain undocumented. Between 1877 and 1917 Rodin made portrait busts of fifty-seven living contemporaries, but only fourteen appear to have been commissioned, the majority for between 2,000 to 25,000 francs. The most Rodin is known to have been paid was 35,000 francs for each of three busts—of the Americans Thomas Fortune Ryan, Joseph Pulitzer, and Edward H. Harriman, all after 1907.

It may be a myth that portraits made Rodin rich, but it is certain that they made him acceptable and independent. Rodin himself preferred to do portraits of his friends and of those he admired. His instincts were not pecuniary; rather, they drove him to the creative challenge. Rodin possessed a genius for portraying the psychological dimensions of personality, and as a portrait sculptor he was unmatched by his contemporaries.
Jean-Baptiste Rodin

The portrait of Jean-Baptiste Rodin is the earliest known sculpture by Rodin, executed in 1860 when the artist was twenty years old. It is a bust of the artist's father.

Jean-Baptiste Rodin, the son of an obscure cotton merchant, came to Paris with his wife, Marie, from a small town in Normandy. He came to make his fortune but settled for an undistinguished career in the civil service, starting as a clerk in the Paris police department, going on to a post at a Paris prison, rising to the rank of inspector, and then retiring at the age of fifty-nine. One year before his retirement Rodin painted his portrait, representing him with a moustache, a full beard, and long hair.

The Jean-Baptiste Rodin bust illustrates the influence of classical portrait sculpture on young Rodin. His father is portrayed not as a nearly impoverished civil servant but as a Roman legislator or official. It is a severe face, clean-shaven; the moustache, beard, and long hair of the painting are gone. The thin lips are pressed together, the eyes expressionless, the surface skin pulled tight against the skull. The overall impression is one of strength and concentration.

The young Rodin was restrained and limited himself to a recording of physical characteristics within the conventions of ancient portrait sculpture. His modeling is masterful, but he was not yet able to experiment with revealing the inner soul or psychology of his subject; he had not yet learned how to express himself through his sculpture.
41. Jean-Baptiste Rodin
1860, cast 1980
Bronze, 16³⁄₄ x 11¼ x 9½ in.
42. Young Girl with Flowers in Her Hair
Ca. 1868
Terra-cotta, 14 x 7¼ x 8 in.
Young Girl with Flowers in Her Hair

As a young man Rodin worked for Albert-Ernest Carrier-Belleuse, whose atelier was a commercial enterprise where Rodin learned to be versatile and produced pretty, polished, and elegant busts, many of which Carrier-Belleuse sold as his own. *Young Girl with Flowers in Her Hair* is an excellent example of this type of commercial portraiture, which is reminiscent of eighteenth-century prototypes. Such busts were done by formula: the obligatory tilt of the head, the realistic but longing eyes, the direct gaze, the soft smile, the partially open mouth, the picturesque treatment of heavy hair and ornaments.\(^5\)

This terra-cotta bust is finely modeled, with a finished appearance. Its breathlessness and airiness are in sharp contrast to the classical male bust of Jean-Baptiste Rodin but typical of Rodin’s early female busts, which were of coquettish, idealized girls, frequently adorned with bows, flowers, and ribbons. “For me to make the bust of a woman, she had to seem pretty,”\(^6\) he said. Rodin produced many such busts, which he signed, and he tried to sell them in shops to augment his income, even after his employment with Carrier-Belleuse ended. “I was well punished for it, for I could not sell them,” he told his assistant Léonce Bénédite. Later, Rodin reflected on his work for Carrier-Belleuse and declared, “Nothing I ever did for him interested me.”\(^7\)
43. Mask of Rose Beuret
1880–82
Plaster, 11 x 6\(\frac{3}{8}\) x 6\(\frac{1}{8}\) in.
Rodin met Rose Beuret in 1864, when she was twenty years old, illiterate, and working as a seamstress in Paris. She became his mistress and bore his only child, a son. Rose remained Rodin’s companion for life. She worked to supplement his meager income, stood by him during hard times, looked after his sculpture, kept his clay moist, cooked his meals, and modeled for him in the evenings. He worried about her constantly, showed concern for her welfare, and expressed tenderness, affection, and love for her. He referred to her in letters as Madame Rodin and called her his wife in conversation, but they were not married until 1917, two weeks before she died of bronchial pneumonia. It was a difficult, sometimes stormy, relationship, and Rose suffered most from his frequent infidelities. She was beautiful in her youth and was always industrious, loyal, jealous, and quick to anger.

Rodin’s earliest portrait of Rose, executed in 1870 and titled Mignon, shows her beautiful and introspective. During the late 1870s and early 1880s Rose posed for the heads Call to Arms and Bellona as well as a bust called The Alsation Woman. The Mask of Rose Beuret may have been an intermediate abbreviated study for The Alsation Woman. The Mask presents the face of an older woman and was made when Rose was thirty-six or thirty-eight years old. In it she appears quiet and contemplative. This may have been the last figure he modeled of Rose, for he made no portraits of her after she reached early middle age. In the Mask of Rose Beuret, Rodin decided to concentrate on the “sculptural quality of her features rather than describe her volatile nature.” Nevertheless, she appears more embittered and haggard than the younger Rose of Mignon, and the realistic modeling, the ragged edges and contours, and the absence of polished finish are radical departures from the pretty, formulaic Young Girl with Flowers in Her Hair. Rodin had not fully freed himself from convention, yet on the path to his own expressive emancipation he was beginning to drop the veil from his women.
Mrs. Russell

Mrs. Russell was of Italian origin, born Mariana Mattioco della Torre. An artist herself, she married the Australian painter John Pole Russell. Both of the Russells were associated with the Impressionists and were friendly with Vincent van Gogh, Henri de Toulouse-Lautrec, Paul Gauguin, Claude Monet, and Gustave Geffroy. The Russells probably met Rodin in 1886 through their mutual friends Monet and Geffroy. Mariana Russell’s classic features must have inspired Rodin, who had her pose for sculptures of several ancient deities, including Ceres, Minerva with a Helmet, and Pallas with the Parthenon.

In Mrs. Russell, Rodin captures her beauty, aloofness, and quiet reverie. She does not appear passionate, yet the modeling of her flesh reveals her sensuousness. There are few surface irregularities except for the roughened forehead, which plays with light and shadow. The hair is pulled back, emphasizing her strong, angular features, her nose is bent to the left, and her right cheek is fuller than the left. The portrait penetrates beyond the understated surface, however, and reveals the subject’s intelligence and character.
44. Mrs. Russell
1888
Bronze, 13\(\frac{3}{4}\) x 10 x 10\(\frac{3}{4}\) in.
Edward Steichen's 1908 photograph of the plaster Mask of Hanako documents Rodin's interest in the dancer's fierce expressions and gestures. "With the force of will which the Japanese display in the face of death, Hanako was enabled to hold this look for hours," wrote Judith Cladel. (Photo, Musée Rodin)

Mask of Hanako

Hanako was the stage name of the Japanese actress and dancer Ohta Hisa. Hanako was trained as a geisha, and about 1904 the American dancer Loïe Fuller invited her to join her company in Paris as a mime. Rodin met Hanako in 1906 and was immediately impressed with the range of her Kabuki-inspired expressions, from fury and anguish to restraint and impassivity. Between 1907 and 1911 she posed for Rodin, who produced more studies of Hanako than of any other model; there are fifty-three sculptures—busts, heads, and masks—recorded at the Musée Rodin. "Hanako did not pose like other people," explained Judith Cladel, because she was able to hold difficult poses for very long periods of time.21

The Mask of Hanako has a calm visage, accentuated by the convex forehead, the fleshy mouth, and the remote gaze. It is a formal but psychologically expressive head. Hanako's heads by Rodin, wrote Albert Elsen, were "unprecedented in either Western or Eastern sculpture as a revelation of the changes that can be enacted upon one woman's face. The series gains additional interest in the choice of a woman whose culture and training had taught her facial control and the masking of feeling, but whose mask disintegrated under the sculptor's searching inquiry."22 With Hanako, Rodin dropped the veil.
45. *Mask of Hanako*
Ca. 1908, cast ca. 1950
Bronze, 6 x 3\(\frac{3}{4}\) x 4\(\frac{1}{2}\) in.
Pope Benedict XV

Italian-born Giacomo della Chiesa studied for the priesthood, entered the papal diplomatic corps, became archbishop of Bologna, then cardinal, and was elected pope in 1914, taking the name Benedict XV. Although his papacy spanned the First World War, he initially tried, for diplomatic reasons, to conceal his thoughts about the war. Nonetheless, Francophiles in the Vatican gained Benedict’s consent to sit for the painter Albert Besnard and for Auguste Rodin. The pope told Besnard that he dreamed of restoring the papacy to a position of supremacy in the arts. Besnard also reported that the pontiff “wanted something grand, even sumptuous” from Rodin and that he would insist on a decorative bust in the baroque manner of Coysevox or Bernini. Rodin was enthusiastic about the commission—and eager to speak with the pope about the war.

Rodin was quickly discouraged. The pope refused to talk of the war and would not sit still for the sculptor to study his profiles. Some scholars suggest that the pope was agitated because Rodin violated protocol by literally looking down at him. But John Tancock also suggests that the pope became “alarmed at the intense physical and psychological scrutiny to which Rodin was subjecting him.” By the end of the third sitting the pope indicated that the fourth would be their last session.

Pope Benedict XV was sixty-one years old when he sat for Rodin. Although his training in the papal diplomatic corps had taught Benedict how to conceal his thoughts and feelings, Besnard perceived the pope’s cunning, and Rodin interpreted the pope’s austere face as a kind of mask, albeit one that reveals the strength and cleverness of the man behind it. Comparisons with contemporary photographs of Benedict XV show that while Rodin softened the lines of the face and hollowed the cheeks, in general he did not shy away from depicting imperfections. Rodin, of course, considered the bust neither complete nor finished, but in only four sittings he “came away with his most private
The bust of Pope Benedict XV carries no sense of the grandeur the pontiff had desired, and Rodin did not give the head a formal base but instead stood it on the clerical collar. "It was a portrait of Giacomo della Chiesa that Rodin executed and not one of the Supreme Pontiff," Tancock concluded.\(^\text{47}\)

46. Pope Benedict XV
1915, cast before 1952 (possibly as early as 1927)
Bronze, \(9\frac{3}{8} \times 7\frac{1}{8} \times 10\) in.
Dancers have long inspired visual artists, particularly painters and sculptors. Rodin discovered dance late in life, when he was in his sixties. Perhaps Rodin did not care for the dance earlier because he saw in the classical ballet performed in the official Paris opera the same formalism he despised in the École des Beaux-Arts. Perhaps he came to the dance because he was caught up in the contemporary intellectual climate—Herbert Spencer’s theme of “rhythm” in *First Principles* (1869), Darwin’s interest in spontaneous body symbols and movements in *The Expressions of the Emotions in Man and Animals* (1872), Nietzsche’s celebration of Dionysian dance in *Thus Spoke Zarathustra* (1883). More likely the new forms of dance, such as the titillating cancan performed in the uninhibited cabarets of late nineteenth-century Paris, simply captured his artistic imagination, as they had for Toulouse-Lautrec and Georges Seurat. Certainly, once Rodin discovered the movement of dance, he became an enthusiast, seeing in dance the very spirit he had always sought to create in his sculpture.

Rodin grew excited about modern dance in 1892, when the Illinois-born Loïe Fuller became the rage of Paris at the Folies-Bergère. Having perfected her craft in American burlesque, “La Loïe,” dressed in shimmering silk costumes, gracefully waved huge veils in imitation of moths and flames. Her movements were made spectacular by her grand sense of staging, lighting, and theater. Fuller “epitomized the spirit of Art Nouveau” and became a friend and collaborator of Rodin. “Loïe Fuller,” he said, “opened the way to the art of the future.”

If Loïe Fuller opened the way to the art of the future, another American, Isadora Duncan, fulfilled it. Isadora Duncan, a far greater artist than Fuller, arrived in Paris in 1900, proclaiming a revolution in freedom for the human body. Dancing in short tunics, Duncan played down histrionic and used body movement to express the beauty of the spirit. The young American dancer frequented the Louvre, plunging herself into the study of classical Greece. She admired Rodin, sensed his affinity with the Greeks, and visited him at his Paris studio.

After the visit they went to her studio, where she danced for him and he made advances to her. Duncan describes the probably apocryphal scene in her autobiography: “From him emanated heat that scorched and melted me. My whole desire was to yield to him my entire being and, indeed, I would have done so if it had not been that my absurd upbringing caused me to become frightened and I withdrew, threw my dress over my tunic and sent him away bewildered. . . . How often I have regretted this childish misconception which lost me the divine chance of giving my virginity to the Great God Pan himself, to the Mighty Rodin. Surely Art and all Life would have been richer thereby!”

Rodin and Duncan became friends. Rodin visited her frequently at the dancing school she established at Bellevue, across the river from his Meudon. He spent afternoons sketching the movements of Isadora and her young dancers and listening to her theories. “Ah,” he sighed to Isadora, “if I had only had such models when I was young. Models who move and whose movement is in close harmony with nature.” “In my youth,” Rodin said, “when I saw our Opéra ballets, I was unable to understand how the Greeks could have exalted the dance to a supreme position in the arts.”

Rodin was also captivated by dancers from other cultures. He saw the Javanese ballet in 1896 and the Cambodian ballet in 1906. When Rodin, at the age of sixty-six, saw the Cambodian ballet at the Bois de Boulogne, he was thrilled; he accompanied them to Marseilles, where he executed innumerable drawings of the exotic Eastern movements. He returned to Meudon three days later and could talk of little else but the grace of the dancers. In 1906 he met the Japanese dancer and actress Ohta Hisa, better known as Hanako, and was immediately impressed with
her remarkable powers of expression.⁵

But it was Vaslav Nijinsky, the incomparable star of Russian ballet, who deeply moved Rodin, revealing to him the ultimate power and purity of dance as well as its profound identity with sculpture. In 1912 Nijinsky first performed his ballet _L’Après-midi d’un faune_ at the Théâtre du Châtelet in Paris. Rodin sat in a box close to the stage; when the curtain fell, he stood up and shouted bravos at the top of his voice. With tears in his eyes, Rodin visited Nijinsky in his dressing room and took the dancer in his arms: “The fulfillment of my dreams. You brought them to life.”⁶

In the morning the newspapers expressed delight with the premier performance, with the exception of _Le Figaro_, which castigated the performance and attacked Nijinsky for public immorality: “We have a faun, incontinent with vile movements of erotic bestiality and gestures of heavy shamelessness.” The next morning Paris took notice of an article on the editorial page of _Le Matin_, signed by Rodin:

During the last twenty years, dancing seems to have set for itself its task of making us love the beauty of the body, movement and gesture. First there came to us from the other side of the Atlantic the famous Loïe Fuller, who has been justly called the rejuvenator of dancing. Then came Isadora Duncan, teacher of an old art in a new form, and to-day we see Nijinsky, who possesses at the same time talent and training. The intelligence of his art is so rich and so varied that it approaches genius.

In dancing, as well as in sculpture and painting, flight and progress have been smothered by routine laziness, and inability to rejuvenate. We admire Loïe Fuller, Isadora Duncan, and Nijinsky, because they have recovered again the soul of tradition, founded on respect and love of nature. This is the reason why they are able to express all the emotions of the human soul.

The last of them, Nijinsky, possesses the distinct advantage of physical perfection, harmony of proportions, and a most extraordinary power to bend his body so as to interpret the most diverse sentiments. The sad mime in _Petrouchka_ seems, in the last bound of the Spectre de la Rose, to fly into the infinite space, but in no part is Nijinsky as marvelous and admirable as in _L’Après-midi d’un Faune_. No jumps, no bounds, nothing but attitudes and gestures of a half-conscious animal creature. He stretches himself, bends, stoops, crouches, straightens himself up, goes forward and retreats, with movement slow, now jerky, nervous, angular: his eyes search, his arms extend, his hands open and close, his head turns away and turns back. The harmony between his mimicry and his plasticity is perfect. His whole body expresses what his mind dictates. He possesses the beauty of the antique frescoes and statues; he is the ideal model for whom every painter and sculptor has longed.

You would think Nijinsky were a statue when he lies full length on the rock, with one leg bent and the flute at his lips, as the curtain rises, and nothing could be more soul-stirring than his movement when, at the close of the act, he throws himself down and passionately kisses the discarded veil.

I wish that every artist who truly loves his art might see this perfect personification of the ideals of the beauty of the ancient Greeks.⁷

In gratitude, Nijinsky posed for Rodin in the nude. The statue was never completed, but Rodin’s small, potent Nijinsky is magnificent, embodying the spirit and movement of the dancer.

Even before he discovered the dance, Rodin possessed an “instinctive comprehension of dance—and, by extension, sculpture—as continuous motion in space.” Rodin’s _Walking Man_ exemplifies individual movement, and the composition of _The Burghers of Calais_ suggests a keen sense of choreography. Rodin studied movement in space and preferred his models, frequently recruited from among the performers of the Moulin de la Galette and the Moulin Rouge, to move about his studio, limbering up, exercising, kicking, jumping. As the dancers performed, Rodin would rapidly work pieces of clay in his fingers, capturing the immediacy of their continuing movements.
“Movement,” said Rodin, “is the soul of all things.” Rodin’s notion of movement in sculpture called for fusing different phases of movement over time into a single work. Rodin thus rejected the instantaneity of two of his contemporaries: Edgar Degas, who brilliantly captured ballet in oil, pastel, and bronze; and Eadweard Muybridge, whose photographs of galloping horses pioneered stop-motion sequential photography. “Rodin,” observed Kirk Varnedoe, “seeks to show gestures that lift the figure out of time into universal psycho-emotional states.”

The dance contributed to Rodin’s comprehension of his sculptural ideal. “There was no dancer of repute who did not want to dance for Rodin, the sculptor of movement,” wrote Judith Cladel, “and he was grateful to them for the unusual opportunities for study which they afforded him.”

American-born dancer Loie Fuller enthralled the Parisian public with her performances at the Folies-Bergère. (Photo, Musée Rodin)
Dance Movements

In his passion to capture movement, Rodin studied dancers. These were usually extemporaneous personal studies not connected with commissions, the "result of serious play and improvisation." Hundreds of such studies, called Dance Movements, were stored in the basement of Meudon. It was the Musée du Louvre's 1962 exhibition of them, entitled Rodin inconnu, that explicitly revealed the sculptor's intention: to show continuous movement in nature, not figures frozen in space. As dancers performed in his studio, Rodin would rapidly mold clay in an attempt to break the frozen image. His challenge was to achieve animation in a single sculpture. Rodin's secretary, Anthony Ludovici, noted that the sculptor believed that "provided the two positions are sufficiently close in time for the last to follow naturally out of the first, their fusion will give the impression of movement." Rodin did not always realize this ideal; Dance Movements A, B, D, E, F, G, H are examples of Rodin's attempts to put his theory into practice. The majority of these figures were not cast in bronze until after the artist's death, and Movement A is the only one that exists in an enlarged version.
47. Dance Movement A
Ca. 1910–11, cast before 1952
Bronze, 26 x 6⅜ x 12¼ in.
48. *Dance Movement B*
Ca. 1910-11, cast 1956
Bronze, 12½ x 3½ x 4¼ in.
49. Dance Movement D
Ca. 1910–11, cast before 1952
Bronze, 13 x 7¼ x 5 in.
50. Dance Movement E
Ca. 1910–11, cast 1956
Bronze, 14 x 4 1/4 x 8 in.
51. Dance Movement F
1911
Bronze, 11 x 10 3/8 x 5 3/8 in.
52. Dance Movement G
Ca. 1910–13, cast before 1952
Bronze, 13 x 4\(\frac{3}{8}\) x 3\(\frac{3}{8}\) in.
53. *Dance Movement H*
Ca. 1910, cast before 1952
Bronze, 11 x 5⅛ x 5⅛ in.
Pas de Deux

Rodin, following the tradition of Western sculpture since medieval times, sculpted couples together, bodies and limbs intertwined, generally expressing love, sympathy, anguish, or common fate. He was especially intrigued by combining figures or parts of figures originally conceived separately. In Rodin’s experimentation with the Dance Movements, however, his couples were not intertwined, nor were parts conceived separately. As prefigured in The Three Shades, the Pas de Deux were composed of identical figures coupled to achieve new movement. For example, the figures in Pas de Deux B are identical to the figure in Dance Movement B, but placed one in front of the other; the figures in Pas de Deux G are identical to the figure in Dance Movement G, but juxtaposed with their backs touching and with one figure slightly elevated. Unlike photographers, Rodin was not at all seeking to represent frozen or serial movement; instead, he ingeniously sought to capture a choreography of motion—identical figures dance with each other.
55. *Pas de Deux G*
Ca. 1910–13, cast 1967
Bronze, 13¼ x 6½ x 6¼ in.
56. Nijinsky
1912
Plaster, 7 3/4 x 3 3/4 x 3 3/4 in.
Rodin’s defense of Nijinsky led to a visit from Diaghilev, the Russian ballet impresario, and an arrangement for the great dancer to pose nude for the great sculptor. According to Romola Nijinsky’s biography of her husband, the two artists communicated in their own media—Rodin by drawing, Nijinsky by gesturing. Every morning after practice the dancer motored to Meudon; the sittings were interrupted only by lunch. Diaghilev, who arrived early one afternoon, found Nijinsky asleep on a couch with Rodin asleep at his feet. Having heard rumors of Rodin’s passions and perhaps concluding that the two had engaged in homosexual love, Diaghilev flew into a rage and prevented any further sittings. Romola Nijinsky concludes that the sculpture was never finished and that Diaghilev “undoubtedly robbed the world of a masterpiece.”

The Nijinsky, however, is a masterpiece. Rodin captured the dancer’s legendary physical traits: the Oriental face, strong neck, muscular torso, powerful legs. The entire sculpture bursts with the animal potency of the rapacious faun that Nijinsky projected on stage. Nijinsky, of all the dancing figures, comes the closest to achieving Rodin’s ideal of sculpture in movement. The Head of Nijinsky, like the Nijinsky, is compact and powerful, expressing a primitive purposefulness. In these works, among the last he executed, Rodin hinted at a new, more abstract direction for modern sculpture.
Fragments

Rodin was a pioneer in his promotion of the fragment as a complete, independent work of art. Rodin himself concentrated on hands and torsos, but arms, legs, and feet were also prominent among his partial figures. With the academic tradition still dominant, Rodin’s fragments were first criticized as morbid, mutilated, ugly, and incomplete—perverse violations of established standards. Rodin was described as a sadist and a butcher. Some of his contemporaries, however, saw in the fragments a confirmation of his genius, and they celebrated Rodin for the significance of his innovation. Today critics and scholars generally concur that the fragments represent a critical breakthrough, a virtual reassessment of the meaning of art that opened the way for artists to concentrate on essential qualities and unique statements of expression and beauty. Perhaps this is Rodin’s greatest legacy and claim to relevance in modern art.

Fragments were not new to Rodin’s contemporaries. Greek and Roman fragments, such as the Belvedere Torso, had been admired at least since the Renaissance and were cherished for their historical importance and antiquarian interest. Fragments were also familiar from early Christian art. Reliquaries, for example, were often crafted in the shape of whatever part of the saint’s anatomy they were intended to contain, and medieval reliefs and manuscripts abounded with the hands of God and the Devil. Partial figures were frequent in European decorative architecture, and nineteenth-century European artists were curious about the partial figures and designs—in the form of artifacts and textiles—arriving from Africa, the Pacific, and Precolumbian America.

Ancient fragments occupied a critical position in the curriculum of nineteenth-century art schools. Students of the École des Beaux-Arts studied and learned to model from them. But they viewed the fragment as incomplete, the result of accidental and unintended breakage, and actually in violation of the Greek ideal of the wholeness and beauty of the human form. Rodin himself was exposed to this neoclassical ambivalence between admiration and deficiency while a student at the Petite École in the 1850s.

The first work of art that Rodin ever exhibited was a partial figure, The Man with the Broken Nose. One winter day, in the freezing temperature of his inadequately heated studio, the back of the head broke off. Nevertheless Rodin decided to cast the front portion and submit it to the Paris Salon of 1864. It was rejected by the jury, but Rodin declared later that it “determined all my future work.” The piece not only led Rodin to experiment with partial figures, but it also opened his eyes to the serendipitous artistic effects of accidents as well as of purposeful fragmentation.

Rodin continued to experiment with partial figures during the 1870s; his Torso of the Walking Man, for example, displays the influence of ancient fragments. It was not until his work on The Gates of Hell, in the 1880s, that Rodin became preoccupied with partial figures and fragments. His assistant, Victor Frisch, described Rodin’s studio as crowded with “fragments of sculptures in work, casts of all sorts, heads, torsos, arms and legs” lying on “tables, benches, chairs, on window sills, on the floor.” Rodin combined and recombined partial figures until he achieved the form he desired. Frisch recorded Rodin’s method: “Again he had several plaster casts made. One was kept intact, the others were cut apart, and the sections numbered and catalogued, so that they might be drawn out of their cupboards to serve in other figures, perhaps years later. Thus dissected, limb from torso, they would be set, again and again, in new arrangements and further considerate groupings. Until at last the work had his full-hearted consent.”

Rodin’s partial figures were not just spare parts to be joined together “when the God of the region, Auguste Rodin, signals the hour of resurrection with his

Overleaf:
Rodin surrounded by his works in his studio-museum at Meudon, after 1901. (Photo, Musée Rodin)

Opposite:
Plaster body fragments as they were stored in drawers at Meudon. According to Léonce Bénédite, Rodin’s “amusement of a Sunday at Meudon was to make new and original combinations and groupings out of elements dating from far back, and to ease his labors, he actually prepared in advance what he called his ‘abattis’ or junk, namely sets of arms and legs, and hands and feet, which he used for his combinations.” (Photo, Musée Rodin)
tremendous clarion,” as the Comte Robert de Montesquieu wrote after a visit to the artist’s studio. For, more and more, Rodin began to conceive of partial figures and fragments as complete, independently beautiful works of art. In the drawers at his studio lay hundreds of fragments, without pedestals, fragments that were meant to be held and moved freely, thereby allowing the observer to see them from all positions and angles.

By 1889 Rodin was exhibiting partial figures, and by the 1890s he was modeling acrobatic partial figures influenced by the cancan dancers, such as Iris, Messenger of the Gods and Flying Figure. By the late 1890s his exhibition of the Seated Woman (Cybelle) and Meditation Without Arms had sounded a clear challenge to the academy’s canons of aesthetics, particularly to the academic notion of wholeness and completeness. During the 1890s Rodin directed his assistant, Henri Leboisse, in the enlargement of fragments for sale, which certainly suggests that Rodin believed them to be complete, whole, and beautiful. The retrospective exhibition presented in his pavilion during the Universal Exposition of 1900 contained the largest number of partial figures he had ever shown at one time. Of the naked, fragmented Pierre de Wiessant, which was displayed in the pavilion’s portico, Rodin wrote in a private letter: “It is complete even if the exhibited morceau is without head and hands.” (The underlining is Rodin’s.)

The partial figures at the Exposition Rodin, like nearly everything else the artist exhibited, generated controversy. Judith Cladel describes the criticism and suggests Rodin’s defense of his partial figures:

The master has exhibited his morceaux and they have evoked from his critics the rudest criticism. These critics have never wanted to comprehend that he has not delivered to them works that were properly decorative but were rather the unique beauty of métier which appears here more thrilling than in his more finished sculptures. . . . Nothing veils or covers them, neither the interest of the subject, nor the expression of sentiment or feeling. All that is there is the quality of modeling, the raw result of work. In reality it is not Iris, The Earth, The Muse, but torsos which appear to be fragments of a destroyed movement: It is the sum of art, a certificate that the sculptor gives himself, the total of his efforts and researches concentrated in plastic formulas. Therefore, of what importance is it to him to achieve details or a seductive arrangement? Who contemplates these works must do it with the esprit du sautant before a morceau of nature to be studied and not with the attitude of a dilettante looking for esthetic pleasure and the emotion of the subject that does not exist. Like an historian, Rodin names them his essays, and they are truly pages from the history of his art.

The misunderstanding that they establish between the public and the artist is enormous. The crowds having lost the sense of the value of modeling, take Rodin for a mystifier, obstinately or perversely cutting off the limbs of his statues. I have heard a young sculptor announce with the serene conviction of a fool, “This year I exhibited the body of a woman à la Rodin. I squarely cut off the arms and legs.”

To the charge that his figures were not finished, Rodin himself once replied, “And the cathedrals, are they finished?”

As Rodin grew older, he worked increasingly, almost exclusively, on partial figures, and he exhibited them along with his full figures at the salons. He was now at the height of his reputation, gaining for the fragment a proper place in the public’s appreciation of art. As early as 1903 the poet Rilke understood that the part was the whole:

The same completeness is conveyed in all the armless statues of Rodin; nothing necessary is lacking. One stands before them as before something whole. The feeling of incompleteness does not rise from the mere aspect of a thing, but from the assumption of a narrow-minded pedantry which says that arms are a necessary part of the body and that a body without arms cannot be perfect. . . . With regard to the painter, at least, came the understanding and the belief that an artistic whole need not necessarily coincide with the complete thing, that new values, proportions and balances may originate within the pictures. In the art of sculpture, also, it is left to the artist to make out of many
things one thing, and from the smallest part of a thing an entirety.\textsuperscript{9}

The critic Roger Fry remarked in 1910, "Every part of the figure is instinct with the central idea, every detail of hand and foot is an epitome of the whole. . . ."\textsuperscript{10}

Rodin was cautious about exhibiting his fragments, but as he endured the controversies surrounding his other works, he gradually dedicated his later years to promoting them. "The criteria which today make Rodin's partial figures acceptable as total entities—criteria which these same figures would help call into being—did not then exist in official art," writes Leo Steinberg.\textsuperscript{11} Other artists and sculptors experimented with fragments, and scholars seek the antecedents to Rodin, but, as Albert Elsen asserts, "It was he who willed their status as complete works of art."\textsuperscript{12} Rodin directly influenced a generation of younger artists, including Maillol, Matisse, Brancusi, Lehmbuck, Archipenko, Duchamp-Villon, Gaudier-Brezka, and Lipchitz. If some latter-day artists and critics perceive Rodin as a reactionary figure of the nineteenth century, it is because they stand on his shoulders, pretending to fight the battle he has already won.

Rodin with the Large Clenched Left Hand with Imploing Figure, 1906. (Photo, B. Gerald Cantor Collections)
Torsos

Rodin's daring torsos, with heads or without heads, with limbs, partial limbs, or no limbs at all, were difficult for his public to accept. A sculpture of the human figure should be complete, believed the nineteenth-century artist and critic, and completeness presupposed an entire figure. Anything less was considered mutilation and evoked nightmarish images of violations of our corporeal integrity. Fred Wellington Ruckstuhl, a leader of the National Sculpture Society in the United States, as late as 1925 expressed this view in his popular text, Great Works of Art:

But why any man should, today, deliberately model a human body and then mutilate it and then hack it, and exhibit it, except as a revelation of his sadistic soul, passes our comprehension. The first man...to do this was Rodin—who was regarded in Paris as a moral sot.\(^\text{13}\)

Such denunciations were widespread, and Rodin's critics submitted his incomplete figures as evidence that Rodin was incapable of finishing a commission—a common suspicion during the Balzac project. Even some of Rodin's friends, who evidently subscribed to the view that completeness was coterminous with the full figure, tried to defend Rodin by explaining that the artist indeed planned to complete the figures. Rodin made no such apologies.

His omissions were purposeful and represented a "powerful shift...away from traditional ground." Feet and arms, or heads, were never intended. Such reductions or economies arose from a different aesthetic—and new criteria for the measurement of that aesthetic. Rodin was attempting to edit form to its irreducible core to reveal the essence of motion, gesture, act, mood, or statement. Degas asked Rodin, "Why did you make your Man That Walks [Walking Man] without head or arms?" Rodin replied, "Have you ever seen a man walk on his head? As for the arms, I preferred to have him walk on his legs like ordinary mortals—like you and me."\(^\text{15}\) Said Rodin of another partial figure, "Don't you see that I left it in that state inten-
58. Torso of the Walking Man
Ca. 1877–78, cast 1979
Bronze, 21¼ x 9¾ x 8¼ in.
tionally? My figure represents Medita-
tion. That's why it has neither arms to
act nor legs to walk.”86 “Beauty is like
God; a fragment of beauty, is beauty
complete,” he said on yet another
occasion.87 In the partial figure, ac-
cording to Leo Steinberg, Rodin
developed the modern “principle of
dispensability,” which “determines
the limits of fragmentation.”88

Thus, an entirely new standard of
sufficiency, absolutely critical for mod-
ern art, was established. Could Bran-
cusi have produced Bird in Space
without the precedent of Rodin? Was
Rodin a necessary precursor for the
fragments of Matisse, Picasso’s Head of
a Woman, Henry Moore’s Reclining
Figure, Umberto Boccioni’s Unique
Forms of Continuity in Space, or Jacques
Lipchitz’s Reclining Nude with Guitar?
Rodin’s torsos, wrote Lipchitz in 1962,
were “endowed with a sense of mystery
. . . [and] surpassed anything done in
his time, either in painting or sculp-
ture.”89 “Twentieth-century paradigm-
atic figural sculptures . . . are incon-
ceivable without the prior history of
the partial figure and its influence on
imaginative conceptualizing,” wrote
Elsen.90 The seminal figure behind the
modern partial figure was Rodin.

The Torso of the Walking Man,
presented as a scarred ancient ruin, is
one of Rodin’s earliest partial figures,
completed shortly after his 1875 trip to
Italy. Some controversy clouds the
origins of this torso. It is thought to
be a study for Saint John the Baptist
Preaching, but its mutilated surface, in
severe contrast to that of the highly
polished Saint John, has raised the
question of whether Rodin intended it
to have an independent existence.
Rodin’s complex work method of revi-
sion and reassembly over an extended
period of time adds to the confusion.
Recent finds at Meudon, however,
suggest that the Torso was a prelimi-
ary study. It was much later, in 1900,
that Rodin added the legs from Saint
John the Baptist Preaching to the torso to
create the remarkable Walking Man.91

The Torso of the Walking Man may
have been exhibited at the 1889
Monet/Rodin show. This torso was
59. *The Prayer*
1909, cast 1980
Bronze, 49½ x 21⅞ x 19¾ in.
66. Iris, Messenger of the Gods
Ca. 1890–91, cast 1969
Bronze, 38 x 35¾ x 17 in.
neglected, however, until Rodin’s influence on partial figures was recognized in the mid-twentieth century. The B. Gerald Cantor bronze, an exquisite, detailed cast with rich patina, is the third in an edition made by the Coubertin Foundry from the model preserved in the Rodin collection at Meudon.

The Prayer, too, resembles works of antiquity. However, this armless, headless female torso, in contrast to the Torso of the Walking Man, is not marked by the dabs of clay and gashes used by Rodin to capture a sense of ruined antiquity. Instead, it is smooth and retains a fidelity to the Greek ideal. Georges Grappe, former curator of the Musée Rodin, believed that The Prayer derived from a study for The Gates of Hell, but, like The Kiss, was not considered suitable for inclusion.23

Iris, Messenger of the Gods, like The Walking Man, captures movement. This figure of a woman, headless and without a left arm, is unabashedly sexual and energetic and was inspired by Rodin’s intense interest in dance. The fragment is suspended by a pole, which holds it vertically even as its mass moves to the left. According to Grappe, a winged version of this figure was originally intended for the Victor Hugo monument as the personification of glory and was to hover above the great writer.25 The monument, planned for the Panthéon in Paris, was never completed, but a similar Iris was exhibited at the Exposition Rodin of 1900.

Another version of the figure, titled Iris, Messenger of the Gods, with Head, is probably connected with a series of similar figures found in the Meudon Reserve in various states of dismemberment.24 Iris, Messenger of the Gods, with Head has all limbs amputated and captures the compact energy of the torso.

The Flying Figure derives from an enlargement and modification of the female figure of Avarice and Lust that can be found in the bottom-right panel of The Gates of Hell. In the isolation of the torso and removal of the head and portions of an arm and legs, the Flying

61. Iris, Messenger of the Gods, with Head
1890 (?), cast before 1981
Bronze, 6\% x 3\% x 2\% in.
62. Flying Figure
Ca. 1890–91, cast 1975
Bronze, 20½ x 29½ x 12 in.
63. Meditation Without Arms
1896–97, cast 1983
Bronze, 57½ x 30 x 20 in.
In this later stage of the Monument to Victor Hugo, Rodin amputated the arms and portions of the legs of Meditation. When exhibited at the Salon de la Société Nationale in 1897, it was called by one critic "a dislocated and incoherent maquette on which it would be premature to pronounce a judgment." (Photo, Musée Rodin)

Figure resembles Iris, Messenger of the Gods. The Flying Figure, however, attached to its base by the stump of its thigh, ascends at a forty-five-degree angle into space. “Rodin has not so much modeled a body in motion,” commented Steinberg, as “clothed a motion in a body.” The rear of the figure has been hacked by Rodin, down the entire back to the buttocks. This mutilation recalls The Man with the Broken Nose, except that this contusion was no accident but staged by Rodin, who was purposefully breaking with convention.

Meditation Without Arms was originally conceived as a full figure for The Gates of Hell; it appears in the tympanum. Rodin removed it in 1885, then enlarged and modified it as an independent sculpture. He revived it again in 1889 in connection with the Monument to Victor Hugo. As part of the third project for the monument, Meditation was called The Inner Voice, after a collection of lyrical poems by Hugo called Les Voix intérieures. In the final stage of the Hugo monument the Meditation figure suffered its most severe transformation, when Rodin amputated both arms and a portion of the right knee. The classical curves and truncated form enhance her introspective mood. “Never,” wrote Rilke of Meditation,

was a human body assembled to such an extent about its inner self. . . . The neck, bent sideways on the lowered body, rises and stretches and holds the listening head over the distant roar of life; this is so impressively and strongly conceived that one does not remember a more gripping gesture or one of deeper meaning. It is striking that the arms are lacking. Rodin must have considered these arms as too facile a solution of his task, as something that did not belong to that body which desired to be enwrapped within itself.

In 1899 Rodin obtained the commission for a monument to Puis de Chavannes, the painter of the Panthéon frescoes and the artist Rodin most admired. The composition called for a dignified bust of the painter, guarded by a funerary figure. Camille Mauclet, considered one of the best critics of his time, described
64. *Spirit of Eternal Repose Without Head and Arms*
1899, cast 1980
Bronze, 33¼ x 15½ x 13¼ in.
Rodin’s plans for the monument:

... Instead of making the customary statue, he considered the purely Greek quality of Puvis’ genius and chose to pay homage to him in a form reproduced from the antique. The bust of the great painter is placed on a plain table, as the ancients placed those of their dead upon little domestic altars. A fine tree loaded with fruit bends over and shades the head. Leaning on the table behind the bust is a beautiful naked youth, who sits dreaming in a well-chosen supple attitude. ...

The fragmented Spirit of Eternal Repose Without Head and Arms is a variation of the nude described by Mauclair. The figure is firmly based in the classical Greek tradition to which both Puvis de Chavannes and Rodin were committed. The figure’s crossed legs symbolize sleep and death, yet its rich body lines and supple flesh are sensuous, and in this respect it is an Apollo prototype. A full-length plaster of the Spirit of Eternal Repose was exhibited at the Exposition Rodin of 1900 and was described as “one of the most recent works, of an intense melancholy, of a penetrating and funereal grace.” This armless and headless torso of a male, with its resemblance to ancient fragments, is a dignified and proper tribute.
Hands

Rodin was preoccupied with hands, for they are the creative, shaping force between the world of nature and the world of artistic vision. Hands in Rodin’s oeuvre are living, full of expression, power, emotion. The poet Rilke likened Rodin’s hands to the stirring force of a delta, and the Symbolist writer Gustav Kahn declared that Rodin was “the sculptor of hands.” Henri Bergson, the philosopher of élan vital, saw in Rodin’s sculptures of hands the “genius of Rodin himself,” as well as the spontaneous, vital source of his life: “He that lives in the intention, lives free, lives creating, lives like a very god.”

The mastery of sculpting hands did not come easily to Rodin, however. Apparently he first worked intensely on hands in the 1850s as a young student at the Petite Ecole, where he was taught to model from parts of figures. Still later, without commissions or sales, he felt uncertain and lacked encouragement. “I went to the Salon and admired the works of Perraud and other sculptors,” recalled Rodin, and thought, as ever, that they were great masters. . . In looking at the hands they made, I thought them so fine that I never should be able to equal them. I was all this time working from nature, but could not make my hands as good as theirs, and I could not understand why. But when I got my hands all right from life, I then saw that theirs were not well made, nor were they true. I know that those sculptors worked from plaster casts taken from nature. Then I knew nothing about casting from nature; I only thought of copying my model. I don’t believe those sculptors knew what was good modeling and what was not, or could get out of nature all there was in it.

By trial and error, then, by hard work, and by developing his technique on his own, he mastered his craft and gained a supreme confidence. With his major commissions, particularly The Gates of Hell and The Burghers of Calais, Rodin had the opportunity to explore the manifold expressions of hands in all conceivable attitudes and combinations. Rilke wrote in 1903:

There are among the works of Rodin hands, single, small hands which, with-
out belonging to a body, are alive.
Hands that rise, irritated and in wrath;
hands whose five bristling fingers seem to
bark like the five jaws of a dog of Hell.
Hands that walk, sleeping hands, and
hands that are awakening; criminal
hands, tainted with hereditary disease;
and hands that are tired and will do no
more, and have lain down in some
corner like sick animals that know no
one can help them.\textsuperscript{14}

Rodin's interest in hands may
have been influenced by Eadweard
Muybridge's 1887 publication of Animal
Locomotion, which reproduced dramatic
photographs of hands, and by a
drawing of a particularly expressive
hand by Victor Hugo entitled The
Dream.\textsuperscript{15} Rodin was probably familiar
with these works, which could have
taught him what he knew intuitively:
that hands express character, evoke
symbols and meanings, and could be
presented as independent works of art.

It was precisely because he under-
stood the power of hands that he also
knew how best to use them or, for that
matter, not to use them. The hands of
Balzac are covered by a gown because
they could have detracted from the
commanding presence of the body
culminating in the massive, expressive
head. Hands are prominent in The
Burghers of Calais because they help to
capture the agony of the complex
psychological moment. The hands of
Eve are soft and sensuous; the hands of
Saint John the Baptist Preaching are
ascetic. Pignatelli, Rodin's model, told
Henri Matisse how Rodin would walk
around a figure, experimenting with a
hand on a peg, until he found the
most effective way of attaching it to
the body.\textsuperscript{16}

The theme of hands expressing cre-
ation is exemplified in the Hand of
God. This is the hand of the creator,
the builder, and an analogy with the
creative hand of the artist is intended.
"What is modeling?" Rodin asked.
"When God created the world, it is of
modeling He must have thought first of
all."\textsuperscript{17} According to studio assistant
Victor Frisch, Rodin, recognizing his pre-
sumptuousness in comparing the artist
to God, "allowed none save his collab-
orators and closest friends to see it."\textsuperscript{18}
65. Hand of God
1898
Plaster, 28\(\frac{3}{4}\) x 25 x 26 in.
Inside the Hand of God is a mass of primeval matter, resembling quarried stone and recalling the “unfinished” works of Michelangelo. From the mass emerges a couple embracing, perhaps Adam and Eve. Their destiny can be that of the bliss of The Kiss or the misery of The Gates of Hell. The Hand of God was Rodin's first and most ambitious enlargement of a study of a hand, and it may have derived from the right hand for Pierre de Wiessant of The Burghers of Calais. The large plaster Hand of God on exhibit here was given to B. Gerald Cantor by the Musée Rodin in recognition of his efforts to encourage the appreciation of Rodin.

The Large Clenched Left Hand gropes upward from a bent wrist, with fingers twisted in agony, pain, or anger. It, like the Hand of God, may have been derived from a study of a hand for The Burghers of Calais. Its distended form exposes a terrible tension; not surprisingly, it has been photographed and reproduced in medical textbooks as an example of deformity.
67. The Cathedral
1908, cast 1955
Bronze, 25¼ x 12¾ x 13½ in.

The Cathedral and Study for the Secret are similar in composition and mystical effect. In each, two hands extend outstretched fingers upward, joining at the tips. Both are simple symbols: the hands in prayer form a cathedral, while the hands held tight grasp a secret. 44 Although each work was made before it was titled, it has been suggested that The Cathedral grew out of Rodin’s intense interest in Gothic architecture, which culminated in the publication of his Cathedrals of France, and that the Study for the Secret derived from a probable interest in the images of a Renaissance book of emblems. 45 The pair of hands in each composition are formed not by two corresponding hands but by a replication of right hands. Thus, notes Leo Steinberg, these sculptures are “forever caught in marveling reciprocal self-contemplation.” 46

Three weeks before Rodin died in November 1917, one of his assistants, Paul Cuer, made a life cast of Rodin’s right hand. Into the hand was placed the small torso of a female, a fragment most likely connected with The Gates
of Hell. The Hand of Rodin with Torso recalls both Rodin’s Hand of God and Hand of the Devil Holding Woman. Elsen suggests that the female torso may have been placed in his hand not only as a final vindication of the old charge of casting from life but also as an eloquent statement in support of the fragment as a complete work of beauty.44 Judith Cladel, in commenting on the circumstances of the casting, says, “Cruet excelled, but unfortunately . . . the feeling of life and thinking were missing.”45 Rodin’s modeling was indeed truer than life, and perhaps no better tribute can be paid to him than the observation that his sculpture always possessed “life and thinking.”

68. Study for the Secret
Ca. 1910, cast 1956
Bronze, 4¼ x 2¼ x 2 in.
69. Hand of Rodin with Torso
1917, cast 1971
Bronze, 8\(\frac{3}{8}\) x 7\(\frac{3}{8}\) x 3\(\frac{3}{4}\) in.
Notes

Introduction


7. The Musée Rodin was given the right to cast Rodin's works posthumously. Until 1981 the editions of the Musée Rodin were limited to twelve casts, numbered 1/12–12/12, which bore the mark of the foundry, the copyright of the Musée, and, obligatory only after 1968, the date of the casting. Since 1982 the first eight casts, numbered 1/8–8/8, have been available to the public at large and may be sold freely; the last four casts, numbered 9/14–14/14, have been reserved for French and foreign cultural institutions. For these rules, see the statement of the Musée Rodin, "Conditions of the Edition of Bronze Works of Art by the Musée Rodin, Dated 30 April 1982." In the United States, the 1974 *Statement of Standards on the Reproduction of Sculptures*, developed by the College Art Association and subscribed to by the Art Dealer's Association of America, the Association of Art Museum Directors, and Artists Equity, established standards of authenticity: posthumous casts by the Musée Rodin are considered authentic, legal, and ethical; unauthorized casts are considered counterfeit. For a full discussion of these issues, including the question of originality, see also Sylvia Hochfield, "Flagrant Abuses, Pernicious Practices and Counterfeit Sculpture Are Widespread," originally published in *Art News* (November 1974), pp. 21–29. The Hochfield essay, together with several other interesting case studies, are reproduced, along with commentary, in chapter 6 of John Henry Merryman and Albert E. Olsen, *Law, Ethics, and the Visual Arts*, vol. 2 (1979).
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25. Cladel, Rodin, p. 139.

Portraits

2. Rodin quoted in Story, Rodin, p. 15.
5. Tancock, Sculpture of Auguste Rodin, p. 19.
7. Shaw quoted in Olsen, Rodin, p. 126.
13. For a documented analysis of this controversy, see Hare, “Portraiture of Auguste Rodin,” vol. 1, pp. 90–99.
14. Accounts of Jean-Baptiste Rodin’s appearance as smooth-shaven or bearded vary during the period Rodin sculpted this bust. For discussion, see Cladel, Rodin, p. 17; Ruth Butler Mirolli, “The Early Work of Rodin and Its Background” (1966), pp. 96–97; Marcelle Tirel, The Last Years of Rodin (1925), pp. 86–81.
15. For further discussion and examples of Rodin’s “decorative” early portraits, see Mirolli, “Early Work,” pp. 116–20, 131; Tancock, Sculpture of Auguste Rodin, pp. 576–84.
18. Dating of The Mask of Rose Beuveret, sometimes referred to as The Mask of Madame Rodin, and its


20. Ibid., p. 347.


23. Pope Benedict XV did not take a public position at the start of the First World War and was criticized for not condemning Germany for its invasion of neutral Belgium. Italy, however, had not yet entered the war, and the pope believed that to achieve diplomatic flexibility his silence was required. He had been Papal Nuncio in Paris, and his selection of Albert Benard and Rodin, both French, to do his portrait and bust should properly be interpreted as a signal of his sympathies, for the pope’s smallest gesture was seen as a revelation. Pope Benedict worked for peace and was later called the “Pope of Peace.” See H. E. G. Rope, The Pope of Peace (1941), and Cladel, Rodin, p. 251.
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41. In the enlarged version of The Secret (33½ x 19 x 15½ in.), the hands hold what appears to be a scroll or sacred tablets. In 1910 this version was exhibited in London under the title Hands Holding the Sacred Tablets; see Grappe, Catalogue du Musée Rodin, p. 133, no. 415.

42. For discussions of these sources, see Tancock, Sculpture of Auguste Rodin, pp. 628–29, 634–36; Frisch and Shipley, Auguste Rodin: A Biography, p. 19, for The Cathedral; Story, Rodin, pp. 17–18, for The Cathedral and The Secret.

43. Steinberg, Other Criteria, p. 358.

44. Elsen, The Partial Figure, p. 28.

45. Cladel quoted in Laurent and Merle, Rodin, les mains, p. 76.
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In the cataloging of the bronze objects on exhibition, the date of the working model is given first, followed by the date of the casting. Where dimensions are cited, height precedes width followed by depth.

Camille Claudel

1. The Three Shades
1881–86, cast 1969
Bronze, 38 7/8 x 37 x 20 1/2 in.
Signed: A. Rodin (right base)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1969 (rear edge of base); Georges Rudier / Fondéur Paris (rear base)

2. Fallen Caryatid with Stone
Ca. 1881, cast 1981
Bronze, 52 x 33 x 39 in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 1 (front base)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1981; Courbetin Foundry seal with a flower flanked by the letters F and C (rear base)
The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Gift of Iris and B. Gerald Cantor, 1985, 1985.56.2

3. Fallen Caryatid with Urn
1883, cast 1981
Bronze, 45 1/2 x 36 1/4 x 31 1/2 in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 3 (front base)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin; Courbetin Foundry seal with a flower flanked by the letters F and C (rear base)
The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Gift of Iris and B. Gerald Cantor, 1985, 1985.56.1

4. Drawing of Ugolino and His Son
Ca. 1875–80
Ink, 5 3/8 x 3 3/8 in.
Signed: AR
Inscribed: Ugolino (lower right);
Planche (upper left, upside down)
B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation

5. Ugolino and His Sons
1882
Plaster, 16 1/8 x 25 1/2 x 15 in.
B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation

6. Torso of Ugolino’s Son
1882, cast 1960
Bronze, 9 1/2 x 7 1/2 x 4 1/2 in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 2 (right thigh)
Marks: E. Godard / Fondéur (left thigh); © by Musée Rodin 1960 (back of right thigh)
The Brooklyn Museum, Gift of B. Gerald Cantor Collections, 84.76

7. Head of Sorrow
1882, cast 1963
Bronze, 9 x 9 x 10 1/2 in.
Signed: A. Rodin (neck, left side)
Marks: Georges Rudier / Fondéur Paris (rear base); © by Musée Rodin 1963 (neck, right side)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

8. Fugitive Love
1881
Bronze, 20 1/4 x 33 x 15 in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 2 (front base)
Marks: Alexis Rudier / Fondéur Paris (rear base); Rodin (impressed underside)
B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation

9. The Prodigal Son
Ca. 1885–87, cast 1969
Bronze, 54 1/4 x 34 1/2 x 28 5/8 in.
Signed: A. Rodin (top front base)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1969 (right side base); Georges Rudier / Fondéur Paris (rear base)
The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Gift of B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation, 84.75.4

10. Paolo and Francesca
1889, cast 1981
Bronze, 12 1/8 x 22 1/2 x 14 1/4 in.
Signed: A. Rodin (front base)
Marks: Georges Rudier / Fondéur Paris / © by Musée Rodin 1981 (rear base)
The Brooklyn Museum, Gift of B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation, 86.1

11. Crouching Woman
1880–82, cast 1963
Bronze, 37 1/4 x 25 x 22 in.
Signed: A. Rodin (top base, below left foot)
12. I Am Beautiful
Ca. 1881–82, cast 1968
Bronze, 27¼ x 12 x 12⁵⁄₁₈ in.
Signed: A. Rodin (base, under right knee)
Marks: Je suis belle, ô Montels, Comme un rêve de pierre / Et mon sein, où Chacun s’est méenri tour à tour / Est fait pour inspirer au poète un Amour / Éternel et muet ainsi que la matière (lower base, inscribed); © by Musée Rodin 1968 (left side base); Georges Rudier/Fondeur Paris (base edge, rear of male figure)
Jay S. Cantor

13. Danaïd
Ca. 1884–85
Bronze, 8½ x 15½ x 10⅛ in.
Signed: A. Rodin (top base)
Marks: Gruet Fondeur Paris (base, below right leg)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

14. Despair
Ca. 1890, cast 1967
Bronze, 12¼ x 12 x 9 in.
Signed: A. Rodin (rear base)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1967/Georges Rudier Fondeur Paris (rear base)
B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation

15. Fragment of the Right Pilaster of the Gates of Hell
Ca. 1900, cast 1982
Bronze, 10⅜ x 2¼ x 1¼ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / 4/8 (front bottom)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1982 (lower right edge); E. Godard/Fond. (lower left edge)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

16. Embracing Couple
1898, cast 1979
Bronze, 4½ x 2½ x ½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin (lower left)
Marks: No. 9 (front center); © by Musée Rodin 1979 (right edge); G. Rudier/Fond. Paris (bottom edge)


17. Protection
1916
Bronze, 3¼ x 1½ x ¾ in.
Signed: Rodin (front lower center)
Marks: PROTECTION / COMPOSE PAR / AUGUSTE RODIN / POUR / THE FRENCH ACTORS FUND / AU PROFIT DE L’AIDE AUX ARTISTES / ET EMPLOYES DE THEATRE / EN COLLABORATION / AVEC / L’ASSOCIATION DES / DIRECTEURS DE THEATRE / DE PARIS / PARIS. NEW-YORK / MCMXVI (back)
The Brooklyn Museum, Gift of B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation, 84.210.1

18. The Creator
Ca. 1900, cast 1983
Bronze, 16 x 14¼ x 2½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 3/8 (front lower center)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1983; Cobertin Foundry seal with a flower flanked by the letters F and C (front lower edge)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

19. The First Maquette for the Burghers of Calais
1884
Bronze, 24 x 14½ x 13½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 1 (front top base)
Marks: E. Godard/Fond/ Paris; © by Musée Rodin (lower base, right side)
The Brooklyn Museum, Gift of B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation, 84.75.19

20. Eustache de Saint-Pierre, Second Maquette
1885, cast 1971
Bronze, 27½ x 12 x 11½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin No. 3 (front top base)
Marks: Susse Fondeur Paris (rear base); © by Musée Rodin 1971 (front base)
Stanford University Museum of Art, Gift of B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation, 74.102

21. Eustache de Saint-Pierre, Nude Study
1885–86, cast 1965
Bronze, 3½ x 13 x 17 in.
Signed: A. Rodin No. 4 (top base, behind left foot)
Marks: Georges Rudier/Fondeur Paris; © by Musée Rodin 1965 (rear base)
The Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco, Gift of B. Gerald Cantor, 1968.7

22. Eustache de Saint-Pierre, Final Head
By 1889, cast 1983
Bronze, 16 x 9¼ x 11½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 4 (front base)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1983; Cobertin Foundry seal with a flower flanked by the letters F and C (rear base)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

23. Eustache de Saint-Pierre, Grand Model
1885–86, cast 1983
Bronze, 85 x 30 x 48 in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 3/8 (top base, between feet)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1983; Cobertin Foundry seal with a flower flanked by the letters F and C (rear base)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

24. Jean d’Aire, Second Maquette
1885, cast 1970
Bronze, 27½ x 9½ x 9¼ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 1 (top base)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1970; Susse Fondeur Paris (rear base)
B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation

25. Bust of Jean d’Aire
By 1887
Plaster, 19 x 23½ x 16 in.
National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C., Gift of B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation, 1984.85.1

26. Pierre de Wiessant, Nude Study
1885, cast before 1952
Bronze, 26½ x 14½ x 11½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin (front base)
Marks: Alexis Rudier/Fondeur Paris (rear base); A. Rodin (impressed underside)
27. Pierre de Wiessant, Second Maquette
1885, cast 1970
Bronze, 28 x 12⅓ x 12⅓ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 1 (front top base)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1985; Coubertin Foundry seal with a flower flanked by the letters F and C (rear base, left side)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

33. Study for Claude Lorrain
1889, cast 1981
Bronze, 20 x 7⅜ x 8½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 2 (front base, below left foot)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1981 (front base, below left foot); E. Godard / Fond* (rear base)
The Brooklyn Museum, Gift of B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation, 1984.75.21

34. Balzac in Frock Coat
1891–92, cast 1980
Bronze, 23⅜ x 9⅝ x 11¼ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 10 (left base)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1980 (left base); E. Godard / Fond* (rear base)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

35. Balzac in Dominican Robe
1891–92, cast 1971
Bronze, 41⅞ x 20½ x 20 in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 1 (right base)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1971 (right base)
The Brooklyn Museum, Gift of B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation, 1984.75.22

36. Naked Balzac with Folded Arms
1892 or 1893, cast 1972
Bronze, 50½ x 27⅞ x 22¼ in.
Signed: A. Rodin (top base)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1972 (base, below left foot); Georges Rudier / Fond* (rear base)
The Brooklyn Museum, Gift of B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation, 1984.75.198

38. Balzac Head R
1892–93, cast 1980
Bronze, 12 x 12¼ x 9½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin No. 6 (left shoulder)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1980 (left shoulder); Georges Rudier / Fond* (rear lower neck); A. Rodin (impressed underside)

39. Monumental Head of Balzac
1897, cast 1976
Bronze, 20½ x 20½ x 16½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 6 (front neck)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1976 / Georges Rudier / Fond* (rear, right side)
The Brooklyn Museum, Gift of B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation, 1984.75.23

40. Final Study for the Monument to Balzac
1897, cast 1972
Bronze, 41⅞ x 17⅞ x 16½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin No. 1 (right front base)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1972 (right edge of base); Georges Rudier / Fond* (rear base)
The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Gift of B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation, 1984.364.15

41. Jean-Baptiste Rodin
1866, cast 1980
Bronze, 16½ x 11¼ x 9½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 1 (front lower right)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1980 (left rear base); E. Godard / Fond* (right rear base)

42. Young Girl with Flowers in Her Hair
Ca. 1868
Terra-cotta, 14 x 7⅞ x 8 in.
Signed: A. Rodin (left shoulder)
B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation

43. Mask of Rose Beuret
1880–82
Plaster, 11 x 6½ x 6½ in.
44. Mrs. Russell
1888
Bronze, 13 ¼ x 10 x 10 ¼ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 8 (rear edge, left shoulder)
Marks: Georges Rudier / Fondeur Paris (rear edge, right shoulder);
A. Rodin (impressed underside);
© by Musée Rodin 1980 (left rear, bottom edge)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

50. Dance Movement E
Ca. 1910–11, cast 1956
Bronze, 14 x 4 ½ x 8 in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 5 (sole of right foot)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1956 (right hand)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

51. Dance Movement F
1911
Bronze, 11 x 10 ½ x 5 ½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 10 (sole of left foot)
Marks: © (sole of left foot)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

52. Dance Movement G
Ca. 1910–13, cast before 1952
Bronze, 13 x 4 ½ x 3 ½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin (sole of right foot)
Marks: Alexis Rudier / Fondeur Paris (sole of left foot)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

53. Dance Movement H
Ca. 1910, cast before 1952
Bronze, 11 x 5 ¼ x 5 ½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin (sole of left foot)
Marks: Alexis Rudier / Fondeur Paris (left foot)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

54. Pas de Deux B
Ca. 1910–11, cast 1965
Bronze, 13 x 7 ½ x 6 in.
Signed: A. Rodin (right hand, front figure)
Marks: No. 8 (left foot, front figure);
G. Rudier / Fondeur Paris (sole of right foot, rear figure);
© by Musée Rodin 1965 (sole of right foot, front figure)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

55. Pas de Deux G
Ca. 1910–13, cast 1967
Bronze, 13 ½ x 6 ½ x 6 ½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 6 (sole of left foot, lower figure)
Marks: Georges Rudier / Fondeur Paris (right foot, lower figure);
© by Musée Rodin 1967 (right foot, lower figure)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

56. Nijinsky
1912
Plaster, 7½ x 3¼ x 3½ in.
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

57. Head of Nijinsky
1912, cast after 1967
Bronze, 2½ x 1½ x 1½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 8 (neck, left side)
Marks: G. Rodier / Fond. Paris (rear base)
The Metropolitan Museum of Art,
Gift of B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation, 1984, 1984.364.8

58. Torso of the Walking Man
Ca. 1877–78, cast 1929
Bronze, 21½ x 9½ x 8½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 3 (right leg)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1979;
Coubertin Foundry seal with a flower flanked by the letters F and C (right leg)
The Metropolitan Museum of Art,
Gift of B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation, 1984, 1984.364.1

59. The Prayer
1919, cast 1980
Bronze, 49½ x 21½ x 19½ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / No. 8 (front base)
Marks: E. Godard Fond / © by Musée Rodin 1980 (rear base)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

60. Iris, Messenger of the Gods
Ca. 1890–91, cast 1969
Bronze, 38 x 35½ x 17 in.
Signed: A. Rodin (sole of right foot)
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1969 (right plinth);
Georges Rudier / Fondeur Paris (rear plinth)
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

61. Iris, Messenger of the Gods, with Head
1890 (?), cast before 1981
Bronze, 6½ x 3½ x 2¼ in.
Signed: A. Rodin / E. Godard / Fond (left leg)
The Metropolitan Museum of Art,
62. Flying Figure  
Ca. 1890–91, cast 1975  
Bronze, 20⅛ x 29⅛ x 12 in.  
Signed: A. Rodin (right top base)  
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1975 (left side base); Georges Rudier/Fondeur Paris (rear base)  

63. Meditation Without Arms  
1896–97, cast 1983  
Bronze, 57⅛ x 30 x 20 in.  
Signed: A. Rodin/No. 6/8 (right top base)  
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1983;  
Coubertin Foundry seal with a flower flanked by the letters F and C (rear base)  
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

64. Spirit of Eternal Repose Without Head and Arms  
1899, cast 1980  
Bronze, 33⅔ x 15⅞ x 13⅞ in.  
Signed: A. Rodin (left front base)  
Marks: No. 1 (right front edge of base); Coubertin Foundry seal with a flower flanked by the letters F and C (rear base); © by Musée Rodin 1980 (back edge of base)  

65. Hand of God  
1898  
Plaster, 28½ x 25 x 26 in.  
Signed: A. Rodin (base, under thumb)  
Marks: La Main de Dieu offerte par le/Musée Rodin à Monsieur  
B. Gerald Cantor (base, inscribed)  
B. Gerald Cantor Collections

66. Large Clenched Left Hand  
Ca. 1885, cast 1974  
Bronze, 18⅛ x 10⅛ x 7⅛ in.  
Signed: A. Rodin No. 10 (base); A. Rodin (impressed underside)  
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1974 (base); Georges Rudier/Fondeur Paris (base)  

67. The Cathedral  
1908, cast 1955  
Bronze, 25¼ x 12¼ x 13½ in.  
Signed: A. Rodin (top base)  
Marks: Georges Rudier/Fondeur Paris  
© by Musée Rodin 1955 (side base)  
B. Gerald Cantor Art Foundation

68. Study for the Secret  
Ca. 1910, cast 1956  
Bronze, 4¼ x 2¼ x 2 in.  
Signed: A. Rodin (base)  
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1956;  
G. Rudier Fondeur Paris (base)  

69. Hand of Rodin with Torso  
1917, cast 1971  
Bronze, 8⅛ x 7½ x 3¾ in.  
Signed: A. Rodin (wrist)  
Marks: © by Musée Rodin 1971 (edge of wrist); Georges Rudier/Fondeur Paris (outer wrist)  
Chronology

1840

1851–54
Attends boarding school at Beauvais.

1854–57
Attends the École Impériale Spéciale de Dessin et de Mathématiques (Petite École). Studies with Horace Lecoq de Boisbaudran; learns to draw from memory. Fellow students include Alphonse Legros and Jean-Charles Cazin.
At the Petite École, copies works by French eighteenth-century artists, such as Boucher, van Loo, Bouchardon, Clodion.
1855. At the age of fifteen, receives a second prize for drawing from memory and is admitted to the sculptor Fort’s class.
Studies at the Louvre and the Galerie des Estampes of the Bibliothèque Impériale. Attends drawing course taught by Lucas at the Manufacture des Gobelins in the evenings.
During this period, also attends courses at the Collège de France and reads Hugo, Musset, Lamartine, Homer, Virgil, and Dante.
1856. Receives a second prize for drawing flowers.
1857. Receives first prizes for drawing and for modeling ornaments after the antique.
Applies to École des Beaux-Arts (Grande École) on advice of Étienne-Hippolyte Maindron, a sculptor who had seen Rodin’s drawings; fails entrance exam the first time and in subsequent years as well. Drawings later accepted at École des Beaux-Arts, but then fails sculpture exam. Rejection from École des Beaux-Arts proves difficult, as graduation from the school is essential for Salon acceptance and commissions for important public works.

1858–62
Works at odd jobs to support family: commercial decorating, mixing plaster, removing mold marks from sculpture.
Learns modeling in depth through work for the plasterer Constant Simon; gains thorough appreciation of every aspect of the sculptor’s craft.
1860. Completes bust of his father, earliest surviving sculpture; portrait is classical in manner and highly refined.

1863
Father Pierre-Julien Eymard, founder of the order, recognizes Rodin’s artistic ability and encourages him to continue. Rodin sculpts bust of Father Eymard.
End of May. Leaves order and returns to Paris; rents studio for use as studio.
Starts work on The Man with the Broken Nose.
Joins Union Centrale des Arts Décoratifs (members: Delacroix, Ingres, Lecoq de Boisbaudran, Dumas père, Théophile Gautier); meets Jean-Baptiste Carpeaux.
Supports himself by working for the ornament maker Bies and the jeweler Fanîères.
Travels to Strasbourg and works in the Gothic style for a marchand de bons dieux.

1864
Studies animal anatomy with Antoine-Louis Barye at the Musée d’Histoire Naturelle, attends anatomy classes at the Ecole de Médecine, and continues work for decorators and commercial sculptors.
Completes *The Man with the Broken Nose* and submits the plaster, under the title *Mask*, to the Paris Salon, which rejects it.

**1864—65**

Meets Rose Beuret (b. 1844) while working on the Théâtre des Gobelins; she becomes his mistress.

Carves caryatids for the Théâtre des Gobelins; works on a life-size *Bacchante*, using Rose as a model. (Other *décors* include chimney ornamentation for the Théâtre Gaité-Lyrique, a pediment for the Panorama des Champs-Elysées, and reliefs for the Louvre’s Salle de Rubens.)

Meets Albert-Ernest Carrier-Belleuse through the photographer Charles Aubrey; works part time in Carrier-Belleuse’s studio, rue de la Tour-D’Auvergne, for the next six years, producing statues that ultimately bear Carrier-Belleuse’s name. Independently works on busts of young women in the manner of Carrier-Belleuse and Carpeaux.

Submits *The Man with the Broken Nose* to the Salon for the second time; it is again rejected.

**1866**

January 18. Rose bears a son, Auguste-Eugène Beuret (d. April 22, 1934); due to an accident, the boy suffers permanent mental damage. He becomes a disappointment for Rodin; the two are never close.

**1870**

Enlists in the 158th Regiment of the National Guard, in response to the Franco-Prussian War, and attains the rank of corporal; discharged for myopia.

**1871**

Work is scarce in wartime Paris; in February 1871 Rodin goes to Brussels to work for Carrier-Belleuse on the Brussels Stock Exchange, and lives in Brussels until fall 1877. During his absence, Rose and son, living in poverty, temporarily stay in Paris; his mother dies.

Executes busts of M. and Mme Garnier.

First exhibition, in Belgium (Brussels or Antwerp).

**1872**

Rose joins Rodin in Brussels. Rodin works alone at night to save money; hires a stone carver to make a marble version of *The Man with the Broken Nose*, which he sends to the Brussels Salon and which is later accepted at the Paris Salon of 1875 under the title *Portrait of M.B.*—

Models *Szven* and *Dosia*, for which the Compagnie des Bronzes purchases reproduction rights and which it subsequently casts in large numbers.

Exhibits plaster *Bust of M.B.*— at the Brussels Salon, and perhaps the *Alsatian Woman* as well (not in catalogue).

**1873**

Executes a number of oil paintings in the Forest of Soignes; visits the Musée de Moulages and draws from plaster casts; visits the Gothic church of Saint-Gudule.

Friends during this period include Constantine Meunier, Juliaan Dillens, Paul de Vigne, and the engraver Gustave Biot.

About January. First exhibition outside Belgium, at the International Exposition, London; exhibits three decorative busts.

February 12. Enters partnership with Antoine van Rashourgh, a former employee of Carrier-Belleuse from Belgium; their twenty-year agreement (dissolved 1877) calls for each sculptor to sign the work destined for his respective country, but van Rashourgh signs all Rodin’s work.

Between July and October. Exhibits terra-cottas (?) at the Vienna International Exposition.
1874
Completes several decorative works for buildings in Brussels, including Caryatids of Saint Gilles for a home on boulevard Anspach and the Allegory of the Arts and Sciences for the Palais des Académies.
Travels to Antwerp with van Rasburgh to make a commemorative monument to Burgomaster J. F. Loos for Jules Pecher, a wealthy patron and shipowner with aspirations to be a sculptor; with the artists’ permission, Pecher signs his own name to the completed work.
Finds work of Michelangelo and Rubens increasingly interesting.
Exhibits at the Ghent Salon.

1875
Returns to Brussels from Antwerp.
Carves a head of Beethoven, two genii, and two caryatids in the main court of the Royal Conservatory of Music, Brussels.
June. Begins work on The Bronze Age; model is a Belgian soldier/telegrapher, Auguste Neyt.

1876
Winter 1875–March 1876. Departs for Italy to study Michelangelo, leaving Rose in charge of the Brussels studio. Travels through Reims, Turin, Genoa, Pisa, Florence, Naples, and Rome. Studies Michelangelo’s tombs in the sacristy of San Lorenzo; sketches in the evenings following Michelangelo’s principles absorbed during the day’s studies. Donatello’s work also impresses him.
About March(?). Returns to Brussels from Italy and resumes work on The Bronze Age, which is finished by the end of 1876.
Executes earliest version of Ugolino and His Sons, which he ultimately destroys, except for Ugolino’s body.
Exhibits The Renaissance, Loving Thoughts, The Rose, Alsatian Woman, and other works at the Philadelphia Centennial Exposition in the Belgian section; first exhibition in America.

1877
January. Exhibits The Bronze Age for the first time, under its original title, The Vanquished, at the Exposition du Cercle Artistique, Brussels. Accused of casting the figure from life.
May 1–June 20. Exhibits The Bronze Age (plaster) at the Paris Salon and is again criticized for casting from life.
August 31. Rodin–van Rasburgh partnership dissolved.
Fall. Tours French cathedrals extensively en route back to Paris with Rose.
Begins Saint John the Baptist Preaching with Pignatelli as his model; scales it larger than life to avoid earlier accusations of casting from life.

1878
Continues to earn his livelihood through commercial art, doing furniture decoration and jewelry design. While working in the studio of the sculptor André Laouste, carves decorative masks for the keystones of an arcade in the Palais du Trocadero, for the 1878 Salon exhibition (wins gold medal under Laouste’s name in the industrial art division).
May 15–July 5. Exhibits bronze of The Man with the Broken Nose, titled Portrait of M.—, under his own name at the same Salon, but receives no recognition.

1879
Submits entry, The Call to Arms, in the competition sponsored by the city of Paris for a monument to commemorate the city’s defense during the Franco-Prussian
War; entry not mentioned by jury.
Unsuccessful in competition for bust of the Republic for Paris's thirteenth
arrondissement's new town hall with entry later entitled Bellona.
Spring. Goes to Nice to work for the sculptor Cordier on the decoration of the
Villa Neptune, promenada des Anglais. Later travels to Marseilles to work
under Fourquet on the decoration of the Palais des Beaux-Arts.
May 12–June 30. Exhibits bronzed plaster bust of Saint John the Baptist Preaching
and terracotta Portrait of Mme. A.C.—(Mme Crochet) at the Paris Salon.
June–December 1882. Becomes an employee of the Manufacture de Sèvres at the
invitation of Carrier-Belleuse, the new director; makes sculptural friezes for
vases.

1880

Begins to frequent the salon of Mme Edmond Adam, also attended by Gambetta,
Waldeck-Rousseau, Antonin Proust, Castagnary, Sully-Prudhomme, Loti,
Carolus-Duran, and Gounod.
Introduced to Edmond Turquet, Antonin Proust's successor as undersecretary of
fine arts, by the painter Maurice Haquet.
May 1–June 20. Exhibits The Bronze Age (life-size, bronze) and, for the first time,
Saint John the Baptist Preaching (life-size, plaster) at the Paris Salon. The Bronze
Age wins a third-class medal, and The Bronze Age plaster is bought by the
French government for 2,000 francs.
July. Given studios in the state-owned Dépôt des Marbres, 182, rue de l'Université.
July 17. Officially invited to design a monumental portal with sculptural reliefs for
the proposed Museum of Decorative Arts; selects Dante's Divine Comedy as the
subject for what will become The Gates of Hell.
August 16. Receives first payment, 8,000 francs, for the Gates commission.
November 19. Receives commission for a statue of d'Alembert for the Hôtel de
Ville, Paris.
During latter part of year, assembles full-scale architectural framework of The
Gates of Hell.
Completes Adam and related work, The Shade; models The Thinker and begins The
Helmet-Maker's Wife and the Crouching Woman.

1881

The Gates of Hell and related works, such as Eve and Fallen Caryatid with Stone,
occupy most of Rodin's time, although he also enters a competition for a
monument to Lazare Carnot, unsuccessfully, and begins a series of portraits of
significant contemporaries that includes Alphonse Legros and Jean-Paul
Laures.
May 2–June 20. Exhibits plaster Creation of Man (Adam) (grand model) and Saint
John the Baptist Preaching (life-size, bronze) at the Paris Salon; Saint John the
Baptist Preaching is purchased by the French government.
Between July 22 and September 1. Makes first of many visits to England, where
his friend Alphonse Legros introduces him to the drypoint engraving
technique. In England, he meets William Ernest Henley, director of the
Magazine of Art, Robert Louis Stevenson, John Singer Sargent, Gustave Natorp,
and Robert Browning.

1882

Completes busts of Legros and Carrier-Belleuse, as well as the statue of
d'Alembert for the Hotel de Ville, Paris.
Begins work on a Ugolino group, again using Pignatelli as model.
Meets Mme Lynch de Morla Vicuña, wife of the Chilean diplomat, who
introduces him to Parisian society; numerous portrait commissions follow.
April 1–September 30. Exhibits The Bronze Age and Saint John the Baptist Preaching at the International Exposition, Vienna.

April 24. Exhibits Saint John the Baptist Preaching (bust) at the Royal Academy, London, and The Man with the Broken Nose and Alphonse Legros (both bronzes) at the Grosvenor Gallery, London.


End of May–June. Returns to England; stays with Gustave Natorp.

September–December. Works at Manufacture de Sévres under Carrier-Belleuse, then officially resigns.

1882–83. Supervises a sculpture class in the absence of Alfred Boucher.

1883

Meets Camille Claudel, who becomes his mistress and poses for Aurora (1885), Thought (1886), and France (1904), among other works; she also makes many of the small hands and feet used in his sculpture.

Continues series of portrait busts, including Jules Dalou, Maurice Haquette, and Henri Becque; befriends Victor Hugo and sculpts a portrait bust of him.

February. Exhibits a ceramic work for the first time, at the Exposition de “L’Art,” avenue de l’Opéra, Paris.

February–March. First known exhibition of his drawings, at the Cercle des Arts Libéraux.

March 1–?. Exhibits The Man with the Broken Nose (bronze) at the Universal Exposition, Amsterdam; first exhibition in Holland.

May 1–June 20. Exhibits the bronze bust Danielli and bronze Portrait of M. A. Legros at the Paris Salon.

May–July. Exhibits Saint John the Baptist Preaching (large plaster) and busts Carrier-Belleuse and J.-P. Laurens, among other works, at the Egyptian Hall, London, organized by the Dudley Gallery.

August. Exhibits bronze bust of Saint John the Baptist Preaching at the International Exposition, Munich; first exhibition in Germany.

September–November 15. Exhibits The Bronze Age and Saint John the Baptist Preaching at the Triennial Salon, Paris.

October 26. Rodin’s father dies.

1884

Moves to a larger studio at 117, rue de Vaugirard.

Completes busts of Henri Rochefort, Mme Alfred Roll, William E. Henley, Antonin Proust, Mme Lynch de Morla Vicuña, and Camille Claudel.

Submits first maquette for The Burghers of Calais monument competition sponsored by the Calais Municipal Council.

Works simultaneously on The Burghers of Calais and The Gates of Hell, working on the former in the rue de Vaugirard studio and on the latter at the Dépôt des MARBRES.

Meets the sculptor Medardo Rosso in Dalou’s studio.

Exhibits ceramics at the Union Centrale des Arts Décoratifs, Paris.


Before September 24. Exhibits The Bronze Age at the Royal Academy, London.

November. The Bronze Age is placed in the Luxembourg Gardens. Rodin moves to 71, rue de Bourgogne.

1885


August. Submits second maquette for The Burghers of Calais; design accepted. Works on the figures individually, both nude and draped.

1886

Completion of The Burghers of Calais delayed because of financial crisis in Calais. Project for a monument to Jules Bastien-Lepage is accepted; receives additional commissions for monuments to Benjamin Vicuña-Mackenna and General Patrick Lynch, both to be erected in Chile.

Between 1886 and 1888, completes series of illustrations for Baudelaire’s Les Fleurs du mal (not published until 1898, in a private edition by Gallimard).

May. Stays with Gustave Natoport in London.


1887

Exhibits The Kiss (bronze), a bronze maquette of the Monument to Bastien-Lepage, Bust of Mme Roll (marble), and three figures from The Burghers of Calais, among other works, at the Galerie Georges Petit, Paris.

December 21. Rodin is notified of his nomination to the jury for the 1889 Exposition Universelle.

December 31. Receives the Cross of the Chevalier of the Legion of Honor.

1888

January 31. Receives state commission for a marble version of The Kiss.

May 1–June 30. Exhibits marble Portrait of Mme M.V.—(Mme Vicuña) at the Paris Salon.

April 7. Elected to the sculpture jury of the Salon des Artistes Français.

July 20. Bust of Mme Vicuña purchased by the French government for 3,000 francs.

Exhibits two figures from The Burghers of Calais at the seventh International Exhibition of Painting and Sculpture, Galerie Georges Petit, Paris (?)

1889


April 7. Elected a member of the sculpture jury of the Salon des Artistes Français, due in part to the intercession of the painter Eugène Carrière.

April 8. Receives commission for the Monument to Claude Lorrain to be erected in Nancy.


June 21–August. Exhibits jointly with Claude Monet at the Galerie Georges Petit, Paris; Rodin shows thirty-six works, among them the complete ensemble of The Burghers of Calais, on view for the first time, as are twenty-one of the other works. The exhibition confirms his reputation; supporters now include the period’s outstanding critics, Camille Mauclair, Gustave Geffroy, Octave Mirbeau, and Roger Marx.

July–August. Travels to Toulouse by way of the Loire Valley châteaux and immerses himself in a study of architecture; then travels to Clermont-Ferrand, Albi, Rodez, and Bayonne.

September 16. Receives commission from the French government for the Monument to Victor Hugo, to be erected in the Panthéon; as a first project he
creates a seated figure surrounded by muses rather than the standing figure requested.

September 29. The Monument to Bastien-Lepage unveiled in the cemetery at Danvillers.


1890
Moves from Paris to Bellevue; occupies house at 8, chemin Scribe.

Becomes a founding member of the Société Nationale des Beaux-Arts with Dalou, Meissonier, Carrière, and Puvis de Chavannes.

Begins bust of Puvis de Chavannes.

April, then September-October. Travels in Touraine and Anjou; visits Rodez and Saumur as well and studies architecture there.


June 11. Monument to Castagnary unveiled at Montmartre Cemetery.

July 19. Second project for the Victor Hugo monument is also rejected for installation at the Panthéon.

Fall or winter. Rodin begins work on the third project for the Victor Hugo monument to be erected in the Panthéon, the "Apotheosis"; important related works are Flying Figure and Iris, Messenger of the Gods.


1891
Continues work on Victor Hugo; the monument for the Panthéon is never delivered. The second project is considered for installation in the Luxembourg Gardens.

Receives commission for a funerary medallion of the composer César Franck (d. November 8, 1890); completed May 1891.


July. Receives commission from the Société des Gens de Lettres for a monument to Balzac, thanks largely to its president, Emile Zola.

August-October. Visits Tours and the Indre Valley, making sketches of Balzac's home province.

1891-92. Reads widely and makes studies of Balzac's head, using models from Balzac's native Touraine and portraits by other artists.

1892
Begins a commission for the Monument to Baudelaire, later aborted for lack of funds.

By February. Completes a standing draped figure of the Balzac; also works on a standing nude figure with arms crossed, in the stance of a wrestler.


May 31-June 7. Travels to Nancy.

June 6. The Claude Lorrain monument is unveiled in Nancy, to strong criticism, and Rodin—against his better judgment—modifies the pedestal with Apollo and his chariot.

July 19. Appointed Officer of the Legion of Honor.

August 5-10. Travels to Nancy.
1893
Health declines, and work on the Balzac slows.
Elected president of the sculpture division and vice-president of the Société
Bronze medallion for César Franck placed on his tomb at Montparnasse
Cemetery.
Fame spreads in Germany because of Count Kessler's writings.
Exhibits three sculptures in the Chicago Columbian Exposition; second
exhibition in America.
May 10–July 10. Exhibits plaster medallion Bastien-Lepage at the Salon de la
August 13–ca. 24. Travels to Guernsey with Gustave Geffroy and Eugène
Carrière.
September. Subscription for The Burghers of Calais, dormant since 1886, is
renewed.

1894
Accounts of bitter quarrels between the sponsors of the Balzac reach the press,
and newspapers begin to fill with gossip and criticism of Rodin.
Throughout the mid-1890s, bouts of influenza and depression plague Rodin, his
poor health worsened by difficulties over the Balzac.
Begins the Monument to Labor, a tower inspired by a staircase at the Château de
Blois, and creates The Benedictions to crown the monument.
January. Monument to César Franck completed at Montparnasse Cemetery.
May. Goes to Calais to study locations for the Burghers.
August–October. Travels to Nièvre and Aveyron.
October 12. Receives threat from the Société des Gens de Lettres that legal action
will be taken if the statue of Balzac is not delivered in twenty-four hours.
November 12. Arrives at new agreement concerning the Balzac, with the time
clause removed; agrees to make no demands for remuneration until delivery.
November 28. Visits Claude Monet at Giverney, along with Clemenceau, Mirbeau,
Geffroy, and Cézanne.
November 30. Receives commission for the Monument to President Domingo
Faustino Sarmiento, the Argentine political hero.
December. Deposits his 10,000-franc advance for the Balzac with the lawyer for
the Société des Gens de Lettres as collateral.

1895
Leaves Bellevue for his new residence, the Villa des Brillants, Meudon.
With Monet, Degas, Renoir, Besnard, Satie, Gide, Redon, Mallarmé, Colette,
and Willy, frequents the salon of composer Ernest Chausson.
April 25–June 30. Exhibits marble Head (Thought), Bust of Octave Mirbeau, and
June 3. The Burghers of Calais is unveiled, installed in the Place de Richelieu,
Calais, on a pedestal and surrounded by a Gothic-style fence, all contrary to
Rodin's specifications; in 1924, the Burghers is moved to the square in front of
the town hall and placed at ground level, as Rodin had originally wished.
December 19. Buys his house in Meudon, which remains his principal residence.

1896
Elected a member of the sculpture grand jury for the Salon des Artistes Français
until 1910.
February 2–13. Exhibits at the Musée Rath, Geneva; first presentation of
photographs of his works. Donates three bronze sculptures to the museum: The
Man with the Broken Nose, The Thinker, and Crouching Woman (which is judged indecent and put in storage).


1897

Les Dessins d’Auguste Rodin, the first significant analysis of Rodin’s drawings, prefaces Mirbeau, is published by the Maison Goupil.

The maquette for the Balzac monument is finished and enlarged.

February 2. Hosts a dinner with François Vielé-Griffin and Claude Debussy in honor of Mallarmé’s publication of Divagations.

April 22–October 31. Exhibits five plasters, including Danaïd, Ugolino, and Despair, at the second International Exhibition of Art, Venice (later known as the Biennale).

April 24–June 30. Exhibits plaster group of Victor Hugo (final project for Luxembourg Gardens), The Thought of Life (marble column), marble group of Love and Psyche (Eternal Springtime), small marble group of The Thought, Fallen Caryatid with Stone, and Camille Claudel’s bust of Rodin at the Salon de la Société Nationale, Paris.


1898

Relationship with Camille Claudel ends.

March. Monument to Balzac completed.

March 26–June 15. Exhibits at the first Vienna Secession.

May. Société des Gens de Lettres refuses the Balzac on grounds that it does not pass as a completed work of art. Exhibits at the first exhibition of the International Society of Painters, Sculptors, and Engravers, London, presided over by J. A. M. Whistler.

May 1–June 30. Exhibits plaster Balzac for the first time and The Kiss (marble) at the Salon de la Société Nationale, Paris.

Violent press campaign emerges against Rodin, who receives offers for the Balzac from a committee in Belgium, from a group of subscribers, and from the collector Auguste Pellerin; Rodin decides to keep the sculpture and removes it to his home in Meudon. (The Balzac is not cast in bronze during Rodin’s lifetime.)

1899

March 27. Receives commission for a monument to Puisis de Chavannes; monument never completed.

May 1–June 30. Exhibits bronzes Eve and Bust of Falquiére, a plaster of Rochefort, and a marble Group at the Salon de la Société Nationale, Paris.

Spring. Travels to Belgium and Holland with Judith Cladel to administer the first significant touring exhibition of his work, organized by Cladel. Exhibition seen at Maison d’Art, Brussels, May 8–June 5; in Rotterdam, June 19–July 30; at Arti et Amicitiae, Amsterdam, August 15–September; and at Haagsche Kunstkring, The Hague, October 9–ca. November. While in Antwerp, Rodin is impressed by the work of Rubens, van Dyck, Teniers, Snyders, and Jordaens; in Holland, Rembrandt’s work most interests him.

July 12. Receives authorization to install a pavilion on the Place de l’Alma for a one-man exhibition, to be known as the Exposition Rodin.

August 10–19. Travels to Amsterdam.

End of August–September. Travels to Rotterdam.

End of 1899. Works on the creation of a school of sculpture and drawing.
1900
May 2–November 12. Exhibits eight works at the Exposition Centennale de l’Art Français; exhibits The Kiss at the Exposition Décennale. Both exhibitions celebrate the inauguration of the Grand and the Petit Palais.
May 25. Monument to President Domingo Sarmiento unveiled in Buenos Aires to a hostile public and press.
June 1–end of November. Major retrospective exhibition, the Exposition Rodin, held near the Exposition Universelle, Paris, and housed in a temporary, Louis XVI-style pavilion on the Place de l’Alma. Exhibition is financed by Rodin and three bankers: Louis Dorizon, Albert Kahn, and Joanny Peytel. Of the 150 sculptures, works totaling more than 240,000 francs are sold; requests for busts increase after the exhibition, and Rodin’s international renown grows among collectors in Germany, the United States, England, and Denmark.
June 11. Banquet is held in Rodin’s honor, organized by the magazine La Plume, which also devotes a special issue to his art.
July 12. Nominated a member of the Royal Academy of the Plastic Arts, Dresden.

1901
Begins no new monumental works this year; concentrates on portrait busts, many of Englishmen and Americans, and on small, informal pieces, including dancers. Numerous exhibitions follow the celebrated Exposition Rodin, in Barcelona, Berlin, Budapest, Dresden, Prague, Venice, and Vienna, as well as Glasgow, The Hague, and Helsinki.
Saint John the Baptist Preaching purchased through public subscription in England. Increases the number of studio assistants trained to reproduce his sculptures in marble to fill the many commissions received.
Photographer Edward Steichen visits Rodin at Meudon and begins a photographic study of the artist and his sculpture.

1902
Judith Cladel begins her biographical study of Rodin.
Completes the bust of Mrs. John W. Simpson.
April 20–June 30. Exhibits The Three Shades (plaster, grand model) and Heroic Bust of Victor Hugo (plaster), as well as other works, at the Salon de la Société Nationale, Paris.
May 10–August 10. Major retrospective exhibition in Prague, sponsored by the Manes Society, includes about ninety sculptures and seventy-five drawings. Accompanied by Mařatka, Mucha, and Vacha, Rodin travels through Europe, arriving in Prague by way of Cologne and Dresden on May 28.
May 14–20. Travels to England in honor of the donation of Saint John the Baptist Preaching to the government by the National Arts Collection Fund.
June. Exhibits at the fifth Berlin Secession.
September. Meets and begins correspondence with Rainer Maria Rilke; they correspond until Rodin hires him in 1905. Rodin employs the firm of Alexis Rudier as his principal founder and René Chéruy as his secretary.

1903
Two important books on Rodin are published: Rainer Maria Rilke, Auguste Rodin, and Judith Cladel, Auguste Rodin: Pris sur la vie. Executes the busts of the Honorable George Wyndham, secretary of state for Ireland, Eugène Guillaume, and Mrs. Potter Palmer.
April–June. Exhibits at the seventh Berlin Secession.
April 22–October 31. Exhibits at the fifth Venice Biennale.
May 7–17. Exhibits at the National Arts Club, New York.
End of May. Returns to London at the request of students to attend a dinner in his
honor, given on May 25.
June 30. Banquet in his honor at the Bois de Vélizy organized by friends, with
Émile-Antoine Bourdelle presiding.
November. Elected president of the International Society of Painters, Sculptors,
and Engravers following Whistler’s death on July 17, 1903.

1904
Returns funds advanced for The Gates of Hell to the government.
January. Travels to London to accept the presidency of the International Society
of Painters, Sculptors, and Engravers. Meets Claire Coutert, Duchesse de
Choiseul, who dominates his life for the next several years and who is viewed by
Rodin’s friends as exploitative.
January 9–March. Exhibits large plaster and small bronze of The Thinker plus six
more works in the International Society of Painters, Sculptors, and Engravers
exhibition, London.
April 17–June 30. Exhibits The Thinker (large bronze) and Bust of Mme S. (Mrs.
John W. Simpson) (marble) at the Salon de la Société Nationale, Paris.
Throughout the year, has several important exhibitions in Germany, including
Düsseldorf, Dresden, Weimar, and Leipzig. Exhibitions are also held in Berlin,
Edinburgh, Krefeld, and Saint Louis.

1905
Acquires the ruins of the eighteenth-century Château d’Issy and plans to rebuild
it to house his collection of antique sculpture. Only the façade is renovated; it
is placed near the 1900 Exposition Rodin pavilion at Meudon, where it now
overlooks Rodin’s tomb.
Finishes the busts of Gustave Geffroy, Eve Fairfax, and Georges Hecq.
January 9–June. Under Rodin’s direction, the International Society of Painters,
Sculptors, and Engravers’ exhibition travels from London to Manchester to
Burnley, in accordance with Whistler’s wishes.
February 3–end of March. Exhibits prints and decorative bas-reliefs for the Villa
La Sapinière, Evian, at the Musée du Luxembourg.
March. Exhibits at the Copley Society, Boston.
April 15–June 30. Exhibits the plaster grand models of Ariane and Cybèle plus the
bronze Bust of M. Guillaume at the Salon de la Société Nationale, Paris.
May 9. Nominated for an honorary degree by the University of Jena; reciprocates
by donating the bust Minerva (bronze) to the university.
June. Travels to Spain—Madrid, Toledo, Córdoba, Seville, and Pamplona—with
Rilke and the painter Ignacio Zuloaga.
September. Rainer Maria Rilke begins work as Rodin’s secretary. Rodin receives
commission for a Whistler memorial.
October 18–November 25. Exhibits for the first time at the third Salon
d’Automne, Paris; at least six works are presented.

1906
Completes series of portraits of George Bernard Shaw as well as portraits of Mrs.
and Miss Hunter, Lord Howard de Walden, Marcelin Berthelot, Comtesse
Mathieu de Noailles, Georges Leygues, and Mme de Goloubeff.
Works on an enlargement of Ugoîno, with Pignatelli again as model.
Exhibits at the Society of Finnish Art, Helsinki.
January. Nominated for an honorary degree by the University of Glasgow; he offers them the bronze relief La France.

February 22–? Exhibits in London.

March 11–? Exhibits at the Galerie Georges Petit, Paris.

March 14–April 22. Exhibits at the Kunsthalle, Basel.

April 15–June 30. Exhibits Sculpture (Marcelin Berthelot) and a bronze medallion of Stendhal at the Salon de la Société Nationale, Paris.

April 21. The Thinker is erected in front of the Panthéon, where it remains until relocation to the Court of Honor of the Musée Rodin in 1922.

May. Dismisses Rilke following a complaint that he was mishandling correspondence; hires the Englishman Anthony Ludovici to replace him.

Nominated honorary member of the Berlin Academy of Fine Arts.

July 15–20. Travels to Marseilles with Georges Bois to visit the Exposition Coloniale.

Inspired by the dance as a new subject, Rodin produces a series of drawings of the dancers in King Sisowath’s Royal Ballet of Cambodia; he also draws the dancers at Isadora Duncan’s school in Paris.


December 31. The French government purchases the marble Monument to Victor Hugo.

1907

Nominated a corresponding member of the Associazione degli Artisti Italiani.

Completes busts of Mme Eliseieff and Joseph Pulitzer.


January 27–March 9. Exhibits with other members of the Academy in Berlin.

March 2–April 7. Exhibits at the Société des Amis des Arts, Strasbourg.

April 14–June 30. Exhibits large plaster of The Walking Man and busts of Mrs. Goloubeff (marble), Mrs. Hunter (marble), and Miss Fairfax at the Salon de la Société Nationale, Paris.

April 23–July 15, then September–November. Exhibits in Barcelona.

May 31–?. Participates in the Exposition de la Porcelaine at the Musée Galliera, Paris; this first presentation of an important group of ceramic works brings Rodin great success.

May. Nominated for an honorary degree by Oxford University, along with Mark Twain, William Booth, and Camille Saint-Saëns. On June 26, Rodin goes to Oxford to accept the degree and then travels to London to supervise the installation of the bust of William E. Henley in the crypt of Saint Paul’s, unveiled July 11.


About October 10–30. First significant exhibition of Rodin’s drawings held at the Galerie Bernheim Jeune, Paris (includes 303 drawings that could not be displayed at the Salon d’Automne because of a shipping delay).


Exhibitions are also held in Budapest, New York, and Venice.

1908

Portraits executed this year include those of the Japanese dancer Hanako, the
Duchesse de Choiseul, J. Winchester de Kay, and Lady Warwick.
March 6. Visit by King Edward VII of England to Meudon; Rodin leaves only selected marbles and plasters in the studio for the occasion.
April 15–June 30. Exhibits Orpheus (plaster), Triton and Nereid (plaster), and Muse at the Salon de la Société Nationale, Paris.
May 1–November 1. Exhibits at the Exposition Franco-Britannique, London.
June 1. Monument to Henri Becque unveiled at the Place Prosper Goubeaux, Paris.
September. Edward Steichen returns to Meudon and photographs the Balzac by moonlight.
September 27–November 1. Exhibits in Frankfurt am Main.
October 15. Begins living in the Hôtel Biron, rue de Varenne, which had been bought by the state in 1904 and rented as apartments; tenants before Rodin included Rilke, Isadora Duncan, Jean Cocteau, and Henri Matisse.
October 19–November 5. Exhibits at the Galerie Devambez, Paris.
Exhibits drawings at Alfred Stieglitz’s 291 Gallery, New York, as a result of his friendship with Edward Steichen.
Exhibitions are also held in Berlin, Brussels, Buenos Aires, Edinburgh, Florence, Liverpool, Lyons, Moscow, Munich, Saragossa, Stockholm, and Vienna.

1909
Portraits executed this year include those of Renée Vivien, Jules Barbey d’Aurevilly, Edward Harriman, Gustav Mahler, Thomas Fortune Ryan, the Duc de Rohan, and Napoleon.
September 30. Victor Hugo monument destined for the Luxembourg Gardens is installed in the gardens of the Palais Royal.
November 28. Monument to Barbey d’Aurevilly is unveiled at Saint-Sauveur-le-Vicomte.
Exhibitions are also held in Bordeaux, Hanover, Liège, Montreal, and Paris (Ecole des Beaux-Arts).

1910
Thomas Fortune Ryan donates three Rodin works to The Metropolitan Museum of Art—Capitode Psyche, Orpheus and Eurydice, and Pygmalion and Galatea; this gift is supplemented by funds for the future purchase of other Rodin pieces (nine marbles and bronzes and one terra-cotta are acquired with Ryan funds).
March. Rodin’s article Venus de Milo published in L’Art et Les Artistes.
April 15–June 30. Exhibits plaster Torso of a Woman, La Prière, bronze Mme R.—(Mme Rodin), and bronze M.R.—at the Salon de la Société Nationale, Paris.
May 14–November 15. Exhibits at the International Exposition, Palais du Cinquantenaire, Brussels.
June 16. Banquet in Rodin's honor given by the Legion of Honor.
June 28. Reception in Rodin's honor given at the Ritz by Jane Catulle-Mendès.
August 14. Rodin's works escape damage in the fire at the Palais du Cinquantenaire, Brussels.
October 16–November. Exhibits in the Exposition d'Art Français, Leipzig. Exhibitions are also held in Brussels (Libre Esthétique), Buenos Aires, Interlaken, Lyons, Paris (Ecole des Beaux-Arts), and Zurich.
1910–11
Produces a number of studies of dancing figures based on a model named Moreno.
1911
Executes portraits of Professor Gabritchewski and Georges Clemenceau. Conversations with Rodin published in L'Art, Entretiens réunis par Paul Gsell.
February 26. Receives commission from the Ministry of Fine Arts for a marble bust of Puvis de Chavannes, to be placed in the Panthéon.
Beginning of March–end of May. Exhibits at the Royal Scottish Academy, Edinburgh.
March 31–November 30. Exhibits at the International Exposition, Rome; one of the sculptures displayed, a large bronze figure of The Walking Man, is purchased by a group of admirers and is presented to the French government for its embassy in the Palazzo Farnese, Rome.
July. The Hôtel Biron is purchased by the French government, which decides to evict the tenants. Judith Cladel, Gustave Coquiot, and others propose the creation of a Rodin museum, amidst great opposition.
November. The British government purchases a cast of The Burghers of Calais through the National Arts Collection Fund.
Exhibitions are also held in Berlin (Sécision), Glasgow, London, Paris (Galerie Georges Petit), Prague, and Turin; a traveling exhibition organized by the Société de Peintres et de Sculpteurs (formerly the Société Nouvelle de Paris) tours to Boston, Buffalo, Chicago, and Saint Louis.
1912
Executes drawings and sculpture of the dancer Nijinsky in the nude, after seeing him perform L’Après-midi d’un faune, and publicly defends the dancer against accusations of indecency.
Works on a project for a monument to Eugène Carrière; never completed.
Gives eighteen plaster casts and an original terra-cotta to The Metropolitan Museum of Art.
French ambassador disapproves of The Walking Man in the courtyard of the Palazzo Farnese, Rome; the sculpture is moved to Lyons in 1923.
During this period of his life, Rodin's mental abilities decline markedly; supposed friends take advantage of his condition and attempt to gain control of his work and estate; forgeries and thefts abound. Only through the diligence of loyal friends such as Judith Cladel is Rodin's life work salvaged from opportunists.
February. Exhibits in Tokyo.
April 23–November 22. Exhibits in the tenth Venice Biennale.
May 1–7. Exhibits at the first Salon de Mai, Marseilles.
May 2. The Metropolitan Museum of Art’s Rodin collection opens.
May 3. The Champlain monument, based on the head of Camille Claudel and called La France (bronze relief), is unveiled at Crown Point, New York, in honor of the three-hundredth anniversary of the discovery of Lake Champlain. August. Relationship with the Duchesse de Choiseul ends; however, she continues her campaign to be named in his will.
Exhibitions are also held in Amsterdam, Dresden, Frankfurt am Main, London, Manchester, Paris (Galerie Georges Petit and the Triennale), Strasbourg, and Vienna.

1913
Executes a portrait of Lady Sackville.
Conversations with Henri-Charles-Etienne Dujardin-Beaumetz, undersecretary of fine arts, are published.
February 17–March 17. Exhibits A Lion Roaring (bronze) and The Centaress (marble) at the first Salon de la Société des Artistes Animaliers, Paris.
After March 29. Exhibits in Tokyo.
April–May. Supervises the installation of The Burghers of Calais next to the Houses of Parliament in London; unveiled in October.
June 1–October 31. Exhibits at the eleventh International Exposition of Fine Arts, Munich.

1914
Rodin’s health and mental faculties decline precipitously; he travels to the Midi to rest.
Publishes Les Cathédrales de France with an introduction by Charles Morice and illustrated by his own drawings.
May–July. Divides his time between Paris, Meudon, and Châtelet-en-Brie, the home of Dr. Vivier.
Between July 21 and August 22. Rodin’s works are installed in the South Kensington Museum (now the Victoria and Albert Museum).
Before September 9. Goes to London with Rose Beuret and Judith Cladel, and probably stays at Cheltenham until November 11; presents eighteen sculptures to the British government as a gesture of gratitude for Britain’s support of Belgium.
End of November–beginning of December. Leaves for Rome, where he stays at the home of John and Mary Marshall until February 1915.

1915
April 8–May. Visits Florence briefly, following his abbreviated sittings with Pope
Benedict XV in Rome.
June 4–7. Exhibits The Thinker (large bronze), among other works, at the Panama-Pacific International Exposition, San Francisco.
July 21. The Burghers of Calais is purchased by the British government for installation in the Victoria Tower Gardens.

1916
Completes his final work, the Portrait of Etienne Clémentel, minister of commerce.
March. Suffers a fall, which worsens his already extremely poor condition.
March 11–April 9. Exhibits at the Albright Art Gallery, Buffalo, as part of the Exposition d'Art Français et Belge (a selection from the Panama-Pacific International Exposition held in San Francisco, 1915); four bronzes then travel to Toledo for exhibition around November.
April 1. Formally offers his entire oeuvre, in the form of three donations, to the French government for the establishment of a Rodin museum in the Hôtel Biron and outlines the first donation. The second and third donations follow in September and October, respectively.
July. Judith Cladel and Etienne Clémentel protect Rodin's estate from the attempts of Loïe Fuller and Mme Bardey to seize considerable portions for themselves.
July 10. Suffers another fall.
July 12. He makes a will in favor of Mme Bardey.
September 14. The Chamber of Deputies votes 379 to 56 to accept the donations.
November 9. The French Senate votes by a majority of 209 to 26 to accept the three donations of Rodin's work.
December 15. The National Assembly votes to establish a Musée Rodin in the Hôtel Biron.
December 26. Receives a commission for a monument to the defense of Verdun.

1917
January 29. Marries Rose Beuret at Meudon to protect her legal rights in case of his sudden death.
February 14. Rose dies.
March 1–2. Exhibits at the Galerie Georges Petit, Paris.
April 23–7. Exhibits at the Exposition des Sociétés d'Art Français, Barcelona.
April 25. Makes a final will, to which he adds a codicil on November 15.
October 25. Paul Cret makes a cast of Rodin's hand, into which he places a female torso.
November 17. Rodin dies.
November 24. He is buried at Meudon next to Rose; his grave lies before the reconstructed façade of the Château d'Issy with The Thinker as its headstone. (Eulogies are delivered by M. Lafferre, minister of public instruction and fine arts; M. Clémentel, minister of commerce; M. Bartholomé, on behalf of the Société Nationale; Frantz Jourdain, on behalf of the Salon d'Automne; Albert Besnard, director of the French Academy in Rome; and the writer Séverine.) Simultaneously, a memorial service is held in Saint Margaret's, Westminster, with Queen Alexandra attending.

1918
The Musée Rodin receives official recognition, and its financial autonomy is confirmed by law.