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In 1964 Mrs. George S. Amory gave the Metropolitan Museum a tapestry representing Diana changing Actaeon into a stag. It is signed I. JANS, and so must have been woven in the workshop of either Jean Jans the Elder or the Younger, heads of a Gobelins haute-lisse workshop from 1662 to 1668 and from 1668 to 1723, respectively. The subject of the tapestry shows it to be from a series of the Metamorphoses that is known to have been woven by the younger Jans and others in the late seventeenth and early eighteenth centuries.

The Metamorphoses series is an unusual one that has not been thoroughly studied. It was designed by several artists, most of whom have only recently become better known through the publications of Margaret Stumpmann, Pierre Rosenberg, Antoine Schnapper, Thierry Lefrançois, Nicole Garnier, and other scholars. Not all the designs of the tapestries can be firmly attributed, and some, including the Diana and Actaeon, remain anonymous; but there are a number of documented names and sources, so that the tapestries throw some light on the activities of these artists.

The earliest records of a set of the Gobelins Metamorphoses are found in the archives of the earls of Exeter. The fifth earl succeeded to his title and estates in 1678. He traveled on the Continent from 1679 to 1681, and a number of letters in the family archives mention purchases at this time of tapestries for his principal seat, Burghley House in Lincolnshire. Thomas Stretch, deputy steward and upholsterer, wrote from this house in January 1680, giving wall measurements, and adding, “If you buy tapestrie hanging I feare it will be a hard matter to have them of that compass.” The earl evidently decided to have his tapestries made to order, for in November 1680 several letters in French about work done for him were sent by “Msr Jans” in Paris, to Culpepper Tanner, the steward, who was with his master in Lyons. The writer was certainly Jean Jans the Younger, the Gobelins entrepreneur mentioned above. On February 8, 1681, Jans wrote again to Tanner, then in Venice, listing the pieces he was making for the earl and acknowledging the receipt of 233 écus for a completed set of the “Metamorphoses d’Ovide”; on October 27 a second payment for the set is recorded in a letter from Jans to Tanner, who was by now in Tours.

An inventory of the contents of Burghley House was made in 1688; this includes the entry: “The Best bed-chamber. 4 peices of Rich french Tapestrie hangings made by Monsr. Jans, storyes out of Ovid’s Metamorphoses.” The tapestries are still at Burghley House.1

Another documentary evidence for a seventeenth-century Gobelins set of Metamorphoses is in the French royal inventory of 1684, among the “Tapisseries de haulite et basse lisse réchaussées d’or”:

92. Métamorphoses d’Ovide. Une tenture de tapisserie de laine et soye, avec fort peu d’or, fabrique de Paris, manufactur des Gobelins, représentant des Métamorphoses d’Ovide, dont les figures de devant sont d’environ 1 pied ½, dans de fort beaux paysages, avec sa bordure fonds aurore remplie de rinceaux et de vases de fleurs et de fruits, avec deux tourterelles dans les milieux du haut, et deux petits chiens dans les milieux du bas; contenant 22 aunes ½ de cours sur 2 aunes ¾ de haut, en sept pièces.”

And in a 1789 inventory, this set was described as:

No. 92. Métamorphoses d’Ovide, à or. Gobelins, 7 pièces, dont 5 à Versailles: A Paris: 1 pièce, 3 aunes cours, 2a.½ haut; bordure, 12 pouces: Jupiter. A Paris: 1 pièce, 4a.¼: Diana changeant Acteon en cerf. Bonne et belle. A Versailles: 1 pièce, 2a.½ cours, 2a.½ haut. 1 pièce, 1a.¾. 1 pièce, 3a.½; à réparer. 1 pièce, 4a.½; à réparer. 1 pièce, 2 aunes.”

The notes for this article begin on page 187.
The set was not listed in a 1691 memoir by Jans; this was probably because the series began as a private venture. Perhaps the royal set was not originally intended for the king and both it and Lord Exeter's were made in the workshop that Jans is known to have had outside the Gobelins proper; a report of 1694 calls it "l'atelier que M. Jans a hors les Gobelins où se pend pour enseigne le Grand Louis." The use of looms inside the manufactory to make tapestries for private purchasers is also documented: in 1748, in the course of a dispute about this practice, the official then in charge of the royal manufactories wrote: "Tous les ouvrages sortant de la Manufacture doivent être aussi parfaits, qu'ils soient pour le Roy ou pour un particulier." The *Metamorphoses*, however, were woven by weavers other than Jans, and they are not known to have had workshops outside the manufactory.

Thanks to the description of the borders of these tapestries, with their doves and little dogs, it has been possible to identify four pieces of the set in the Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam. A fifth piece with the same border, showing Boreas abducting Orithyia, is known only from a photograph in the Musée des Arts Décoratifs, Paris. The upright format of these tapestries suggests that they are the pieces that were at Versailles in 1789.

The next mention of the series is an official one, involving money, always a most important consideration. It appears in the *Comtes des Bâtiments du Roi*: painters (not named) were paid in 1704 for work on the "tableaux qui doivent servir de dessins pour la petite tente des Métamorphoses d'Ovide"; in 1705 Jean-Baptiste de Fontenay received payment for "tableaux d'Arnaud, Ar-mide et Diane, aux Gobelins," and "ouvriers peintres" continued to be paid for work on the "tableaux des Métamorphoses" in that year and in 1706. Though the king paid for this work, the weaving on a set for him was not begun until 1714. It contained seven pieces, woven by all the three basse-lisse workshops active at the time; the set is fully documented in the factory records and was completed in 1720. There was clearly no urgency to use the cartoons or to finish the set; perhaps it was started primarily to give employment to as many weavers as possible, or to justify the payments to the artists for their work on the cartoons as much as ten years earlier. Neither the dying Louis XIV nor his infant heir would have been interested in new tapestries in 1714. The royal set was in storage in 1736, but its history is not known after 1761. The word "petite" in the description of the series ("petite tenteur") presumably refers to the size of the figures or to the low height of the tapestries, only about eight feet.

Finally, an inventory of the cartoons at the Gobelins in 1736 lists thirteen large paintings and two *entretenêtres* of *Metamorphoses* subjects called "Ruinez" and nine copies, with a number of small pieces, called "Gatté." Many artists are named, including copyists. The extant tapestries with scenes from the *Metamorphoses* that can be identified as Gobelins productions can thus be assumed to have been made from about 1680 to about 1736. It remains to locate them and to identify their designers and the workshops in which they were woven.

Maurice Fenaille, whose monumental work on Gobelins tapestries (published 1903–23) has left so little to say about most of the series made at the manufactory, did not know the pieces at Burghley House and in Amsterdam. He was thus unable to identify any seventeenth-century examples of the *Metamorphoses* and believed that the set recorded in the 1684 inventory reproduced old cartoons used in the Paris workshops before the establishment of the royal Gobelins; he treated the eighteenth-century additional subjects as a separate series. With the identification of five different subjects in the Burghley House and early royal sets, it has become apparent that the seventeenth-century compositions were used as components of the later, larger series, because they appear in sets with subjects known to have been designed and woven only after 1700. The cartoons, whenever made, were evidently looked upon as a single series from which individual subjects could be taken to make up sets—at random, according to a customer's wishes, or depending on the availability of a cartoon at a particular moment.

The most clearly documented instance of the long life of an early design is *Acis and Galatea* (Figures 1, 2, 4), which is represented at Burghley House, in Amsterdam, and in a set signed by Cozette that was sold from the Hugh Cholmerley collection at Sotheby's, London, May 19, 1950, no. 100(a); the other three subjects of this set were among those woven for the king in 1714–20. Pierre François Cozette did not become head of an haute-lisse workshop until 1735. As only one *Metamorphoses* set was acquired by the king in the seventeenth century and

1. *Acis and Galatea*, French (Gobelins), about 1680, after Charles de La Fosse (1696–1716). Wool, silk, and metal thread tapestry. Amsterdam, Rijksmuseum (photo: Foto-Commissie Rijksmuseum)
2. *Acis and Galatea*, French (Gobelins), about 1680, after Charles de La Fosse. Wool, silk, and metal thread tapestry. Amsterdam, Rijksmuseum (photo: Foto-Commissie Rijksmuseum)
one in the eighteenth, almost all the many Gobelins Metamorphoses tapestries must have been sold to private customers, and it is seldom possible to give the exact date when they were woven.

The task of identifying a Gobelins Metamorphoses design when the tapestry does not have a weaver’s name is made more difficult by the existence of two contemporary Beauvais Metamorphoses series. One of these, however, after René-Antoine Jouasse, has been well published.13 The second Beauvais Metamorphoses series has been said to “pose a nearly insolvable problem”; few of its subjects are known and few of the tapestries have been identified.14 Other Metamorphoses tapestries were woven in Brussels; at least one Gobelins design was used there. Nevertheless, nearly all the subjects named in the Gobelins records quoted above can be identified in extant tapestries, and two more can be established as belonging to the series. Sets of six, five, four, and three pieces are known, with many pairs and individual pieces.15

Acis and Galatea is, as has been mentioned, a subject in the early sets; it exists in two versions, both, strangely enough, in the part of the royal set now in Amsterdam (Figures 1, 2). It is hard to believe that the same subject would have been repeated in a single set while Le Brun ruled the royal manufactories, but his power waned after the death of Colbert, his patron, in 1683; the Metamorphoses set listed in the 1684 inventory had perhaps been woven very recently, and no one in authority had checked to see what subjects were included.

The subject appears in the 1736 list of cartoons: “Le tableau d’Acis et Galathée, d’après M. Delafosse de cinq pieds et demi de long sur huit pieds de haut, les figures peintes par M. Mathieu et le paysage par M. Bonnard.”16 A painting by Charles de La Fosse in the Prado (Figure 3)

4. Acis and Galatea, French (Gobelins), 1680–1700, after Charles de La Fosse. Wool tapestry. Bayreuth, Schloss (photo: Verwaltung der staatlichen Schlösser)
5. Bacchus Crowning Ariadne, French (Gobelins), about 1680. Wool, silk, and metal thread tapestry. Amsterdam, Rijksmuseum (photo: Foto-Commissie Rijksmuseum)

has the lovers as they appear in the tapestry that shows Galatea seen from the front (Figure 1); there is a related drawing in the Nationalmuseum, Stockholm. De La Fosse exhibited paintings in the Salons of 1699 and 1704 with the titles Polyphème menaçant Acis par un rocher and Polyphème jouant de la flûte, neither of which describes the Prado picture, where the giant holds panpipes. De La Fosse also painted an Acis and Galatea as an overmantel in the 1680s; as the Amsterdam tapestries were made before 1684, this painting may well have resembled one of them. The composition with Galatea seen from the front (Figure 1) is that of a tapestry in the Brandegee set, which is marked “Jans des Gobelins.” Tapestries of this type have also appeared in a number of sales.

The second Acis and Galatea tapestry in Amsterdam (Figure 2) shows Galatea with her back turned, Acis pointing to a distant Polyphemus, and palms instead of oaks rising behind the lovers. A cupid on the left imitates Neptune; he stands on a shell drawn by two dolphins and wields a trident. This version of the composition is that of tapestries in the Burghley House and Cholmeley-Cozette sets. A reversed example was sold at Sotheby’s, London, July 5, 1985, no. 6, and one with Jans’s name was in the Paris 1933 set. Another, in the Bayreuth set (Figure 4), has the background of the second version, but Galatea is seen from the front and only the head of one dolphin remains of the group on the left.

An unusual variant with the arms of Maria Ana de Newborgo, wife of Charles II of Spain, is in a private collection in Paris; it was presumably made before the king’s death in 1700. The lovers and the trees behind them are like their counterparts in the other tapestries with Galatea seen from the front, although they are reversed; but Polyphemus on the mountain has disappeared and in his place is a large fountain supported by dolphins and surmounted by a winged boy riding a waterspouting swan. A peacock sits on the rim of the fountain; both fountain and bird are found on other tapestries of the series that will be discussed below. It is signed “Jans des Gobelins.”

Another subject in both the Burghley House and Amsterdam sets is Bacchus Crowning Ariadne (Figure 5). The cartoon was not included in the 1736 list, so there is no record of the designer. Ariadne, however, can be compared to her counterpart in Charles de La Fosse’s paint-

6. Charles de La Fosse, Bacchus and Ariadne. Oil on canvas. Dijon, Musée des Beaux-Arts (photo: Musée des Beaux-Arts, Dijon)

ing Bacchus and Ariadne in the Musée des Beaux-Arts, Dijon (Figure 6), which was made in 1699 for Marly, the head of Bacchus is close to a drawing of the god by the same artist in the Musée d’Alençon (Figure 7). On the other hand, an anonymous drawing in the Nationalmuseum, Stockholm (Figure 8), shows Bacchus with his two panthers much as he appears on the tapestry. There are also several paintings and drawings connected with Louis de Boulogne the Younger (the designer of other tapestries of the series) that show the two figures in much the same positions as on the tapestries, but with a cupid between them (Figure 9). A related painting in the Musée des Beaux-Arts, Orléans, is attributed to Guy Louis Vernansal (Figure 10), who is known to have worked for Beauvais. The original idea would seem to have come from Eustache Le Sueur (1615–55). His painting in the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston (Figure 11) shows a more ardent Bacchus in a pose very like that of his counterpart in the tapestry and some of the drawings. Several other examples of the tapestry have been identified.


Pan and Syrinx is at Amsterdam (Figure 15) and Bayreuth.41 No designer is known, but there is a general resemblance of the figures in the clump of rushes to those in the Beauvais tapestry of the same subject in the series designed by Houasse.39

The 1789 inventory lists a Jupiter as a component of the early Metamorphoses set owned by the king, but no tapestry illustrating one of this god’s amorous transformations has been found with the distinctive border of this set. Jupiter appears, disguised, in two scenes in the Metamorphoses sets: in Europa and the Bull and Jupiter and Callisto. The former, as will be shown later, is after an artist not born until 1676, so the early Jupiter was more probably Jupiter and Callisto, which will also be discussed later.

The five Metamorphoses subjects already considered—Acis and Galatea, Bacchus Crowning Ariadne, Boreas and Orithyia, Diana and Actaeon, and Pan and Syrinx—are the only ones known to have been woven by 1684. Twenty years later, from 1704 to 1706, as has been mentioned, the king’s accounts list payments to artists for work on Metamorphoses cartoons. That the king was charged for the paintings shows that the series, previously a private venture, presumably initiated by Jans, had now been transformed into an official undertaking like other Gobelins series. Only one entry in the accounts mentions subjects and an artist: “Année 1705. Paris, Maisons Royales. Peinture, 12 juillet: à luy, Jean Baptiste de Fontenay, pour les ouvrages qu’il a faits aux tableaux d’Arnaud, Armide et Diane, aux Gobelins pendant 1704. 1781. [livres].”

The words “Arnaud, Armide” must mean Rinaldo and Armida; tapestries with these figures are included, most incongruously, in several Gobelins Metamorphoses sets (Figure 16). The scene shows the hero lying in the lap of the enchantress as cupids play with his discarded armor; two of his companions on the crusade have come to recall him to his duty and they appear in the far distance under a huge arch. Although it was Belin de Fontenay who received a small payment for work on the cartoon in 1705, more complete information about its creator is given in the inventory of 1736: “Le tableau d’Arnaud et Armide, d’après M. Boulogne le Jeune, de 18 pieds de long sur 8 Pieds de haut, les figures peintes par Yvart le Fils, le paysage par le Sr Chastelin et les fleurs par le Sieur Fontenay.” And there was another, narrower copy, “de 15 pieds 3 pouces de long, sur huit pieds de haut, le paysage peint par M. de Chavanne et les figures par Yvart le Fils” as well as “une bande pour la pièce


Boreas and Orithyia is at Burghley House and, as has been mentioned, was probably also included in the first set made for the king. There is another example at Bayreuth (Figure 12). The designer’s name is not known, but the two figures are very like those in a Beauvais version of the same subject, which was designed by Houasse (Figure 13).39 This artist has not previously been connected with the Gobelins Metamorphoses, but he did some work for the manufactory at about that time.39 An example of the Gobelins Boreas and Orithyia is in the Paris 1933 set.31

The Diana and Actaeon in the Metropolitan Museum (Figure 14)32 has a reversed counterpart at Burghley House, which means that the design must be an early one,39 although no related paintings or drawings have been located. It shows one of the two subjects mentioned in the 1789 inventory listing of the king’s early set.
d'Arnaud et Armide pour la grandeur, peint par le même Chastelin."

A closely related painting by Louis de Boulogne, signed and dated 1704 (the year in which it was shown at the Salon), was owned by the New York dealers Stair Sainty Matthiesen in 1986 (Figure 17). The intruding knights are seen near at hand among the trees, as they appear in a drawing in a private collection in Paris (Figure 18). The two peacocks on the far right of the tapestry illustrated (Figure 16) are not in the painting or the drawing; perhaps they are from the "bande ... pour la grandeur" by Chastelain. They are found on other tapestries of the series (see Figure 24). The elaborate fountain on the left in the tapestry also appears with other subjects (see Figure 33) and on a panel without human figures sold at the Parke-Bernet Galleries, New York, February 8, 1969, no. 143; it and the surrounding forest are enlivened by two cupids though not those of Figure 16. In the Salon, the painting was described as "Reynaud et Armide dans les plaisirs"; no payment for it appears in the king's accounts, so it, or a drawing, may have been acquired by Jans or another Gobelins entrepreneur to be used as the basis for a cartoon.

The Rinaldo and Armida in the king’s second Metamorphoses set was woven from 1717 to April 1720 in the basse-lisse workshop of Jean Souët; it was somewhat over two aunes high, like all the pieces of the set, and about five wide. No tapestry of the subject with the name of this weaver is known, but there was an example signed by Jans in the Bourdariat set and one with the name of Jean Lefebvre (either father or son, heads of a basse-lisse workshop from 1662 to 1736) was in the vicomte de Curel sale, Galerie Georges Petit, Paris, May 2, 1918, no. 60. The subject was in the Menier set (see note 15), which also included an Acis and Galatea, a 1680s design. It was very frequently woven, with the composition often reversed; wide examples have the peacocks on one side and the dolphin-supported fountain on the other, but almost square weavings, showing the main group of figures only, are also known.41

"Diane" is the other subject mentioned in the notice of a payment to Belin de Fontenay in 1705; it is probably the design called the Retour de chasse de Diane that "Sr. Matthieux, peintre" was paid for copying in 1715, rather than one of the other two Diana subjects in the series.


The 1736 inventory of cartoons lists: “Le tableau du *Retour des chasses de Diane*, d’après Mr. Delafosse de 11 pieds de long sur 8 pieds de haut, les figures peintes par le Sr. Chevreuille et le paysage par le Sr. Bonnard.” There was also a copy of the same dimensions painted by Mathieu. The subject was called *Diane* when it was woven in the basse-lisse workshop of Jean de La Fraye between 1717 and 1719 for the king’s set. The tapestries of the subject (Figure 19) closely follow a painting by Charles de La Fosse in the Hermitage, except for the omission of a standing nymph between the girl stooping to untie Diana’s sandal and the one holding hounds on a leash. Canvases with the central group only are also known, and the entire composition was engraved by Pierre-Etienne Moitte from a painting owned by Count Brühl in 1754 (Figure 20). A drawing owned by Mrs. Betty
Reitman includes a study for the figure of Diana (Figure 21)\(^{14}\) and one related to the woman with two dogs is in the Musée des Arts Décoratifs, Lyons (Figure 22).

More tapestries of this design and more names of the weavers are known than of any other subject in the series. The example in the Brandegee set is marked “Jans des Gobelins” and one of the Jean Lefebvres put the initials “L. F.” on a tapestry that was owned by the Berlin dealers A. and L. Bodenheim in 1975." The weaver who took over Jans's workshop in 1732, Michel Audran, put his name on the Diana’s Return from the Hunt that was sold at the Hôtel Drouot, December 10, 1982, no. 128.\(^{14}\) The name “Le Blond” appears on an example sold at the Hôtel Drouot, March 29, 1985, no. 109, which shows that the basse-lisse weavers found it profitable to copy the cartoon in reverse; Etienne Le Blond was a basse-lisse entrepreneur from 1701 to 1727. Another basse-lisse

14. *Diana and Actaeon*, French (Gobelins), 1680–1700. Wool and silk tapestry. New York, The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Gift of Mrs. George S. Amory, in memory of her father and mother, Mr. and Mrs. Amory Sibley Carhart, 1964, 64.208

example was in the Cholmeley-Cozette set. Two tapestries of the subject are in public collections, the Cleveland Museum of Art (Figure 19) and the Huntington Galleries, West Virginia."

The two subjects just discussed—Rinaldo and Armida and Diana’s Return from the Hunt—are, as has been said, named in records of 1705; another five (Apollo and the Python, Apollo and Hyacinth, Mercury and Argus, Narcissus and Echo, and Flora and Zephyr) are first mentioned as part of the set of seven pieces made for the king between 1714 and 1720. The list of this set begins with the “Serpent Python,” put on the loom in 1714 and finished in April of the following year; it was 3 1/16 aunes wide (i.e., a horizontal panel), woven in the basse-lisse workshop of Jean de La Fraye, an entrepreneur from 1699 to 1730. The cartoon is listed in the 1736 inventory: “Le tableau d’Apollon et le serpent Piton de 11 pieds de long sur 8 pieds de haut, les figures par M. Bertin et le paysage par M. Bonnard.” This was evidently an original painting and no copy of it is listed. No such work by Nicolas Bertin and Robert-François Bonnart is known. The tapestries can be identified from the example in the Cleve-
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17. Louis de Boulogne, Rinaldo and Armida. Oil on canvas. New York, Stair Sainty Fine Art, Inc. (photo: Stair Sainty Fine Art)
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land set (Figure 23) and instances where they are found with others of the series."

In 1717, three years after the first tapestry of the king’s set was begun, six other subjects were put on the looms of three basse-lisse workshops. One of them, Apollo and Hyacinth, was finished the same year by Jean Souet; it was narrow, less than two aunes wide. An example of the tapestry was sold at Sotheby’s, London, October 14, 1960, no. 86 (Figure 24); it has been made wider by the addition of the two peacocks found on some versions of Rinaldo and Armida (see Figure 16). The cartoon is recorded as copied by Yvart in 1715, probably to reverse the design, as Jans, the haute-lisse weaver, also used it (tapestries in the Brandegee and Bourdariat sets). Yvart was accustomed to this kind of work, as he made copies of the History of Alexander cartoons, “peints à gauche pour servir aux basse-lissiers.” Two cartoons of Apollo and Hyacinth are listed in the 1736 inventory: “Le tableau d’Apollon et Jacinthe, en deux bandes de 4 pieds ½ de long sur 8 pieds de haut... une autre copie d’Apollon et Jacinthe de 4 pieds de long sur 8 pieds de haut, peint.” The mention of two bandes in the first entry shows that this was the copy used on the basse-lisse looms, for which cartoons had to be cut into strips. No artist is named, but the two figures occur in the painting by Louis de Boulogne made in 1706 for the Trianon, now at Versailles (Figure 25). Most of the known tapestries are narrow, showing only the two figures and, in the background, the temple and waterfall of Tivoli.

The second tapestry begun in 1717 was Rinaldo and Armida, already discussed. The third was called “Argus,” woven in Jean de La Fraye’s workshop. It was three aunes wide and was finished in 1718. “Sr. Dequoy, peintre,” made a copy of the cartoon in 1715; it appears in the 1736 inventory as “Une autre copie de Mercure et Argus, de 10 pieds de long sur 8 pieds de haut peint par M. Dequoy.” The original cartoon, of the same dimensions, is described as “les figures peintes par M. Bertin et le paysage par M. Bonnard.” An example of the tapestry was sold at Sotheby’s, New York, November 29, 1980, no. 450 (Figure 26). A closely related painting by Nicolas Bertin was formerly in the National Museum, Warsaw (Figure 27), and a fragment of the cartoon, showing the two main figures with Cupid riding on the heifer, Io, behind them, is at Versailles. In the painting, Argus and Io are much as they appear in the tapestries, but a slightly later moment in the story is depicted: Mercury has discarded his soothing pipe and his caduceus and prepares to cut off Argus’s head. The discarded implements, illogically, lie beside Argus in the tapestry as they do on the painting. The sleeping dog of the tapestry is missing in the painting and may well have been added from the design for another subject, Diana and Endymion (see Figure 51). Other examples of the tapestry are in the Louvre and the Musée des Beaux-Arts, Calais.

A “Diane,” the fourth piece, begun in 1717 in de La Fraye’s workshop, was finished in 1719; it was 4 ½ aunes wide and could have been either Diane’s Return from the Hunt or Diana and Actaeon. The fifth tapestry was called “Narcisse”; it was woven by Etienne Le Blond and finished in 1718. It was almost square, just over two aunes
wide. No original designer is named in the 1736 list of cartoons, which described only “Le tableau de Narcisse et la nymphe Echo, en deux bandes de 5 pieds $\frac{1}{2}$ de long sur 8 pieds de haut” and “Deux bandes pour la pièce de Narcisse, pour la grandir, peint par le même [M. Chastelain].” A drawing by Antoine Dieu in the Swedish Nationalmuseum, however, is so close to the tapestries, especially to the version represented by an example formerly owned by John Hartford, that it must be considered the source of the design or a study for it (Figures 28, 29). This relationship suggests that Jans obtained such drawings from his designers and entrusted them to Gobelins artists to be enlarged into full-scale cartoons. Dieu’s distinctive style has been entirely lost in the process. Tapestries of Narcissus and Echo in the Bourdariat and Brandege sets were certainly woven in Jans’s workshop.

The final subject in the king’s set, “Zéphire et Flore,” was begun like five others in 1717, but was not finished until January 1720. It was woven in the workshop of Le Blond and was three aunes wide. The cartoons were listed in 1736 as “Le tableau de Flore et Zéphir, en deux bandes de 9 pieds de long sur 8 pieds de haut” and “Une autre copie de Flore et Zéphir, de 9 pieds de long sur 8 pieds de haut, peint par le même [M. Duquoy].”

The tapestries of *Flora and Zephyr* are of two types: the figures differ, but the landscape is usually the same. One type can be called *Zephyr Crowning Flora* because the god holds a wreath over Flora’s head and a cupid beside her raises a basket of flowers. An example is in the Cleveland Museum set (Figure 30). The three figures are found in a painting made for the king by Louis de Boulogne at Fontainebleau in 1701. A replica was sold at the Hôtel Drouot, March 8, 1985, no. 3; it is signed and dated “Boulogne Jeune. 1702” (Figure 31). A second cupid in the paintings has been omitted in the tapestries. The same subject, painted by Antoine Coyset for Mme de Maintenon’s apartment at the Trianon, was paid for in 1701 and 1702 (Figure 32). This has points of resemblance to Boulogne’s design, especially the draped figure of Flora.

There is a large example of the tapestry in the Musée des Arts Décoratifs, Lyons (Figure 33), which includes the dolphin-supported fountain found on some versions of *Rinaldo and Armida* (Figure 16); even Rinaldo’s flower-bedecked shield has crept into the scene beside the little cupid. The incongruity of this juxtaposition might have been permitted on a tapestry made for a private customer, but not allowed on one for the king. No weaver’s name has been found on a tapestry of *Zephyr Crowning Flora*. A marble group, now in a French private collection, commissioned from Philippe Bertrand and René Frémin in 1713, echoes the paintings and the tapestry design.

The second design, *Flora Presenting a Rose*, shows the goddess handing a rose to Zephyr, while a basket of flowers rests precariously on a cloud between them. Sometimes there is a seated cupid in the foreground. There is an example in the Mobilier National (Figure 34); another, signed by Jans, was in the Mme C. Lelong sale, Galerie Georges Petit, April 27–May 1, 1903, no. 490, and a basse-lisse weaving was in the Cholmeley-Cozette set.

Other *Metamorphoses* subjects are documented only by entries in the 1736 list of cartoons. “Le tableau de *Psiché et l’Amour*, de 4 pieds ½ de long sur 8 pieds de haut,” i.e., a narrow upright, was among the “Ruinez” designs. Tapestries of this subject and of similar proportions have appeared in sales in conjunction with pieces known to belong to the series, but unfortunately without illustrations. One was in the Paris 1926 set, when it was described as: “L’Amour abandonné Psiché. Psiché est étendue, au premier plan, au pied d’un arbre; un amour

voltige audessus d'elle. Fond de verdure. Bordure simul-ant un cadre. Haut., 3m.07; larg., 2.30."

What is apparently another example was in the collection of Mrs. E. M. Glen Coats, sold at Christie's, December 11, 1952, no. 241, with the Flora Crowning Zephyr (see note 61). The description reads, in part: "... with Cupid and Psyche depicted in a rocky river landscape with Pan and a Nymph in the middle distance, flowering plants, trees and buildings in the distance, enclosed in frame-pattern borders . . . 10 ft. 6 in. high, 6 ft. 1 in. wide."

A representation of Cupid leaving Psyche that is consistent with these two descriptions is found at one side of the version of Diana's Return from the Hunt that was sold at the Hôtel Drouot, July 2, 1956, no. 123 (Figure 35); pos-

ibly the narrow design of Cupid and Psyche has been added to enlarge the tapestry.

If this hypothesis is accepted, a designer can be sug-
gested, as Cupid is close to his counterpart in a paint-
ing by Nicolas Bertin, which is in a private collection in Bremen (Figure 36);* Psyche's head and legs are simi-
larly posed in the tapestry and in the painting. On the other hand, the revolutionary jury of 1794 listed two cartoons: "Psiché abandonée par l'Amour, de Coyel. Sujet Agréable. Tableau rejeté sous le rapport de l'art" and a copy by Belle père (Clément Belle) after Coypel, which was similarly assessed. The cupid of the scene added to Diana's Return from the Hunt (Figure 35) can also be compared to the same god in Louis de Bou-
logue's painting of Cephalus and Procris in the Musée d'Art et d'Industrie, St.-Etienne (Figure 42).

Another entry in the 1736 list of "ruined" cartoons is "Le tableau de Venus et Adonis, en quatre bandes de 9 pieds 2 pouces de long sur 8 pieds de haut." The design of this subject is known from examples of the tapestry in Meta-
morphoses sets, including one most recently sold at Sothe-


by’s, London, June 25, 1982, no. 15 (Figure 37). A painting of the same scene by Louis de Boulogne in the Trianon (Figure 38) shows the main figures in different poses, but a kneeling cupid seen from the back is so much alike in both works of art that an attribution of the tapestry design to the same artist seems plausible. A related drawing is in the Print Room of the Staatliche Museen Preussischer Kulturbesitz, Berlin (Figure 39); the cupid with his back turned is missing, but the one trying to stop Adonis is close to his counterpart in the Trianon painting. None of the known tapestries bears a weaver’s name.

A wider cartoon was that described in the “Ruinez” section of the 1736 inventory as “Le tableau de Pomone et Vertumne, en trois bandes de 10 pieds 11 pouces de long sur 8 pieds de haut”; it was presumably the one described by the revolutionary jury as “Vertumne et Pomone par Louis de Boulogne, 3 bandes. Détérioré.” A tapestry of the subject was exhibited by the dealer Lefortier at the 13th Biennale Internationale des Antiquaires at Paris in 1986 (Figure 40); it has the border with the trophies of love at the sides that is found on several other Metamorphoses tapestries (Figures 28, 34, 41, 43). No related paintings or drawings have been located. The Beauvais tapestry of the same subject also shows Vertumnus as an old woman kneeling before a seated Pomona, but he is seen from the front instead of from the back.

A companion piece to the Vertumnus and Pomona owned by Lefortier in 1986 is a Cephalus and Procris, which was also a subject in the 1736 inventory of “ruined” cartoons: “Le tableau de Céphale et Procris, en 2 bandes de 7 pieds 4 pouces de long sur huit pieds de haut.” An example of the tapestry is in the Mobilier National set (Figure 41). The design has strong points of resemblance, particularly the figure of Cephalus, with the painting by Louis de Boulogne (Figure 42). Other examples of the tapestry are known, but without makers’ names. The Beauvais tapestry of the same title shows a later event in the story—Cephalus about to throw the infallible spear at Procris.

Another “ruined” cartoon in the 1736 inventory was “Le tableau de Bacchus et Ariane, en cinq bandes de 12 pieds de long sur 8 pieds de haut.” This was the second-widest cartoon in the series, surpassed only by Rinaldo
and Armida, eighteen pieds wide. It is therefore extremely unlikely that it represented the design of Bacchus Crowning Ariadne (Figure 5), of which only narrow tapestries have been found. A scene, however, that may be called Bacchus Greeting Ariadne appears on tapestries in several Metamorphoses sets, including that in the Mobilier National (Figure 43). It is after a painting by Antoine Coygel, made for the duc d’Orléans, Louis XIV’s brother, in 1693, or, more probably, from an engraving of the work by Coygel and Gérard Audran (Figure 44).” The original painting has not been located lately (it was in Basel in 1953), but there are many copies, as well as adaptations of the composition in ceramics and enamel. The tapestry designer changed the garlands and frolicking cupids in the upper part of the painting to a large and improbable piece of drapery and omitted several figures, doubtless to make the tapestry less expensive for private customers; the officiers des têtes, the weavers of flesh tones, were more highly paid. No weavers’ names have been found on tapestries of this subject.”

As previously mentioned, the 1789 memorandum that lists the contents of the king’s set of the early Metamorphoses (no. 92) includes a tapestry described as: “A Paris: 1 pièce, 3 aunes cours, 2a,¾ haut; bordure, 12

42. Louis de Bouologne, *Cephalus and Procris*. Oil on canvas. St.-Etienne, Musée d'Art et d'Industrie (photo: Musée de l'Art et d'Industrie)


pouces: Jupiter." Could this be the Jupiter and Callisto of which an example was in the Menier set? Unfortunately, it was not illustrated in the sale catalogue. The description reads:

Gobelins, du début du XVIIIe siècle, présentant une scène tirée de la Mythologie: Jupiter sous les traits de Diane surprend le sommeil de Callisto. Dans un paysage boisé, la fille de Lycaon, légèrement drapée, est allongée sur un tertre, le haut du corps reposant près d’un arbre. À gauche, Jupiter sous la forme de Diane, accompagné de trois chiens, contemple Callisto endormie. Au premier plan, des réseaux et des plantes fleuries. Haut. 2 m. 98 cent.; larg., 1 m. 55 cent.

No other examples of the tapestry have been located. The Beauvais version of the subject shows both figures standing.80

Jupiter in another disguise, as the bull abducting Europa, is also represented in the Gobelins Metamorphoses series. The tapestries of this design were certainly made at the Gobelins, as an example in the château of Lunéville is signed by Jans and there is one in the Brandegge set. Another is in the Musée des Beaux-Arts, Calais (Figure 45) and there is a wider version in the Scott of Kew Green set, with another nymph on the left and two more girls on the right.81 Though Jans wove the design, it cannot be the "Jupiter" of the seventeenth-century set, as the figures are from an engraving by Edmé Jeaurat, dated 1714 (Figure 46), after a painting by Sébastien Le Clerc II in the Musée des Beaux-Arts, Dunkirk;82 a
lush landscape in the tapestry replaces the desolate seashore of the painting and print. Le Clerc was not born until 1676 and so could not have provided the design for the “Jupiter” of the early set. The Beauvais version of the subject shows a very similar group of figures around the bull, which does not, however, throw its head back, and Mercury in the sky is replaced by a wreath-bearing cupid.

Jans’s name also appears on a tapestry with another Metamorphoses story, Apollo and Daphne; as previously mentioned, this subject was listed by Fenaille as appearing in the king’s 1684 inventory and the style of the known tapestries is consistent with this early date. There is an example in the Bayreuth set (Figure 47), of which the other five pieces represent subjects from the early sets. The composition shows points of resemblance, particularly the figure of the river-god, with a painting attributed to Louis de Boulogne that was sold at Sotheby’s, New York, January 15, 1987, no. 104 (Figure 48). A drawing signed l. b. in the Louvre, which does not contain the river-god, has been associated with the painting. Other drawings that can be compared to both the painting and the tapestries are in the Musée de Grenoble (Figure 49), the Nationalmuseum, Stockholm (attributed to the circle of Antoine Coypel) (Figure 50), and a private collection in Paris (attributed to Bon de Boulogne). It seems most probable that Louis
de Boulogne was responsible for the design of the tapestries, of which other examples are known.8

A final subject, not mentioned in any document, that can nevertheless be identified as one of the Gobelins Metamorphoses is Diana and Endymion, as an example with Jans’s name was in the M.X. . . . sale at the Hôtel Drouot, December 16, 1932, no. 3, and there is another in the Brandegee set. A wider piece, with a nude child on one side, was most recently sold at Sotheby’s, New York, November 29, 1980, no. 491 (Figure 51).9 A painting, described as “Ecole de Cypel,” sold at the Hôtel des Ventes, Fontainebleau, April 26, 1987 (Figure 52), shows the figures almost exactly as they appear on the tapestries, except that the nude boy is in a different position. Other paintings can be associated with the design. One by Gabriel Blanchard (Figure 53) was made for the Grand Appartement of Versailles before 1701 and is still in the palace;9 it includes the reclining figure in the foreground and the winged old man, Somnus, who supports the sleeping shepherd, though the latter is in a different position and Diana has her hinds and several companions. A painting by René-Antoine Houasse, made for the Trianon in 1688 and now in the Musée d’Art et d’Histoire, Narbonne (Figure 54),

omits Somnus and the foreground figure, but Diana and Endymion are very similar to their counterparts in the tapestries. The curled up, sleeping dog is much the same on all the versions of the subject.²³

The idea of showing Endymion supported by Somnus may have been derived from Le Brun. Claude Nivelon wrote in his life of this artist:

De ce même génie [genre?] d’ouvrage pour des tapisseries de ce même temps [about 1658] se voit un tableau d’un sujet d’Endymion, reposant et endormis sur le sommeil dans le moment que Diane ou la lune sur son char tiré de ses biches descend du Ciel.... Ce sujet est plus petit que le naturel et est très agréable et riche comme le paysage qui paraît une forêt fort sombre et fraîche étant une nuit par- eillement [?] exécutée de M. Belin.²⁴

The Beauvais version of the subject, after Pierre Sève, is a totally different composition.²⁵

Among the cartoons called “Ruinez” in 1736 were “Une petite pièce pour servir d’Entrefenestre pour la même tenteure que Paysage, de 3 pieds de large sur 8 pieds de haut” and “Une autre petite pièce aussy de Paysage sans figures, de trois pieds de long sur huit pieds de haut.” Fenaille states: “il existe, au magasin du Musée du Louvre, un fragment de paysage portant la désigna- tion Entrefenêtre des Metamorphoses, où se trouve une
fontaine rustique” and that the piece “qui se trouve au magasin du Louvre, représente un paysage avec une fontaine d’architecture.” This painting can no longer be found in the Louvre.

Several types of borders were used on the Metamorphoses tapestries, the most elaborate and attractive being that of the early royal set (Figures 1, 2, 5, 15), with its doves, hens, ducks, dogs, and monkeys on a nearly white ground—“fonds aurore” in the 1684 inventory. This must have been expensive and it does not seem to have been used again. Another lively type has heavy garlands of fruit, interspersed with squirrels, monkeys, birds, and butterflies; this appears on the Metropolitan Museum Diana and Actaeon (Figure 14) and the Paris 1933 set. The border with trophies of love in the center of each side (Figures 28, 34, 40, 41, 43) has already been mentioned. The Bayreuth set (Figures 4, 12, 47) has thin sprays of leaves encircled by wide ribbons. The most usual types imitate carved wooden frames but at least a dozen varieties exist. Two or more weavings of the same subject with identical borders are not infrequent, which means that it is impossible to estimate the total number of sets by counting the types of borders.

The Metamorphoses is a most unusual series for the Gobelins manufactory. Its size is surpassed only by the twenty-eight subjects of Don Quixote, woven steadily from 1717 until the end of the monarchy; this also started as a set made by Jans and Lefebvre for a private customer, but it was later taken over by the king more completely than was the Metamorphoses. It is indeed extraordinary that, compared to over a hundred known pieces of the Metamorphoses, only fourteen were made for the king, five of which have been identified. The prime mover in the enterprise would seem to have been Jans; his name is found on tapestries of thirteen different subjects and his letters to Lord Exeter’s servant are the earliest documents connected with the series. Jans was clearly a shrewd man and found ways to profit even in adversity; when the manufactory was shut down in 1694, his colleague Lefebvre wrote to the king that he had been paid fifty livres less per aune than Jans, although he gave his workers the same wages.

It seems probable that Jans commissioned designs, perhaps only drawings, from several artists in the 1680s, so that he could have a new and interesting series to offer customers like Lord Exeter. Some twenty years later, painters’ work on the Metamorphoses cartoons was paid for by the king, perhaps at the instigation of the heads of the basse-lisse workshops, envious of Jans’s ownership of profitable designs; somewhat belatedly, in 1714, they began a set for the king from the cartoons. There is no evidence establishing the exact dates when individual designs were added to those of the early sets. Most of the tapestries exist in two versions, mirror-images of each other, showing they were woven on both haute- and basse-lisse looms.

As described above, several artists are mentioned in the records as responsible for the designs of the Metamorphoses tapestries. Charles de La Fosse is listed for Acis and Galatea and Diana’s Return from the Hunt; Bacchus Crowning Ariadne may also be his design. Louis de Boulogne the Younger is credited with Rinaldo and Armida and paintings of his are certainly related to Apollo and Hyacinth, Flora and Zephyr, Venus and Adonis, and Cephalus and Procris; more tentatively Cupid and Psyche, Vertumnus and Pomona, and Apollo and Daphne may be derived from his designs. Nicolas Bertin has Apollo and the Python and Mercury and Argus to his credit, and Cupid and Psyche might be his rather than Louis de Boulogne’s. Other artists are not named in the records, but Antoine Dieu is probably responsible for Narcissus and Echo and René-Antoine Houasse may be for Boreas and Orithyia, Pan and Syrinx, and Diana and Endymion. Bacchus Greeting Ariadne is from a print after Antoine Coypel and Europa and the Bull from one after Sébastien Le Clerc II; these two compositions have many figures. Rinaldo and Armida, Diana’s Return from the Hunt, and the unattributed Diana and Actaeon, all of which also contain a number of figures, may also have been based on prints, but none has been identified. Two subjects in the 1736 inventory of cartoons, Apollo and the Python and Mercury and Argus, are described as having figures painted by Nicolas Bertin; all the others in this list are either without an artist’s name or merely “d’après” a certain painter. As suggested above, Jans may have acquired fairly simple drawings with at most three or four figures and no landscapes; full-scale working cartoons would then be made from these by artists attached to the manufactory.

Another unusual feature of the series is the great freedom with which the designs were treated; the versions of Acis and Galatea (Figures 1, 2, 4) and of Flora and Zephyr (Figures 30, 33, 34) are only the most extreme examples of this rather high-handed nonchalance. The ease, however, with which compositions could be widened by the addition of a fountain or a peacock undoubtedly helped to sell sets to private customers who needed pieces to fit their walls. The artists who were directors of the manufactory between 1680 and 1730—Charles Le Brun,
Pierre Mignard, and Robert de Cotte—seem to have exercised minimal supervision over this series. Jans knew what would please his paying customers. While his official looms were turning out the great, solemn scenes of the History of the King, the stories of Alexander and Moses, or the Raphael masterpieces of the Chambres du Vatican for royal use, he took pains to have lighter, cheaper, less demanding, and more purely decorative designs available that could compete with the commercial products of Beauvais. His colleagues evidently followed his lead with enthusiasm. The Metamorphoses tapestries show that the change of taste associated with the Régence penetrated even the august workshops of the Gobelins. They also prove that the entrepreneurs did a great deal of work for private individuals between 1680 and 1730, foreshadowing the enormous success of the Tentures de Boucher in the second half of the eighteenth century.

NOTES

1. I am indebted to Eric Till for the privilege of consulting and quoting from his transcriptions of the English letters in the archives of Burghley House, owned by Lady Victoria Leatham, and from his notes on the contents of the French letters. Dr. Till has also kindly sent me extracts from the 1688 Burghley House inventory; he is preparing an account of the fifteenth and his purchases.


3. Maurice Fenaille, Etat général des Tapisseries de la Manufacture des Gobelins (Paris) II (1903) p. 419; III (1904) p. 121. The latter reference says that the set included "tableaux de Jupiter: Action changé en cerf, Apollon et Daphné"; no source is quoted for the third subject. The pourcle is about the same as the English inch.

4. "L'Etat des tapisseries en haute et basse lisse faites aux Gobelins depuis l'établissement de cette manufacture en 1662, jusqu'au 16 juillet 1691" (Fenaille, Etat général II, p. 183, gives the source as Archives nationales, o2040).

5. Ibid., p. 381.

6. Ibid., p. 355. Edouard Gerspach (Répertoire détaillé des Tapisseries des Gobelins [Paris, 1893] p. 17) says that Jans's private workshop was "en communication avec la Manufacture au moyen d'une porte intérieure" and that Jean de la Fraye, the basse-lisse entrepreneur, had tapestries he was making for private individuals on looms in the Gobelins building in 1694.

7. A. M. L. E. Erkelens, "Vier wandtapijten met Ovidius' Metamorphosen, Manufactuur des Gobelins, Ateliers Janss en Lefebure, van voór 1684," Bulletin van het Rijksmuseum 7 (1959) pp. 64–69. The weaver Jean Lefebvre is mentioned because Louis XIV paid for seven sets of tapestries by him and Jean Jans in 1695 (Fenaille, Etat général II, p. 420); "quelques Fables des Metamorphoses" were included. There is no proof, however, that Lefebvre made any pieces of the king's Metamorphoses set. The statement in Edith Appleton Standen, European Post-Medieval Tapestries and Related Hangings in The Metropolitan Museum of Art (New York, 1985) I, p. 320, n. 7, that the Amsterdam tapestries have the French royal arms is incorrect.


10. Ibid., pp. 123, 124. The author does not mention the appearance of the cartoons in any later inventories.

11. Fenaille, Etat général II, pp. 419, 420; III, pp. 121–132. No further references will be given to these pages, which include all the information taken from the Gobelins archives. The author lists fourteen subjects of the eighteenth-century Metamorphoses series, twelve of which he describes from tapestries known to him. He illustrates five and gives a list of thirty individual pieces with their dimensions, marks, and locations in 1900.

12. The Cholmeley-Coetzeet set was previously sold at Christie's, London, May 27, 1948, no. 168. The description of Actis and Galatea, which is not illustrated, shows that the design corresponds to one of the Amsterdam tapestries of the subject.


15. The known Metamorphoses sets are:

SIX PIECES.

1. In the Neues Schloss, Bayreuth.
2. Owned by the Brandegge Foundation, Boston, Mass. It was lent anonymously to the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, in 1919 [S. G. F. [Sarah G. Flint], "The Metamorphoses. A Set of Gobelins Tapestries," Museum of Fine Arts Bulletin 17 [1919] p. 5]. A seventh piece, a landscape, is mentioned as "woven to replace a missing original; it has the mark of the Lille manufactory." This set will be referred to as the "Brandegge set."

3. Sold at Parke-Bernet, Feb. 12, 1955, nos. 486–491; four pieces were later in the Mrs. Gaby Solomon sale, Christie's, London, Dec. 2, 1971, nos. 151, 152, and were sold again at Sotheby's, London, June 25, 1982, nos. 12–15. This set will be referred to as the "Parke-Bernet 1955–Sotheby's 1982 set."

FIVE PIECES.

In the Fouret collection, Paris, in 1900 (Fenaille lists three subjects and illustrates two more). Photographs of
all the pieces are in the Département des Objets d’Art at the Louvre.

FOUR PIECES.
1. Owned in 1900 by Don Francisco de Assisi de Bourbon (1822–1902), husband of Isabella II, queen of Spain, at the château of Epinay, Seine (Fenaille lists the set as owned by the king of Spain). This set will be referred to as the "Epinay set."
2. In the Bourdariat collection in 1900.
3. In the sale of tapestries from the château of Fontbeau-
5. In the Paul Meurice sale, Hôtel Drouot, Mar. 24, 25, 1926, nos. 232–236. This will be referred to as the "Paris 1926 set."
6. Sold at Sotheby’s, May 11, 1928, no. 161. This will be referred to as the "Sotheby’s 1928 set."
7. In the X sale, 19 avenue d’Iéna, Paris, Dec. 16, 1933, nos. h–k. This set will be referred to as the "Paris 1933 set."

THREE PIECES (public collections):

References to sets of three pieces in private collections and sales, as well as to pairs and single pieces wherever located, are given in the accounts of the different subjects. It is not always possible to say if a set known in the nineteenth century is or is not identical with one recorded later.

16. "Sr. Mattheux" (Pierre Mattheux, 1657–1719) was paid for a copy of Acis et Galatée in 1715. Robert-François Bonnart (1652–after 1729) was a pupil of Van der Meulen. The second copy listed in 1736 had the same dimensions and was the work of the same artists.

17. Francis H. Dowley, "Three Drawings by Charles de La Fosse," Master Drawings 2 (1964) pp. 51, 52. The author illustrates the other Acis et Galatée tapestry in Amsterdam, not the one more closely related to the painting and drawing. The Prado picture was reproduced in a print by Edmé Jeurat dated 1722, which is too late for it to have been used as the basis for the tapestry design.


20. Previously in the Parke-Bernet 1955–Sotheby’s 1982 set. An Acis and Galatée in the Meurice set was in two parts, but, as it is not illustrated in the sale catalogue, its design cannot be determined.

21. I am indebted to Chantal Gastinel-Coural for knowledge of this tapestry. The relationship between it and the Acis et Galatée design was first recognized by Jennifer Montagu in a private letter to Mme Coural.

22. Catalogue des Peintures françaises, Musée des Beaux-Arts de Dijon (1968) no. 64, pl. 27. Dr. Margaret Stuuffmann, in a private letter, has said that she does not consider the tapestry to be after de La Fosse.


24. Per Bjurström, French Drawings, Sixteenth and Seventeenth Centuries (Stockholm, 1976) no. 780. With an 18th-century inscription "Eustache le Sueur," but said to be more probably connected with Le Brun.

25. In Pierre Rosenberg and François Bergot, French Master Drawings from the Rouen Museum: From Caron to Delacroix, exh. cat., National Gallery (Washington, D.C., 1981–82) no. 7. Of the two paintings mentioned, the one in Orléans, described as possibly an old copy, is presumably the work attributed to Guy Louis Vernansal (fig. 10). The drawing in Rouen (fig. 9) is close to a painting signed by Louis de Boulgogne and dated 1707, once in the château of Auterive (Michel Borjon, "La galerie du château de Guermantes, Bulletin de la Société de l’Histoire de l’Art français 1985 [1987] p. 124, fig. 4 [copy by Pierre-Paul Méréle, 1708]).


28. The example in the Paris 1933 set was in the M. B. sale, Galerie Jean Charpentier, June 9, 1936, no. 146, when it was said to be signed "J. Jans." Others are in the Bayreuth and Parke-Bernet 1955–Sotheby’s 1982 sets.


30. He was paid for work on the Indes cartoons in 1688 and 1692 and made copies of the Alexander set (Fenaille, Etat général II, pp. 168, 371, 380).

31. Sold again at the Galerie Jean Charpentier, June 9, 1936, no. 146, when it was said to be signed "J. Jans," and resold at the same auction house, Mar. 24, 1955, no. 115.

32. Standen, European Post-Medieval Tapestries I, no. 48.

33. Other examples are in the Bayreuth and Parke-Bernet 1955–Sotheby’s 1982 sets. Individual pieces were sold at the Hôtel Drouot, Rive Gauche, May 16, 1977, no. 67, and the Hôtel des Ventes, Grenoble, Nov. 24, 1986. One without a border was owned by a dealer, Chevalier, in 1987; this piece and those in the Burghley House and Parke-Bernet 1955–Sotheby’s 1982 sets
show Actaeon on the right; in all the others, the composition is reversed.

34. Other examples are in the Bourdariat and Parke-Bernet 1955 sets. A single piece with the composition reversed was sold at the National Art Galleries, New York, Jan. 28, 1933, no. 262, with an unusual border of widely spaced grotesques, possibly a later addition.

35. Jestaz, “Beauvais Manufactory,” fig. 11.

36. The artists named, other than Louis de Boullogne, all worked for the Gobelins. Joseph Yvert (1649–1728) became “garde des tableaux” there after the death in 1690 of his father, Baudouin (or Baudrin), who had held the same position. Charles Chastelain (1672–1755) was inspector from 1732. Belin de Fontenay (1652–1715), the well-known flower painter, was active in the manufactory from 1699. Domenchin de Chavannes (1732–1744) also appears in the Gobelins records (Guillaume Janneau, La Peinture française au XVII siècle [Geneva, 1965] p. 234).

37. The First Painters of the King, French Royal Taste from Louis XIV to the Revolution, exh. cat., Stair Sainty Matthiesen (New York, 1985–86) no. 7. A related drawing in Louisville is reproduced, as well as a smaller version of the painting and an example of the tapestry (called “tapestry cartoon”).


39. Antoine Coyel had a painting with the same title in the Salon, which was later engraved. It shows a very similar scene, but with Rinaldo asleep and not holding a mirror.

40. Examples were in the Epinay, Fontbeauzard, and Stern sets. Others were in the collection of Baron Edmond de Rothschild before 1904; sold at the Hôtel Drouot, May 7, 1897; at Sotheby’s, May 11, 1928, no. 1614; in the Kripp von Bohlen und Holbach sale, Galerie Georges Petit, Dec. 5, 6, 1911; sold at the Palais Galleria, Paris, Mar. 28, 1968, no. 192; in the Elvedon Hall sale, Christie’s, May 22, 1984, no. 1772, owned by the dealer Chevalier in 1986 (Figure 16). An example formerly owned by French & Co. is inscribed “A. C. C. Beauvais,” but the guard border on which this appears is an addition.

41. One was formerly owned by the Berlin dealers Altkunst-Margraf, with an elaborate coat of arms in the upper border (Heinrich Göbel, Wunderschöpfe. II. Teil. Die romanischen Länder [Leipzig, 1928] p. 170; 2, pl. 158); others were sold at Parke-Bernet, March 5, 6, 1954, no. 366, from the collection of Mrs. Beverley Bogaert, and at the Nouveau Drouot, Apr. 2, 1987, no. 143, from the collection of Mme Camoin.

42. “Chevreuil” is presumably Robert-François Chevreul (fl. 1676–1714).
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