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This beautifully produced volume is the first to survey
the Metropolitan Museum's world-renowned collection
of European furniture. One hundred and three superb
examples from the Museum's vast holdings are fea­
tured. They originated in workshops in England,
France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Austria,
Russia, or Spain and date from the Renaissance to
the late nineteenth century. A number of them
belonged to such important historical figures as Pope
Urban VIII, Louis XIV, Madame de Pompadour, and
Napoleon. The selection includes chairs, tables, beds,
cabinets, commodes, settees and sofas, bookcases
and standing shelves, desks, fire screens, athénéennes,
coffers, chests, mirrors and frames, showcases, and
lighting equipment. There is also one purely decorative
piece, a superb vase made for a Russian noble family
who, according to one awestruck viewer, "owned all
the malachite mines in the world." The makers of
some of the objects are unknown, but most of the
pieces can be identified by label, documentation, or
style as the work of an outstanding European
designer-craftsman, such as André-Charles Boulle,
Thomas Chippendale, David Roentgen, or Karl
Friedrich Schinkel.

Museum curators Daniëlle O. Kisluk-Grosheide,
Wolfram Koeppe, and William Rieder discuss the his­
tory and significance of these objects, incorporating
information gleaned from new research and conser­
vation studies. The most important techniques of
furniture making and decoration are also described—
veneering, marquetry, joining, gilding, and inlay,
among others—and a glossary offers additional
information on these and many additional aspects of
the craft. In the introduction, Daniëlle O. Kisluk-
Grosheide discusses the history of the Museum's
collection. Her entertaining essay is accompanied by
historical photographs from the Museum's files and
views of several of the Museum's period rooms.
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Foreword

Visitors to the European Sculpture and Decorative Arts galleries and period rooms at The Metropolitan Museum of Art enjoy one of the finest collections of European furniture in the world. The curatorial staff of the department as well as outside specialists research and regularly write about these objects in essays for scholarly journals and exhibition catalogues, and the new information reaches the general public by way of wall labels, websites, and audio tours. Yet neither the collection as a whole nor a selection of its most interesting pieces has ever been the subject of a significant publication.

This eagerly awaited volume presents 103 superb pieces of furniture. Every aspect of these Museum objects is examined, from their craftsmanship to their collection history. The works are of many types and styles, but all were chosen for their exceptionally high level of quality. Most are of French, English, German, or Italian origin, reflecting major areas of departmental strength, but there are more than a few outstanding examples from other countries as well. They range in date from the Renaissance, through the collection’s high point in the eighteenth century, to the last quarter of the nineteenth century.

The three authors of this volume—Danielle O. Kisluk-Grosheide, Wolfram Koeppe, and William Rieder—have long experience of this collection, as their numerous publications listed in the bibliography attest. All three began their careers at the Museum during the time when James Parker, who died in 2001 and to whom this volume is dedicated, was the principal curator of furniture in the department.

It is a pleasure to recognize the role played in the genesis of this book by my predecessor, Olga Raggio. She supervised the acquisition of many of the objects discussed here, and her expertise was a valuable resource for the authors. In the field of decorative arts, curators work closely with conservators and scientists. The contributions of members of the Departments of Objects Conservation and Scientific Research are gratefully acknowledged. New photography is an important element of this book. Joseph Coscia, Jr.’s images reveal to the reader not only the beauty of the furniture but also the intricacies of each piece’s fabrication. Multiple views and details are an invaluable aid to comprehending furniture’s many aspects. Accordingly, a CD-ROM of supplementary images has been added to this volume—for the first time in a Museum publication. None of this would have been possible without the approval of Director Philippe de Montebello, whose insistence on excellence set the standard for this as for all projects in the Museum.

As the introductory chapter documents, the Metropolitan’s European furniture collection reflects the taste and generosity of a number of private collectors, beginning a century ago. One of the guiding lights of its formation and enrichment, Mrs. Charles Wrightsman, remains an inspiration to and active supporter of the department. Through the Friends of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts, under the presidency of Frank R. Richardson, she and a number of other collectors generously subsidized some expenses of this publication. Another “Friend,” Andrew Augenblick, made an additional gift. The authors and the department are grateful to all of these supporters for making possible the publication of this splendid and timely book, which we hope will be the first in a series on the departmental collections.

Ian Wardropper
*Iris and B. Gerald Cantor Chairman,
European Sculpture and Decorative Arts*
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Notes to the Reader

The Book
One hundred and three pieces of furniture in the Museum’s collection are featured in this
book. Each is shown in a large color illustration that bears the number of the object and its
entry. All other illustrations in the entries are numbered consecutively, from Figure 1 in the
first entry to Figure 138 in the last. The illustrations in the introductory chapter are not
numbered.

In the entry headings, information about each object is always given in the same
sequence; the omission of any line of information, such as the name of the maker, indicates
that the information is not available or that the line is not applicable. The name of each
object is given in English, followed by the name in the original language if that name is also
in common use. Birth and death dates of makers, designers, and others who worked on the
furniture are given in the heading when they are known. Life dates for other significant
persons are given in the text. Measurements are given to the nearest eighth of an inch, with
the height preceding the width, preceding the depth.

The author’s initials follow the text of each entry. Translations of quoted material are
by the authors unless otherwise stated in the notes. When “left” and “right” are used in
descriptions of furniture, the viewpoint is always the observer’s.

Bibliographical references are cited in the notes in abbreviated form. The corresponding
full citations are given in the bibliography.

The symbol (2) is used in the entries to indicate that a pertinent image may be found on
the CD-ROM (see below). These references are to images that illustrate a point in the text
or enrich a description of the furniture in a way that the book illustrations do not do.
There are many more images on the CD-ROM than are referred to in the entries; the reader
is encouraged to use the disk whenever possible to enhance enjoyment and appreciation of
the book.

The CD-ROM
Additional images are accessible on a CD-ROM disk in a sleeve at the back of the book.
They include full views, zooms, and details of the featured pieces and some additional
images of matching furniture. Warranty, license-agreement, and permitted and prohibited
use information is given on the last screen of the CD-ROM. The sequence of objects in the
book is the same as that on the CD-ROM. Go to “Contents” (the second screen) to find a
link to the object you want to study in greater detail.

Following are instructions for installing and running the CD-ROM:

Minimum System Requirements (Mac): 660MHz G3 running Mac OS x 10.2 with
256 MB memory and a CD-ROM drive. To open: double click the MET file located on
the CD-ROM.

Minimum System Requirements (PC): Windows 2000/XP 1.2 GHz (2 GHz recom-
mended) with 256 MB of memory (512 MB recommended) and a CD-ROM drive.
To open: The file should auto-run. If it does not, double-click the MET.exe file located
on the CD-ROM.
European Furniture in The Metropolitan Museum of Art
The collection of postmedieval European furniture at The Metropolitan Museum of Art bears witness to the sophisticated taste of several generations of generous donors and committed trustees, as well as to the foresight and connoisseurship of astute Museum curators. Its range and depth are impressive, and as this book attests, it boasts a wealth of objects that are not only exemplary in their beauty and craftsmanship but also of great historical and cultural interest. Most of the pieces discussed in this volume are currently on display and are enjoyed by thousands of visitors each year in a series of permanent galleries and in European period-room settings for which the Museum is equally renowned.

In a statement issued to the public on 3 March 1871, the founders of the Metropolitan declared that the institution should have among its holdings representative examples not only of painting and sculpture but also of the decorative arts. It was their intention and special wish “to begin at an early day the formation of a collection of industrial art, of objects of utility to which decorative art has been applied, ornamental metal-work, carving in wood, ivory and stone, painted glass, glass vessels, pottery, enamel, and all other materials.” The Museum officers further observed that the political and social changes then taking place in Europe made that time a particularly favorable one for purchasing decorative items and that the need to form such a collection as tasteful models for “our mechanics and students” was most pressing. The collection would clarify for the design student “what can be done in art, and where the limits are set[,] to overpass which is excess and consequent failure.”

Although European furniture was not specifically mentioned in the statement, it must certainly have been the founders’ intention to acquire in this area as well. Gifts and purchases of European furniture during the first three decades of the Museum’s existence were neither numerous nor exceptionally memorable, but that situation began to change after the turn of the century, when several significant German pieces were acquired. In 1903 an ivory collector’s cabinet (no. 13) made in Augsburg between 1655 and 1659, but initially thought to be eighteenth-century Italian, was bought at the estate sale of Henry G. Marquand (1819-1902). A discriminating collector and patron (see also the entry for no. 103), Marquand was one of the founding trustees and benefactors of the Museum and had served as its president from 1889 to 1902. Two years later, an imposing Nuremberg Fassadenschrank (cupboard with an architectural facade) was added to the collections (no. 8).

A number of exceptional gifts and bequests have made the Metropolitan’s collection one of the most important in the world.
was determined, and in 1977 it assumed its current title, Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts—ESDA, for short.

Significant pieces of European furniture entered the Museum through the generosity of other New York donors, such as William K. Vanderbilt (1848–1920). In his bequest, Vanderbilt left the Metropolitan a famous black-lacquer and gilt-bronze secretaire and matching commode made by the French cabinetmaker Jean-Henri Riesener for Queen Marie Antoinette (nos. 82, 83). Today they are possibly the best-known pieces of royal furniture outside France. Subsequent benefactors presented outstanding objects that had also been commissioned for the use of the unfortunate queen. In 1941 Ann Payne Blumenthal (d. 1973), the second wife of George Blumenthal (1858–1941), a trustee of the Museum and for seven years its president, donated a daybed, armchair, and fire screen by Jean-Baptiste-Claude Sene for Marie Antoinette's Cabinet de Toilette at the Château de Saint-Cloud (nos. 86–88). Three years later, Susan Dwight Bliss (d. 1966) enriched the collections with an armchair from Versailles by François Foliot II (no. 74), and at the end of the decade the Museum received a mechanical table (no. 77) from the estate of the stockbroker and well-known collector of old master paintings Jules S. Bache (1861–1944). This last piece was the second table by Riesener with a Versailles provenance to enter the Museum. An earlier table by the queen’s favorite cabinetmaker (no. 73) had been an astute purchase at the 1932 sale that dispersed the contents of the Blumenthal residence in Paris.

The cultural foundation established in 1929 by the chain-store magnate, art collector, and philanthropist Samuel H. Kress (1863–1955) made an unprecedented gift to the Metropolitan in 1958. Consisting mostly of French decorative artworks, it included many delightful pieces of Sèvres porcelain and no fewer than seventeen porcelain-mounted objects, a large number of which had been amassed by a banker to the British royal family, Sir Charles Mills (1792–1872). The generosity of the Kress Foundation has made the Museum the foremost repository in the world of porcelain-mounted furniture and the envy of many another institution (see nos. 63, 67).

Meanwhile, the fledgling Department of Decorative Arts was augmenting the Museum’s holdings of English furniture. The pace was slow at first but quickened markedly in 1914 with a bequest from former assistant secretary of state John L. Cadwalader (d. 1914). A member of the Museum’s board of trustees from 1901 until his death, Cadwalader left the Metropolitan thirty-three pieces of British eighteenth-century furniture and twice that many examples of Chelsea porcelain. Growth in this field continued with the 1918 purchase of twenty-three English objects, mostly chairs (for example, no. 19), from the collection of George S. Palmer (1855–1934), a textiles manufacturer in New London, Connecticut. Palmer’s outstanding collection of American furniture was acquired by the Museum as well. In 1924 three permanent galleries were installed to house the Museum’s by then considerable holdings of English furniture, which ranged in style from Elizabethan to Sheraton. They formed a modest complement to the American Wing, which had opened on the north side of the Museum the same year. The spacious new galleries (see illustration on page 4, above) were quickly filled with additional purchases, among them—in 1926—a striking Palladian side table attributed to the carver Matthias Lock (no. 43). Also in 1926 the Museum acquired the so-called Backgammon Players Cabinet (no. 99). Decorated, with a painting by Sir Edward Burne-Jones, it had been made by Morris, Marshall, Faulkner and Company for the London International Exhibition of 1862. Appreciation of nineteenth-century exhibition pieces was still in its infancy—there was, in fact, a general contempt for furniture from the Victorian era—thus, this acquisition showed remarkable foresight.

During the Depression the Museum obtained two outstanding mid-eighteenth-century rooms: the Dining Room from Kirtlington Park, Oxfordshire, and the Dining Room from Lansdowne House, in London. They were kept in storage until the 1950s, when a third example, the Tapestry Room from Croome Court, Worcestershire, was given by the Kress Foundation (see fig. 93). The installation of all three period rooms prompted additional purchases and donations of English furniture, such as the marquetry-decorated cabinet on stand from the Marion E. and Leonard A. Cohn collection (no. 24). None, however, shaped the Museum’s holdings of English art so markedly as did the many gifts and large bequest of Irwin Untermyer (1886–1973). A justice of the appellate court in New York and for many years a Museum trustee, Untermyer collected voraciously in the fields of European bronzes and English silver, needlepoint, and porcelain, but his great passion was clearly English furniture. Numerous pieces made
of oak, walnut, and mahogany—all of very high quality—that once furnished Judge Untermyer's Fifth Avenue apartment (see illustration below) now line the walls of the Museum's Annie Laurie Aitken Galleries. A selection is included in this publication. One of the most distinguished is a beautiful mahogany china table in the style of Thomas Chippendale (no. 55).

In 1973 the news of an unexpected bequest reached the Museum. The gift included not only Impressionist and Postimpressionist paintings and a choice group of German silver and porcelain pieces that would bring depth to an area already well represented in the Museum but also a splendid assemblage of Rococo furniture from southern Germany and France. A warm regard for the Metropolitan, fostered by furniture curator James Parker, had convinced Emma Alexander Sheafer (1891-1973), the widow of stockbroker Lesley G. Sheafer (d. 1956), to make this princely gift. Although their initial interest had been in contemporary American paintings and furniture, Mr. and Mrs. Sheafer shifted their attention after World War II to French and German art. They made purchases in Hamburg and Munich at a time when the German decorative arts were generally not fashionable in America. Mrs. Sheafer's bequest included a unique set of garden-room seat furniture from Schloss Seehof near Bamberg (see no. 61). It had graced the Sheafers' apartment in Manhattan for many years (see illustration on page 5, above).

Quite early in its history, the Museum bought some magnificent Italian Renaissance pieces. One of them, a Florentine marriage chest, or cassone, with a painted battle scene inset on the front, was a particularly fortunate purchase in 1916 (no. 2). Dating to the 1460s, it is one of a very few cassoni that have been preserved with their painted decoration intact. Other notable Italian acquisitions were, in 1930, a late-fifteenth-century sgabello chair and, in 1938, a magnificent inlaid marble and pietre dure table (nos. 5, 7). A rare example of a practical form invested with humanistic meaning, the former is illustrated in many books on furniture.

The latter was designed to fill a focal position in the state rooms of the Farnese Palace in Rome. Important Italian objects from the Baroque and Rococo periods made their way into the Museum during the late 1960s and early 1970s through the generosity of Lilliana Teruzzi (1899-1987), a former opera singer. While living in Italy before World War II, she purchased such outstanding pieces of Roman furniture as a highly sculptural display case and a pair of striking fourteen-foot-high bookcases from the Palazzo Rospigiosi (nos. 15, 33). An Italian table reflecting the late-eighteenth-century fashion for ancient Egyptian art (no. 70) was a gift of the investment banker and art collector Robert Lehman (1892-1969) in 1941, the year he became a trustee of the Metropolitan Museum. It was the only Lehman object to enter the European decorative-arts department. The collection assembled by Robert and his father, Philip Lehman, known for its strength in Italian Renaissance and early Northern Renaissance paintings, old master drawings, and works in majolica and Venetian glass,
The dining room in Mrs. Emma Sheafer's apartment at 45 East Sixty-sixth Street, New York, in 1974.

was to be housed in a special wing at the Museum named for the donor that opened in 1975.

Old master paintings, Renaissance bronzes, porcelain figures from various European manufactories, and furniture came in 1982 as a gift from Belle Linsky (d. 1987). She and her husband, Jack Linsky (1897–1980), who had developed a modest stationery business into a large and successful corporation, amassed their diverse and exemplary collection largely in New York. Outstanding pieces of French furniture—several by makers whose work was not yet displayed in the Museum, such as a commode by the French ébéniste Charles Cressent (no. 44) and a mechanical table ordered from Jean-François Oeben by Madame de Pompadour (no. 60)—further fleshed out the Museum's holdings of French art (see illustration at right).

By far the most important donors to the Museum in the area of French furniture and decorative arts have been Mr. and Mrs. Wrightsman. The discerning taste, specialized interest, and unsurpassed generosity of the oil executive Charles B. Wrightsman (1895–1986) and his wife, Jayne, have made the Museum’s collection of French furniture and furnishings world-renowned. Splendid pieces, frequently with a royal association (see nos. 57, 84, 89), are on view in the period rooms and permanent galleries that fittingly bear the Wrightsman’s name (see illustration on page 6). Many objects were gifts from their private collection, one of the finest in the country, which they began to assemble in 1952. Others were acquired with the Museum in mind and paid for by the Wrightsman Fund. Widowed in 1986, Mrs. Wrightsman, who is a trustee of the Museum and was for many years the chairman of the Acquisitions Committee, has continued her support of and interest in the institution, as the marvelous additions of the past two decades illustrate. For instance, the installation in 1987 of a state bedchamber—a room devoted to the arts of the French Baroque—led to the purchase not only of an exquisite marquetry table by Pierre Gole (no. 14) but also of a unique writing desk by Alexandre-Jean Oppenordt, one of the few surviving pieces of furniture executed for Louis XIV (no. 17). More recent acquisitions that were made possible by Mrs. Wrightsman have not been restricted to French works of art. They include a rare English gilt-wood chandelier and an exquisite table made almost entirely of Berlin porcelain (nos. 30, 96).
Despite rising prices and strong competition among institutions worldwide for major pieces of furniture, the Museum has been able to secure objects of exceptional beauty and historical interest for its collections during the past two decades. The purchase in 1995 of a floral-marquetry cabinet on stand attributed to Jan van Mekeren (no. 27), a welcome addition to the small group of Dutch objects already in the Museum, reflects a departmental determination to expand in areas that are less well represented. In 2002 the successful pursuit of a cut-steel center table made at the Tula armory and formerly at Pavlosk Palace (no. 79), for instance, brought the first piece of what is hoped will be a representative collection of Russian furniture to the Museum. Efforts to improve the nineteenth-century holdings, stimulated by the planning of the Iris and B. Gerald Cantor Galleries for nineteenth-century sculpture and decorative arts, which opened in 1991, are gradually beginning to pay dividends. Through gifts and purchases, several important examples have enriched the department. One of the most notable of these is an extraordinary Merovingian-style armoire made by Charles-Guillaume Diehl, Emmanuel Frémiet, and Jean Brandely (no. 101). Future acquisitions will undoubtedly fill other gaps and further augment the superior collection of European furniture that is among the glories of The Metropolitan Museum of Art.

Over the years, various curators and their colleagues in other institutions have written about aspects of the furniture holdings in the Museum’s Bulletin and Journal, in other periodicals, and in exhibition catalogues, many of which are listed in the bibliography of this book. Between 1958 and 1973 Yvonne Hackenbroch and Sir Francis J. B. Watson compiled comprehensive multivolume catalogues of the Untermyer and Wrightsman collections, respectively. In 1964 the furniture donated to the Museum by the Samuel H. Kress Foundation was published with the rest of the gift in a volume written by James Parker, Edith Appleton Standen, and Carl Christian Dauterman. And, celebrating the opening of the Linsky Galleries, The Jack and Belle Linsky Collection in The Metropolitan Museum of Art, a catalogue of both the fine and the decorative arts, was issued in 1984. Though these are all important scholarly works, they are specialized. Given the importance of the European furniture assembled at the Metropolitan, it is surprising that no publication has yet been devoted to the collection as a whole or even to a selection of some of the choicest objects. We are therefore pleased to present this volume to the public and hope that the glorious furnishings discussed and illustrated on its pages and in the accompanying CD-ROM will receive the widespread recognition that they deserve.
Highlights of the Collection
Cupboard (credenza or armadio)

Like many of his contemporaries, the historian Benedetto Dei (1418–1492) admired the illusionistic effects that the Florentine artisans who specialized in wood inlay, or intarsia, were achieving. In his Memorie (1470) he remarked that he had been in Florence at the time when these craftsmen began making “intarsia perspectives and figures in [such] a way that they seemed [to be] painted.” By and three-dimensional patterns according to the rules of perspective. The intarsia cutters worked with a “palette” of small pieces of wood of many different types and colors, inserting and gluing them into cutout hollows of a wooden matrix, such as the walnut carcasse of this cupboard. The woods used for intarsia were generally available locally from timber merchants, or they might be supplied by the patron—perhaps a wealthy individual who had ordered a chest inlaid with slabs of alabaster in the Moorish style, or a religious body or communal institution that required doors, cabinets, or choir stalls inlaid with pictorial scenes. Federigo da Montefeltro (1422–1482), the duke of Urbino, had the walls of his small study, or studiolo, at Gubbio covered entirely with intarsiated paneling, which is today one of the glories of the Metropolitan's collection.

Several of the decorative motifs seen in the intarsia of the Museum's cupboard are executed in a form of inlay called tarsia a toppo (see fig. 1). For this type of work the craftsman would assemble hundreds of tiny pieces of wood of different species and various geometric shapes, glue them together to form a solid block, and cut them into slices, which he then inserted in geometric patterns into a solid wooden matrix. That the work of manipulating the minute pieces required intense concentration and extraordinary dexterity is evident when one looks below the doors of this cupboard at the base, whose pattern recalls water-driven machinery or bridges. The perspective and mechanical studies of Leonardo da Vinci, Piero della Francesca, and Francesco di Giorgio come inevitably to mind. The equally intricate tarsia a toppo inlay on the doors is in the abstract Moorish interlace style, reflecting influence from the Islamic world. If the tarsia a toppo decoration on the cupboard attests to the skill of the human hand, the large, finely grained walnut panels in the center of the doors may be said to represent the simple beauty of natural materials. Like the slabs of alabaster on top of the Farnese table (see the entry for no. 7) they center and balance the whirl of ornament around them.

Credenze (sideboards or cupboards) are frequently mentioned in Italian inventories of the fifteenth century, and there is pictorial evidence that the form with two doors was fully developed by this time. For example, a painting of 1482 by Filippino Lippi (ca. 1457–1504) shows the Virgin Mary kneeling in a contemporary bedroom setting beside a cupboard much like the Museum's example. The type developed from simple trestle tables that were set against a wall and often covered with sumptuous textiles. The undecorated top of the Museum's cupboard may once have been covered by an Arabian or Coptic carpet with colorful interlace border designs like those that are imitated in the door inlay. Such textiles would have made an appropriate backdrop for the display of choice objects and fine plate. A similar “credenza of cupboard form” appears in a Venetian woodcut of 1517 showing a room for dining and living. The doors of the cupboard are ajar, suggesting that precious and practical objects like metal candlesticks or the large basin standing on top could be stored within.

Rather than furnishing a domestic interior such as the two just mentioned, the Museum's cupboard may have been made for a church or monastery, where it would have been used to secure embroidered vestments or liturgical vessels. Neither the wrought-iron handles on the doors nor the design of the inlay nor any other detailing, however, identify the piece as a “sacristy cabinet,” as it was classified when the Museum acquired it. The ornamental vocabulary of the intarsia cutters tended to be much the same for ecclesiastical and secular furniture. In whatever setting it found a place, undoubtedly the cupboard's role was to affirm the prestige of the owner through the beauty of its craftsmanship and to protect the contents committed to it from thievish fingers. By good fortune, it is still accompanied by a contemporary, finely crafted key that fits the iron locks perfectly.

2. Wilmering 1999, p. 3.
4. Wilmering 1999, p. 64; see also pp. 68–73.
5. Toni 1987; Raggio 1999, p. 125, fig. 5–82, ibid., fig. 5–131.
6. Patterns similar to those in the tarsia borders of the Museum's cupboard doors appear on the paneling in the sacristy of Santa Croce in Florence.
11. The term was used by Peter Thornton in a discussion of the many forms and uses of these cabinets; see P. Thornton 1991, p. 196 (the woodcut is illustrated as pl. 220).
12. On “sacristy cabinets,” see Massinelli 1993, pl. Xv; Paolini 2002, pp. 57–61, nos. 4, 5. See also a related “sacristy” cabinet in the Detroit Institute of Arts (acc. no. 35.60).
Fig. 1. Detail of the geometric *tarsia a toppò* inlay on the base of the cupboard.
In his Lives of the Most Eminent Painters, Sculptors, and Architects, published in 1550 and 1568, Giorgio Vasari (1511-1574) devoted little space to the decorative arts. He did, however, comment at some length on the elaborately embellished marriage chests called cassoni, which he said were painted by some of the best artists of the mid-fifteenth century: "The citizens of those times used to have in their apartments great wooden chests in the form of a sarcophagus, with the corners shaped in various fashions, and there were none that did not have the said chests painted; and besides the stories that were wrought on the front and on the ends, they used to have the arms, or rather, insignia of their houses painted on the corners, and sometimes elsewhere. And the stories that were wrought on the front were for the most part fables taken from Ovid and from other poets, or rather, stories related by the Greek and Latin historians, and likewise chases, jousts, tales of love, and other similar subjects, according to each man’s particular pleasure. Then the inside was lined with cloth or with silk, according to the rank and means of those who had them made, for the better preservation of silk garments and other precious things.... And for many years this fashion was so much in use that even the most excellent painters exercised themselves in such labours, without being ashamed, as many would be today, to paint and gild such things." Although there are indications that marriage chests were in use throughout Italy, from the Trentino to Calabria, many of the most outstanding examples were likely made in Florence. There, the decorative artists, and especially the pittori di casse, the painters of chests, formed a special subunit of the Florentine Guild of Saint Luke, the association of painters. What is more, the documentation on painted cassoni is richest in Florence. The workshop ledgers of Apollonio di Giovanni di Tomaso and his partner, Marco del Buono di Marco, who most probably made the Metropolitan’s cassone, are still extant. Covering the years 1446 to 1463, they indicate that the workshop was very active; in the year 1452 alone it produced twenty-three pairs of chests. Very few cassoni have survived intact; most have been stripped of their painted panels, which today hang on the walls of museums and private collections, considered works of art in their own right. In this respect, and in the subjects chosen for the paintings on the front and sides, this chest is exceptional. On the front is a panoramic depiction of Trebizond (now Trabzon), a Byzantine town on the Black Sea, on 15 August 1461, the day when the Turkish sultan Mehmed II (1430-1481) and his Ottoman forces conquered this very last Christian stronghold in the East. Trebizond is shown at the right; in the background at the left Constantinople, which Mehmed had taken in 1453, can be identified by the dome of its most famous building, the Hagia Sophia, Church of the Holy Wisdom. The events of that day and the important figures and architectural landmarks are recorded in a rather naive, compressed way, and some are captioned, possibly following a fictive Turkish map of that period. Painted on each side of the chest is a curled scroll inscribed “M.E.Z.Z.E.” on which is perched a falcon (see fig. 2). These are the imprese, or devices, of Filippo Strozzi (1428-1491): the falcon is a rebus of the family name (strozziere means falcon); and the letters refer to mezzelune (half moons or crescents, three of which figure in the Strozzi arms). The subject of the front panel had great significance for the Strozzi family. The
Fig. 4. General view of the chest with the hinged lid raised.
conquest of Trebizond resulted in the exclusion of the Venetians from the eastern Mediterranean. The Florentines took over Venetian properties in Constantinople and enlarged their own influence in the East. Filippo and his brothers, who managed the family’s far-flung banking empire, were banished between 1458 and 1466 from Florence but maintained a strong interest in these events.

During his exile Filippo continuously ordered furniture from Florence. Did he intend to marry and decide to commission this precious chest one or two years before his anticipated return to his native city, in order to be ready to flaunt his increased power and wealth during the public marriage procession? The fascination in Europe at this time with turquerie—everything decorative from the Ottoman Empire—was suppressed in Italy by fear of the Muslims, whose presence centuries earlier in Sicily and whose piracy in the Mediterranean and ferocious raids on the Italian coast were not forgotten. But, as we have seen, the Strozzi would have had personal reasons for choosing a Turkish theme for this chest.

The top of the chest is covered with a thick linen cloth that was dipped in wet plaster; when dry, it formed a tableclothlike arrangement, lapping down over the edge. This top may originally have been colorfully lacquered or painted to imitate a luxurious fabric, and precious objects at other times stored in the chest would have been displayed there on special occasions. Parts of the interior and the back of the chest are painted in the so-called pomegranate pattern, most likely in imitation of contemporary Italian textiles or imports from the Ottoman world (see figs. 3, 4). The fruit of the pomegranate tree (Punica granatum) symbolized fertility and plenty, sensuous love, and compassion and was also considered an aphrodisiac. What could be a more perfect decoration for a marriage chest?

The distinguished scholar of Italian Renaissance sculpture and influential director of the Berlin museums Wilhelm von Bode (1845–1929) once remarked, “Italian furniture and interior decoration were collected [in the late nineteenth century] with the idea of providing an adequate framework for Italian Renaissance paintings and sculpture, of evoking, however superficially, the contemporary life style, as reflected in the houses and their furnishings.” During Bode’s era, cassoni were regarded as icons of their period and appreciated immensely as a fusion of painting, sculpture, and furniture at a very high level of execution. Aesthetically and historically, the Museum’s cassone is still considered one of the most outstanding examples of its kind.5

I am most grateful to Princess Maria Angelica dell’Drago, Dominico Savini, Don Gerolamo Strozzi, and Michelangelo Lupi for discussing with me the heraldry on the present chest.


3. Hip-joint armchair (sillón de cadera or jamuga)

Spanish (Granada), ca. 1480–1500
Walnut and elm, partly veneered and inlaid with different woods, ivory, bone (carnell), and pewter; covered in silk velvet not original to the armchair
H. 36¼ in. (92.7 cm), W. 24 in. (61 cm), d. 19½ in. (49.5 cm)
Fletcher Fund, 1945
45.60.41a,b

This armchair consists of four S-shaped supports on two runnerlike stands. The disks that join two of the supports at front and two at back suggest that the chair can be folded up like a pair of scissors. This is not possible, however. The supports will collide above the turning point, allowing only a small degree of movement. This impractical arrangement can easily be explained by the chair’s derivation. It descends from the sella curulis, or curule chair, an ancient Roman folding seat used by consuls and high officials. In its elegant medieval interpretation, the thronelike chair—by then called a faldistorium—continued to symbolize secular power, and as the customary seat of the higher clergy, it also came to express the authority of the church. The folding mechanism was...
eventually eliminated, and the place where the joint had been was marked with an ornamented disk.

By the late fifteenth century the seat and back of the curule chair were usually covered with a luxurious textile or embossed and stamped leather, and the frame was ornately inlaid and carved. Contemporary paintings suggest that the decoration on some examples may have reached a surreal level of exaggeration. The golden Throne of Scipio, seen in a cassone painting of 1490-1500 in the Courtauld Institute of Art Gallery, London, is embellished with cornucopias and lions' paws.1

The precious tarsia a toppo marquetry on the frame of the Museum's chair consists of tiny polygonal pieces of different colored woods, bone, and metal arranged in geometric patterns.2 Some of the configurations, such as a minute square made up of nine even smaller squares, can be fully appreciated only with the aid of a magnifying instrument.3 The "points" dependent from the legs of the Museum's chair make the crescents that they form resemble Gothic ogee arches; however, the broken

curves are also reminiscent of the Moorish arcades surrounding the Court of the Lions in the Alhambra at Granada and of the Moorish-Renaissance facade of the Palacio de Jabalquinto in Baeza of about 1490.4

The Spanish terms for the curule chair are sillón de cadera (hip-joint chair) and jamuga (saddle for a woman, or sidesaddle).5 As the chair type spread throughout Europe and parts of Spanish America it acquired many other names. In the mid-nineteenth century, during the Renaissance Revival, it was known as a Dante or Savonarola chair. Possibly for this reason the present chair and three related examples in the Museum (see fig. 5) were mistakenly catalogued until recently as sixteenth-century Italian furniture.6 Also evocative of Italy is the marquetry, which resembles twelfth-century Cosmati work7 as well as lavoro alla cernitosa, a type of wood inlay very popular, especially in northern Italy, during the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries.8 Nevertheless, it should be remembered that both of these inlay techniques reflect the influence of Islamic woodwork.

The most convincing reason for attributing the present chair to a workshop in Granada is the existence of a very similar chair formerly in the collection of Paul Almeida, Madrid.9 The backrest, which retains its original leather cover, is decorated with an embossed Arabic inscription dedicated to the last Muslim sovereign of Granada, Muhammad XI, called Boabdill, who reigned from 1482 until his abdication after the battle of Lucena in 1492 (he died in 1503). It was therefore probably made before the sultan was forced into exile, leaving his beloved residence in Granada. The Alhambra court workshop possibly created that chair and all four of the Museum's hip-joint chairs.

An origin in Granada may be supported by a fifteenth-century document that mentions a commission for three sillones de cadera from Granada to be used in the Cathedral of Toledo.10 (One of them is still kept in the cathedral treasury.) The fact that three chairs were ordered may indicate that they were used during the Mass at high church feasts, when two would have been placed on either side of the third, the bishop's elevated chair, which would have been more elaborately upholstered and decorated than those of his concelebrants.

Hip-joint chairs remained accoutrements of the mighty throughout the 1500s, not slipping out of fashion for at least another century. Like Hispano-Moresque pottery, they may have been exported from Spain to Italy, stimulating the production of less sophisticated inlaid chairs on the peninsula. A noblewoman is seen seated in a handsome example in a portrait now in the Metropolitan.11 An etching that illustrates the abdication of control of the Netherlands by Emperor Charles V (1500-1558) in Brussels in 1555 (fig. 6) shows hip-joint armchairs,12 as does a portrait of the powerful archbishop of Canterbury Thomas Cranmer (1489-1556) ten years before he was burned at the stake.13 A detailed list of property in the 1556 will of Sir John Gage of Firle Place in Sussex includes five Spanish-made chairs decorated with colored bone inlay.14

The ritual function of the sillón de cadera has remained alive in Spain until our own times. The Spanish king Juan Carlos I (b. 1938) and H. H. Shah Kerim Aga Khan (b. 1936) were seated side by side on two such inlaid throne-chairs on the occasion of the Aga Khan Award ceremony at the Alhambra on 5 June 1990.15

---

2. Morley 1999, p. 90, fig. 158.
3. On this type of inlays, see the entry for no. 1 in this book and Wilmering 1999, p. 64, fig. 4-4, and p. 72.
4. Identically fashioned squares decorate several other chairs. This suggests, but does not prove, that all of them originated in the same workshop. The squares on one chair in the Lemmers-Danforth Sammlung, Wetzlar, are illustrated close up in Koepp 1992, pp. 75-76, no. M8a, b.
5. See Junqueira y Mato 1992, pp. 289, 279. For the Hispano-Moresque style in furniture, see Ferrans and Torres 1940.
6. Alonso Pedraz 1986, for the etymology, see the excellent summary in Gontar 2003, n. 38. See also Burr 1964, fig. 111 and Ciechanowiecki 1965, p. 62, fig. 191.
7. Two chairs were acquired in 1943 (the present example and acc. no. 45.60.408), and two entered the Metropolitan with the Robert Lehman Collection (acc. nos. 1975.1.1975,1979) in 1975. One example with a leather cover (45.60.40a) was published in Gontar 2003, fig. 27, as having been produced about 1500 in Granada, a date and location originally proposed by the present author, on whose unpublished research notes in the files of the Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts, Metropolitan Museum, the Gontar attribution was based. On the Spanish origin of these and other inlaid hip-joint armchairs, see the comprehensive study in Koepp 1992, pp. 75-76, no. M8a, b, color ill. p. 174. The two Lehman chairs will be discussed in a forthcoming catalogue raisonné of the furniture in the Robert Lehman Collection by William Rieder and Wolfram Koepp.
8. One of the most prominent examples is the episcopal throne in Santa Balbina in Rome; see Morley 1999, p. 64, fig. 107.

9. An example is illustrated in ibid., p. 102, fig. 174, and another is a chest in the Museum's collection (acc. no. 07.97). A third is in the Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam (acc. no. Bx-16629).

10. Peter Dreyer brought this piece to my attention and made its examination possible in 1991. Two related chairs in the Lemmers-Danforth Sammlung, Wetzlar, have the same provenance; Koepe 1992, pp. 75-76, no. M8a, b, color ill. p. 172.


13. Acc. no. 32.100.66; see Baetjer 1995, p. 38. For a portrait of Bianca Cappello, the second wife of Francesco I de' Medici (r. 1574-87), by Alessandro Allori (1535-1607), standing next to a hip-joint chair with different inlay patterns, see Langedijk 1983-87, vol. 1, pp. 317, 319, no. 11, fig. 12, 13.


16. Beard 1997, p. 28. I thank Daniëlle Kisluk-Grosheide for bringing this to my attention.

17. *Connaissance des arts*, no. 475 (September 1991), p. 7. I am most grateful to H.S.H. the late Princess Margarete of Isenburg-Birstein for steering my thoughts toward a possible Spanish origin for the chair type and for mentioning in 1985 the existence of several such armchairs at the Museo Arqueológico, Granada.
Italian inventories and descriptions of Renaissance-period households document the importance of great chests in the main bedchamber, or camera. This room was the center of an upper-class woman's existence, as she was encouraged to live mostly indoors and to avoid lingering at open windows or the semipublic courtyard of the family house. In this chamber she would proudly display the cradle she had been given on her marriage (see fig. 10) and also wedding chests, or cassone, containing her trousseau.

The finest fifteenth-century cassoni were decorated with panel paintings or with intarsia inlay (see the entries for nos. 1, 2) or, like the example discussed here, with scenes in pastiglia, a variety of gesso relief applied in layers and painted or gilded. Later, in the sixteenth century, many were embellished with relief carving, usually in walnut (see no. 6). Hunts and jousts were sometimes shown on these chests, but the most popular subjects were mythological tales of love and fertility. Their immodesty fired the reformer Girolamo Savonarola (1452–1498) to exhort the Florentines to search of her abducted daughter, Proserpina. In her distress, Ceres has allowed the crops to fail, leaving humankind to starve.

According to Paul Schubring, the Museum's chest had a companion piece, now lost but formerly in the collection of the Palazzo Guinigi in Lucca. The relief on the front of that cassone illustrated the beginning of the story, in which Pluto, god of the underworld, carries off Proserpina as she is picking flowers with her companions, the daughters of Oceanus. The extremely faint, hitherto unidentified coat of arms held by a sphinx on the right end of the Museum's cassone would seem to be that of a lady who married a member of the Guinigi family; however, no documentary evidence exists to prove that the Museum's example came from the Palazzo Guinigi. It was acquired from the collection of Elia Volpi (1858–1938), a Florentine dealer and collector with close ties to many noble Italian families.

The figurative scene on the Museum's cassone is exceptional in the depth of the relief, which is achieved in the fragile medium of matrix-molded gesso. It is probable that the workshop was experimenting with the technique in order to speed up production. In the fifteenth century, little time elapsed between a betrothal and the wedding, and workshops often had to rush to fill orders for cassoni on schedule.

The shape of this chest and the relief decoration on the front reflect the influence of ancient Greek and Roman sarcophagi. A well-known model for a front panel with a continuing narrative was The Miracle of the Strozzi Boy by Lorenzo Ghiberti's famous bronze Shrine of Saint Zenobius, executed for the Duomo in Florence between 1432 and 1442. The style of the Museum's cassone relief, however, reflects the classicizing trend in Florentine sculpture and painting of the late fifteenth century. The pastoral mood of the Ceres panel is perhaps closest to that of the early paintings of Piero di Cosimo (1461/62–1527), especially The Return from the Hunt and Hunting Scene, both now also in the Metropolitan Museum.

Very closely related to this chest is a pair of cassoni in the Philadelphia Museum of Art decorated with the same subjects as the present piece and its lost companion (see fig. 7). Similar but less elaborate chests, and also several similar front panels cut from cassoni, have been identified in various private and public collections.

1. Contemporary literature, however, suggests that occasionally a lady might break the rules and tryst with a lover in her bedchamber. In Giovanni Boccaccio's Decameron (8.8) a gentlewoman named Fiammetta tells a tale of cuckoldry in which a large cassone is at the heart of the mischief; see Boccaccio 1982, pp. 614–15.
5. Schubring 1923, p. 235, nos. 75, 76, pl. XI. The arms of the Guinigi family were painted on the shields held by carved sphinxlike creatures at the corners of the lost cassone.
8. For a Hellenistic example of about 300 B.C., see Morley 1999, p. 127, fig. 185; for an ancient sculpture on the theme of The Rape of Proserpina, see Bober and Rubinstein 1987, p. 57.
9. Acc. nos. 75.7.1, 2. See Bartlett 1995, p. 32.
11. See Odom 1966, p. 99 and figs. 49, 50; Italian Cassoni 1983, nos. 33–37; and Massinelli 1993, pl. XIV.
Fig. 7. Chest, Italian (Tuscany), late fifteenth century. Wood with painted and gilded gesso decoration, 36 1/4 x 47 3/8 x 28 3/8 in. Philadelphia Museum of Art, Purchased with the Joseph E. Temple Fund (1944-15-6). The subject shown on the long panel is Pluto Abducting Proserpina.
The Strozzi chair is one of the best-known and most often published pieces of seating furniture in the world. Shortly after 1900, in a period when Italian Renaissance furniture was as highly prized as old-master paintings, Hans Stegmann called it a "unicum known the world over... a masterwork of charming beauty, one of the most beautiful Florentine pieces of furniture around." Given its celebrity, it is astonishing that the most recent publications on the chair rely on information in the 1930 catalogue of the collection of the Viennese banker Albert Figdor, who acquired it in the 1870s from Prince Strozzi in Florence, and ignore later investigations.

The form of the sgabello derives from a low stool with three legs (a tre gambe) mounted at an angle, a very simple type of seat that had been popular since ancient times. By adding an elongated backrest, the designer demonstrated unusual sensitivity to shape and ornament and a degree of subtlety that is rarely found in furniture. The decoration on the back, sides of the seat, and feet consists of delicately carved elements and a small line of geometric inlay. The latter is consciously contrasted with the dramatic veining of the walnut wood. The elegant concept and the attention given to minute details indicate that this was a very special commission for all the artisans involved.

The backrest seems comparable in silhouette to a peacock's feather. A plain center panel supports the tondo on top, in the "eye" of which the Strozzi coat of arms (arme delle tre lune) and lavish acanthus decoration stand out against a punched background (fig. 8). Crowning this is the image of a molting falcon. In the background, feathers cascade down in a circular movement from the spread wings of the bird.

The three crescents within a shield can be seen again on the reverse of the tondo, in front of a fluted sun motif. The encircling band consists of four rosettes at the cardinal...
points connected by feathered scales arranged in divergent directions. The whole tondo—front and back—is framed by a wreath of crescent moons, another allusion to the house of Strozzi. Following Wilhelm von Bode, Frédia Schottmüller remarked that the reverse of a medal made for Filippo di Matteo di Simone Strozzi (1428–1491), in the “manner of Niccolò Fiorentino,” was used as the model for the front of the tondo (fig. 9). Other early writers ascribed the medal as well as the design of the sgabello to the workshop of Giuliano and Benedetto da Maiano. Both brothers were regularly employed by Strozzi. Documents show that in 1467 Filippo Strozzi ordered from Giuliano da Maiano a richly inlaid cassone for himself, as well as a lettuccio, or bench. The medal, which has now convincingly been attributed to Niccolò Fiorentino (1430–1514), was probably commissioned for the cornerstone ceremony at the Strozzi Palace, on 6 August 1489. It exists in multiple copies and could easily have been examined by other artisans.

As a conspicuous display object, embellished on all sides and intended to be free-standing, the sgabello should be interpreted in the context of the Strozzi Palace. With the crescent moon as a connecting element, it fits seamlessly into the decoration of the building. The moon motif can be found not only in the biforium windows of the facade and the famous large iron lanterns but also in the interior, in the supporting brackets, fireplace frames, and furniture panels. While it might be too much to say that the presence of such motifs characterizes a “decorative program,” the heraldic sickle moons displayed for everyone to see are an inseparable part of a totality, of a propaganda project to enhance the grandeur of the aristocratic owner, Filippo Strozzi (see the entry for no. 2). The falcon, Filippo’s personal emblem, or impresa, on the tondo also functions as a rebus: the Italian word for falconer is strozziere. A molting falcon has always been a metaphor for renewal. But what is meant here is not that all the feathers will be shed and replaced, as is the case with ducks, for instance, which become incapable of flying for several weeks and fall easy prey to carnivores. The spread, flawless wings of the swift falcon prove that its plumage renews itself only partially and that the bird always remains capable of defending itself and of attacking potential enemies. The falcon of Filippo’s impresa thus signifies a prepared readiness in the face of trial, a potential for renewal and for overcoming adversity.

The similarity between the tondo and the medal not only proves that both must be directly linked to Filippo Strozzi as patron but also gives a clue to the date of the chair: the execution of the commission must have taken place between 1489, when the medal was struck and work on the palace begun, and 15 May 1491, the day of Strozzi’s death. Any time after that is highly unlikely, since Strozzi’s successor would almost certainly have chosen a different decoration, fashioned to represent himself.

A calculated choice, probably, and if so a stroke of genius, are the three legs, which will correct the chair’s position on uneven ground; they form a firm base for the tondo, underlining the strength, sturdiness, and discipline of the owner, who never gives up hope and steadfastly supports his family and friends. These virtues had characterized Filippo during his exile from Florence between 1458 and 1466.

The intellectual ferment in fifteenth-century Florence undoubtedly played a role in the chair’s conception. In his treatise De Re Aedificatoria (On the Art of Building) Leon Battista Alberti (1404–1472) put forward the revolutionary idea that different types of buildings should be designed to reflect the requirements of those who live and work in them. As Martin Kemp has pointed out, some of Alberti’s immediate successors went still further, maintaining that every aspect of architecture, “from the design of a capital at the top of a column to the overall plan of a city was to be governed by a rigorously proportional geometry.” These ideas could easily be extended to apply to a palace and its furnishings. Another intriguing reflection in the Strozzi chair of the passionate interest among the Florentine intellectuals in the ordering of space is the fact that the octagonal seat reflects the floor plan of the Florentine Baptistery, even to the high altar’s niche. That protruding space is filled in the chair by the elongated back, which supports Filippo Strozzi’s impresa. The Baptistery was the building that Filippo Brunelleschi (1377–1446), who had revolutionized painting by working out a method for accurately reproducing the third dimension on a flat surface, used as the subject of his famous perspectival projections. The ideas of Alberti and Brunelleschi flowed through the Florentine artistic community as the money of the Medici bank flowed through politics. No innovative artist could help but respond to this creative climate.

The sgabello is not a marvel of comfort, but it was not intended to be. A display piece, it represents a rare combination of a practical medieval form and a humanistic Renaissance design. Certainly it is one of the most unusual pieces of furniture ever made, one in which meaning and beauty mingle in unrivaled harmony.

1. This entry is based on Koepp 1994b.
3. Die Sammlung Dr. Albertigidor 1930, pt. 1, vol. 2, no. 637. The latest publication is Paolini 2002, pp. 68–70, no. 9. The author of that work mentions several times in error that two chairs of this design are in the Metropolitan Museum’s collection.
4. Ancient tripod tables that have survived suggest that there may have been matching chairs, like the “folding” silver tripod from the Hildesheim Treasure; see Liveridge 1965, p. 17, fig. 32. Many tripod chairs appear in medieval illuminations; see Ash 1965, p. 26, fig. 55, and Morley 1999, p. 90, fig. 118.
5. In 1949 the Strozzi chair was superbly restored by Marije Manuels, Associate Conservator, Department of Objects Conservation, Metropolitan Museum. He replaced missing pieces, including several crescents, around the tondo. See the conservation report in the archives of the Sherman Fairchild Center for Objects Conservation, Metropolitan Museum.
6. Schottmüller 1921, p. XXVII; see also Strozzi 1851; and Sale 1979, pp. 7–99.
16. The chair is unique; several existing interpretations of the form are of a much later date. Two similar examples formerly in the Mannheimer collection and now in the Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam, are simplified nineteenth-century versions. I am most grateful to Reinier Baarsen for examining them with me in 1998 and for giving me the opportunity to study related material in the Rijksmuseum files. Two other examples, in the Lemmers-Danforth Sammlung, Wetzlar, must also be dated to the nineteenth century, although I described them in 1992 as “Florence, circa 1490”; see Koeppe 1992, pp. 74–75, no. M79a, b, color ill. p. 169, where some further examples are also mentioned. Two chairs in the Museo della Fondazione Horne, Florence, are closely related to the Lemmers-Danforth pieces, but I have not yet been able to examine them personally there; see Paolini 2002, pp. 68–70, no. 9.
Chest

Italian (Rome), ca. 1550–60
Walnut, carved and partially gilded
H. 34 in. (86.4 cm), w. 71½ in. (181.9 cm),
d. 26½ in. (67.3 cm)
Gift of Stanley Mortimer, 1954
54.161

Sumptuously ornamented chests called cassoni or forzieri played an important role in the wedding rituals of Renaissance Italy. Between the thirteenth and fifteenth centuries, a woman’s right to inherit from her parents and her husband diminished as society became more patriarchal. Accordingly, the dowry—the financial allotment she was given by her family on her marriage—increased in importance. In theory it was hers, but in reality the dowry was administered by her husband, who assumed responsibility to increase its value. Before the wedding, one or two pairs of chests were ordered and delivered to the bride’s house, where they were filled with her marriage portion. If, as was often the case, the bride was escorted in a formal procession from her parents’ house to the bridegroom’s residence, the cassoni were carried along as testimony to the wealth of the two families and the bond between them. When ordered in pairs, the chests could be decorated with the coats of arms of both houses. At princely weddings, the dowry might fill many cassoni of different sizes and styles of decoration. The bridal procession of Isabella d’Este (1474–1539) from Ferrara to Mantua in 1490 included no fewer than thirteen chests, which the Ferrarese court artist Ercole de’ Roberti (ca. 1450–1496) had painted and also decorated with gold purchased in Venice.

Roman cassoni of the second half of the sixteenth century are characterized by skillfully executed relief carvings that either illustrate narratives, often after ancient Roman writers, or are lavishly ornamental. The latter is the case with the Museum’s chest and its pair. The corner joints of the chest have been ingeniously concealed by winged caryatids seated on dolphins, each holding a grotesque mask between her lions’ feet. The sarcophagus shape of the carcase, the classical meander band on the base, and the turbulent acanthus foliage reveal the designer’s study of antique Roman monuments. The intricately twisted satyr figures with asses’ ears and angels’ wings, whose goats’ feet end in acanthus spirals, reflect...
The drawing was very likely part of a designer’s model book, which he would show to a client as an indication of the variety of objects his workshop was able to create.8

The dolphin was associated with the sea god in classical times and became a symbol of long life. The creature is also shown as a companion of Venus (Venus marina), and as such is symbolic of love. As the companion of the seafaring Dionysus, the classical god of erotic ecstasy, this intelligent animal guaranteed safe travel. Given these attributes, what would be more fitting than to send a bride’s dowry to her bridegroom in a pair of cassoni supported on the backs of dolphins? Bridal chests continued to be made in the seventeenth and early eighteenth centuries.9

The Sèvres-porcelain-mounted jewel coffers on stand, of which Marie Antoinette received an example in 1770, the year of her marriage, is a playful development of this type of furniture at the end of the ancien régime in France (see the entry for no. 67).W

1. The text of this entry is adapted from Koeppe 1994b; see also Koeppe 1995, p. 15.
3. The accession number of the pair to this chest is 55.197.
4. Similarly inspired by the decoration on classical Roman monuments is the Farnese table (see the entry for no. 7); for another sarcophagus-shaped chest with acanthus decoration, see Morley 1999, p. 107, fig. 184.
5. For a similar example, see ibid., p. 107, fig. 187.
7. A comparably dramatic corner design with caryatids of somewhat lesser quality characterizes a cassone in the Manchester City Art Galleries (Manchester City Art Gallery 1983, p. 52, no. 35), and a cassone with similarly lush acanthus foliage can be seen in the Musée des Arts Décoratifs in Paris (Reinhardt 1996, p. 201).

Fig. 10. Design for Five Chests and a Cradle, Italian (Rome), third quarter of the sixteenth century. Pen drawing. Private collection.
The Farnese table, one of the most beautiful and evocative pieces of Renaissance furniture in existence, was acquired by the Museum in 1958. Two years later, curator Olga Raggio presented it as a "rediscovered work by Vignola" in a magisterial article that has remained a model for subsequent studies in the history of furniture.1

In its form—a marble top supported on three marble piers—the table reflects ancient Roman prototypes, such as are depicted in ancient frescoes.2 Each pier is carved in the center of each side with a grotesque torso and a coat of arms and on each end with a sphinx emerging from foliage. The top is a slab of white marble sumptuously inlaid in ancient Roman style with many different colored marbles and with semiprecious stones called pietre dure. So rich is the inlay that the white marble matrix is visible only in the lines that surround various borders and the larger geometric figures, which include medallions, cartouches, rectangles, and ovals. In the center of the slab, enclosed within black slate borders decorated with pietre dure rosettes and stylized lilies, are two panels of Egyptian alabaster.3

The coats of arms on the piers are embellished with six lilies carved on oval panels surmounted by a cross and a galero, or cardinal's hat.4 Lilies appear again on the tabletop (fig. 11), not only in the narrow pietre dure borders but also in the wide border of noble rossO antico marble, where they are bracketed by pairs of stylized peltae, or ancient Roman shields, which "protect" them, as important dignitaries would be guarded by armed foot soldiers. The lilies, rosettes, cross, and hat all refer to Alessandro Farnese (1520–1589), "the Great Cardinal," a man of enormous wealth and an enthusiastic patron of the arts.4 He envisioned the precious table as a focal point in the state rooms of the Farnese Palace in Rome, which was under construction during the sixteenth century. This magnificent building housed not only a large collection of Renaissance and Mannerist paintings commissioned or acquired by Alessandro and other members of his family—portraits by Titian (ca. 1487–1576), frescoes by Annibale Carracci (1560–1609), Francesco Salviati (1510–1553), and Daniele da Volterra (1509–1566)—but also wonderful works of antique sculpture, such as the Farnese Hercules, the Urania, the Flora, and the Farnese Bull. Originally placed in the main hall of the palace, where it would have harmonized with both the contemporary and the ancient works of art that filled the room,5

---

the Farnese table was later installed in the Philosophers' Hall, an elaborately furnished room next to the Carracci Gallery, on the Tiber side of the palace. It is described as being in that room in all the seventeenth- and eighteenth-century Roman guidebooks that mention the treasures of the palace. The German poet Johann Wolfgang von Goethe (1749-1832) probably saw it there on his visit to Rome in the spring of 1787, although by then, in one of the largest art transfers in history, many of the paintings and sculptures had been removed to Naples by King Charles III of Spain (1716-1788), who had inherited the palace from his Farnese mother. Goethe said wryly, "If they could take also the Carracci Gallery, they would do it."  

An inventory of the Farnese Palace compiled in 1653 indicates what a high value was placed on the table. In the absence of the owner of the house, the "large table of hard and soft stones, the center being of Oriental alabaster, supported by three marble feet in the shape of harpies with the arms of the Lord Cardinal" was protected "in a wooden box [with] a chain that loops to close it and in the middle a small mattress full of wool, covered with a quilted checkered cloth." There was also "a cover for this table, made of tooled and gilded leather with four fringes, decorated borders, and fleur-de-lys." It is as though the table—which is so heavy that even with the aid of a modern lifting device it can only be moved by a dozen men—was regarded as an objet de vertu, some precious gold or rock-crystal belot that could easily be packed up in a beautiful leather case and travel with its owner. 

The Farnese table and especially its top were created after the designs of Jacopo Barozzi da Vignola, who began working at the palace shortly before 1547 under the supervision of Michelangelo (he became the cardinal's chief architect about 1550). Since Alessandro adopted the coat of arms carved on the table legs only in 1566, Vignola's design must date from or after that year. Inventories of the Farnese Palace made in 1566 and 1568 include references to other inlaid tables—two of alabaster, two of porphyry, and one set with many different types of stone. It is thus probable that the Museum's table was under construction in the late 1560s—certainly by 1573, when Vignola died.

Vignola's design masterfully contrasts the piers, whose vigorous modeling creates wonderful effects of light and shadow, with the flat, reflective, and richly colored top. Its ornamental details, such as the winged sphinxes and heraldic symbols, convey the idea of majesty and magnificence that would have been important to Cardinal Alessandro. A recent examination of the piers has disclosed slight residues of paint in small areas under the profiles supporting the top. This astonishing discovery may change the current estimation of the table, for if the piers were originally painted, then the overall appearance of the piece must have been quite different.
Many of Vignola’s favorite decorative motifs appear on the tabletop, for example, the confronted _peltae_ and the broken rectangles enclosing the Farnese lilies. He may have discovered them in the patterns of ancient Roman floors, such as the one in the Curia of Diocletian in Rome. Other ancient works with _peltae_ ornaments are to be found at Ostia, the port of ancient Rome, where in 1547, shortly after Vignola began to work for Cardinal Alessandro, the architects went “to get colored marbles for the Palace.”

At the time the table was under construction, the sculptor Guglielmo della Porta was in charge of the palace workshop where ancient sculptures were restored and excavaed stone was reshaped into furnishings for the rooms. Close inspection reveals that the piers were carved by more than one person. This is to be expected, for it reflects workshop practice: as master in charge, Della Porta supervised the workmen who transformed Vignola’s designs into stone, carved major elements himself, and added the final touches. In creating these furnishings Della Porta and his masons were emulating ancient artists—not imitating them (imitatio) but attempting to surpass them (superatio). The _pietre dure_ and classical inlay are believed to have been done by a little-known French master named Jean Ménard, called in Italy Giovanni Mynardo, who was considered one of the finest craftsmen in stone intarsia and mosaic decoration working in Rome during the 1560s.

The Farnese table is listed in an inventory of the palace made in 1796 but not in one of 1834. The next record of the table’s existence is in a fire-insurance document drawn up shortly after 1844 for Hamilton Palace in South Lanarkshire, Scotland. We may suppose that, like many other Italian antiquities, the treasured table of the Farnese family was acquired by some English or Scottish grandee while on a tour of Italy. In 1919 it was sold at auction from Hamilton Palace to Viscount Leverhulme (1851-1925) for his London collection. Forty years later, in 1958, the monumental table, created to affirm the Great Cardinal’s status, erudition, and taste, was transported across the Atlantic to be admired again, as one of the jewels of the Metropolitan Museum’s encyclopedic collection.

---

2. For a pier from a prototypical Roman table, see Morley 1999, p. 24, fig. 24. For a fresco in which such a table is depicted, see Morley 1999, p. 30, fig. 36. This fresco from the House of the Vettii in Pompeii had not been excavated at Vignola’s time; however, similar illustrations of classical tables may have been accessible to him.
3. Dolci 2005, p. 109, fig. 2.
7. Quoted in Raggio 1960, p. 213.
8. Quoted in ibid., p. 215.
10. On Vignola’s work at the Farnese Palace, see Raggio 1960, pp. 223-25. See also Morley 1999, pp. 107, fig. 186 (the present table), 108-9, fig. 192 (the Guicciardini table designed by Vignola and made in wood by Fra Damiano da Bergamo).
12. I am most grateful to Jack Soutlian Jr., Conservator, Department of Objects Conservation, Metropolitan Museum, for having confirmed and further investigated this observation, which was made when the table was moved in 2002.
13. See Guidobaldi 2003, p. 47, fig. 33. For similar shield-shaped forms ( _peltae_ ), see Guidobaldi 2003, p. 64, fig. 78. See also the sixth-century pattern illustrated in the same essay, p. 70, fig. 93, which is similar to the border design of the present table.
16. Ibid., p. 218.
During the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries in Central Europe, the term “wood­worker” did not define a single trade. Instead, there were cabinetmakers and chairmakers, each represented by their own guild. The lathe-turned parts of wooden fur­niture could not be made in the shop but had to be bought from members of an inde­pendent turners’ guild and the hardware ordered from a master blacksmith. This complex system had been developed to pro­tect guild members from outside competi­tion and to guarantee them a minimum wage. Each master—that is, each qualified member of a guild—was allowed to employ only two journeymen and one or sometimes two appren­tices. Only the artisans who worked for one of the many courts of the scattered Ger­man territorial states were exempt from these regulations.

Apprentices in the extremely conserva­tive cabinetmakers’ guild were required to create a masterpiece, or chef d’oeuvre, of highly complicated design in order to qual­ify as a master. The guilds in different German towns—from the free imperial cities of Augsburg and Nuremberg in southern Germany to the urban strongholds of the Hanseatic League in the north—had diverse requirements for masterpieces. Nor were all the candidates for mastership in a given guild treated equally in this respect. A “foreign” journeyman—and in the patch­work configuration of states in the Holy Roman Empire, that might mean a man born a stone’s throw from the boundary lines of the region under a guild’s control—often had to produce a more elaborate and costlier masterpiece than a local applicant for membership. A guild member’s son or son-in-law or the prospective husband of a master’s widow, all of whom were likely to acquire an already established workshop,

were also assigned an easier task. The cabi­net piece not only had to demonstrate the design skills of the journeyman and his acquaintance with the architectural theory of the period—for the practice of imitating architecture in furniture was widespread in Germany—but also had to show his mas­tery of joinery. Sometimes the assign­ment even included producing a simple structure, such as a window frame. The candidates had to invest money to buy the materials they needed and were unable to earn any­thing during the time they spent working on the piece. In most cases they were forced to sell their high-quality masterpiece immedi­ately after presenting it to the aldermen of the guild, in order to pay city taxes, to cover entrance contributions to various guild funds, and to raise the capital to establish their own business.

We do not know if the Museum’s splen­did cupboard was a masterpiece; certainly it represents a tour de force of cabinetmak­ing. This type of cupboard with an archi­tectural front (hence its German name, Fassadenschrank—literally, “facade cabi­net”) became popular in Germany during the late Gothic period. The Museum’s example clearly reflects Italian Renaissance palace architecture of the sixteenth century. Certain carved elements on the pediments and in the niches and also the hardware ornaments indicate that it should be dated to the early seventeenth century, although its form in general would suggest the period between 1550 and 1575. It consists of a drawer-podium supporting a two-door cabinet, a drawer-cornice, a second two­door cabinet, and at the top a hollow cor­nice. The form developed from the simple idea of placing two similar chests on top of each other to create an optical unit (see fig. 11). Characteristic details are the heavy wrought-iron handles, two on each side, which made it possible to dismantle the object quickly in case of fire.

The cupboard’s majestic appearance derives from its balanced proportions, and
its visual interest lies in architectural details such as the projecting and recessed components of the front and the use of woods of different colors and grain, which evoke the marble slabs on Renaissance facades. When new, these woods must have offset each other to an even more striking effect. Unusual is the pretzel shape of the handles.

Large cupboards were often built to contain a bride’s linens. The long pine shelves of this one are marked with numbers (from one through thirty-one) to indicate exactly when rolls of fabric or folded items should be placed.

This Fassadenschrank and a related cupboard were among the first pieces of Central European furniture to enter the Metropolitan Museum, and they remain the most important examples of their type in North American museums.

9.

Cabinet

Italian (Florence), 1606–23

Oak and poplar veneered with various exotic hardwoods; ebony moldings; marble plaques; slate (paragon); pierre dure work consisting of colored marbles, rock crystal, and other hardstones

H. 33 3/4 in. (93.9 cm), w. 38 3/8 in. (96.8 cm), d. 14 1/2 in. (37.9 cm)


"The Barberini family in the later sixteenth and seventeenth centuries presents a virtual paradigm of the well-managed Roman family. The Barberini recognized that the way to power and wealth lay through the Church and that the perpetuation of the family was dependent on marriage; and they accordingly consistently pursued both of those channels of family development. For several generations they were blessed with sufficient male offspring, and they managed this natural resource to the benefit of the family as a whole."

In line with this tradition, Maffeo Barberini’s six sons, left Florence in 1584, to take up residence and continue his education at the Collegio Romano in Rome as a protégé of his uncle Monsignor Francesco Barberini (1528–1600), the apostolic protonotary. Maffeo later graduated from Pisa University as doctor of law. He returned to Florence in 1588–89 to embark on a meteoric ascent through the ecclesiastical hierarchy of the Vatican. From 1604 to 1607 he was accredited as papal nuncio to Henry IV’s court in Paris, following an initial legation to the Sacred College of Cardinals in 1606, he added a galero, the flat, red wide-brimmed cardinal’s hat adorned with scarlet tassels.

These arms are set like a jewel in the center of the Museum cabinet’s pediment. Three golden bees stand out against a lapis lazuli ground within a scrollwork cartouche that is embellished with stylized laurel sprigs and leaves and is surmounted by the galero. Probably because the panel is small, the galero is shown with six tassels on each side instead of the fifteen appropriate for a cardinal. Maffeo Barberini was elected pope on 6 August 1623 and took the name Urban VIII. Eager to immortalize his pontificate and to secure a place for his family among Europe’s aristocracy, he became a great patron of the arts and named his brother and three of his nephews cardinals. This nepotism made the Barberini family rich beyond measure, and their building programs changed the appearance of Baroque...
Rome. The Barberini bees and laurel leaves appear on many of Urban VIII's commissions, one of the most significant of which was the baldachin designed by Gianlorenzo Bernini (1598–1680) and built between 1624 and 1633 in Saint Peter's.

On the door of the central compartment of the Museum's cabinet Orpheus, the legendary Thracian poet, is seen playing a *lira da braccio*, an early type of viol, and charming various button-eyed animals and grotesque beasts with his music. Clare Vincent has noted that “this panel is flanked by six subjects of animal cunning or foolishness taken from woodcuts in Francisco Tuppo’s *Aesop’s Fables* (Naples, 1485). These include the greedy dog who drops his morsel while trying to gobble up the one reflected in the water—the reflection is cleverly achieved in reverse-painted rock crystal. Below are animals hunting and hunted, with a witty revenge of cocks on their hunter, a fox.” In comparison with the poetic Orpheus scene above, this merciless illustration—the cocks

Fig. 13. This drawer front on the left side of the cabinet is inlaid with the image of a salamander on a pyre and framed by ebony ripple moldings. The front of the carcase of the cabinet is veneered with exotic wood (*Piratinera guianensis*).
are carrying the dead beast home on a stick—bespeaks an awareness of sudden changes in which a pleasant life is turned "upside down" into tragedy.

The panels to left and right of the door, in the top register, show the salamander, impervious to fire (fig. 13), and the phoenix, a bird that according to classical myth lived for centuries and then burned itself to ashes, from which a new, young phoenix arose. The salamander was an emblem of Francis I of France (1494–1547)—a celebrated patron of art and poetry such as Maffeo wished to be—and the phoenix was the device of Pope Clement VIII (1536–1605), who had granted Maffeo the position of nuncio at the French court. This logical interpretation of the panels' symbolism has been questioned by Michael Bohr, who dated the cabinet much later, to about 1665–75; it is questioned by Michael Bohr, who dated the cabinet much later, to about 1665–75; it is suggested that the cabinet was originally supported on a low stand that would permit close viewing of the top from above. The stand was most likely a simple table draped with precious fabric. All the panels are framed by ripple moldings that are designed to catch the light, especially that of candles in a dark room.

The carcase construction of the cabinet and its ripple moldings relate it to a cabinet made for the Medici, now in the Palazzo Vecchio in Florence, dating to about 1615–20, and to a cabinet in the National Gallery of Canada, Ottawa. The technical perfection of the works seems to indicate that all three pieces were produced by German craftsmen settled in Florence. The employment of several German craftsmen at the Galleria is documented, as is the unusual fact that some of them owned their tools and lathes, which they may have brought with them from Germany. Oak is rarely used in the construction of contemporary Florentine cabinets. Nevertheless, we know that some foreign masters brought with them the materials they were accustomed to use and received reimbursement later. Given the sumptuousness of the other materials used on the Museum's cabinet, especially the exotic snakewood on the front between the drawers, the extra expense for oak to create a stable construction seems minor.

The Orpheus scene is based on an etching by Antonio Tempesta (1555–1630). The theme appears also on the imitation damascened-iron fittings on Milanese furniture and on cast-bronze plaques. The theme would become one of the Galleria's most popular products: no less than eighteen pieces of furniture, each with a slightly varied central Orpheus plaque and different animal panels, are documented. This indicates that panels could be commissioned separately and then mounted later according to the taste of the individual patron. The most impressive examples are a show cabinet of 1660–70 in the collection of Juan March Ordinas at Palau March in Palma de Mallorca and at the Château de Belœil, Mons, Belgium, a pair of Sicilian cabinets with red coral mounts surrounding the pietre dure panels. The Barberini cabinet seems to be by far the earliest and most elaborately conceived object of this extensive group depicting Orpheus charming the animals.

2. On the life and papacy of Maffeo Barberini, Pope Urban VIII, see Dictionary of Art 1996, vol. 3, pp. 203–7 (entry by John Beldon Scott); Schütze 2005 (I thank Olga Raggio for bringing this important reference to my attention); and Catholic Encyclopedia (online at www.newadvent.org/cathen/15218h.htm).
5. These four bronze spiral columns are covered with laurel branches. Several other works of art commissioned by the Barberini family in the pope's honor include this specific floral motif. Allegory of Divine Providence, a fresco by Pietro da Cortona (1596–1669) in the Palazzo Barberini ordered by Urban's nephew Cardinal Francesco Barberini, idealizes the pope's pontificate, his moral standards, and his political and especially his poetic skills. The center is dominated by the papal arms, three bees encircled by a laurel wreath. A putto nearby holds the laurel crown awarded to worthy poets. See Dictionary of Art 1996, vol. 3, pp. 206–7 (entry by John Beldon Scott).
6. Metropolitan Museum of Art 1988, pp. 28–29 (entry by Clare Vincent). For the woodcuts, see Tippo 1483, fabulae 2, 6, 18, 23, 35.
7. Metropolitan Museum of Art 1988, p. 29 (entry by Clare Vincent); and Freiberg 1995. The salamander was also at this time an emblem of France. On the facade of San Luigi dei Francesi (the French church in Rome, completed 1689), the salamander guards the portals. On the left is the device of Francis I with his motto "Nutrisco et extingo" (I nourish and destroy), but on the right the salamander is surrounded by the legend "Erit Christianorum lumen in igne" (The light of the Christians will be in the fire).
14. Acc. no. 28143.
16. Ibid., pp. 200–203, no. III.
17. González-Palacios 1982, p. 38; and Bohr 1993, pp. 331–32, doc. no. 317.
20. Information in the archives of the Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts, Metropolitan Museum.
21. Junquera y Mato 1992, p. 261. I was not able to examine the March cabinet personally for this study.
22. Gismondi and Richard 1988, fig. 8. I am grateful to H.S.H. the prince de Ligne, Château de Belœil, Belgium, for bringing this information to my attention.
Monumental cabinets of this type, known in modern Dutch as beeldenkasten (cabinets with figures) in reference to the usually female caryatids carved on them, were among the most costly and impressive pieces of furniture made in the Northern Netherlands during the first half of the seventeenth century. Architectural in structure, this four-door example consists of a base and two main sections topped by a broad frieze and a protruding cornice. A large drawer with a convex front forming a second frieze separates the upper part from the one below. The base, also fitted with a drawer, is raised off the floor by two dragon-shaped supports. The entire cabinet is richly decorated with exquisite carving, especially on the front, which is embellished by six caryatids supporting Ionic capitals. From left to right, flanking the doors in the upper register are the three Theological Virtues: Hope with a book, Charity with three infants, and Faith with an anchor and a dove. Three of the four Cardinal Virtues—Prudence with a snake, who probably held a mirror in her missing hand, Justice with a sword and scales, and Fortitude with a pillar—flank the doors from left to right in the lower register. The drums underneath the feet of the three Cardinal Virtues are carved with figures from the Old Testament. They are, again from left to right, King David playing the harp (fig. 14), Judith handing the head of Holofernes to her maid servant, and Samson slaying the lion by breaking its jaw. The sides of the cabinet display vertical panels. Framed by moldings, the center of each panel is composed of three pieces of oak. The pieces at top and bottom are triangular, creating the illusion of depth. The door panels on the front are oriented horizontally and decorated with biblical stories. The single panels in the upper section are devoted to the judgment of Solomon and Queen Esther kneeling before her husband, the Persian King Ahasuerus. Episodes from the life of Joseph are rendered on the double panels of the lower doors. The top two show, from left to right, the young Joseph relating his dreams to his father and brothers, and Joseph being lifted from the pit and sold into slavery. Those below are divided into two scenes each: the one to the left depicts Joseph fleeing Potiphar's wife and Joseph in prison, explaining the dreams of Pharaoh's butler and baker. The panel on the right illustrates Joseph interpreting Pharaoh's dreams and the episode when Joseph's silver cup is found in his brother Benjamin's corn sack (fig. 15).

The frieze that runs along the facade and sides of the cabinet beneath the cornice is divided into six sections by modillions carved with a winged angel’s head. Depicted on the front are the Four Evangelists with their symbols and their names inscribed between large foliated scrolls. On the left can be seen Phyllis riding on the Greek philosopher Aristotle’s back, and on the right Delilah is holding shears to cut locks of hair from Samson’s head. Different hunting scenes, which seem to be purely ornamental, are rendered on the convex frieze below. On the left side of the cabinet hares are chased by hounds. The quarries on the front are stag and ox. These scenes are separated by three lions’ heads, each with a ring in its mouth; the one in the center serves as a drawer pull. A boar is hunted on the cabinet’s right side. The carved scrolls and fruit baskets on the drawer front in the base create a certain trompe l’oeil effect since the sequence is broken at the center, giving the impression that the motifs continue behind the central lion’s head.

Although a number of beeldenkasten have survived, few are dated. The inscription and date, 27 April 1622, on the Museum’s piece make it the earliest example of certain date known to exist (see fig. 14). A special event may have taken place on that day, possibly a wedding, since such large cupboards were often commissioned for, or presented to, a bride, who would use it to store her linens. It has been suggested that the themes of their decoration are linked to marriage, since they are allegories of male and female valor and virtues. Indeed, during the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries illustrations of biblical stories served as didactic examples of moral behavior and were regularly used in the Netherlands as embellishment for furniture and other objects of daily use.

The Theological and Cardinal Virtues represented as caryatids might be seen as the pillars of a Christian marriage or, more generally, of a society based on the Christian creed. The judgment of King Solomon symbolized justice and wisdom, and Queen Esther’s courageous intercession on behalf of her people exemplified a wife’s beneficial influence within a marriage. The complex story of Joseph has been said to illuminate the love of family, but individual episodes could be interpreted differently. Joseph’s rejection of Potiphar’s wife, a beloved theme in Dutch art, may be seen as an allegory of chastity and as a warning.

Fig. 14. Carving on the left drum of the cupboard showing King David playing the harp. The book on the table beside him has an inscription and a date.
Samson in combat with the lion is readily understood as an emblem of Fortitude, under whose feet he is depicted on the cabinet. Especially popular as instructive examples were the biblical heroines—the brave and chaste Judith, for instance, who saved her people by beheading Holofernes, a general who had laid siege to the Jewish city of Bethulia. But the story of Judith and Holofernes could have a different interpretation as well. In association with depictions of Delilah and Samson and of Phyllis and Aristotle, it can be seen as an illustration of a man’s misfortunes at the hands of a scheming woman. The inscribed book on the drum beneath King David might be a reference to the Psalms, of which he is traditionally believed to be the author.

Although it is not known who was responsible for the creation of this magnificent cabinet, it must reflect the collaboration of both a cabinetmaker and a master carver. According to guild regulations, a cabinetmaker was not allowed to execute more than the simplest of carvings. The carver of this cabinet has paid remarkable attention to minute details, such as the patterns of garments and their trim or the depiction of dreams in three of the Joseph panels. For instance, the cows that appeared in Pharaoh’s first dream symbolizing seven years of plenty and seven years of famine to come can be clearly distinguished. The idea of rendering the king’s dream as well as those of Joseph and of the baker and the butler in medallions above their heads must have been derived from engravings. Probably all these representations were adapted from popular print sources or Bible illustrations. Some of the scenes in the panels, such as that of Jacob interpreting Pharaoh’s dreams (fig. 15), are related to compositions by the Haarlem painter and draftsman Maarten van Heemskerck (1498–1574), who produced numerous designs for prints with subjects from the Old and New Testaments (see fig. 16).

In several ways the Museum’s cabinet is different from extant beeldenkasten of later date. Two of the five modillions on the front do not correspond to uprights below and therefore make little sense in architectural terms. In later cabinets there are usually only three such carved brackets and they...
are placed directly above the caryatids. Whereas cabinets of this type are generally supported on ball feet, this example has dragon's feet, which appear to be related to the fanciful creatures seen in furniture designs by Hans Vredeman de Vries (1527–1604) and his son Paul (1567–after 1630). The fact that an episode from the life of Queen Esther is depicted also attests to the early date of the Museum's piece. Esther's popularity as one of the Old Testament heroines waned in the Netherlands during the early seventeenth century in favor of the chaste Susanna. A number of beeldenkasten of a slightly later date are decorated with carved scenes of Susanna.15

Beeldenkasten are traditionally thought to have originated in the western coastal region of the Netherlands called Holland; however, since a substantial number of examples displaying carving of varied quality is known today, it is likely that the type was fashionable from the outset in other areas of the country as well.

The cabinet is first recorded in the collection of D. G. Bingham of Utrecht, whence it was sold at auction in 1923.16 Bought at that sale by the Amsterdam dealer Étienne Delaunoy, the cabinet was acquired at an unknown date by the newspaper magnate and collector William Randolph Hearst (1863–1951).17

II.

Cabinet on stand

French (Paris), ca. 1645
Oak and poplar wood veneered with ebony, ivory, stained ivory, bone, and various marquetry woods, including kingwood and amaranth; ebonized pearwoods; gilt-bronze capitals and bases; plated-iron hardware
Stamp on the back of the cabinet and on the back of the stand: "RESTORED 1884-5 HERTER BROTHERS." Inscribed on two bone panels: "Roma" and "1756-7." H. 74 1/2 in. (189.3 cm), w. 66 in. (167.6 cm), d. 23 in. (58.4 cm)
Gift of Mrs. Harold Fowler, 1931 31.666a,b

Exotic woods such as ebony became available in Europe through the overseas trade initiated by the Portuguese during the sixteenth century. Imported from faraway places such as Madagascar and the surrounding islands, ebony was admired for its dark, almost black color; its hardness; and its lustrous surface. Too rare and precious to be used as solid wood, ebony was almost exclusively reserved for veneering. This technique, introduced into France from Germany and the Low Countries, was to exert great influence on the making of case, or storage, furniture. In fact, the seventeenth-century French cabinetmakers who worked with ebony veneer became known as menuisiers en ébène, and later ébénistes, a term still used today.

Using carved and engraved ornament and a variety of ripple moldings that create marvelous light reflections on the glossy dark surface, these craftsmen decorated a new type of furniture in France: the imposing ebony cabinet.1 Unlike the small late-sixteenth- and seventeenth-century table cabinets made in Augsburg and Antwerp that were meant to be seen in the round, these large and sumptuous pieces were intended to stand against the wall and thus are never veneered on the back. Like the collector's cabinet, they were used for the safekeeping of jewelry, medals, documents, and curiosities and were commissioned by important patrons such as Cardinal Richelieu (1585–1642).2

The Museum's example is constructed in two sections. The upper part—the cabinet proper—is fitted with two doors on the front, surmounted by a frieze concealing a drawer on each side, and crowned by a projecting cornice. The lower section comprises the stand, whose frieze encloses two drawers and is supported by six slightly projecting columns in front and at the back by half columns and pilasters with panels between them. These ringed columns and pilasters, with Ionic capitals similar to those found on contemporary buildings, lend an architectural character to the cabinet. This is further emphasized by the articulation of the door panels, where fluted pilasters, flanking shallow niches containing figural sculptures, directly correspond to the columns below, giving the cabinet a structural unity (fig. 17). Behind the doors is a tier of five drawers on either side of a central compartment. This compartment is enclosed by two more doors, each decorated to

1. See Baarsen 1993b and Reinier Baarsen's entry in Luijten et al. 1993, pp. 415–16, no. 73.
2. When the cabinet was acquired by the Museum, it had three dragon supports. The central one was removed because it was considered to be a later addition.
3. This scene was long thought to depict the Queen of Sheba's visit to Solomon, but the fact that the king is extending his scepter to the kneeling woman makes it clear that he is Ahasuerus, forgiving Esther for entering his presence without having been summoned; see Veldman 1993, pp. 153, 156, no. 155/1.
5. The date "DEN 27 APRILIS ANNO 1622" is followed by the words, partly in Latin and partly in Dutch, "STILO NOVO VAERT WEL," meaning "in the new style, fare well." "In the new style" probably refers to the change made in 1582 from the Julian to the Gregorian calendar, which was accepted at different times by different countries. In the Julian calendar (old style) the date would have been 12 April 1622. Related beeldenkasten decorated with six caryatids are known, but none is dated. See, for instance, Haags Gemeentemuseum 1975, no. 51. "Nederlandse Kunst-en Antiekbeurs" 1979, p. 634; and Flemming 1994, p. 371.
7. See the catalogue of an exhibition in Utrecht devoted to this topic: Kootte 1991.
10. Maarten van Heemskerck used this theme as an illustration of the Tenth Commandment: You shall not covet your neighbor's servant. See Brooke 1992, pp. 20–21.
12. Ibid., pp. 31, 181, 272.
16. This idea is based on the fact that one cabinet was made at Hoorn. The resemblance of the female caryatids on the pulpit of the Nieuwe Kerk in Amsterdam, carved in 1648–84 by Albert Vinkenbrinck (1604/5–1664/65), has further strengthened this theory. See Luijten et al. 1993, p. 416, no. 73 (entry by Reinier Baarsen).
17. Frederik Muller et Cie., Amsterdam, 26–29 June 1923, lot 626.
18. It was sold from Hearst's collection at a sale at Hammer Galleries, New York, in 1941, lot 126–1.
resemble a pedimented Corinthian colonnade.

Whereas some ebony cabinets display mythological figures or scenes based on contemporary literature, it is stories from the Old Testament that are found on the Museum’s cabinet. Some of them are based on woodcut illustrations from *Figures historiques du Vieux Testament* (see figs. 18, 19). This book was first published in 1596, and a second edition was issued in 1614 by Jean Le Clerc in Paris. Depicted on the exterior of the left door is the judgment of Solomon, and on the right door is the meeting of Solomon and the Queen of Sheba. Both are enclosed in elaborate cartouches and framed by intricate moldings and engraved flowers. On the sides of the cabinet are rendered, respectively, the prophet Ahija with Jeroboam and Solomon made king. The plinth has episodes from the lives of Tobias, Judith, Susanna, and David. Carved on the outside of the interior doors are Isaac blessing Jacob on the right and Esau selling his birthright on the left. The smaller panels on the drawer front below the compartment are representations of Hagar and Ishmael sent into the wilderness by Abraham and their rescue by an angel. In several of these scenes the figures from the woodcuts have been faithfully copied, although they were enlarged on the cabinet. The compositions, however, were generally simplified in the interest of clarity and in some cases, as with the images of Hagar and Ishmael, one woodcut served as an inspiration for two panels.

Female personifications of the Theological Virtues, Faith, Hope, and Charity, stand in the niches on the exterior of the cabinet, while two Cardinal Virtues, Prudence and Justice, are seated inside the broken pediments on the interior doors. Putti frolicking with hybrid sea creatures decorate the fronts of the two tiers of drawers, and engraved landscape scenes framed by ripple moldings further embellish the inside of the outer doors. It is not clear whether a specific iconographic scheme was intended. The association of the Theological and Cardinal Virtues with the biblical heroines Judith, Susanna, and Hagar suggests an allusion to the so-called *Femmes Fortes* (literally, “strong women”). This theme gained importance in art during the first half of the seventeenth century, when the queen mothers of France Marie de Médicis (1573–1642) and Anne of Austria (1601–1666) served as regents for their young sons, the future Louis XIII and Louis XIV, and it found literary expression in Pierre Le Moyne’s popular *La galerie des femmes fortés*. Published in Paris in 1647 and dedicated to Anne of Austria, this book consisted of a series of engravings of illustrious women from antiquity to modern times.

In strong contrast with the monochromatic decor of the rest of the cabinet, the central compartment, known as a *caisson* in the seventeenth century, is brightly colored.
Treated as a sumptuous architectural interior, it was meant to surprise the viewer and enchant the eye. The checkered floor and ceiling, the marbleized ivory cornice, and the two ivory columns in front that simulate coral are original, but other elements, such as the mirrored arcades and central apse, are later replacements. Although the cabinet was treated several times during the nineteenth century, they most likely date to a major restoration that was undertaken in 1884–85 by Herter Brothers, as stamps on the back indicate. This leading New York cabinetmaking and interior-decorating firm, established by Gustave Herter (1830–1892) and his younger brother Christian (1839–1883), specialized in the adaptation of European historical styles. The brothers’ role as restorers of antique furniture is less well known; certainly this aspect of their work would have given them inspiration for new designs. During this restoration the drawers were rebuilt and the back of the cabinet proper was replaced, as were the lower shelf and the feet. The shelf must originally have protruded in the center and at either end to echo the projections of the columns and the entablature above, as can be seen on other cabinets. Shaped apron ornaments, now missing, were probably placed between the front columns. The restorers must have tried to reuse as many of the seventeenth-century elements as possible; however, the angels engraved on bone panels to either side of the central apse, holding a plaque inscribed,
respectively, “Roma” and “1561,” were certainly not original.\(^5\)

One indication of how rare and precious ebony was during the first half of the seventeenth century is the fact that the columnar legs are made of blackened, so-called ebonized, pearwood. In an attempt to reduce the overall cost, ebony veneer was applied at eye level on the superstructure, while the cheaper, ebonized substitute was chosen for the lower part, which would, after all, receive less attention than the top. Another indication of thrift is the practice of inlaying thin pieces of ebony into the oak substrate. This was done, as on the outside of the outer doors, at varying levels in order to create a layered effect and, at the same time, to minimize the use of the imported wood.

The various ripple moldings were produced with the help of a machine with a manual crank and a plane that would cut the repetitive decoration into the strips of ebony.\(^5\) Originating in Nuremberg about 1600, this type of molding was quickly adopted elsewhere but fell out of favor after 1700.\(^5\) In fact, when André-Jacob Roubo (1739-1791) wanted to describe the production of such moldings in his standard work *L'art du menuisier*, published in Paris between 1769 and 1775, he had to reconstruct a special machine on the basis of earlier descriptions because no existing examples could be found.\(^7\)

The use of white ivory and, especially, tinted ivory that simulates coral, semiprecious stones, or marble lends the *caisson* its brightness. Contemporary manuals reveal that a speckled or veined effect could be achieved by coating those parts of the ivory that were to remain white with removable wax before dipping it in a colored dye, a technique not unlike batiking a piece of fabric.\(^5\) The Parisian cabinetmaker Adrian Garbrandt and his son-in-law Pierre Gole (ca. 1620-1684) used such ivory columns stained to resemble coral in their expensive ebony cabinets.\(^4\) A beautiful example is in the Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam.\(^15\) Like the Museum’s cabinet, the themes of its decoration derive from the Old Testament and there is marbleized ivory in its interior. It is more luxurious, however, but this is perhaps partly due to the alterations made in the interior compartment of the Metropolitan’s example during the nineteenth-century restoration. A comprehensive study of the *caissons* in all extant ebony cabinets is needed before this one can be attributed to a specific workshop.

Ebony cabinets fell out of favor during the second half of the seventeenth century.\(^16\) They received renewed interest during the nineteenth century, when elements stripped from old examples were sold and new cabinets were constructed from older parts.\(^7\) At that time it was no longer known where these pieces of furniture had originated—in Flanders, Italy, or France.\(^18\)

This cabinet was brought to America from Europe by one of its former owners, Richard Meade, the father of George Gordon Meade (1815-1872). Born in Spain, the younger Meade served as a Union general in the American Civil War. Sold by his family after his death, the cabinet was exhibited in 1882 at the Memorial Hall in Philadelphia, where a dispute about its ownership arose.\(^19\) Following the Herter Brothers’ restoration of 1884-85, it was acquired by Mrs. Robert Hoe as a gift for her husband, a Museum trustee from 1870 to 1892, and given to the Museum by their granddaughter, Mrs. Harold Fowler, in 1931.\(^20\)

3. A large ebony cabinet of this type is described in Cardinal Richelieu’s 1644 inventory; see Levi 1985, pp. 54-55.
4. The Museum’s cabinet was first published in Remington 1931.
5. See, for instance, the half columns on the facade of the former Palais des Tuileries, Paris; Gebelin 1927, no. 152, pl. LXXXII.
6. Ian Wardropper has suggested this in an unpublished paper. Queen Anne of Austria had ceiling frescoes based on this theme in her bedchamber at the Louvre; Bodart 1975, p. 44. See also Baumgartel and Neysterts 1995, pp. 71-78, 170-74, no. 35 (entry by Bettina Baumgartel); and Gabergen 1995, pp. 71-78.
7. In some cabinets, pleasantly scented wood was used to satisfy the nose as well. Madame de Bietz, for instance, specified the use of “bois de couleurs et qui aye de bonne senteur” for the *caissons* of the cabinet she commissioned in 1641; Archives Nationales, Paris, ministeur central, LXXXVII, 117, 1 April 1641; quoted in Castelluccio 2002, p. 99.
8. “Curious Suit” 1885, p. 13. I am grateful to Mechthild Baumeister, Conservator, Department of Objects Conservation, Metropolitan Museum, for bringing this article to my attention. The cabinet was said to be in a “shockingly dilapidated” state before restoration; see “Remarkable Cabinet” 1885, p. 17.
9. When the interior was reconstructed, the secret drawers were lost. Restoration presently under way at the Museum turned up clear evidence of them after the back of the cabinet had been removed. For a detailed description of the recent conservation campaign, see Baumeister and Rabourdin forthcoming.
11. The shape of a cabinet on stand by Herter Brothers, in a private collection, for instance, is reminiscent of these ebony cabinets. Ibid., pp. 212-14, no. 41 (entry by Catherine Hoover Voosanger).
13. Ibid., pp. 52-54; Chapuis 1992, p. 77.
17. Louis XIV’s 1665 inventory shows that he did not own any of these cabinets, preferring boulle work and colored marquetry; Alcouffe 2002b, p. 216.
18. Edward Holmes Baldock (1777-1845), an antiques dealer, is known to have sold parts taken from dismembered ebony cabinets. See De Bellaigue 1975, pp. 20-21.
19. Remarkable Cabinet” 1885, p. 17.
20. The shape of a cabinet on stand by Herter Brothers, in a private collection, for instance, is reminiscent of these ebony cabinets. Ibid., pp. 212-14, no. 41 (entry by Catherine Hoover Voosanger).
12.

Coffer

Probably Dutch, mid-seventeenth century
Pine veneered with ebony and macassar
ebony; padauk wood; painted alabaster;
ivory; mirror glass
H. 14 1/2 in. (37.1 cm), w. 16 1/2 in. (41.9 cm),
d. 11 1/2 in. (29.9 cm)
Pasted inside the compartment in the lid is a
round label on which is printed: "Hodgson
Bequest 210."
Gift of Audrey B. Love in memory of
her husband C. Ruxton Love Jr., 1975
1975-367

A number of seventeenth-century ebony
coffers with carved caryatid half figures at
the canted corners have been preserved.
They are generally thought to be Flemish.¹
This is not surprising since Antwerp was
known from the late sixteenth century as a
center for the production of ebony furni­
ture, especially collectors’ cabinets. Often
incorporating small painted or embroidered
scenes from the Bible or from Ovid’s Meta­
morphoses or plaques of silver or ivory, these
luxury cabinets became collectible objects in
their own right and found an international
market through the activities of the firm
Forchondt and other Antwerp art dealers.²
Supported on four small ball feet (see
fig. 20), the Museum’s casket has simple
moldings on all sides of the exterior and is
fitted with a hinged fall front. Enlivening its
rectilinear shape is the gentle incurring out­
line of the elevated, cushion-shaped lid. In
addition, the term figures that are set on
consoles placed at an angle and wearing
auricular-style headdresses add a touch of
sculptural richness. With its fleshy and curvi­
linear forms of ornament resembling the
lobes of the human ear, the auricular style
was developed during the early seventeenth
Fig. 20. General view of the coffer with the lid and the fall front closed.

The alternating use of black ebony and brown-black striped macassar ebony on the stepped rim of the lid and the rectangular panels of the body brings subtle tonal variations to the otherwise severe appearance of the casket. In contrast, the interior is more colorful and harbors several surprising components. The inside of the lid, for instance, which encloses a large compartment, is embellished with an alabaster plaque painted in monochrome that shows a mountainous landscape populated by travelers and shepherds. The coffer’s interior is visually divided into three parts, with two tiers of drawers on either side of a central section covered by a drop-front panel. The latter is flanked by ebony half columns and surmounted by a half-circular pediment. Monochrome landscape scenes on alabaster plaques are mounted on the real drawer fronts as well as on the two simulated ones in the upper row. Arranged on either side of and below the compartment in the middle are four drawers that conceal three additional hidden ones. Two are placed behind the central recess and can be accessed by removing the drawer immediately to the right. The third is fitted in the double bottom of the drawer to the left and is disclosed when the lower sliding part in the back of the drawer is opened.

Painted to show a vaulted structure on its exterior, the small drop-front panel in the middle is set back a little so that it rests on a narrow ledge when lowered. Within is a mirrored, five-sided compartment with a tiled floor, whose checkers are made of ebony and ivory. This recess, called a perspectiefje (literally, “little perspective”), could be used to hold a small, treasured object that could be seen and admired from all sides through its reflection in the mirrors.

Unlike the carcass, which is made of pine, the coffer’s drawers and compartments are of padauk, a tropical hardwood with a deep crimson color. A sliding panel in the top of the lid reveals an additional space for the storage of documents or valuables. Pieter de Hooch (1629–1684) depicted a similar coffer in use as a jewelry casket with a necklace draped over one of its opened drawers in his painting A Woman at Her Toilette with an Officer of about 1665. The genre painter De Hooch was active in Delft and later, from 1660 or 1661, in Amsterdam, century by Dutch goldsmiths and became widespread in northern Europe.

Fig. 21. Cabinet, Dutch, mid-seventeenth century. Oak veneered with ebony; ivory, padauk wood, and cedarwood; painted marble plaques; 19 x 21⅛ x 12⅜ in. Collection of the Hannema De Stuers Fundatie, Heino.
and it is possible that the Museum's coffer was made in the Northern Netherlands as well.\(^1\)

It is documented that as early as about 1590 at least one cabinetmaker in Amsterdam worked with ebony. Initially imported to Europe by Portuguese merchants, this exotic wood became more readily available following the establishment of the Dutch East India Company in 1602. As a result, the number of ebony workers increased in Amsterdam, and in 1626 a special subdivision of the Saint Joseph's Guild, to which the cabinetmakers belonged, was created for them. From then on, each ebony worker in the city had to submit a hexagonal cabinet as well as a frame of ebony to the guild as masterpieces.\(^2\) Contemporary sources from the first half of the seventeenth century confirm the production of ebony cabinets not only in Amsterdam but also in The Hague.\(^3\) Since ebony furniture remained costly, imitations were made in ebonized wood and even in blackened whalebone. One successful Amsterdam cabinetmaker who worked both in ebony and in pressed whalebone was Herman Doomer (ca. 1595–1650). Several important cabinets veneered with ebony and other imported woods and embellished with carving and mother-of-pearl inlay have been attributed to him.\(^4\)

The painted alabaster panels that decorate the Museum's coffer are stylistically likely to be the work of a Dutch artist. They display similarities with the painted decoration of a larger collector's cabinet that is considered to be Dutch as well (fig. 21).\(^5\) Like the present coffer, this cabinet, which is in the collection of the Hannema De Stuers Fundatie, Heino, has drawers made of hard padauk wood. The carving on the Museum's coffer is reminiscent of work attributed to Doomer, and it is entirely possible that this elegant piece originated in Amsterdam.\(^6\)

---

**Collector's cabinet**

German (Augsburg), ca. 1653–19

Cabinetry by the workshop of Melchior Baumgartner (1622–1656); engraved decorations on the exterior probably English, second quarter of the nineteenth century; silver applications by Jeremías Silvénºrger (ca. 1583–1659); the three parcel-gilt silver plaques by an unknown earlier master, possibly a member of the Lencker family

Oak, pine, walnut, cedar, ebony, and rosewood; ivory veneer and silver veneer; silver; silver-gilt moldings; gilded yellow-metal mounts; the drawers lined with aquamarine-colored silk

H. 28 1/4 in. (71.8 cm), w. 24 1/2 in. (62.2 cm), d. 15 3/4 in. (40 cm)

Marked on the silver base of the left column of the interior central aedicula are the interlaced letters I and S inside an oval and also the Augsburg town mark for the years 1655-60

Rogers Fund, 1903 03.18

During the sixteenth century, collecting rare, beautiful, and valuable objects became a fashionable pursuit among the princes and wealthiest citizens of the Holy Roman Empire. Many of their palaces contained a room called a Kunstkammer or a Kunst- und Wunderkammer (chamber for artworks and}

---

Fig. 21. General view of the collector's cabinet with the doors closed. Originally the ivory panels framed by gilded ripple moldings were unadorned. The engraved figural decoration was added in the nineteenth century.
The three principal categories were naturalia (products of nature), artificialia or artefacta (products of the human hand), and scientifica (scientific instruments, such as astrolabes and clocks). The intention was to suggest the wealth and learning of the collector and to impress foreign guests.

Some collectors of small objects commissioned elaborate cabinets decorated with semiprecious stones or exotic woods, such as ebony (see the entry for no. 12), or other sumptuous material. These pieces were furnished with many drawers and secret compartments, which offered diverse storage opportunities. Some were designed to stand against a wall in the company of other display cases. Others stood independently, like the Metropolitan's example, which is finished on all sides; this type of cabinet could be said to represent in miniature format an entire Kunstkammer, which was itself a metaphor for the known world in all its diversity.

The Museum's cabinet is completely encased in ivory and has only a few gilded yellow-metal mounts that are essential for its use, such as the auricular-style handle on each side and the key plates (see fig. 22). The many wooden moldings—on the attic story and the base, as well as around the vertical panels on the facade—are decorated with a ripple pattern and gilded. During the day these would reflect the incoming sunlight, but at night the myriad silver notches would catch and intensify every flickering ray of the candles, giving a satinalike sheen to the smooth ivory panels. This river of silver ripples must always have drawn viewers, like bedazzled moths, to a closer inspection of the piece.

Exotic ivory, purchased by the ounce like silver or gold, was prized for its creamy white, fine-grained surface, which was frequently compared to a woman's skin and for that reason, perhaps, often used for implements and requisites of the toilette. Since the Middle Ages such items were stored in ivory-decorated boxes, some of the finest examples of which were made at the Embriachi workshop in Venice.

The decoration engraved on the ivory veneer—large figures representing Wisdom (left side), Strength and Tolerance (front), and Knowledge (right side)—and a wealth of abstract and naturalistic ornaments—were probably applied in the second quarter of the nineteenth century in England. That the original intention had been to make a strong contrast between a grand but plain exterior and a dazzling display concealed behind the cabinet's doors was not taken into consideration. The style of the engravings, especially the rocaille-like formations in the corners of the doors and the clumsily stylized Tudor rose on the top, is typical of the nineteenth-century taste for reviving earlier stylistic forms and combining them haphazardly.

The front opens to reveal a pyrotechnical sparkle of silver and partly gilded applica-
There are three figural silver plaques, three richly carved architectural structures, and many drawer fronts, each decorated with ornamental pressed silver foil. The central plaque, framed within a columned aedicula, conceals a door to a compartment that is veneered on all sides with ebony, ivory, and other exotic woods in a four-pointed-star pattern (fig. 23). The back wall can be removed to access ten drawers of different sizes. A toilette mirror is contained in the drawer below. In the large base drawer of the cabinet there is a tablet inlaid with ivory and exotic woods. This could be used for writing or gaming. An inkwell, a sander, and gaming pieces could be stored here in drawers with a sliding top. The tablet could also serve as a tray to display precious objects ordinarily hidden away in the drawers. The attic story is fronted by doors concealing a nest of drawers, and there are drawers in the sides of the top as well.

Such cabinets were a specialty of the cabinetmakers and the goldsmiths of the free imperial city of Augsburg. These master craftsmen worked in collaboration under the supervision of a coordinating merchant or middleman—a professional much like the eighteenth-century Parisian marchands-mercuriers. The most prolific of these personages was the Augsburg art dealer and court correspondent Philipp Hainhofer (1578–1647), who is famous for three large and ingeniously conceived cabinets made to his specifications in the first third of the seventeenth century by Ulrich Baumgartner (ca. 1580–1652). The Museum's cabinet is a relatively late example, produced in the unstable period after the end of the Thirty Years' War, when Augsburg gradually replaced Nuremberg as the center of goldsmithing in Central Europe.

The three large figural plaques inside the cabinet are more skillfully worked than the other silver applications, which are attributed to the Augsburg silversmith Jeremias Sibénürger, whose stamp is on the left column of the aedicula. The plaque framed by the tabernacle shows Bacchus, the Roman god of wine. On the left door is a plaque with the figure of Ceres, the goddess of agriculture. Her cutoff sickle suggests that all three plaques were trimmed before they were put in place. The figure on the right door is Venus, goddess of love. The Mannerist style of the plaques indicates they were made no later than 1610–25. They are a tour de force of the goldsmiths' art, displaying a wide range of relief techniques. Particularly accomplished are the fine diamonds in the background and the fluid repoussé work. They are undoubtedly the work of a great master, such as one of the members of the Lencker family.

The theme of the plaques was in particular favor at the court of Emperor Rudolph II (r. 1576–1612), whose Kunstkammer in the imperial residence at Prague, incidentally, was perhaps the most encyclopedic of all. It illustrates a line from Terence, "Sine Cerere et Libero friget Venus" (without Ceres and Bacchus, Venus would freeze), meaning that without food and wine, love grows cold. Since the figure of Bacchus is in the center and framed by an aedicula with full columns, the implication is that wine trumps both food and love in the equation; however, Bacchus was also god of erotic ecstasy, as the seed pattern on the columns suggests. The intended meaning here may instead be the one expressed in a verse in the Parvus Mundus, an emblem book by Laurentius Haechtmanus, published in 1579 in Antwerp: "Where sobriety reigns, there is fleshy lust, cold as ice; but be plentiful with an abundance of grain, wine, and beer, and voluptuousness will prevail."

The sensuously textured ivory and the iconography of the silver plaques indicate that this cabinet was a sophisticated wedding gift furnished with valuables that included toiletty accessories to remind its future owner to take care of her beauty and remember her conjugal obligation to love her husband and appreciate his attentions.

Several similar ivory cabinets from Augsburg are known. Melchior Baumgartner, the son of Ulrich, maker of the three splendid Hainhofer cabinets, crafted two for the Munich court, which are today at the Bayerisches Nationalmuseum. One, decorated with lapis lazuli, gilded silver, and enamel, cost the enormous sum of 3,150 guilders in 1655. The cabinetry and veneering of these two princely commissions are of an even higher quality than that of the present piece, but the Augsburg workshops functioned independent of the courts and were obliged to make products at different price levels. A cabinet with similar silver columns was on the art market in the 1970s, and another with related interior marquetry but incorporating Florentine pietre dure panels (see the entry for no. 9) was acquired some years ago by the Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam. A much simpler cabinet with ivory
The Eunuch, bk. 4, l. 732.

14. Table

French (Paris), ca. 1660
Attributed to Pierre Gole (ca. 1620–1684)
Oak and fruitwood veneered with tortoishell, stained and natural ivory, ebony, and other woods; gilt-bronze mounts
H. 30 7/8 in. (78.4 cm), w. 41 5/8 in. (105.6 cm), d. 27 in. (68.6 cm)
Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Charles Wrightsman, 1986
1986.38.1

When it was sold from Mentmore Towers, Buckinghamshire, in 1977, this exceptional table was described as in the manner of Leonardo van der Venne. A talented artist of this period, van der Venne's work is known to have been produced in a variety of materials, including oak, fruitwood, and tortoishell. The table, with its intricate marquetry and delicate floral designs, is an outstanding example of the work of this master cabinetmaker.

The table's current provenance is Danforth Collection, Wetzlar, indicating that it was once part of the collection of an English nobleman. The table is known to have been used as a jewelry cabinet, and it is notable for its exceptional craftsmanship and attention to detail.

Manufacture Royale des Meubles de la Couronne, in the Hôtel des Gobelins, where, beginning in 1662, furnishings for the royal residences were produced, Gole received many commissions from the crown. A well-known tapestry at the Château de Versailles recording a royal visit to the manufactory on 15 October 1667 shows the chief artists working in this new technique and may, in fact, have introduced it in Paris.

Gole supplied two cabinets decorated with flowers, birds, and insects in ivory, tortoishell, and various kinds of woods to Cardinal Jules Mazarin (1602–1661). They were mentioned in the inventory drawn up after Mazarin's death in an entry that, most exceptionally, included the maker's name. Chief minister to Louis XIV (1638–1715), Mazarin was a great connoisseur who amassed an impressive art collection. The cardinal clearly favored Gole's work because, not long before his death, he commissioned the artist to make two important cabinets as gifts to the king in memory of himself.

Working primarily at his own shop in the rue de l'Abric-Sec but at times also at the Manufacture Royale des Meubles de la Couronne, in the Hôtel des Gobelins, where, beginning in 1662, furnishings for the royal residences were produced, Gole received many commissions from the crown. A well-known tapestry at the Château de Versailles recording a royal visit to the manufactory on 15 October 1667 shows the chief artists employed there displaying examples of their work. In the foreground three craftsmen are carrying a precious table for inspection by the king. Decorated with elaborate marquetry in a tortoishell ground, the table is thought to be the work of Gole, who himself is, almost certainly, depicted behind it. The table in the tapestry may have been one of the many pieces by Gole that were destined for Versailles, a hunting lodge that Louis XIV enlarged and transformed into his principal residence and in 1682 made the seat of his court and government.

Since nothing is known about the early history of the Museum's table, one can only guess that it was among the more than one hundred tables, often supplied with a pair of matching candlestands (guéridons), that Gole made for the crown. Its rectangular top is veneered with tortoishell and divided by decorative bands of ivory and...
ebony into different segments, in the manner of pietre dure (hardstone) mosaic works, by which it may have been inspired (fig. 24). The central motif consists of a four-lobed cartouche containing a stylized bouquet tied with a bow-knotted ribbon. The flowers, executed in different woods and ivory, do not overlap and have been almost symmetrically arranged. In an attempt to heighten the sense of naturalism—and to enrich the vivid color scheme that intensifies the resemblance to pietre dure—green-tinted ivory was chosen for the leaves. Gole was the only cabinetmaker working for the French crown to make such extensive use of this exotic material, both colored and in its natural state.10

Several of the ornamental borders appear to be characteristic features of the artist’s oeuvre. Examples are the band of ivory and tortoiseshell laurel leaves running along the edge of the tabletop and the large and small circles of ivory and ebony on the frieze and along the sides of the double-Y-shaped stretcher that joins the legs.11 The ebony and ivory decoration on the stretcher seems to be composed of the leftovers from the decorations on the frieze, which are more beautiful and legible, suggesting that the ébéniste made frugal use of the expensive materials. The four tapering, columnar legs, which are also considered to be typical of Gole’s work, end in ebony bun feet decorated with ivory stringing. They display simulated fluting of ivory above and floral marquetry below. The Tuscan capitals, the ring moldings or so-called astragals, and the bases—all of which are crafted of gilded bronze—must have been ordered elsewhere, for Gole’s workshop did not have a foundry.

The Museum’s table is thought to date about 1660 because a very similar table was listed in Mazarin’s inventory of 1661. Since it was not mentioned in an inventory of the cardinal’s possessions drawn up in 1653, the table must have entered his collection after that year. The description of Mazarin’s table includes a reference to a marquetry butterfly above the central bouquet, possibly about to alight on one of the flowers.12 This creature is not present on the Museum’s piece, which does, however, include a moth-like insect in one of the outer borders.13

During the nineteenth century this richly decorated piece of furniture was at Ment-
more Towers, the stately mansion built by the architect Joseph Paxton (1801-1865) for Baron Mayer Amschel de Rothschild (1818-1874). It was probably among the many French furnishings that the baron acquired for Mentmore, but this is not documented. In 1874 his only daughter, Hannah (1851-1890), inherited the house as well as her late father's fortune. She and her husband, Archibald Philip Primrose, the fifth earl of Rosebery (1847-1929), a liberal politician who served as England's prime minister in 1894-95, added considerably to the Mentmore collections, making them world renowned. The table could have been one of their purchases. A catalogue of the contents of Mentmore published in 1884 listed in the library a French "table inlaid with marqueterie" of "the Louis XIII period." This may possibly have been the one now attributed to Gole. The table could have been one of their purchases. A catalogue of the contents of Mentmore published in 1884 listed in the library a French "table inlaid with marqueterie" of "the Louis XIII period." This may possibly have been the one now attributed to Gole.
Showcase on stand (scarabattola)

Italian (Rome), late seventeenth century
Walnut; carved, painted, and gilded linden wood; mirror glass
H. 91 in. (231.1 cm), w. 60 in. (152.4 cm), d. 24½ in. (62.2 cm)
Gift of Madame Lilliana Teruzzi, 1972
1972.73

With its strong sculptural character and striking theatricality, this vitrine, or scarabattola, is a beautiful example of Roman Baroque furniture.1 Strictly symmetrical in its decoration, the piece consists of an upper section, the actual display cabinet with its glazed doors and splayed sides, and the stand below, with its bearded atlas who seems to carry the weight of the top nonchalantly on his extended palms. This entirely gilded figure is kneeling on the representation of a rocky ground that has been painted dark green and has tufts of gilded grass.2 A sense of dynamism is achieved through the muscularity of his slightly twisted body, the luxurious curls, and the swirling drapery that conceals his nudity. The atlas is framed by a large floral swag extending from the corners at the back of the vitrine down to the base. Directing the viewer’s attention to the central crest ornament, four joyfully gesticulating putti perched on the cabinet with their festoons of flowers create a feeling of vivacity and movement (fig. 25), while in the center a female mask set against a large scrolling cartouche augments the overall sculptural richness.3 Additional gilded carving, in the form of winged caryatids with feathered headdresses emerging from pendant floral swags, embellishes the stiles of the cabinet.

The painter, architect, and preeminent sculptor Gianlorenzo Bernini (1598–1680) exerted a profound influence on the decorative arts of the Roman Baroque.4 His novel use of color is reflected in the strong contrast between the walnut and the gilded and painted surfaces of this cabinet. The sense of movement and emotion that the artist instilled in his figural compositions is most clearly echoed here in the effortless strength of the atlas and in the frolicking putti.

Showcases of this kind were commissioned to hold an array of small precious objects. Between 1726 and 1729, for instance, the carver Anton Francesco Gonnelli (1688–1735) executed three impressive scarabattele to hold the amber and ivory collections of the Medici grand dukes in Florence.5 More than utilitarian pieces of furniture, these splendid vitrines became works of art in their own right. The mirrored inner back of the Museum’s cabinet makes it possible to view simultaneously from the front and from behind the treasures placed inside and, through reflection, doubles them in number.

Unfortunately, little is known about the early history of this important display case. It came to the Museum as the gift of Lilliana Teruzzi, one of the Metropolitan’s more colorful benefactors.4 Born Lilliana Weinman, she became an opera singer known professionally as Lilliana Lorma and performed in Europe during the 1920s and 1930s, when she was briefly married to the Italian Fascist general Attilio Teruzzi (1882–1950). According to the donor, she acquired this piece of furniture in 1933 from Prince Cesare Ludovico Ottoboni (b. 1888). He was a descendant of a prestigious noble family who counted Pope Alexander VIII (1610–1691) and his grandnephew Cardinal Pietro Ottoboni (1667–1740) among its members. The latter was a great patron of the arts, whose opulent Roman residence, the palace of the Cancelleria, was described by Pietro Rossini in a contemporary guidebook, Mercurio errante delle grandezze di Roma (1693), as one of the most culturally active courts in Rome.6 It is known that Ottoboni had several cases for medals, and others to hold his large collection of medallions; in the absence of further documentation, one can only wonder if the Museum’s piece was one of these cabinets.7 At the time of his death Cardinal Ottoboni left more than four hundred paintings, most of which he had inherited from Pope Alexander VIII, as well as many debts. As a result, his art collections and the furnishings of his palace were immediately dispersed.8

DK-G


Fig. 25. Detail of the crest of the showcase on stand.
Large parade mirrors became increasingly fashionable throughout Europe during the Baroque period, and they were often conceived as part of an ensemble, with matching console tables and candlestands. This development was supported by technical advances that made it possible to produce larger plates of mirror glass and driven by the ambition of princes to imitate the magnificent Galerie des Glaces in the suite of the ambition of princes to imitate the magnificent Galerie des Glaces in the suite of Louis XIV of France.

At the end of the seventeenth century, goods and artistic ideas flowed through northeastern Europe along trade routes that connected English and Netherlandish ports with the cities along the Baltic Sea and points east. The latest fashions were eagerly received and copied as closely as local craftsmen could manage and local patrons could afford. This mirror was once believed to be of Dutch origin, but its putti nestled among a tangle of jaggedly lobed acanthus leaves have proved to be very similar to works in wood by the cabinetmakers and virtuoso carvers of the independent town of Danzig, one of the most prosperous trade centers on the Baltic seacoast and a gateway to Russia. Large quantities of household goods, such as Dutch case furniture and English chairs, were imported into Danzig during the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, as were luxury items like the popular Chinese blue-and-white porcelains. The grotesque dragon-monsters on this mirror, with their intriguingly intertwined necks and long tails, were obviously inspired by the decorations on the porcelain exported from East Asia. Dragons have been part of both Eastern and Western imagery for millennia, but seventeenth-century European craftsmen were probably unaware of their symbolism as water deities in the East and of Satan in the West.

This frame offers an ingenious combination of decorations from both traditions. The elements of chinoiserie are dominated by the acanthus-leaf ornament, however, which was especially popular in Europe at just the time this mirror was made. The plant's twisting and luxuriant pattern of growth fulfilled the Baroque period's desire for ornate and extravagant ornamentation. The acanthus designs by the French engraver Jean Le Pautre (1618-1682) and by his follower Paul Androuet Du Cerceau (1630-1710) were adapted and exaggerated by such ornamentists in the German-speaking world as the Viennese court cabinetmaker Johann Indau (1631-1690) and the Augsburg masters Johann Unsell and Johann Conrad Reuttimann. In Bohemia and parts of Silesia, acanthus decoration was taken to an unprecedented extreme in the altarpieces called Akanthusaltäre. These were conceived in the pure spirit of ornamental fantasy, as the rioting vegetation completely conceals the function of the objects it decorated. In the entrance and banquet halls of grand town houses the acanthus crept across chairs, tables, and large armoires and up staircases and along wall paneling; in the form of stucco ornament it also decorated ceilings. The result was an impressive decorative unity that transmitted a feeling of lushness and prosperity, which reflected the owner's social status. A rich and varied display of acanthus ornament covers the structural elements of the Museum's piece. Bold sprays on the sides break up its rectangular shape, and shorter tendrils frame the uprising crest, giving it that expressive contour so characteristic of the late Baroque style. Almost hidden in the leaves are small figures with symbolic meaning. Several putti hold the dragons' tails, and others face a double-headed eagle and a goat located in the crest. The eagle may refer to the Holy Roman Empire. The goat undoubtedly is the heraldic device of the mirror's first owner, but since the rampant goat is featured in the coat of arms of many noble families in Danzig, Pomerania, and Mecklenburg, this person has not been identified. Putti and doves, which flank the crest and peer out of the leaves, have symbolized love since antiquity, and doves bespeak constancy, as well. Thus, these winged boys and these birds are the chief attributes of Venus, goddess of erotic love. This theme is extended by the small figures of a satyr and a satyress, who brazenly inhabit the big acanthus volutes (fig. 26). Such mythological creatures were regarded as symbols of decadence and sexual debauchery. Therefore, this radiant work of art may have been a wedding present whose purpose was both to delight and also to caution the recipient as to the danger of excess.

Mirror frames carved—sculpted might be the better term—with such virtuosity are rare. A design for a looking glass by Andrea Brustolon (1660-1732), of about 1690, in the Museo Civico in Belluno shows a similar, intricately carved frame. An annotation on the drawing states that "the left side is devoted to the Arts and Sciences, the right to Valour, and it is crowned with an allegory of Love." A print published in Graz, Austria, in 1679 by Matthias Echter (1653-1701/3) showing a related putti-populated acanthus frame with agitated openwork rinceaux may have inspired a similar carved...
wooden example in the Museo Correr in Venice. An English frame in the collection of the marquess of Exeter is decorated with putti, floral elements, and acanthus foliage, and its carver was probably influenced by designs of the English wood-carver Grinling Gibbons (1648-1721). An elaborate Dutch boxwood mirror frame of the late seventeenth century is preserved in the Museum für Kunst und Gewerbe, Hamburg.

1. Olga Raggio in Raggio et al. 1989, p. 6, fig. 7.
2. Note in the archives of the Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts, Metropolitan Museum. For a Dutch example, see the entry for no. 20, a painting frame adapted as mirror. See also Raggio 1994, p. 12, fig. 9 (where she describes the mirror as from Danzig, following a file note by the present writer).
6. Ibid., no. 1060ff.
9. C. H. Baer 1912, figs. 104, 105 (left). A splendid example of rich acanthus decoration is in the historic town hall of Gdansk.
11. Honour 1965b, p. 71, fig. 224.
13. Coleridge 1965, p. 89, fig. 300.

17.
Writing desk (bureau brisé)

French, ca. 1685
Designed and possibly engraved by Jean Bérain (1638/39-1711); made by Alexandre-Jean Oppenordt (1639-1715)
Oak, pine, and walnut veneered with ebony, rosewood, and marquetry of engraved brass on tortoiseshell; gilt-bronze moldings; steel hinges
H. 30 5/8 in. (77.2 cm); W. 41 3/4 in. (106 cm); D. 23 3/8 in. (59.4 cm)
Gift of Mrs. Charles Wrightsman, 1986
1986.365.3

In the early 1660s the young Louis XIV (1638-1715) and his chief minister, Jean-Baptiste Colbert (1619-1683), decided to set up an establishment where paintings, sculptures, furniture, and tapestries for the French royal palaces would be manufactured. Their plan was to improve the quality of French luxury goods in order to reduce the number of foreign imports, thereby bolstering the French economy. They also planned to turn the focus of French art on the glorification of the king, a strategy that was part of a long struggle for power between the monarchy and the aristocracy. Various workshops were brought together at the site of the former premises of the Gobelins family of dyers in Paris, and the hugely talented Charles Le Brun (1619-1690) was named director. In an edict of 1667, the king officially established the factory as the Manufacture Royale des Meubles de la Couronne. In the words of his edict, the Gobelins workers included "the painters of greatest reputation, tapestry weavers, sculptors, goldsmiths, cabinetmakers and other workers of the greatest abilities in all of the fine and decorative arts... The works that such artists produce there outshine the art and beauty of the most precious things from foreign countries."

Le Brun tightly controlled the output of the many artisans working at the Gobelins and at the Louvre workshop, insisting on the highest standards of quality for everything produced there, from bibelots to bureaux. It was he who built the "golden cage" in which the king captured the aristocracy. In the exquisitely furnished palaces of Versailles, the Grand Trianon, and Marly, Louis created "a pattern of expensive daily living that kept the aristocracy [who were] seeking preferments constantly occupied," completely focused on his will and his wishes as absolute monarch. The court became a showcase for the style known as Louis
Quatorze, which was dominant in Europe until the eighteenth century.\(^3\)

Sumptuous marquetry furniture was one specialty of the Gobelins and the Louvre workshop that cabinetmakers in other countries tried to copy, rarely with success. Workshops outside of France lacked the technical know-how, not to mention the huge sums needed to buy the inlay materials. Exceptionally costly were pieces like the present writing desk that are veneered with tortoiseshell and brass or pewter. They became known by the name of their most illustrious maker, André-Charles Boulle (1642–1732), who was given a workshop at the Galerie du Louvre in 1672.\(^4\) He did not invent the boulle-work technique (which was made possible by the introduction of the fretsaw “some time around the 1620s, well before . . . Boule himself . . . was born”),\(^5\) but he added to its perfection by enshrining the marquetry in an ostentatious cage of ormolu mounts (see the entries for nos. 25, 31).

This writing desk is one of the few documented objects made for the private use of Louis XIV at Versailles. The form is called bureau brisé, literally “broken desk,” because the hinged front half of the flat top can be flipped back, or “broken,” to reveal a narrow
writing surface (fig. 27). The latter can be extended by a fall-front panel which—in its upright position—simulates on the outside a sequence of three false drawers. The bureau brisé and its variants, possibly based on the innovations of the cabinetmaker Pierre Gole (ca. 1620–1684), who delivered the first of many examples to the crown in 1669, were at some point in the nineteenth century dubbed bureaux Mazarins, despite the absence of any evidence that Cardinal Mazarin (who died in 1661) could ever have penned his letters on such a piece. Contemporary illustrations document the use of these desks as dressing tables, surmounted by a mirror and sometimes flanked by candlestands (see fig. 28). From the bureau brisé form, too, there emerged one of the best-known types of parade furniture, the bureau plat, or flat-surfaced writing desk (see the entry for no. 57), which even today is a symbol of power and influence in executive offices.

Tortoiseshell forms the ground for the brass inlay decoration of this desk. The cabinetmaker was a relatively unknown Dutch-born craftsman named Alexandre-Jean Oppenordt. He had become a French citizen in 1679 and entered the service of the king in 1684 at the Louvre workshops. On 25 July of the following year he received a payment for this desk and a matching example, in which brass forms the ground for the tortoiseshell inlay. Both were intended for the king’s personal study at Versailles. The elaborate decoration on the desktop features Louis’s crowned monogram of interlaced L’s beneath a radiant sun symbol engraved with a now indistinct Apollo’s mask (fig. 29). These royal emblems are surrounded by a cartouche of symmetrical arabesque scrolls and a rambling vine ornament that plays with the traditional motifs of the monarchy in a novel way. At each corner of the desk is an oversize fleur-de-lis, the symbol of France; this placement gracefully suggests that Louis’s kingdom extended to the “four corners of the earth.”

At either end of the table is yet another reference to the Sun King: the lyre of Apollo. The designer of this sophisticated pattern was Jean Bérain, who trained as an engraver. Bérain may even have had a hand in tooling the engravings on the inlay; sadly, only small areas of engraving survive to suggest the beauty of the surface when new. The interior of the desk is veneered with exotic, fine-grained rosewood, whose sober sheen makes a fine contrast with the red-underpainted tortoiseshell and glittering brass on the outside. Although it was considered a special honor to work for the royal household, there were disadvantages: documents reveal that the locks for this desk were supplied in 1685 by the serrurier Pierre Roger, who was not compensated for his work until 1691.

In the posthumous inventory of Louis XIV’s possessions, compiled in 1718, the Museum’s desk and its companion piece have been identified as number 516. A generation later, in 1751, they were considered old-fashioned, and even the fact that they had almost certainly been used by the Sun King himself did not guarantee them a place in the royal collection. In July of that year they were purchased by different buyers, together with their protective red leather covers, at a sale of the king’s furniture.

The Museum’s desk, veneered in the
The whereabouts of the second gotten.

1. As a piece of royal furniture had been for­

to a New York auction house and sold to a Paris dealer. Its origins as a piece of royal furniture had been for­

1. As a piece of royal furniture had been for­

This wig cabinet is one of the most elabor­ate examples of its kind, a work of great refinement and supreme craftsmanship. The exterior is decorated with extremely fine bouille marquetry, which retains many of the original engraved details. Furthermore, it is an early example of the migration of this type of metal-and-tortoiseshell (or horn) marquetry and the High Baroque style in French furniture to the German-speaking cultural areas (see the entries for nos. 14, 17). It is obvious that the creator of this cabinet, Johann Daniel Sommer II, occupies a key position in the history of European furniture-making. Unfortunately, the most important facts about his career remain obscure. He was born in 1643 into a dynasty of craftsmen. His grandfather was a carpenter, and his father, Eberhardt Sommer (1610–1677), worked as a carpenter, master builder, and gunmaker in the Franconian town of Künzelsau beginning in 1642. Other

Wig cabinet (cabinet de toilette)

German, ca. 1685

Johann Daniel Sommer II (1643–after 1692)
Oak and walnut veneered with ebony, ebonized wood, and marquetry of pewter and mother-of-pearl on ornate over paint, simulated tortoiseshell; silver; brocaded damask not original to the wig cabinet
H. 16 in. (40.6 cm); w. 18 in. (45.7 cm);
d. 13½ in. (34.3 cm)
Purchase, Rogers Fund and Cynthia Hazen Polsky Gift, 2004
2004.447

This wig cabinet is one of the most elabor­ate examples of its kind, a work of great refinement and supreme craftsmanship. The exterior is decorated with extremely fine bouille marquetry, which retains many of the original engraved details. Furthermore, it is an early example of the migration of this type of metal-and-tortoiseshell (or horn) marquetry and the High Baroque style in French furniture to the German-speaking cultural areas (see the entries for nos. 14, 17). It is obvious that the creator of this cabinet, Johann Daniel Sommer II, occupies a key position in the history of European furniture-making. Unfortunately, the most important facts about his career remain obscure. He was born in 1643 into a dynasty of craftsmen. His grandfather was a carpenter, and his father, Eberhardt Sommer (1610–1677), worked as a carpenter, master builder, and gunmaker in the Franconian town of Künzelsau beginning in 1642. Other

members of the family are documented as skilful craftsmen and gifted sculptors. Johann Daniel Sommer II

Johann Daniel married in Künzelsau in 1667 and lived there until 1679. Then he sold his properties and moved to an unknown destination. One of his elaborate pieces, a traveling apothecary made for Karl August, margrave of Brandenburg-Kulmbach (1663–1731), contains silver utensils stamped with the Augsburg town mark for 1692–1700. One of these objects, a mortar, is dated 1692. By the late 1600s, however, Augsburg silver, especially sets of toilet and pharmaceutical items, was esteemed all over Germany; thus, the assumption that Sommer lived in Augsburg must remain speculative. Besides, in Augsburg Sommer could not have used painted horn as a substitute for tortoiseshell as is done in the Museum's piece. The town guaranteed the quality of its luxury goods and ruled that "nobody should be deceived with ox-horn." It is inconceivable that the Museum's wig cabinet could have been realized without firsthand knowledge of contemporary French designs and marquetry and cabinet-making techniques. Sommer most likely gained experience in Paris during his jour­neyman's travels before returning to Künzelsau. In the French capital he may have worked with a man named Jacques Sommer, a supposed relative who is mentioned as a cabinetmaker there until 1669. His widow continued the workshop, receiving a last payment in 1683. He supplied Cardinal Jules Mazarin (1602–1661) and other members of the French court with elaborately decorated sets of furniture that included a mirror, matching table, and two candlestands. Alternatively, Georg Himmel­heber has suggested that Johann Daniel Sommer may have worked closely with the French royal cabinetmaker Pierre Gole (ca. 1620–1684); he mentions a table by Gole in the Museum's collection (no. 14 in this book) as a possible link. By the early 1660s Gole and his workshop were producing intricately inlaid furniture for the royal household of the Sun King. Whether Sommer ever worked with the great French marqueteur André-Chaumour Boule (1642–1732) is not known. Boule, only three months older than Sommer, became a master no earlier than 1666, by which time Sommer must have returned to Germany, for that year he supplied a game board and a dated and signed table to Count Albrecht von Hohenlohe-Langenburg. It seems clear that Boule and Sommer were influenced by an older master, probably Gole, but why so gifted a cabinetmaker and ornamentist as Sommer returned to his provincial roots instead of becoming a celebrated ébéniste in Paris like so many other German journeymen remains a mystery. The famous tapestry depicting a visit of Louis XIV to the court
furniture workshop at Gobelins in 1667 illustrates a red (perhaps tortoiseshell) table with elaborate marquetry thought to be by Gole. It is extremely similar to Sommer's table of 1666 for Count Albrecht. The only noticeable difference is that the table seen in the tapestry has opulent gilt-bronze mounts, whereas Sommer's table has very refined but simpler pewter moldings. The tortoiseshell and metal marquetry applied to both pieces was probably produced by the same technique. Sheets of tortoiseshell and metal were stacked together, a design was laid on the top sheet, and then all the sheets in the stack were cut together. The cutouts could be assembled in master patterns of light material set in a dark ground (première partie) or dark material on a light ground (contre partie). It can be assumed that Sommer introduced this technique to Germany; moreover, the date on Count Albrecht's table suggests that Sommer may have played a major role in the development of this marquetry style in Paris while employed at Gole's workshop. If he was apprenticed as a boy in Germany to his uncle Johann Eberhart Sommer (1614–1693), who made guns richly inlaid with silver or pewter designs, Johann Daniel may have acquired metalworking skills before traveling to Paris. It is not difficult to imagine the nephew transforming his uncle's playful volutes into his own signature zigzags.

The Museum's wig cabinet, with a lid in the style of a Chinese pagoda as an exotic detail, was a portable repository for that essential item of formal masculine attire, the wig. Dressing the hair and adjusting the wig were an important part of a gentleman's morning toilette, or lever, when he was accustomed to receive the most important guests of the day as a special gesture of personal appreciation. As the occasion was public, all the accessories on the dressing table had to reflect the owner's taste and status. The extensive use of polished pewter
Fig. 30. Detail of the top of the wig cabinet. In the center of the inlay is the cipher of Prince-Bishop Johann Gottfried von Guttenberg under lily-of-the-valley sprays. His initials, "JVG," appear twice, in a mirror image of each other.

(presumably in imitation of silver) and the precious silver mounts on this wig cabinet made it impossible that the object should escape the eye of any visitor. In its form—an elongated octagonal compartment mounted on a stepped base—the wig cabinet is typically South German. When the cabinet appeared on the art market in 1996, it was attributed to Ferdinand Plitzner (1678-1724), who may have studied with Sommer. The key to identifying the patron, to attributing the work firmly to Johann Daniel Sommer, and to dating it at about 1685 are the cipher "JVG" on the cabinet's hinged lid (fig. 30) and the stylized rose that appears in the center of several of the marquetry panels. Both refer to Johann Gottfried von Guttenberg (1654-1698), prince-bishop of Würzburg and duke of Franconia. The rose is part of the Guttenberg coat of arms. The use of the prince-bishop's monogram without the Guttenberg arms and the fact that the rose is almost hidden among the other marquetry decorations indicate that Guttenberg considered the wig cabinet a very personal item. Much more elaborately decorated but with almost identical ornamental details is a collector's cabinet, dated 1685, that the prince-bishop purchased from Sommer (fig. 31). This cabinet, which stood in the public rooms of the episcopal palace, shows Johann Gottfried's official cipher as prince-bishop and duke on the central door, under a canopy of lily-of-the-valley sprays that resembles the one crowning the bishop's cipher on the lid of the Museum's wig cabinet. His full coat of arms appears on a carved ivory cartouche that surmounts the pediment over the center of the cabinet's facade. The cabinet can be identified in various inventories of the Guttenberg family and was recently acquired by the Würth Collection in Künzelsau, the town where Johann Daniel Sommer was born and spent much of his life.

Currently no more than twelve objects can be securely attributed to Sommer. The wig cabinet is undoubtedly one of the finest and best-preserved examples, all of which were made during the first vigorous phase of the expansion of luxury furniture-making in Central Europe. Furniture with boulle marquetry (let us call it "Sommer marquetry" in this case, since it was executed long before Boulle gained fame) became

Fig. 31. Collector's cabinet by Johann Daniel Sommer II, dated 1685. Pewter, tortoiseshell, ivory, mother-of-pearl; 48 3/8 x 45 3/4 x 20 5/8 in. Reinhold Würth Collection, Künzelsau. The door is inlaid with the initials and abbreviated official title of Prince-Bishop Johann Gottfried von Guttenberg under lily-of-the-valley sprays. The interlaced letters are "JGEHFOD" (Johannes Godefridus Episcopus Herbspolensis [ac] Franciae [ac] Orientalis Dux).
very highly regarded in the south German princely courts of the late Baroque period.

1. Havard 1887–90, vol. 4, cols. 1431-50. Based on extensive archival research and his familiarity with personal correspondence of the period, Alain Gruber has determined that many richly embellished boxes with only one large compartment dating from about 1650 to about 1750 were originally intended for the storage of wigs. Frequently decorated with gilded mounts and extravagant inlay, including pietre dure, these boxes are often mistakenly described as jewelry caskets. Dr. Gruber was kind enough to discuss this topic with me in New York on 16 November 2004.

2. The drawer locks may once have had massive silver plates, which were removed and melted down, most likely during the Napoleonic wars. The keyholes were subsequently filled with silver rosettes decorated with engraved lotus leaves; see the conservation report in the archives of the Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts, Metropolitan Museum.


6. Himmelheber 1988, pp. 124, fig. 5 (the Museum’s piece by Gole), 125, figs. 6, 7 (pieces by Gole inspired by Sommer).

7. Ibid., p. 125.

8. The tapestry is illustrated in Raggio et al. 1989, pp. 4-5, fig. 5. On p. 32 of Pradrère 1989, there is a detail illustration of the tapestry that includes the table.

9. The technique was being used in Germany for marquetry in wood almost two generations earlier, as a South German buffet dated 1573 and other sixteenth-century pieces from Ulm and Cologne demonstrate; see Koeppe 1992, pp. 118-20, 120-22, 126-27, 108. M 53, M 40, M 60, color ills. pp. 250, 157.


12. On the special pewter alloy used in the marquetry, see the condition report on the wig cabinet dated 30 September 2004 in the archives of the Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts.


14. Catalogue of a sale at Sotheby’s, New York, 12 January 1996, lot 1044 (described as South German). See also Medieval and Renaissance Sculpture 1997 (in which the cabinet is attributed to Ferdinand Plitzner). The object was acquired by a Los Angeles private collector who subsequently lent it to the Los Angeles County Museum of Art until 2004, when it was acquired by the Metropolitan.


19.

Armchair

English, ca. 1685-88
Walnut; covered in modern velvet
H. 57 in. (144.8 cm), w. 30¼ in. (78.1 cm),
d. 19½ in. (50.2 cm)
On the top rail is the crest of James II and Mary of Modena.
John Stewart Kennedy Fund, 1918
18.110.18

This armchair is the finest example of its type and period in the Museum. In the center of the crest atop its back is a cipher with the initials of King James II of England (1633–1701) and of his consort, Mary Beatrice, a princess of the house of Este (1658-1718), held by a lion and a unicorn, the royal supporters of England and Scotland. The shell, a device of King James’s, and the eagle, an attribute of his consort, also appear prominently: shells are carved at the center of the blocks on top of the front legs and on top of the stiles flanking the crest; the latter support eagles’ heads, which appear again, together with their wings, as feet for the front legs. Each front leg is in the form of a young blackamoor holding garlands and supporting a cushion, probably referring to the supporters of the Royal African Company, a trading company to Africa, to whom the chair might have belonged (fig. 32). It would probably have been used only on ceremonial occasions by the chairman of the company. The chair has experienced some changes throughout its history. The back was originally upholstered and the seat caned. When acquired in 1918, it had casters, and there was evidence that it had originally been

![Fig. 32. Detail of the front left leg of the armchair.](image-url)
painted black and gilded. Today no such evidence remains. Chairs of this type usually have an elaborately carved and pierced front stretcher, for which the present turned stretcher must be a replacement.

This armchair came to the Museum together with twenty-three other pieces of English furniture from the collection of George S. Palmer, a serious collector, though little known today. It has been published several times, most soberly by Joseph Breck in 1918 and most passionately in 1983 by Humphry Wakefield: "The sense of live movement in this carving is as powerful and emotionally satisfying as that any tree or human form could provide. The snarling lion masks at the arms, with their wild manes, menace anyone who approaches the personage they guard." 1–2.

1. Breck 1918, pp. 274, 277, fig. 2.
Frame

A tour de force of the carver's art, this spectacular frame was one of many gifts from Irwin Untermyer to the Museum. Fitted as a mirror, it used to adorn one of the walls in the dining room of Judge Untermyer's Fifth Avenue apartment. Together with its pair, now in the Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam (fig. 33), it was most likely made to display a marriage portrait. As testament to the identity of the sitter in the painting, a coat of arms surmounted by a coronet, and not yet positively identified, was incorporated in the cresting. The monogram “ACC” appears on the crest of the twin mirror in Amsterdam, but this, too, cannot be associated with a particular family. Resembling cameos, the low-relief carvings in the oval cartouches that are part of the decoration at the bottom of both frames seem to make additional allusions to the couple's armorial bearings. The Museum's example has four putti adorning a lamb with floral garlands—perhaps a reference to the lamb or sheep found in the first and fourth quarters of the coat of arms. The cartouche in the frame at the Rijksmuseum shows several cupids pursuing an escaping swan—probably an allusion to the three swimming swans in the other two quarters of the coat of arms. The cupids with their bows and arrows were then, as now, symbols of love and would, after all, have been very appropriate subjects for the frame of a marriage portrait.

The Museum's object is veneered with ebony and decorated with very finely carved pieces of applied boxwood. The contrast between the nearly black background and the adhered ornament must have been particularly striking when the boxwood was fresh and lighter in color than it is today. The innermost molding is ornamented with a guilloche-and-rosette pattern, whereas the one on the outside displays a continuous string of bellflowers. Exemplifying great dexterity on the part of the artist, tiny vines with curling tendrils, leaves, and clusters of grapes were attached to the central border of the frame. The fruit-bearing vine may be emblematic of fecundity or may refer to Psalm 128, in which a wife is described as a fruitful vine at the side of her husband's house. This kind of symbolism is not unusual in seventeenth-century Dutch art. Since this part of the frame is slightly convex, the carver may have cut the motifs with a small fretsaw from a similarly shaped piece of boxwood in order to be able to glue...
it to the curving ground. A similar technique was used for the cartouche below and for the field of the coat of arms.

Contrasting with the continuous decoration in low relief are the crest and the lower part of the frame, both of which are adorned with splendid ornament in high relief. Acanthus leaves and a pair of large swans perched on volutes (the pendant in Amsterdam has eagles instead) flank the coat of arms, which is placed within a scrolling cartouche. The elegant birds with long, curving necks and outstretched wings are holding three-dimensional floral festoons in their beaks. These garlands hang down the sides of the frame and are draped in swags that overlap the top of the frame in three places. The carving includes a wealth of naturalistic flowers and plant materials, such as anemones, sunflowers, lilies, oak leaves, and acorns—but, surprisingly, only one or two tulips. Similar scrolling acanthus leaves and floral garlands hanging over volutes surround the cartouche below the frame. The attention to detail is truly amazing, as can be seen in the long pistils carved in the round inside the lily blossoms and in the swans’ wing feathers, which are distinguished from the down on their breasts. Particularly beautiful are the tips of the acanthus leaves that curl around their gracefully curving necks. Very refined, too, is the carving of the cartouche surrounding the coat of arms. It is pounced with a dense, almost granular, pattern except for the lowest part, which is scaled. With its variety of high-relief carving, low-relief decoration, and patterned surfaces flanked by moldings of boxwood and ebonized boxwood, the frame is reminiscent of the goldsmith’s art.

The sculptor Johannes Hannart (or Hannart), who signed this magnificent frame with an abbreviated version of his name (fig. 34), is not a familiar figure today. Born in Hamburg at an unknown date, he was established in the Netherlands in or before 1679, the year he was married at The Hague. He must have been successful there, since he was named stadsbeeldsnijder, or municipal carver, in 1682. The elaborately carved frame, dated 1685, that surrounds a group portrait of the members of the city council painted three years earlier by Jan de Baen (1633–1702) was most likely the product of an official commission awarded to Hannart in this capacity. Embellished with various coats of arms, ribbons, and garlands of flowers and oak leaves, the gilded and polychrome frame is surmounted by a coronet and acanthus scrolls not unlike those on the Museum’s example.

Several of his few extant pieces show that Hannart worked not only in wood, as one would expect of a carver, but also in stone, indicating that the traditional division between stone sculptors and wood-carvers was no longer strictly adhered to at the end of the seventeenth century. Between 1690 and 1692, for instance, Hannart collaborated on a stone fountain, still in situ at the fish market in Leiden, probably after a design by the architect Jacob Roman (1640–1716). Hannart was paid for the models he made for the marble decoration of the fountain, and he also executed the main sculptural group of tritons on top.

Hannart’s association with Roman dated back to at least 1681, the year that Roman was appointed stadstimmerman, or municipal carpenter, of Leiden, a post that allowed him, in fact, to be active as a designer and as an architect. Although he was trained as a carver in the studio of his father, Pieter Roman, the younger Roman increasingly turned his attention to architecture. Having moved to Leiden in 1681 to take up his new position, Roman rented his house in The Hague to Hannart, to whom he also sold the contents of his workshop. Through Roman, who in 1689 became the official architect to the Dutch stadholder and king of England, William III of Orange-Nassau (1650–1702), Hannart may have gained access to the court in The Hague. This connection may have resulted in a commission to make a plaster model for a lifesize statue of the stadholder (the statue itself was never completed). In addition, it may have brought Hannart in contact with the architect and designer Daniel Marot (1661–1732) (see the entries for nos. 22, 26). Employed by William III, Marot collaborated with Roman on Het Loo, the stadholder’s hunting lodge near Apeldoorn, which was later enlarged to a palace. Hannart was, in fact, one of the sculptors who executed the large stone vases designed by Marot for the gardens of Het Loo. It is, therefore, not very surprising that the Museum’s frame bears some resemblance to Marot’s works. The manner in which the carving extends beyond the rectangular frame at the top is quite reminiscent of a design for mirror frames by Marot. In certain respects the rich and dense decoration of the frames is also similar to allegorical vignettes painted in miniature by Jacques Bailly (1629–1679) for the borders of tapestries of the Four Seasons by Charles Le Brun (1619–1690). Especially close is Bailly’s depiction of eagles perched on a straight ledge and holding garlands of fruits and flowers in their beaks, as found in a vignette symbolizing Winter. These vignettes were engraved by Sébastien Le Clerc (1637–1714) and published in Paris a number of times beginning in 1668 as Devises pour les tapisseries du Roy. German versions of this book, with engravings by Johanna Sibylla Küsel (ca. 1650–1717), were published by her husband, Johann Ulrich Kraus (1655–1719), in Augsburg in 1687, 1709, and 1710.

Mounted as mirrors, Hannart’s pair of frames were lent by the dealer M. Harris and Sons to the London “Art Treasures Exhibition 1932.”

3. The device of three swimming swans could be the bearing of the Van Swansenburgh family of Leiden, and it has been suggested that the sheep are the bearing of the Heydunus family. In 1662 Karel Heydunus (1636–1679) married Jacoba van Swansenburgh (1642–1676) in Leiden; see Pelinck 1960, p. 154, n. 12. But, given the date of their marriage, it does not seem likely that the present frames were commissioned for their wedding portraits. Their only daughter, Adriana Christina Heydunus (after 1662–1699), never married; see Lunsingh Scheurleer, Fock, and Van Dissel 1992, p. 284.
4. See, for instance, the use of fruit and a vine in a family portrait by Pieter de Hooch (1629–1684), Liedtke 2001, p. 274, no. 27 (entry by Walter Liedtke).
6. This portrait and its frame are in the collection of the Historisch Museum, The Hague. They are on display in the city hall, Van Thiell and De Bruyn Kops 1984, p. 32.
7. The artist is known to have made a tomb monument for Schelhammer, minister of the Lutheran Church in The Hague. In place in 1699, it is now lost; see Neurenburg 1948, p. 137.
15. Art Treasures Exhibition 1932, no. 92.
Armchair

French, ca. 1690–1710
Carved and gilded walnut; covered in late-seventeenth-century wool velvet (moquette) not original to the armchair
H. 46 1/4 in. (118.1 cm), w. 28 in. (71.1 cm), d. 23 3/4 in. (59.1 cm)
Purchase, Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Charles Wrightsman, by exchange, 1983
1983.526

In the most recent catalogue of the furniture at Versailles,1 where two related armchairs à châssis (with drop-in seats) are on display in the refurbished bedroom of Louis XIV, this extraordinary chair model was described as "to be dated probably to the second quarter of the eighteenth century."2 This proposed dating was based on "the setting back of the armrest supports" and "the absence of a stretcher" between the legs.3 The chair's overall appearance, however, as well as the Italianate, trapezoidal form of the seat make an earlier date much more plausible. Also, the ornamentation and the minimally curved, rather straight-looking arms with their stylized-volute "hand knobs" (see fig. 36) betray the pervasive influence of Charles Le Brun (1619–1690), director of the royal manufactory at the Gobelins and of the Académie Royale de Peinture et de Sculpture (since 1663) under Louis XIV. Le Brun's playfully arranged designs are echoed in many decorative works of the late seventeenth century, for example, four embroidered wall hangings of about 1685 and an ingeniously designed side table of about 1690, both in the collection of the Museum.4

Another argument put forward in the Versailles catalogue for a date after Louis's death in 1715 is a perceived similarity between the burly, branchlike legs of this chair model and the pieds de biche, or legs turned three-quarters forward and terminating in a doe's foot that became popular for furniture during the early Régence period (see the entry for no. 28).5 The fanciful, imaginative leg design of this chair model, based on a series of incurring segments (chantournés en dedans), is far more sophisticated than the pieds de biche. It is firmly rooted in the zoomorphic foot forms of the seventeenth century and can be visually documented in the Grand Galerie at Versailles as early as 1684.6 If the present chair model is datable between 1690 and 1710, it is one of the first examples made with a drop-in upholstered seat.

A date of about 1690 to 1710 is especially attractive because it enables us to entertain the idea that the suite of seat furniture to which this chair belongs re-created in gilded wood the silver furniture at Versailles that was melted down in 1689 to pay for the king's military campaigns.7 This idea is supported by the simulated drapery ornamentation on the armrests. This so-called lambrequin motif (see the entry for no. 33) is rarely found in contemporary French woodwork but is fairly common in the products of the court goldsmiths. Laurence Buffet-Challié observed of another example of this model, in the Lopez-Willshaw collection in Paris, that "the woodwork of this armchair [of] c. 1700 is carved almost as if it were the work of a goldsmith. The curved frame to the back and the seat, and the absence of stretchers anticipate the style of the eighteenth century."8

A recent discovery in a French publication of 1837 may offer a key to settling all these arguments. In his Moyen-Âge pittoresque: Monumens d'architecture, meubles et décors Philippe Moret published a lithograph of this chair model (fig. 35). The short caption gives no medium, dimensions, or other details about it, but the description reads, "Armchair used as King Stanislas's throne," and a provenance is given: "from the collection of M. Schwiter.9" The king referred to must be the Polish prince Stanislas Leszczyński (1677–1766), who was elected king of Poland in 1704 and displaced in 1709 by Augustus the Strong of Saxony (1670–1733). In 1725 his fortunes rose again, when his daughter Maria was married to Louis XV (1710–1774). As the French king's father-in-law, Leszczyński was made duke of Lorraine and took up residence in Nancy, transforming that town...
into a major cultural center of the Rococo period.¹⁰ The splendor-loving Leszczyński regularly received furnishings and luxury goods from the French court as gifts, and the opulent chair seen in the lithograph, the Museum’s example, and other pieces of the suite may have been among them. By Leszczyński’s time the style was certainly out of fashion, but their royal pedigree, flamboyant opulence, and highly unusual appearance must have been as fascinating then as they are today. At some point, the suite was broken up and scattered. We do not know if the armchair in the Schwiter collection was a wooden example (which, by the way it is characterized, seems likely) or if it was a silver version that had escaped the mint.¹¹ It was, however, called a throne, indicating not only a royal association but also that in 1837 only a single example was known. We remain in the dark as regards the Schwiter collection. A Louis-August Schwiter (1808–1889), later Baron Schwiter, is recorded in a portrait by Eugène Delacroix (1798–1863), today in the National Gallery, London (NG 3286). In his inventory of public-sale catalogues, Frits Lugt (1884–1970) mentions that a collector named Schwiter sold works of art at a series of auctions in Paris between 11–12 December 1863 and 16–17 December 1864 and that some of the lots included furniture.¹²

². Ibid., p. 178. See also Meyer 1980b, p. 246.
⁴. On the wall hangings, see Alice M. Zrebiec in Raggio et al. 1989, p. 32 and color ills. pp. 10–11. On the side table, which is based on designs by Le Brun, see James Parker in Raggio et al. 1989, pp. 22–23 and p. 3, fig. 4.
⁵. Arizzoli-Clémentel 2002, p. 178 (but the proposed interpretation of the pied de biche is not sound); and Koeppe 1996, p. 183, fig. 5.
⁶. The chair’s branchlike legs are unusual in the same way as are the animal legs of the side tables in the Grand Galerie seen in an etching of 1684 by Sébastien Le Clerc (1637–1714) in Raggio et al. 1989, p. 6, fig. 7.
⁷. Salmann 1962, p. 74, fig. 6; and Apra 1972, p. 26, fig. 17.
⁸. Buffer-Challié 1965, p. 84, figs. 278, 279.
¹¹. I hope that this rather speculative entry will encourage scholars to investigate the archives at Nancy and in Stanislas’s residences and that their research will shed light on the mystery of these important chairs.
¹². I am most grateful to Florian Knothe, Annette Kade Art History Fellow, 2005–2006, Metropolitan Museum, for sharing with me the fruits of his research on Schwiter.

Fig. 36. Detail of the left side of the chair. Stylized lambrequin motifs decorate the arms.
Thomas, Baron Coningsby (1656–1729), a friend and courtier of William III of England (1650–1702), ordered this state bed about 1698 for his own residence, Hampton Court, near Leominster, in Herefordshire. Starting about 1680, he carried out extensive alterations in the house and laid out the gardens, both of which are depicted in two panoramic views painted about 1699 by Leonard Knyff (see fig. 37). Coningsby was a curious and extravagant gentleman, obsessed with the knightly history of his family, which he reenacted in portraiture, in heraldry, and in romantic, medieval-style joustings. More than a little unbalanced, he died in 1729, after years of wandering vaguely in his fantasy world.

In the great English country houses of the late seventeenth century, the state bed evolved into the most lavish (and expensive) piece of furniture in the house, the cost often exceeding the rest of the contents combined. As a great confection of velvet, damask, and silk, edged with gold and silver braid and the most elaborate passementerie, it required the skills of the leading mercers, upholsterers, and trimmings-makers of the period. Often it was upholstered en suite with chairs, stools, curtains, and wall hangings. While, in principle, it was reserved for a visit of the sovereign, when such a visit failed to materialize, the state bed served as a bold status symbol.

These beds were frequently inspired by the designs of William III’s architect Daniel Marot (1661–1752), who illustrated several in two published suites of engravings (see fig. 38). With their elaborate testers and strongly scrolled headboards, they were the most prominent examples of furniture in the English Baroque style.

This state bed is one of two from Hampton Court. It was made for Coningsby’s own use. The other, covered in red damask, was placed in the state bedroom, called The King’s Bedroom, and is now in the bedchamber of William III at Het Loo Palace, near Apeldoorn, in the Netherlands.

During the remodeling of Hampton Court in the nineteenth century, the blue bedroom was altered and the bed stored in an attic, where it was spotted in 1911 by H. Avray Tipping of the magazine Country Life, who wrote, “So fine a bed of the Marot type in such unusually perfect state is rare indeed.” Later, he elaborated on his find: “When, at my special desire, it was again set up (the brew-house seemed the only place lofty enough for this purpose), it was found to be in its entirety and in admirable condition.” In 1925 it was sold to the dealer Permaine of Bond Street, London, who sold it shortly thereafter to the American newspaper publisher William Randolph Hearst (1863–1951). Whether intended by Hearst for St. Donat’s, his castle in Wales, or for San Simeon in California is not known, but in 1968, still packed in the crates of 1925, it was given by his son and daughter-in-law to the Metropolitan Museum. When finally completely unpacked and examined with a view to its restoration in 1986, the bed was in a considerably less perfect state than it had been in 1911. There followed an extensive conservation project to clean the original damask and fringes on the tester and headboard and to provide new curtains and bedspread, which had been lost.

Even with the new silk damask, this bed is in a remarkable state of preservation.

1. On Hampton Court, see the following three publications: Cornforth 1975; Country House Portrayed 1973; and Cormack 1985, pp. 136-37.
2. Two plates with beds of similar design, the title page and pl. 34, are illustrated in White 1982, pp. 85-86, figs. 4, 5.
5. Tipping 1928, p. 20.

Fig. 37. Leonard Knyff (1650–1721), The North Prospect of Hampton Court, Herefordshire (detail), ca. 1699. Oil on canvas, 58½ x 84½ in. Yale Center for British Art, New Haven, Connecticut, Paul Mellon Collection.

Fig. 38. Title plate (etching) in Daniel Marot, Second livre d'appartements, 1703. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, Harris Brisbane Dick Fund, 1930 (504.12).
23.

**Cabinet (armoire)**

_French (Paris), ca. 1700_  
Attributed to André-Charles Boulle (1642–1732)  
Oak veneered with macassar and Gabon ebony, ebonized fruitwood, burl wood, and marquetry of tortoiseshell and brass; gilt-bronze mounts  
_H. 91 1/4 in. (233 cm), w. 48 in. (121.9 cm), d. 19 in. (48.3 cm)  
Fletcher Fund, 1959  
59.108

In 1688 the Parisian cabinetmaker Auburtin Gaudron, who worked for the French court after the death of Pierre Gole (ca. 1620–1684), delivered for Louis XIV’s apartment at the Château de Marly a “large and beautiful cabinet with architectural bronze mounts and marquetry of copper and ebony with amaranth.” Such armoires (closed cabinets or wardrobes) were ostentatious parade objects intended to stand grandly in a public room. The above description of the marquetry on Gaudron’s armoire and of his piece’s architectural form document that at this time André-Charles Boulle was not the only maker of these cabinets, for success has always sparked imitation in the competitive Parisian artistic environment. Nonetheless, the products of Boulle’s workshop are distinguished from those of his competitors by sculptural details of unusual quality applied to the carcase structure. Boulle’s furniture bears some of the best gilt-bronze ornaments ever made (see also no. 31). The eight intricate corner mounts on the doors of the Museum’s cabinet depict wind gods with flowing locks—six with a mature face and two with a young, beardless face—blowing a gale through pursed lips (fig. 39). The facade-like front, on a modest base with later toupie feet, is divided by a vertical molding of pilaster form with brass on tortoiseshell (première partie) marquetry. Its small capital penetrates an egg-and-dart molding with a projecting Vitruvian-scroll frieze above. The cabinet’s top has concave sides and a rectangular base, lending the whole composition an almost pagoda-like look.

The patterns of the different inlaid panels correspond to those on other Boulle workshop pieces; these panels may have been prefabricated and held for stock, as mounts very often were, especially those frequently applied, such as rosettes, scrolls, and hinges. The mounts on the Museum’s cabinet, which are integrated with and partly echo the two-dimensional inlaid decor, intensify the sparkling appearance of the piece. There are similarities between this cabinet and a remarkable drawing by Boulle in the Musée des Arts Décoratifs in Paris that strengthen the attribution to Boulle (fig. 40).

Certain features of this cabinet shed light on the economically oriented nature of Boulle’s workshop. The degree of chiseling and finish on the bronze mounts gradually increases as they approach eye level; moreover, the exotic and very expensive ebony—which was purchased by the pound—is replaced by ebonized local fruitwood in the upper quarter of the cabinet (above eye

Fig. 39. Detail of the mounts at the top of the right door of the cabinet.

Fig. 40. André-Charles Boulle, _Design for a Cabinet_ (detail), n.d. Sanguine and pen drawing. Musée des Arts Décoratifs, Paris.
level. A similar thrift was practiced on the inside of the doors, which are not inlaid. Instead, they are veneered with local burl wood that was probably stained to imitate much more valuable tortoiseshell. Still, the interior must have looked strikingly attractive, especially when the three shelves (now lost) were filled with works of art or precious books. The outside of the Museum's armoire is for the most part veneered with contre partie (dark on light) marquetry, which was about 20 percent less expensive than première partie. This suggests that a matching cabinet decorated similarly but predominantly in première partie once existed. In spite of its enormous size, the object is surprisingly easy to dismantle; it comes apart in fifteen to twenty minutes.

The cabinet has unfortunately lost nearly all of its former engraved decoration, which means that its current appearance is distorted. This type of wear may be due to the materials used. Tortoiseshell and wooden veneer are organic, as is the oak used to build the carcase. The inlaid metal has a totally different coefficient of expansion, and as a result the boulle marquetry is constantly "working." Already in the eighteenth century it was said that major parts of the surface would have to be restored "every generation" (roughly every twenty-five to thirty years), and each smoothing of the surface reduced the depth of the engraved decoration and the thickness of the veneers themselves. 

3. It has been suggested that these corner mounts were added in the late eighteenth century, despite the fact that they are stylistically close to contemporary French sculpture. See Ronfort 2001. I thank Danielle Kisulk-Grosheide for this reference.
4. See Hughes 1996, vol. 2, pp. 817-844, no. 172 (this object has an identical central molding and a similar top).
5. Ibid. See Pradère 1989, pp. 67-108, on the peg feet ending in acanthus sprays; these stock items are discussed in almost all descriptions of the Boulle workshop.
7. I thank Mechthild Baumeister, Conservator, Department of Objects Conservation, Metropolitan Museum, for discussing this issue with me. For stained burl wood, see Baumeister et al. 1997. On the cabinet, see also Molinier 1922b, vol. 1, pl. 61; catalogue of the Eugène Kraemer collection sale, Galerie Georges Petit, Paris, 2-3 June 1933, no. 359; catalogue of the Antoine-Alfred Agénor, duc de Gramont, collection sale, Galerie Georges Petit, Paris, 22 May 1915, lot 52 (for a similar example, see also lot 51); catalogue of an anonymous sale at Palais Gallyéra, Paris, 11 June 1965, lot 96; and James Parker in Raggio et al. 1989, pp. 18, 19.
8. I am most grateful to the late Count Alexander zu Münster, who was a major conservator of boulle work and of pieces from the Roentgen workshop (see the entry for no. 74), for allowing me to observe the activity at his own workshop for extended periods of time.

Cabinet on stand

English, ca. 1700
Pine veneered with marquetry of walnut, boxl, walnut, and holly; oak drawers; walnut legs; brass hardware, some of it replaced
H. 62 3/4 in. (159.4 cm), W. 43 1/4 in. (116.2 cm), d. 21 in. (53.3 cm)
From the Marion E. and Leonard A. Cohn Collection, Bequest of Marion E. Cohn, 1966 66.64.15

This cabinet on stand is decorated with so-called seaweed marquetry of holly and walnut. Enclosing multiple drawers for the safekeeping of valuables, this type of case furniture has antecedents in the imposing ebony showpieces created in Paris largely during the reign of Louis XIII (1610-43) and in the collector's cabinets made of precious materials in centers like Augsburg and Antwerp (see the entries for nos. 11, 13).

It is generally thought that the use of marquetry was introduced in England by foreign cabinetmakers after the restoration to the throne of Charles II (1630-1685) in 1660. Many of them were either Dutch or French Huguenot craftsmen skilled in the latest Continental techniques. This cabinet is almost entirely decorated with marquetry, which, in contrast to the process of inlaying, involves placing a decorative veneer over the wooden carcase, or body, of a piece of furniture. A clear distinction between the two techniques was not always kept, however, and the term "inlayer" was generally used in England to identify those craftsmen and cabinetmakers who practiced both proper inlay and marquetry.

Particularly popular in England during the post-Restoration period was the Dutch-style naturalistic floral marquetry used for clocks and case furniture. More stylized, two-dimensional patterns—such as arabesque and scrolled seaweed decoration, both generally considered to be typically English—were also applied, with striking results.

The Museum's cabinet has a flat-topped rectangular superstructure that rests on an open stand (fig. 41). The upper part is fitted with two doors that enclose thirteen drawers arranged around a central compartment. Behind a small door, this compartment houses six shallow drawers, two large and four small (fig. 42). A projecting cornice and a barrel-fronted frieze forming a single large drawer surmount the cabinet. The stand encloses one large drawer, on the front of which vertical divisions in the marquetry indicate the position of the S-shaped legs below. The six legs are all modern replacements, most likely exact copies of the original ones but made of solid walnut and fitted between flat capitals and square plinths that are also later additions. Enlivening the otherwise boxlike appearance of the cabinet, the two angled legs at the corners form a transition between the front legs that are placed straight and those at the back, which are positioned sideways. The shaped, interlaced stretcher supported on six bun feet harmonizes with the outline of the lobed
Fig. 41. General view of the cabinet on stand with the doors closed.

marquetry reserves on the sides and on the door panels, as well as with the decoration, consisting of simple stringing, inside the central compartment.

The dense seaweed marquetry of scrolling foliage and floral motifs is strictly symmetrical. Adapted to the size of each reserve, it is small on the drawer fronts and larger on the doors and sides. The marquetry process facilitated repetition of these intricate patterns. Following the lines of the design with a fine fretsaw, the cabinetmaker would carefully cut through stacked layers of holly and walnut veneer that had been temporarily pinned or glued together. Once this difficult task was finished, the different layers were separated. The patterns of holly were fitted into the spaces cut in the walnut ground to form a panel that was then applied to the carcasse. So-called book-matched marquetry could also be created by the simultaneous sawing of bundled veneers. For this cabinet, it meant using four sheets of veneer that were cut together, two for the background and two for the ornamentation. Half of these were then turned over, just like the pages of a book, and adhered to the oak substrate side by side so that a strictly symmetrical decoration was achieved with a joint in the middle. The seaweed marquetry in the large cartouches on the doors and sides of the cabinet on stand is book-matched but that in the smaller reserves is not.

With the exception of the guilloche borders on the drawer fronts, which are all holly, the marquetry consists of holly against a walnut ground. An even stronger contrast must originally have existed when the now-darkened holly was nearly white and the brown walnut, today somewhat dulled and grayish, was fresh. The walnut is cut in a radial plane in order to get an even-grained surface that would show off the lighter decoration at its best. All the detailing was done by saw cuts and not by engraving. In order to achieve the effect of shading, the holly wood was partially scorched in hot sand. Whereas the holly may originally have
looked like more costly ivory, the areas surrounding the seaweed-marquetry reserves are veneered with a richly figured burl walnut that resembles a brecchiated marble or tortoiseshell. To create even greater play of patterning, the cabinetmaker used cross-banded veneer for the various moldings on the cabinet and on the stand (some of which is modern).

The simple shape of the superstructure is a perfect vehicle for the intricate seaweed decoration, which has been compared not only to lace and filigree but also to the elaborate marquetry of André-Charles Boulle (1642–1732; see the entries for nos. 23, 31). In England the artist most regularly associated with this kind of work was Gerrit Jensen (d. 1715), who supplied furniture to the English crown; however, the seaweed marquetry that covers the entire surface of a pair of cabinets thought to have been made by Jensen, now in the collection of the duke and duchess of Devonshire at Chatsworth, Derbyshire, is clearly different and rules out a similar attribution for the Museum’s piece.8

3. A seaweed-marquetry cabinet at Kingston Lacy in Dorset, however, has two Dutch signatures in its interior, and another Dutch cabinet has seaweed-marquetry borders; see Baarsen 1988a, pp. 35–36, figs. 22, 23.
5. Corkhill 1989, pp. 51, 312. When veneers were bundled, it was easy to reverse those used for the ground and those used for the inlay, creating a dark ground with a light pattern (première partie) and a light ground with a dark pattern (contre partie). This type of marquetry is not found on the Museum’s cabinet.
Armchair

English, ca. 1700
Attributed to Thomas Roberts (active 1685–1714)
Ebonized beech; covered in Genoese velvet
H. 48 in. (122 cm), w. 28 in. (71.1 cm), d. 32 in. (81.3 cm)
Purchase, Gift of Irwin Untermyer, by exchange, and Bequests of Bernard M. Baruch, Ruth Mabee Lachman Greenleaf, and Irwin Untermyer, by exchange, 1998
1998.297.1

This armchair, and a matching example also in the Museum's collection, are from a large suite comprising a state bed, eight armchairs, four side chairs, and a pair of stools made for Daniel Finch, second earl of Nottingham (1647–1730) for the state bedroom of his country seat, Burley-on-the-Hill, in Rutland. The bed, two armchairs, and the pair of stools are now displayed in William and Mary's palace at Het Loo, near Apeldoorn, in the Netherlands.1

Daniel Finch was secretary of state and privy councillor to William III (1650–1702). After retiring from the government in 1696, he began to build his country house at Burley-on-the-Hill, saying "to live in the country . . . and mind his own affairs and estate . . . is part of a gentleman's calling."2 Although the house was not entirely finished until the 1720s, the state bedroom was largely complete by 1701. The earliest known reference to the suite in the eighteenth century is in the diaries of the first duchess of Northumberland, who slept in the room in 1771.3 The furniture is recorded at Burley in inventories of 1772 and 1826 and in a photograph of the state bedroom taken in 1923 (fig. 43).4

Finch almost certainly commissioned this set from Thomas Roberts, the prominent London chairmaker, who provided much of the seat furniture for the royal household.5 In his bills the scrolled feet and arms of this type of chair are described as "horsebone."6 The tall, raked backs and flared and scrolled arms reflect the style of Daniel Marot (1661–1752), the French architect and designer who worked closely with William and Mary at their palaces both in Holland and in England. The front rails are carved with a series of C-scrolls centered on an earl's coronet.7 The chairs retain their original Genoese five-color velvet covers with matching tasseled fringes.

---

1. Vliegenthart 2003, p. 74, fig. 90. A side chair from the suite is in the Denver Art Museum, Denver, Colorado.
3. Northumberland 1926. The diaries are now at Alnwick Castle, Northumberland.

---

Fig. 43. Photograph of the state bedroom, Burley-on-the-Hill, Rutland, showing Thomas Roberts's set of furniture in situ in 1923.
A strong, versatile wood with a lustrous surface, walnut lends itself well to polishing. With its fine and even grain, it also allows elaborate carving, as is beautifully illustrated by this imposing side chair and its pair, also in the Museum’s collection. Fashionable both in the Netherlands and in England for a brief period at the end of the seventeenth century, chairs of this kind, with shaped uprights and an openwork back, are said to be in the style of Daniel Marot (1661–1752). Son of the French architect Jean Marot (1619–1679), the younger Marot left France as a Huguenot émigré to escape religious persecution after the revocation of the Edict of Nantes in 1685. He became architect and designer to the stadholder of the Dutch Republic, William III of Orange-Nassau (1650–1702). Working in a personal version of the French court style, Marot influenced artistic developments both in the Netherlands and in England, where he served William and his consort Mary Stuart (1662–1694) after they succeeded jointly to the English throne in 1689. Marot’s work is best known through his engravings, a large collection of which, entitled Oeuvres de Sr. D. Marot, architecte de Guillaume III, was published for the first time in The Hague in 1703. Derived from French fashions, his designs include subjects ranging from buildings and gardens, interiors and fireplaces, and textiles and metalwork to furniture. Among Marot’s prints for seat furniture, however, there are no chairs with carved and pierced backs. Instead, reflecting contemporary French traditions, the formal chairs with tall upright backs represented in Marot’s work are completely upholstered (see fig. 44). It was perhaps the intricate patterns of the show covers and their trim in these illustrations that inspired Dutch and English chairmakers to create openwork backs for their seat furniture in this style. Candlelight would have been beautifully reflected in the lustrous and crisply carved ornament on this pair of chairs—such as the foliated strapwork cresting and the urn and shield motifs framed in scrolling acanthus leaves, both of which are closely related to Marot’s decorative vocabulary. Chairs with turned baluster legs and shaped crossed stretchers crowned with a central finial are also seen in Marot’s designs (see fig. 44). Whereas the back legs of this pair end in ordinary ball feet, those in front, which terminate in paws, are more unusual.

It is, in fact, not always possible to tell in which country a tall chair with a carved and openwork back originated. This has been further complicated by the fact that these so-called Anglo-Dutch chairs enjoyed a resurgence of popularity during the nineteenth century. From about 1820 on, such “antique chairs,” as well as later copies, were used to furnish Elizabethan and Carolean Revival interiors in England. For this purpose London dealers imported this type of seat furniture from the Netherlands. The overall shape as well as the fine detail and high quality of the carving of the Museum’s chairs, however, appear to be typical of Dutch work.

Made in large sets and placed along the periphery of a room, these tall chairs were considered to be part of the wall decoration and had a primarily decorative function. Although a number of chairs with elaborately carved backs are known today, they did not constitute the majority of the chair production during the reign of William and Mary. Completely upholstered or caned seat furniture was far more common in England and the Netherlands at the time.

This chair and its pair were part of the Irwin Untermyer collection and stood in the

![Fig. 44. Designs for upholstered furniture and valances, etching in Daniel Marot, Second livre d'appartements (before 1703). The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, Harris Brisbane Dick Fund, 1930 (30.4171).](image-url)
entrance hall of the judge's apartment at 960 Fifth Avenue (see illustration on page 4, below). They were formerly in the possession of Sallie A. Hert at Lyndon Hall, Louisville, Kentucky. She had acquired them in 1931 from the New York antiques dealer French and Company, together with three identical ones. The group of five was offered as three separate lots in the auction of the Hert estate in 1949. French and Company bought them all back and sold this pair to Judge Untermyer.\(^\text{7}\) Another chair of the set was purchased by the William Rockhill Nelson Gallery of Art and Atkins Museum of Fine Arts in Kansas City.\(^\text{8}\)

Another chair of the set was purchased by the William Rockhill Nelson Gallery of Art and Atkins Museum of Fine Arts in Kansas City.\(^\text{8}\)

1. Hackenbroch 1958a, pp. 17-18, figs. 65, 66, pls. 42, 43; and Baarsen et al. 1988, p. 139, no. 110 (entry by Deborah Sampson Shinn). The accession number of the second chair is 64.103.910.
2. Edwards 1964, p. 139.
3. Dec 1988, p. 82.

27.

Cabinet on stand

\begin{itemize}
\item **Dutch, ca. 1700-1710**
\item Attributed to Jan van Mekeren (1658-1733)
\item Oak veneered with rosewood, olive wood, ebony, holly, tulipwood, barberry, and other partly stained marquetry woods
\item H. 70\%\ in. (178.4 cm), w. 53\%\ in. (136.8 cm), d. 22\%\ in. (57 cm)
\item Ruth and Victoria Blumka Fund, 1995 1995.371a,b
\end{itemize}

Despite a 1624 regulation stipulating that members of the Amsterdam cabinetmakers' guild who offered their wares for sale in the company's shop had to mark their work, very few pieces of Dutch furniture are signed. As a result, it is the exception rather than the rule that extant pieces of Dutch furniture can be ascribed to a certain maker. Based on their naturalistic floral marquetry of exceedingly high quality, however, a group of cabinets, including this one in the Museum's collection,\(^\text{3}\) has been attributed to Jan van Mekeren.\(^\text{3}\)

Born in Tiel, Gelderland, Van Mekeren established himself in or shortly before 1687 as an independent master in Amsterdam, where he was also a merchant in wood.\(^\text{4}\) Since the headquarters of the Dutch East India Company was in Amsterdam, that city was the foremost European market for exotic woods. A grandson of Van Mekeren's, also named Jan, continued this aspect of the business after 1733, when his grandfather died. Based on a list of his debtors at the time of his death, Van Mekeren appears to have prospered by specializing in the production of luxury furniture created mostly for the Amsterdam elite. The 1733 inventory of his shop describes a large assemblage of walnut and oak furniture in stock. Entries for four unfinished doors with flowers, two incomplete tables with flowers, as well as a small cabinet with saw-cut flowers provide proof that Van Mekeren created fine floral marquetry.\(^\text{3}\)

Resting on an open support, the Metropolitan's cabinet has a simple, boxlike superstructure with a slightly projecting

Fig. 45. General view of the cabinet on stand with the right door open.
cornice and base, both embellished with a meandering trail of flowers tied with a bow at the center of the front and sides. The central upright, similarly decorated with a string of flowers and ribbons apparently suspended from a ring at the top, visually divides both doors but is actually part of the right door. The cabinet's lock is hidden behind a sliding panel in the center of this upright. Most of the cabinetmaker's attention has been lavished on the two door panels, which open to reveal an interior fitted with three shelves of varying depth and five drawers for the storage of fine linens and other treasured possessions. On the inside, the doors have an eight-pointed star of deep brown-black rosewood against a lighter olive-wood background surrounded by a border of rosewood (fig. 45). On the outside they display large bouquets of naturalistic flowers against an ebony ground that is framed by a tulipwood border. Among the many exquisite flowers to be seen gathered with a flowing ribbon are tulips, crown imperials, lilies, daffodils, peonies, anemones, roses, irises, and hyacinths. Each of the cabinet's side panels displays a vase of flowers standing on the edge of a table or plinth between this cabinet and five related case pieces of veneer in hot sand.

Less refined are the more repetitive flowers found on the front of the large drawer in the stand, or on the tapering legs and the double-Y-shaped, serpentine stretcher.

Upon examination, it becomes clear that of the more than two hundred elaborate flowers decorating the piece, several occur more than once, sometimes in reverse. This repetition was achieved through the technique of cutting a packet of different veneers together. Having affixed a design to the top layer, the cabinetmaker would take up his fretsaw and cut as many identical flowers as there were layers in the packet. Variation was obtained by arranging the veneers, and thus the colors, differently and by sometimes turning the cut flower over to reverse the design. By cleverly choosing bright yellow woods like barberry for the daffodils and the crown imperial, for instance, and by enhancing the nearly white holly wood with natural dyes, Van Mekeren achieved a very rich and naturalistic palette, not unlike those of contemporary flower painters. Unfortunately, the organic stains commonly used during this period, which were made from the extracts of plants and exotic woods, are not very durable, and not a trace is left of them. Only the green dye, based on copper salts, that was applied to the stems and leaves has retained some of its vivid color. Details such as the veining of the leaves or petals were achieved with tiny saw cuts, and additional shading effects were created by scorching parts of certain pieces of veneer in hot sand.

Some of the flowers, such as the tall lily stalk, the striking crown imperial, or the variegated tulip with its twisted petals, can easily be recognized on other pieces thought to be by Van Mekeren. This particular tulip is very close to one included in an engraving by the flower painter Jean-Baptiste Monnoyer (1636–1699), in a series entitled Livres de plusieurs paniers de fleurs, published by Nicolas de Poilly (1626–1696) in Paris. Other species in Van Mekeren's oeuvre bear resemblance to illustrations in earlier florilegia, or flower books, such as the Hortus Floridus by Crispijn van de Passe (1614). The dazzling use of exotic veneers, not only for the background but also for the borders and moldings of the cabinet, more than makes up for the lack of sculptural enrichment of the case and stand. Veneer diagonally cut from logs of tulipwood, resembling the irregular pattern of a beautiful moiré silk, frames the central fields on the doors. Adhered to the top edge of the stand are pieces of veneer sawn in the same manner but from thinner branches of tulipwood, whose concentric growth rings, recurring repeatedly, create the illusion of a three-dimensional beaded border. Although there are many similarities, some differences between this cabinet and five related case pieces attributed to Van Mekeren are obvious as well. The one in the Museum is noticeably smaller in size than the rest, for instance. Whereas the doors of the other cabinets display large flower arrangements in vases that are placed on the edge of a table, the composition in which the sump- tuous floral bunches are tied with ribbons appears to be unique to the present piece. This design is related to the decoration of one of the tables attributed to Van Mekeren at Amerongen Castle, near Utrecht, where additional loose blooms as well as birds and butterflies have been arranged around the bouquet. Birds and insects present in the marquetry of most of the other extant cabinets are absent here, possibly due to the smaller size of the piece.

Floral marquetry of such high quality is rare, and only a few artists were skilled enough to practice this costly and time-consuming technique, which was fashionable in the Netherlands from the late seventeenth century through the first decade of the eighteenth century. It may have been developed during the 1650s by the Dutch-born cabinetmaker Pierre Gole (ca. 1620–1684), who was active in Paris supplying many pieces of furniture with elaborate floral marquetry decoration to the French court (see the entry for no. 14). The technique was subsequently very successfully practiced by André-Charles Boullé (1642–1732), cabinetmaker to Louis XIV of France, sometimes in combination with the marquetry of tortoiseshell and brass that was named for him (see the entries for nos. 25, 31). DR-G

1. Known as the Kistenmakerspand (Chesrmakers Building), the shop was located in the Kalverstraat. See Lunsingh Scheurleer 1942, p. 39.
3. This attribution was first proposed in Lunsingh Scheurleer 1941. For related pieces, see the cabinet and table in the collection of the Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam; Baarsen 1988a, pp. 66–79, nos. 23, 26. One cabinet is at Charlecote Park, Warwickshire; Baarsen 1988b, p. 225, figs. 1, 2. Another cabinet is in the Victoria and Albert Museum, London; Wilt 1996, pp. 76–77 (entry by Sarah Medlam). Two cabinets with matching tables and candlestands (güri- dous) are at Amerongen Castle, the Netherlands; Lunsingh Scheurleer 1964, pp. 361–64. Another, rather different, cabinet is at Belton House, Lincolnshire; Baarsen 1988b, pp. 227, figs. 6, 7.
4. Lunsingh Scheurleer 1941.
5. "Vier bloemeuren onop gemaakt; twee tafels met bloemen ingelegd meede onopgemaakt; een kasje met gegaande bloemen." This excerpt from the inventory is quoted in ibid., p. 184. Constructing only a small percentage of Van Mekeren's stock, pieces with floral marquetry were no longer fashionable in the 1730s; Baarsen 1988b, p. 226.
8. Ibid., p. 3.
9. The engraving of Monnoyer's tulip, part of a design showing a basket with flowers, is illustrated in Katalog der Ornamentstich-Sammlung 1894, p. 297.
10. No technical study has yet been undertaken to compare the structural aspects of all the cabinets attributed to Van Mekeren.
11. This decoration is found on the doors of the cabinets in Amsterdam, London, Amerongen Castle, and Charlecote Park (see n. 3 above) and on a door panel derived from a similar cabinet in a private collection, The Hague. The cabinet at Belton House is more stylized, with a flower vase resting on foliage scrolls.
12. See the catalogue of a sale at Christie's, Amsterdam, 10–11 May 1984, lot 798.
Traveling table (table de voyage or table de carrosse)

French, ca. 1700-1720
Carved walnut; gilt-bronze mounts; steel hinges; linings of felt and leather
H. 28 ½ in. (72.4 cm), w. 33 ½ in. (84.5 cm), d. (closed) 19 ¼ in. (50.2 cm), d. (extended) 58 ¼ in. (148 cm)
The Jack and Belle Linsky Collection, 1982
1982.60.81

The Chateau de Saint-Cloud, near Paris, belonged to the ducs d’Orléans until 1785. In the estate inventory of the furnishings in the rooms of Duchesse Élisabeth Charlotte (1652-1722), called Madame, sister-in-law of Louis XIV, there is mentioned a carved writing desk made of walnut on pieds de biche (doe’s feet). The French term could be taken literally, because zoomorphic feet for furniture was a very fashionable conceit in the seventeenth century. But the term could also refer to the slim, stylized S-curved legs of late Louis XIV and early Régence style that suggest the sweetly elegant stance of the deer. This leg shape, seen also on the Museum’s table, appeared about 1700 at the latest—certainly not in the Rococo period, as has long been maintained.

This refined and ingeniously constructed table is a very rare example of a type of multifunctional furniture that was specifically invented for rough and space-limited journeying via coach. Before the advent of the automobile, a traveler could not count on finding the comforts of home at a place where he found himself benighted or stranded by unforeseen events, such as a broken axle—a misfortune that occurred often on unpaved roads.

The fashionable pieds de biche of the Museum’s table are hinged to the top and can be folded and locked underneath, making the piece easy to pack away (fig. 46). When standing, it could be used for serving food and beverages, and the raised molding along three sides would prevent valuable and fragile items from sliding off the beautifully grained surface. The fine, flat-carved decoration on the apron conceals a drawer for storing utensils. The tabletop can be
extended by unfolding several leaves on either side (fig. 47). These are supported by steel pull-out bars. There is a surface covered with leather for writing and another covered with felt for playing cards or dicing.\(^{1}\) Fully extended, the table could accommodate a company of at least six diners. The legs are decorated with a highly sophisticated design of low-relief carving incorporating floral sprays, small fruits, shell motifs, and interlaced strapwork.

The only known walnut table with similar folding mechanisms is very close to this table in its measurements. It once belonged to the princes de Condé and is now in the Musée des Arts Décoratifs in Paris.\(^{4}\)

---

3. Yet another name for this type of table is table pliante, or folding table; Havard 1887-90, vol. 4, col. 1208.
4. Brunhammer 1964, p. 64; Kjellberg 1978-80, vol. 1, fig. 117; and Verlet 1982a, p. 132, fig. 29.
This sumptuous mirror beautifully evokes the wealth of silver furnishings at the Versailles of Louis XIV (1638-1715) and, to a lesser extent, at other European Baroque palaces. Well documented in contemporary descriptions, the 167 pieces of silver furniture in Louis’s state rooms, as counted by a Swedish architect, Nicodemus Tessin the Younger (1654-1728), were mostly made at the Manufacture Royale des Meubles de la Couronne at the Hôtel des Gobelins, in Paris. Symbolizing the glory and magnificence of the Sun King, this opulent furniture astonished and dazzled all who saw it. Foreign rulers sought to emulate the example set by Louis, and long after his silver furniture had been melted down to pay for his military campaigns, similar costly pieces had been spent several years of training abroad, often in Paris, and foreign journeymen came to work in Augsburg, stimulating the exchange of ideas and adoption of new styles.

Marks on the silver-gilt lambréquin suspended from the elaborately decorated crest and overlapping the top of the mirror’s frame (fig. 48) identify it as the work of the Augsburg silversmith Johann Valentin Gevers and date it between 1708 and 1710. Gevers was most likely also responsible for the seated allegorical figures of Prudence with a mirror and snake, on the right, and Temperance holding calipers and a bridle, on the left, as well as for the rest of the silver crest decoration. This work suggests that Gevers was familiar with Bérain’s designs.

The four silver medallions with scenes in relief, however, were probably not executed by Gevers. They are in the style of Johann Andreas Thelot, an Augsburg silversmith known for his figural reliefs, and may have originated in his workshop. It would not have been the first time that works of these silversmiths were used together. A magnificent Augsburg altar clock on table-stand in the Bayerisches Nationalmuseum, Munich, for instance, also combines mounts by Gevers with reliefs by Thelot. The cartoucheshaped medallions that flank the top of the mirror glass depict a courting couple dressed in a strictly symmetrical manner, are French in character. The volutes, bandwork, acanthus foliage, tasseled lambréquin motifs, fruit and flower baskets, birds, masks, and drapery ornament appear to have been inspired by the designs of the influential French architect Jean Bérain (1638/39-1711). Bérain’s decorative style was disseminated abroad by Huguenot craftsmen who left France in 1685 after the revocation of the Edict of Nantes. Jeremias Wolff (1663/73-1724) and other Augsburg publishers sold pirated copies of Bérain’s designs during the late seventeenth century and early eighteenth century. In addition, many German silversmiths had spent several years of training abroad, often in Paris, and foreign journeymen came to work in Augsburg, stimulating the exchange of ideas and adoption of new styles.

The wooden core of the mirror was first veneered with tortoiseshell and ivory and then set with pieces of glass according to contemporary practice. To enhance the color of the tortoiseshell, derived from sea turtles, a layer of gold leaf was applied underneath; instead, a layer of resin mixed with glue was applied in between. By pressing the thin pieces of silver on this adhesive layer, the motifs were imprinted in the underlying mixture as well. Some of these silver bands were originally gilded. Much of this has been lost through repeated polishing, but traces are still visible in certain areas that are hard to clean.

This sumptuous mirror beautifully evokes the wealth of silver furnishings at the Versailles of Louis XIV (1638-1715) and, to a lesser extent, at other European Baroque palaces. Well documented in contemporary descriptions, the 167 pieces of silver furniture in Louis’s state rooms, as counted by a Swedish architect, Nicodemus Tessin the Younger (1654-1728), were mostly made at the Manufacture Royale des Meubles de la Couronne at the Hôtel des Gobelins, in Paris. Symbolizing the glory and magnificence of the Sun King, this opulent furniture astonished and dazzled all who saw it. Foreign rulers sought to emulate the example set by Louis, and long after his silver furniture had been melted down to pay for his military campaigns, similar costly pieces had been spent several years of training abroad, often in Paris, and foreign journeymen came to work in Augsburg, stimulating the exchange of ideas and adoption of new styles.

Marks on the silver-gilt lambréquin suspended from the elaborately decorated crest and overlapping the top of the mirror’s frame (fig. 48) identify it as the work of the Augsburg silversmith Johann Valentin Gevers and date it between 1708 and 1710. Gevers was most likely also responsible for the seated allegorical figures of Prudence with a mirror and snake, on the right, and Temperance holding calipers and a bridle, on the left, as well as for the rest of the silver crest decoration. This work suggests that Gevers was familiar with Bérain’s designs.

The four silver medallions with scenes in relief, however, were probably not executed by Gevers. They are in the style of Johann Andreas Thelot, an Augsburg silversmith known for his figural reliefs, and may have originated in his workshop. It would not have been the first time that works of these silversmiths were used together. A magnificent Augsburg altar clock on table-stand in the Bayerisches Nationalmuseum, Munich, for instance, also combines mounts by Gevers with reliefs by Thelot. The cartoucheshaped medallions that flank the top of the mirror glass depict a courting couple dressed in a strictly symmetrical manner, are French in character. The volutes, bandwork, acanthus foliage, tasseled lambréquin motifs, fruit and flower baskets, birds, masks, and drapery ornament appear to have been inspired by the designs of the influential French architect Jean Bérain (1638/39-1711). Bérain’s decorative style was disseminated abroad by Huguenot craftsmen who left France in 1685 after the revocation of the Edict of Nantes. Jeremias Wolff (1663/73-1724) and other Augsburg publishers sold pirated copies of Bérain’s designs during the late seventeenth century and early eighteenth century. In addition, many German silversmiths had spent several years of training abroad, often in Paris, and foreign journeymen came to work in Augsburg, stimulating the exchange of ideas and adoption of new styles.

The wooden core of the mirror was first veneered with tortoiseshell and ivory and then set with pieces of glass according to contemporary practice. To enhance the color of the tortoiseshell, derived from sea turtles, a layer of gold leaf was applied underneath; instead, a layer of resin mixed with glue was applied in between. By pressing the thin pieces of silver on this adhesive layer, the motifs were imprinted in the underlying mixture as well. Some of these silver bands were originally gilded. Much of this has been lost through repeated polishing, but traces are still visible in certain areas that are hard to clean.
Fig. 48. Detail of the silver-gilt lambrequin decoration at the top of the mirror. Various silver marks are visible.

It was the specialty of a certain type of cabinetmaker, referred to as Silberschreiner or Silberkistler, to veneer the surface of furniture with tortoiseshell, ivory, and occasionally semiprecious stones. Since this type of furniture was extremely costly, it was generally only made to order through an agent, or Silberhändler, who served as middleman between the patron and the various artists involved. The agents submitted designs for approval to the client, selected the silversmith to whom they supplied the necessary silver, and chose the Silberschreiner, who mounted the different elements together on a wooden core. In addition, the agents were responsible for the packing and shipping of the finished objects and for all the financial aspects involved.

Although it bears some resemblance to a mirror now in the Severoceské Museum in Liberec, Czech Republic, nothing is known about the early history of the Metropolitan Museum's mirror. During the twentieth century it was, for a considerable time, with the Parisian antiques dealership established by Jacques Seligmann (1858-1923). When the firm lent it to the "Chefs-d'oeuvre de la curiosité du monde" exposition in Paris in 1954, it was described as "the single piece of goldsmith's work most commented on in the exhibition." Subsequently in private hands, this splendid example of German Baroque furniture was offered for sale in 1988, and the Museum acquired it the following year.

1. The text of this entry is adapted from an entry by the present author in "Recent Acquisitions" 1990, pp. 26-27; and from Kisluk-Grosheide 1991.
8. The silver turner Joh. Christoph Rembold described the practice of these craftsmen in letters he sent to the Augsburg goldsmiths' guild between 1699 and 1705: "[They] let the goldsmiths make plate with which they then veneer and cover all kinds of mirror frames, wall sconces, guéridons, tables, chairs, casseters, and writing cabinets, etc. They decorate such objects also with solid foliage, images, and many mounted stones"; (Sie lassen die Goldschmiede bleche schlagen, Fourniren und überdecken hernach damit allerhand Spiegel Ramen, Wandleuchter, Guéridons, Tische, Sessel, Trühen und Schreibkasten etc. Zieren auch solche mit Massivem Laubwerk, bildern und villen gefassten Steinen); quoted in Rathke-Köhl 1964, p. 60, n. 223.
11. Allegedly, it was in the possession of J. Rossignol, whose family offered it for sale at Ader Picard Tajan, Paris, 17 March 1988, lot 87.

Fig. 49. Medallion next to the mirror glass at upper left.
This is one of a pair of chandeliers commissioned about 1710 by James, third Viscount Scudamore (1684–1716), for the state apartments at Holme Lacy, Herefordshire. They may have been ordered to celebrate his marriage in 1710. In the richly decorated Baroque plasterwork of the saloon and dining room where they were hung, the chandeliers were a particularly harmonious addition. Holme Lacy later descended to the earls of Chesterfield; it was sold in 1910 by the tenth earl, who in 1917 moved many of the contents to Beningbrough Hall, North Yorkshire, where the chandeliers remained until 1958.

Chandelier

English, ca. 1710–15
Attributed to James Moore (ca. 1670–1726) and John Gumley (d. 1729)
Gilded gesso on wood; gilt-metal mounts
H. 46 in. (117 cm), dia. 46 in. (117 cm)
Purchase, Wrightsman Fund, and Mrs. Charles Wrightsman Gift, by exchange, 1995
1995.141
With its eight acanthus-scroll branches, its lambrequined octagonal stem, and its gilt-metal mounts in the form of feather-plumed masks, the chandelier is in the French “arabesque” manner of William III’s architect Daniel Marot (1661–1732), who included designs for similar chandeliers in his *Nouveau livre d’orfèvrerie*, a pattern book for goldsmiths. They are attributed on stylistic grounds to the court cabinetmakers James Moore and John Gumley, who specialized in finely carved gilt-gesso furniture. A closely related pair of chandeliers was commissioned from Moore and Gumley by King George I (1660–1727) for Kensington Palace.

A look at some details reveals the unsurpassed skill and artistic imagination of the Boulle workshop. The three-dimensional acanthus-leaf scroll mount on the upper drawer was inspired by the design of the commode. Top 4. Nevertheless, the Boulle workshop made at least five other examples of this expensive model, including the Metropolitan Museum of Art, which has a similar pair. Traditionally, they have been dated between 1710 and 1732, the year of Boulle’s death; by 1730, however, the decorative style of the Sun King’s long reign had been supplanted by the lighter forms of the Régence and early Rococo, and a date of 1710–20 is probably more accurate.

It seems strange that the duc d’Antin and probably Boulle himself should have called this new type of chest a bureau, yet they may have seen it in connection with the bureau plat, a flat-topped desk with a large writing surface that was currently being developed. A very fine example of the celebrated Boulle bureau plat is the one made for Louis-Henri de Bourbon (1692–1740), prince de Condé, for the Château de Chantilly.

The design of the commode reflects the view of the late Louis Quatorze period that court art should be characterized by opulence and swagger. John Morley has pointed out that “the extraordinary way in which its body is, as it were, slung between the eccentric legs is somewhat reminiscent of carriage construction.” Indeed, if the tapering spiral construction that rolls down the road to Versailles—a moving “billboard” for the glorification of its owner—the commode was intended to impress.

A look at some details reveals the unsurpassed skill and artistic imagination of the Boulle workshop. The three-dimensional acanthus-leaf scroll mount on the upper drawer was inspired by the design of the commode. Top 4. Nevertheless, the Boulle workshop made at least five other examples of this expensive model, including the Metropolitan Museum of Art, which has a similar pair. Traditionally, they have been dated between 1710 and 1732, the year of Boulle’s death; by 1730, however, the decorative style of the Sun King’s long reign had been supplanted by the lighter forms of the Régence and early Rococo, and a date of 1710–20 is probably more accurate.

It seems strange that the duc d’Antin and probably Boulle himself should have called this new type of chest a bureau, yet they may have seen it in connection with the bureau plat, a flat-topped desk with a large writing surface that was currently being developed. A very fine example of the celebrated Boulle bureau plat is the one made for Louis-Henri de Bourbon (1692–1740), prince de Condé, for the Château de Chantilly.

The design of the commode reflects the view of the late Louis Quatorze period that court art should be characterized by opulence and swagger. John Morley has pointed out that “the extraordinary way in which its body is, as it were, slung between the eccentric legs is somewhat reminiscent of carriage construction.” Indeed, if the tapering spiral construction that rolls down the road to Versailles—a moving “billboard” for the glorification of its owner—the commode was intended to impress.

In 1708, the prototypes for this commode, then called a pair of bureaux, were delivered to the Grand Trianon by André-Charles Boulle. The due d’Antin, director of the king’s buildings, wrote to Louis XIV: “I was at the Trianon inspecting the second writing desk by Boulle; it is as beautiful as the other and suits the room perfectly.” Not until the Trianon inventory of 1729 were the pieces described as “commodes.” They are often called *commodes Mazaraies* because they stood during the nineteenth century and the first third of the twentieth in the Bibliothèque Mazarine in Paris.

From the beginning, the design proved to be immensely popular, although it has also been criticized for an “awkward treatment of forms,” meaning, in particular, the four extra spiral legs that were required to support the weight of the bronze mounts and marble top. Nevertheless, the Boulle workshop made at least five other examples of this expensive model, including the Metropolitan Museum of Art, which has a similar pair. Traditionally, they have been dated between 1710 and 1732, the year of Boulle’s death; by 1730, however, the decorative style of the Sun King’s long reign had been supplanted by the lighter forms of the Régence and early Rococo, and a date of 1710–20 is probably more accurate.

It seems strange that the duc d’Antin and probably Boulle himself should have called this new type of chest a bureau, yet they may have seen it in connection with the bureau plat, a flat-topped desk with a large writing surface that was currently being developed. A very fine example of the celebrated Boulle bureau plat is the one made for Louis-Henri de Bourbon (1692–1740), prince de Condé, for the Château de Chantilly.

The design of the commode reflects the view of the late Louis Quatorze period that court art should be characterized by opulence and swagger. John Morley has pointed out that “the extraordinary way in which its body is, as it were, slung between the eccentric legs is somewhat reminiscent of carriage construction.” Indeed, if the tapering spiral supports behind the paw feet could be removed, the resemblance would be even more striking. Like one of the splendid carriages that rolled down the road to Versailles—a moving “billboard” for the glorification of its owner—the commode was intended to impress.

A look at some details reveals the unsurpassed skill and artistic imagination of the Boulle workshop. The three-dimensional acanthus-leaf scroll mount on the upper drawer was inspired by the design of the commode. Top 4. Nevertheless, the Boulle workshop made at least five other examples of this expensive model, including the Metropolitan Museum of Art, which has a similar pair. Traditionally, they have been dated between 1710 and 1732, the year of Boulle’s death; by 1730, however, the decorative style of the Sun King’s long reign had been supplanted by the lighter forms of the Régence and early Rococo, and a date of 1710–20 is probably more accurate.

It seems strange that the duc d’Antin and probably Boulle himself should have called this new type of chest a bureau, yet they may have seen it in connection with the bureau plat, a flat-topped desk with a large writing surface that was currently being developed. A very fine example of the celebrated Boulle bureau plat is the one made for Louis-Henri de Bourbon (1692–1740), prince de Condé, for the Château de Chantilly.

The design of the commode reflects the view of the late Louis Quatorze period that court art should be characterized by opulence and swagger. John Morley has pointed out that “the extraordinary way in which its body is, as it were, slung between the eccentric legs is somewhat reminiscent of carriage construction.” Indeed, if the tapering spiral supports behind the paw feet could be removed, the resemblance would be even more striking. Like one of the splendid carriages that rolled down the road to Versailles—a moving “billboard” for the glorification of its owner—the commode was intended to impress.
The sensitive finishing of the bronze surface of these sculptures is especially noticeable in the matted, feathery look of the wings, which is achieved by chiseling, and in the sheen of the burnished areas.

André-Charles Boulle, who was named King’s Cabinetmaker, Chaser, Gilder, and Engraver in 1672,2 headed an important workshop at the Louvre. He did not invent the metal-and-tortoiseshell marquetry technique named for him (see the entry for no. 18), but he perfected it, and it bestows upon his creations a unique look, raising them to a level of artistry where furniture becomes sculpture. Through his etchings Boulle publicized his exquisitely fashionable line of products, both stimulating and reflecting a new taste for luxurious furnishngs.10 Every collector of grand French furniture has acquired a “Boulle,” either an original workshop example or one of the countless copies that were made during the late-eighteenth, nineteenth, and twentieth centuries.11

1. “J’ai été à Trianon pour voir le second bureau de Boulle; il est aussi beau que l’autre et sied à merveille à cette chambre”; quoted in Metropolitan Museum of Art 1984, p. 206, no. 116 (entry by William Rieder).
2. They were installed at the Château de Versailles in 1912.
8. The screws with which they are attached to the body are unusually large. They can be seen as a particular workshop feature, as they repeatedly recur on pieces by Boulle. For a similar sarcophagus-shaped commode, see Hughes 1996, vol. 2, pp. 632-38, no. 136.
10. Ibid., pp. 66-108.
11. For copies, see Paolini, Ponte, and Selvafolta 1990, pp. 384, 393; and Dell 1992a, pp. 233-46.
Purchased from a set of six offered at Sotheby’s, London, in 1960, this side chair and a matching example that is also in the Museum are thought to be part of a large suite—side chairs, two settees, and one winged easy chair—commissioned by Sir Robert Walpole, later first earl of Orford (1676-1745), for the Restoration house in Norfolk that he inherited in 1700. Walpole began to remodel the house in 1716 but soon after, in 1720, decided instead to demolish it and build a new mansion, Houghton Hall, one of the great Palladian houses of England. Where the set was placed and how it was upholstered in the original house are not known, but for the new house, Walpole re-covered the set in green silk velvet, the splendid material that most of the pieces in this set retain.

A great deal of this material was used at Houghton: as wall hangings in the Green Velvet Drawing Room, on the famous bed designed by William Kent (1685—1748) in the state bedchamber, and in the adjoining dressing room. The 522 yards of material needed for these three rooms and for the two suites of furniture they contained were probably made in two weavings, which would explain the color variation between the celadon and olive green of the state bed and the blue green of these chairs and those in the other suite from these rooms.1

The six chairs sold in 1960 are now dispersed among four museums: the present pair; a pair in the National Gallery of Victoria, Melbourne, Australia; a single chair in the Victoria and Albert Museum, London; and a single chair at Temple Newsam House, near Leeds.2 In their frames and upholstery, these six chairs are identical to the chairs from the large set at Houghton, but there is one difference: the six have a close-nailed border above the seatrail, whereas the Houghton chairs have a silver galloon trimming. There is also no record of when the six chairs left Houghton.

Exactly how many side chairs were originally in the large set is not entirely clear. Today eighteen side chairs remain at Houghton from a set of twenty-two, four of which were sold as two pairs in the Houghton sale at Christie’s, London, in 1994.3 If those twenty-two chairs are grouped with this set of six, the original set must have contained twenty-eight side chairs in addition to the two settees and a single easy chair. On the other hand, it may have started as a smaller set and been expanded when the group was reupholstered for the new house. Inventories at Houghton record that the set was originally placed in the “Cabinet” and in the “Cov’d” or “Wrought” Bedchamber (see fig. 53).

The attribution to Richard Roberts is based on an account of a considerable debt owed by Walpole in 1729, when the business appears to have been assumed by a second Thomas, a brother or son of Richard. The debt may have been to this second Thomas or a holdover of an outstanding debt to Richard. Another set of chairs of this model but with needlework covers was formerly at Chicheley Hall in Buckinghamshire and is now on loan to Montacute House in Somerset.4

1. Sotheby’s, London, 29 January 1960, lot 117. The accession number of the Museum’s second chair is 60.134.2.
2. The latter is the so-called Satyr-Mask Suite, from which two pairs of side chairs were sold at Christie’s, London, 8 December 1994, lots 133, 134.
4. I am grateful to the late John Cornforth for this information. The set at Chicheley consisted of a settee and ten chairs upholstered in gros point and petit point. See Oswald 1936, pp. 535, 539, fig. 2.
During the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries Rome was the capital of the Papal States. All the important administrative departments of the Roman Catholic Church were located within its walls. Of these, the Sacred College of Cardinals was the most significant. Only its members could vote in conclaves for the next Vicar of Christ, a new pope. To become a part of this powerful body was the ultimate goal of everyone pursuing a career within the hierarchy of the church. The Sacred College and the papal household, the familia pontificia, were dominated by a relatively small group of aristocratic and cardinalatial families. Nonetheless, there was also a steady stream of foreign nobility into Rome eager to gain positions or influence. Many of them lingered for the pleasure of observing the many public spectacles that were part of the display of ecclesiastical power. Spectacle was an integral part of daily life in the Eternal City, and the line between a staged performance and everyday routine was imprecisely defined.

In this milieu, keeping up appearances was of the utmost importance. The design of furniture naturally reflected this. In addition to large console tables with pier mirrors, ostentatiously decorated display cabinets and bookcases were obligatory features of the public rooms of Roman palaces (see also no. 15). At the Palazzo Chigi, the library was designed by the painter, sculptor, and architect Gianlorenzo Bernini (1598–1680), and its shelves were built by the famous cabinetmaker Antonio Chiccheri, a specialist in wood-coffered ceilings. Often pieces were ordered in twos or even fours to achieve a perfect symmetry in the stagelike setting of a room. The colossal dimensions of the Museum’s pair of bookcases—only one of which is illustrated here—made them a suitable backdrop for the grandest occasions at the Palazzo Rospigliosi, the residence on Rome’s Quirinal Hill of a distinguished family with close ties to the Vatican. The bookcases’ heavy facades exude a strength and enduring vitality in a way that is unusual for furniture but is often found in the Roman architecture of Francesco Borromini (1599–1667) and his followers. They are an embodiment of the dramatic and vigorous design of the late Baroque and exquisite examples of sculptural furniture carving.

The stands of the cases look much like contemporary console tables. An artistic detail of great refinement is the use of grotesque masks on the aprons and legs. The humorous faces relieve the composition’s heaviness, as do the carved draperies and the noble lambrequin motifs, symbolic of worldly and ecclesiastical power. This fringelike ornament, sometimes with a tassel, originated in medieval times as the scarf worn across a knight’s helmet. The most prominent example of its use in later times is on the baldachin by Bernini, erected in 1624–33, in Saint Peter’s Basilica. That colossal bronze structure was inspired by the canopy used at every papal coronation from the fifteenth century to 1963, when Paul VI ascended the throne of Saint Peter. Here the lambrequin alludes to the elevated status of the Rospigliosi as a papal family and suggests that the lockable cases, which resemble the cabinets for church reliquaries, contain books and manuscripts that are to be cherished and guarded as “relics of knowledge.”

The heavy broken-volute pediments surmounted by a grand cartouche that once displayed the heraldry of the family are in notable contrast to the stands. The oval frames are shaped like late Baroque marble portrait or heraldic medallions of the type found on Roman tombs or other commemorative structures. The ornamental language has prompted a cautious attribution of the design of the bookcases to Nicola Michetti (1675–1758), who worked as architect for Prince Giovanni Battista Rospigliosi from 1710 onward.

The Rospigliosi bookcases were bought by Lilliana Teruzzi at the sale of Prince Gerolamo Rospigliosi in 1932. She recognized the grandeur of the objects and committed herself to the purchase, despite the fact that her Roman residence was not large enough to accommodate them; when she left Italy in 1939, she sent them to a warehouse in London. They came to the Museum in 1969, eighteen years before Madame Teruzzi’s death, largely thanks to the efforts of Museum curator James Parker, who admired her fine collection of Italian Baroque and Rococo furniture and persuaded her that the Metropolitan, which had relatively little in that area, would warmly appreciate her princely gift.
In his preface to *The Court-Gamester* (1720), Richard Seymour stated, "Gaming is become so much the Fashion among the Beau-Monde, that he who in Company should appear ignorant of the Games in Vogue, would be reckoned low-bred, and hardly fit for Conversation." Gamesters, or instruction manuals that set forth the rules of various games, were regularly published from 1674 onward, and tutors or gaming masters were employed to educate young ladies in the fine accomplishment of card playing.

During the reign of Queen Anne (1702-14) the British government, hoping to profit from the passion for gaming that kept the country in its thrall, went so far as to impose duties on packs of playing cards.

Given the social importance of card games, it is not surprising that during the late seventeenth century special tables used for this pursuit were introduced.

Resting on four slender legs that are decorated with a carved lambrequin motif at the knee and terminate in club feet below, this card table has a rectangular folding top with rounded, protruding forecorners. The supporting frame is hinged in six places and can be transformed from a rectangle into a square when the rear legs are pulled backward (fig. 54). The top can then be folded back to rest on the expanded frame. Iron hooks placed on the inside of the table prevent it from folding inward once the table is in use. This system, called concertina construction because of its resemblance to the musical instrument of that name, was an improvement over an earlier device, whereby one back leg would swing out at an angle of ninety degrees, supporting the extended top but leaving the table slightly unstable.

The Museum's card table is entirely gilded on the outside and displays fine carved gesso ornament on the closed top, the frieze, and parts of the legs. Against a punched ground, the top is symmetrically decorated with a central strapwork cartouche enclosing a large quatrefoil of acanthus leaves. Scrolling oak branches with acorns and tendrils surround this cartouche.

The back corners are embellished with a shell motif and those in front with an acanthus spray within a shield-shaped cartouche. A large shell flanked by palm fronds decorates the frieze in front. The top is lined on the inside with modern green felt and has four oval depressions, one on each side. Placed off-center because of the hinges, these wells were intended to hold the counters of ivory, tortoiseshell, metal, or bone that were used by wealthy players instead of coins. On the circular dished projections at the four corners, which are decorated with a carved gesso rosette of acanthus leaves, candlesticks would be placed to provide the players with light during their game.

Gilded gesso decoration originated in France. The technique was practiced in England from the late seventeenth century and became most popular about 1730 (an example of that date in this catalogue is no. 37). Layers of gesso, a mixture of gypsum or chalk and animal glue, were applied to the wooden carcass of a piece of furniture. Once these layers had dried, the gesso was cut to create raised ornament in the reserved areas. The surrounding ground was often punched with a small tool, producing a random pattern of tiny circles, as on this table. The entire surface was subsequently water-gilded, which allowed the possibility of burnishing the object. A tool set either with a dog's tooth or a fragment of hematite or agate was used for this purpose. Only certain decorative details were polished, in order to make a contrast with the rest of the piece. This technique is clearly visible on the frieze of the table in front, where burnished elements of the shell...
and the palm leaves alternate with those that are matt. By gilding the different patterned and textured surfaces, wonderful light reflections were produced, not unlike those struck off solid metal. The embossed silver furniture that was fashionable at European courts during the Baroque period may have stimulated the taste for such gilded pieces (see the entry for no. 29).

Some English cabinetmakers specialized in gilt-gesso furniture—James Moore (ca. 1670–1726), for instance, who incised his name on certain pieces—but it is not known who executed this table. It was among the few gilded pieces acquired by the well-known collector of English furniture Judge Irwin Untermyer, who generally preferred objects of plain oak, walnut, and mahogany. The table is said to have come from the collection of the earls of Carnarvon at Highclere Castle, Newbury, Berkshire.

3. Ibid., p. 182.
4. The concertina is similar to but smaller than an accordion.
Sutton Scarsdale in Derbyshire was remodeled and refurbished by Nicholas, fourth and last earl of Scarsdale (d. 1736), beginning in 1724. Probably shortly after that date he commissioned a set of furniture—comprising twelve chairs, two settees, and a pair of pedestals—from which this chair comes. He had his arms, painted in verre églomisé (reverse-painted glass), mounted on the splats of the chairs and settees and on the pedestals. On his death the Scarsdale peerage became extinct; thus, the arms provide a narrow and very specific date range for this set of furniture.

This use of a coat of arms on verre églomisé is very rare (if not unique) in English furniture of this period, as is the incorporation of pedestals, intended to support either candelabra or busts, en suite with a set of seat furniture. These features, as well as the very high quality of the design and construction, make this one of the most important sets made during the period.

The attribution to the cabinetmaker Thomas How is based on a lead plate found in the courtyard of Sutton Scarsdale that listed the architect and the fifteen principal tradesmen involved in the remodeling and furnishing of the house. Among the names was that of “Thomas How of Westminster, Gentleman, Upholsterer.” Although little known today, How was one of the leading furniture makers of the time, with premises in the Westminster area of London. He worked extensively for the fifth earl of Salisbury at Hatfield House in Hertfordshire.

The twentieth century was kind neither to Sutton Scarsdale nor to this set of furniture. The house was abandoned about 1920 and still stands, though only just, as a vine-covered, roofless ruin. While the set was in France during the first decade of the century, several chairs were lent to the Exposition Universelle et Internationale in Brussels in 1910, where they were destroyed in a fire in the British section of the exposition. The remainder of the set passed to Annie C. Kane, in whose New York house another conflation, in 1921, destroyed more chairs, a pedestal, and a settee.

The known survivors of the set are this chair, a matching example, and a pedestal (fig. 55) in the Metropolitan Museum; two chairs now in the Frick Collection supplied to Henry Clay Frick (1849-1919) by Sir Charles Allom about 1915-16; two chairs at Temple Newsam House, near Leeds; and one chair at the Cooper-Hewitt, National Design Museum, Smithsonian Institution, New York.

The chairs have been copied at various dates. Sir Charles Allom had two reproductions made for Frick to complement the pair of original chairs he had already sold to him. Allom was one of the leading English decorators of the time and was responsible for much of the interior decoration on the main floor of the Frick house. More recent copies exist in a private American collection. In the 1920s, side tables and sideboards were made to match the seat furniture.
Influenced by the English bureau bookcase, this type of writing cabinet was introduced in Italy during the first half of the eighteenth century. The Museum's example consists of three parts. The upper section is fitted with two arched and mirrored doors that would have beautifully reflected the light of candles placed on the two small pull-out shelves just below. Behind the doors are thirteen drawers surrounding a central niche (fig. 56), as well as various hidden compartments and drawers rediscovered during recent conservation treatment. The middle section has a sloping fall front that encloses six tiny drawers as well as a sliding panel that conceals a shallow well with two additional drawers. Three large drawers below form the third section. Resting on four carved feet, the secretary is crowned by a scrolling pediment and three urn-shaped finials on the top. The construction is very basic; all the attention has been lavished on the surface decoration, which is executed in a decoupage technique known in Italian as *lacca povera* or *lacca contrafatta*.

Practiced by professionals and amateurs alike, the art of decoupage consists of coloring and cutting out copperplate engravings, pasting them on a specially prepared surface, and varnishing them afterward. Conceived as a cheaper substitute for Asian lacquer, decoupage became especially fashionable in Europe during the 1720s and was continuously practiced throughout the eighteenth century. In 1727, for instance, Madame Charlotte Aïssé (1693-1733) wrote from Paris to her friend and confidante Madame Calandrini in Geneva: “We are here at the height of a new passion for cutting up colored engravings. . . . Everyone, great and small, is snipping away. These cuttings are pasted on sheets of cardboard and then varnished. They are made into wall panels, screens, and fireboards. There are books of engravings costing up to 200 livres; women are mad enough to cut up engravings worth 100 livres apiece. If this fashion continues, they will cut up Raphaels.” Attesting to its popular success, special manuals containing step-by-step descriptions of the technique and useful formulas for varnishes were published in various languages. Although some of these treatises advised applying the ground color on a preparatory layer of gesso, this was not done in the case of the Museum's secretary. Now appearing green because the varnish on top has yellowed, the originally light blue paint, composed of animal glue and Prussian blue and lead-white pigments, was
Fig. 57. Design in Oeuvres des étampes gravées d'après les tableaux et desseins de feu Antoine Watteau, a set of six engravings by Louis Crépy fils, ca. 1727. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Herbert N. Straus, 1928 (28.113 [3]).

Fig. 58. Laccio povera decoration inside the right door of the secretary.

Linden was painted directly on the wood. Linden was used here, and not only did its fine, close grain provide a smooth surface but its softness made it easy to carve, as is suggested by the gilded finials and feet of the secretary. Colored and cutout figures, ornaments, and scenes were next carefully arranged and pasted on the drawer fronts, doors, and sides of the secretary with adhesive. Contemporary sources suggested using either gum water—a secretion of plants or trees dissolved in water—or fish glue, made of isinglass dissolved in a mixture of brandy and water. Special prints for this kind of decoration, often with a variety of motifs in different sizes, were published in various countries—in Augsburg by Martin Engelbrecht (1684–1736), in Bassano by the firm of Giovanni Antonio Remondini (1643–1711) and his successors, and in London by Robert Sayer (1725–1793/94). Ornament prints by French artists were also available for decoupage purposes. The Mercure de France, for instance, included an advertisement in November 1727 for six engravings by Louis Crépy fils (fig. 57); published by Edme-François Gersaint (ca. 1696–1750) in Paris, they were based on a screen painted by Antoine Watteau (1684–1721). The advertisement suggested, "[These gallant] scenes on a white ground would make excellent designs for decoupage, the technique used by ladies nowadays to make such pretty pieces of furniture." 10

Examination of the secretary's paper decorations confirms that these prints by Crépy (b. ca. 1680) were indeed used in this manner, since the large figures pasted on the inside of both doors were cut from a reverse copy of the set (fig. 58). Most of the identified images however, did not come from prints specially made for decoupage but derive from a wide variety of French and German sources. Executed by a diverse group of seventeenth- and eighteenth-century engravers, some of the Arcadian landscapes with peasants and travelers are after paintings by the Dutch artist Nicolaes Berchem (1620–1683). Figures taken from at least seven such engraved compositions after Berchem are found on the lower sides, crest, and top drawer of the secretary. Some of the hunting scenes on the sloping fall front originate in engravings by Johann Elias Ridinger (1698–1767), who specialized in the depiction of such outdoor activities as horseback riding and hunting. Allegorical figures, derived from a series of French prints, were pasted on either side of the interior doorframes and in the central niche. Symbolizing seven months of the year, they represent various gods and goddesses with their symbols and signs of the zodiac, each in a fanciful architectural frame. Reversed copies of engravings after the tapestry designs Les Douze Mois Grotesques by Claude Audran (1658–1734) were cut up to provide these personifications. Chinoiserie scenes of Asians in horse-drawn carriages, sleighs, sedan chairs, and boats, taken from unknown print sources, are found on the small drawers and inside the secretary's fall front. Some of these images—for instance, the artificial rock formations found in Chinese gardens and the natural bridge—were clearly inspired by illustrations from the pages of such travel books on East Asia as Joan Nieuhof’s Het gezantschap der Nederlandsche Oost-Indische Compagnie, first published in 1665, or Pieter van der Aa’s La galerie agréable du monde of 1729.

Once the decoupage decorations were in place, certain details in the landscape setting as well as lines framing the scenes were added with paint and gold leaf, and the various moldings were gilded. Finally the secretary received several coats of varnish composed of mastic, copal, and sandarac. This not only created a smooth, lustrous surface not unlike the lacquer it tried to imitate but also helped to protect the paper cuttings and keep them in place.

Despite the hotchpotch of decoration, a certain harmony of design has been achieved by the skillful arrangement of the images over both the interior and the exterior of the secretary and by the consistent application of a green, red, and orange palette when coloring them. This harmony and also the large size of the piece of furniture and the remarkable dexterity shown in cutting out very delicate motifs make it clear that a professional artist rather than a skilled amateur must have been responsible. The existence of a nearly identical writing cabinet, decorated with some of the same
1. Much of the information in this entry is derived from Kisluk-Grosheide 1996.

2. This treatment was done in 2003-4 in the Museum’s Sherman Fairchild Center for Objects Conservation by Gaby Petruk, Sherman Fairchild Conservation Fellow, 2003-2004, under the guidance of Mechthild Baumeister.


5. See Anhang von der Laquier-Kunst, published as a supplement to Teuber 1740. Jean-Félix Wattin’s influential L’art de faire et d’employer le vernis; ou, l’art du vernisseur, first published in Paris in 1772 and many times republished, also contained recipes for decoupage varnishes.


7. The technical analysis was done by Richard Newman and Michele Derrick at the Scientific Research Laboratory, Museum of Fine Arts, Boston.

8. Inglass was recommended by J. M. Cröker in his manual Der wohlanführende Maler (Cröker 1741, p. 187, quoted in Weil and Urban 1994, pp. 96, 99, n. 17) and by Johann Martin Teuber in Mechnanich auch Kunst und Silber Drechslers in Regenspur (Teuber 1740, p. 203). Jean-Félix Wattin, in his L’art du peintre, doreur, vernisseur (Wattin 1778, p. 248), advised using gum water, as did Robert Sayer in The Ladies Amusement; or, Whole Art of Japanning Made Easy (Sayer 1756 [1936 ed.], p. 5). The glue used on the Museum’s cabinet has not been positively identified.

9. The title of Sayer 1762 (see n. 8 above) suggests that the subject is japanning; instead, the book offers patterns and instructions for the art of decoupage.


11. Tapestries based on Claude’s designs were woven at the Gobelins Manufactory in 1709-10 for the Grand Dauphin (1661-1711), son of Louis XIV; Fawâdle 1603-22, vol. 3 (1904), pp. 73-80. The designs were first engraved by Claude’s younger brother Jean Audran (1667-1756) and published in 1726. Reversed copies of this series were made by Tobias Lobeck and published in Augsburg by Johann Daniel Hert (1693-1754) at an unknown date.

12. The near twin of the Museum’s settee is illustrated in Morazzoni 1954-57, vol. 1, pl. XXXI.

**Settee**

_English, ca. 1730-35 Gilded gesso on walnut; covered in eighteenth-century silk damask not original to the settee. H. 41 in. (104.1 cm), w. 67½ in. (171.5 cm), d. 26 in. (66 cm) Fletcher Fund, 1924 24.136.1_

This settee is from a large suite of furniture that comprised at least four settees, eight side chairs, four stools, and two side tables.¹ Of these, the following are known: two settees and six chairs in the Queen’s Audience Room at Windsor Castle;² twenty-one side chairs (of which only two or possibly three appear to be genuine) in the Queen’s Drawing Room and Presence Chamber at Windsor;³ two side tables in the Long Gallery at Upton House, Warwickshire;⁴ two stools in the Great Chamber at Parham Park, West Sussex;⁵ and the present settee and one stool (fig. 59) in the Metropolitan Museum.⁶

The set has often been published as having come from Stowe in Buckinghamshire, the great Palladian house that was formerly the property of the duke of Buckingham and Chandos and is now a school. No set of this (or larger) configuration appears in the “Inventory of the Household Furniture &c. at Stowe House,” dated January–February 1839, when Richard Grenville, second duke of Buckingham and Chandos (1797-1861), inherited the estate.⁷ Nor does it appear nine years later when he sold most of the contents in the famous Stowe sale of 1848. The catalogue of this sale is not a convenient document for identifying pieces of furniture, as the entries are frustratingly brief (for example, “A noble pier table”). Many attempts have been made to link pieces of this set with specific lots in the sale. None succeed.⁸

Herbert Hall Mulliner was probably the first to suggest the Stowe provenance. In his book The Decorative Arts in England, 1660-1780, published in 1924 and actually written by Margaret Jourdain, it was stated about the stool now in the Metropolitan Museum that it was originally at Stowe.⁹ The idea gained momentum in the 1930s, when the two settees and six side chairs now at Windsor (from the first group above) were flatly described in the catalogue of the W. Hearst sale in 1939 as having come from Stowe.¹⁰ The next step was taken by Museum curator Preston Remington in 1954, when he declared that the Metropolitan settee was made for Stowe.¹¹

The question of attribution remains as unsettled as that of provenance. The set was first attributed to the cabinetmaker James Moore (ca. 1670-1726) by Ralph Edwards and Margaret Jourdain in the third revised edition of their Georgian Cabinet-Makers (1955).¹² James Moore died in 1726, leaving a group of signed or otherwise documented pieces that are consistent in style. His tables usually have straight or tapered baluster legs, often with stretchers combining distinctive diamond- and U-shaped forms. The type of cabriole legs with lions’ masks seen on the present set are without parallel in his documented or securely attributed work.¹³ They are more closely related to the legs on several groups of mahogany chairs dating from the late 1720s and 1730s.¹⁴ The present set is, in my opinion, by an as yet unknown cabinetmaker from an as yet unknown house, carved and gilded about 1730-35, in a transitional style applying an earlier decorative vocabulary of interlacing patterns in fretwork on shapes
that were by this period beginning to be carved in mahogany. A peculiar conclusion, perhaps, given the extraordinarily high quality of design and carving, but one that reflects the present state of research. WR

1. This entry is based on Rieder 1978b.
2. Ibid., p. 9, no. I, pl. 21.
3. Ibid., no. II.
4. Ibid., no. III, pl. 22.
5. Ibid., no. IV, pl. 22.
6. Ibid., nos. V, VI, pls. 23A, 23B.
7. MS, Huntington Library, San Marino, California.
8. Rieder 1978b, n. 11.
9. Mulliner 1924, fig. 58; quoted in ibid., p. 10.
12. Edwards and Jourdain 1955, p. 43, pl. 32.

Fig. 59. Footstool, English, ca. 1730–35. Gilded gesso on walnut, covered in eighteenth-century Soho tapestry not original to the footstool; 20 x 22 1/2 x 16 in. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, Gift of Irwin Untermyer, 1964 (64.101.957).
Candlestand (guéridon)

German (Munich), ca. 1735
Linden wood; cut and gilded gesso; Tegernsee limestone top
H. 34 3/8 in. (87.9 cm); diam. of top 10 3/16 in. (26 cm)
Anonymous Gift, in memory of Charles Bain Hoyt, 1952
52.56.1

The candlestand, or guéridon, was a practical piece of household furniture used to support a candlestick, a candelabrum, or later an oil lamp. When sets of furniture with matching ornamentation came into vogue in the seventeenth century, candlestands became an important part of aristocratic interior decoration. In some cases their large size made them impossible to move without considerable effort. In the residences of the very rich, parade sets were frequently made out of silver; in most cases these sets comprised a side table, a mirror, and two guéridons. Flanking the table, the candlestands supported candelabra fitted with four or more candles to maximize the light. Versailles was filled with silver furniture until 1689, when Louis XIV had it all melted down to pay his armies; after that, the taste for it gradually diminished in the European courts until the 1730s (see the entry for no. 29).

The candlestand discussed here, one of a pair in the Museum’s collection, was made to be moved about as needed among the smaller rooms that became fashionable as the eighteenth century advanced. Some details of the decoration, like the trelliswork on the legs (see fig. 60), are reminiscent of silver furniture and of goldsmith’s work. The form of the stands is certainly based on earlier silver designs. It is evident, however, that the designer of this piece was familiar with the new style of ornamentation that was being adapted from France. The lobed and shell-encrusted, or rocaille, formations of the Rococo are present, but, as is also true of the contemporary South German commode in this book (no. 40), the basic form of the furniture has not been distorted or obliterated by them. The piece is a straightforward tripod structure, its bold scroll feet clearly attached to the stand. The additive
rather than fully unified nature of the decoration suggests a date of 1730–35, which is consistent with the trelliswork ground of the gilding. During these years François Cuvillés (1695–1768) designed five elaborately gilded console tables for the Green Gallery at the Munich Residenz, where they have survived, in situ, 270 years of turbulent history. They mark a major step toward the fully Rococo silvered console tables by Cuvillés in the Hall of Mirrors at the Amalienburg hunting lodge in the park of Nymphenburg Palace outside Munich.

The Metropolitan’s candlestands cannot be associated directly with Cuvillés; however, their controlled and bold shape, the picturesque lobed shell forms, and asymmetrical ornament indicate that the maker had first-hand knowledge of the decorative work going on at the palace in Munich at this time.

The refined execution of the Museum’s guéridons indicates they were made in an organized workshop incorporating several specialized workers: a wood-carver, a gesso worker, and a gilder. Guild regulations all over Europe prohibited this type of privileged arrangement, and only rarely were they relaxed. Even at the court of Louis XVI, after 1776, there were never more than three privileged cabinetmakers working at the same time. Munich, the capital of the Electorate of Bavaria, was an exception to a certain degree. In 1781, of the 38,000 inhabitants of the city no fewer than 5,000 had a formal appointment at or association with the court as members of the Electoral household (Hofstaat). The Bavarian Elector was continually in financial difficulties and succumbed to the temptation to raise money by selling guild exemptions (thus the associated privileges were not as meaningful as, for example, in Paris). The present candlestands could have been made at the workshop associated with the Elector’s court; alternatively, they could have been created at a workshop in one of the large cloisters or other religious communities in the region, where medieval rights of asylum had been extended to protect a luxury trade that would otherwise have been opposed and persecuted by the guilds.

4. The accession number of the matching stand is 52.56.2. The present stand was severely damaged by a Museum visitor in 1997. It was subsequently brought back to its former condition by Pascale Oz Patris, Associate Conservator, Department of Objects Conservation, Metropolitan Museum. On the beautiful Tegernsee limestone set in the top of both stands, see Grimm 1990, no. 177.
5. For examples of earlier designs at the Munich Residenz, see Langer and Württemberg 1996, pp. 122–24, no. 20 (entry by Alexander Herzog von Württemberg). For additional examples, see Koeppe 1991a; and Baumstark and Seling 1994, vol. 2, pp. 316–402, nos. 75–97.
6. They were made by Wenzeslaus Miroffsky (d. 1759) between 1733 and 1734. See Langer and Württemberg 1996, pp. 155–62, nos. 32, 33 (entries by Alexander Herzog von Württemberg). For the "lobed rocailles" in Cuvillés’s ornamental designs, see Laran 1925, pis. 23, 25, 69, 86.
8. As titular head of all the guilds, the sovereign could override guild regulations; Stürmer 1979a, p. 501.
Card table

*English (London), ca. 1735-40*
*Giles Grendey (1693-1780)*
*Lacquered and gilded beech; lined with felt*
*H. 29 in. (73.7 cm), w. 35 1/2 in. (89.9 cm),*
*d. 36 in. (91.4 cm)*
*Gift of Louis J. Boury, 1937*

39

Part of a very large set, this card table and a matching side chair (fig. 61), also in the Museum's collection, are among the few pieces of English furniture at the Metropolitan that can be attributed with certainty to a London maker. A paper trade label is pasted underneath the seat of the chair identifying it as originating in the workshop of Giles Grendey. The label reads: "GILES GRENDLEY, St John's Square, Clerkenwell, LONDON, Makes and Sells all Sorts of Cabinet Goods, Chairs, Tables, Glasses etc." Not many cabinetmakers used such paper labels, and Grendey seems to have marked only a small number of pieces dating to the period from about 1735 until 1750.

Born in Gloucestershire, Grendey served his apprenticeship with a joiner in London, where he stayed the rest of his career as a cabinetmaker in Aylesbury House at the above-mentioned address. In 1726 one of his apprentices filed a complaint against Grendey for having beaten him in "a very barbarous manner." A hot-tempered character, perhaps, but nevertheless very successful in his trade, Grendey did not work much for the landed gentry and was primarily known for his well-made but rather common pieces of furniture in walnut and
mahogany for middle-class use. Newspaper accounts of an early morning fire on 3 August 1731 reveal that he also provided furniture for export; the fire allegedly destroyed one thousand pounds' worth of goods in his workshop that were packed for exportation the next morning. Although English furniture was regularly shipped to Spain when the relations were peaceful between the two countries, Grendey is the only craftsman known to have been engaged in this trade. Many of these pieces were adapted to the Spanish taste, having flat surfaces that lent themselves to opulent decoration, often against a brightly lacquered background.

The Museum's side chair and card table illustrate this very well. Almost exactly two hundred years after their arrival in Spain, they were sold with seventy other pieces of the same set from the salon at Infantado Castle, near San Sebastián, the residence of the dukes of Lazcano. It has generally been assumed that this furniture had always been in the possession of the Lazcano family and that it was ordered during the 1730s, probably before 1739, when war broke out between England and Spain. This may have been when Don Juan de Dios de Silva y Mendoza (1672–1737) was the tenth duke, or otherwise later, under the eleventh duchess, Maria Francisca Alfonsa de Silva Mendoza y Sandoval (1707–1770). There are no documents to confirm this supposition, and caution is needed because there is, apparently, some evidence that the dukes of Infantado built up their art collection largely during the nineteenth century. All that is known for certain is that the German dealer Adolph Loewi (1888–1977), who had shops in Venice and, later, also in America, visited the castle in 1930 and acquired most, but not all, of the crimson-lacquered suite of furniture. Stock records show that Loewi bought fifty side chairs, twelve armchairs, two daybeds, two pairs of mirrors, a pair of candlestands, a card table, and a tripod tea table. During the following year Loewi sold some thirty pieces to the lawyer, banker, and art patron Walter Tower Rosen (1875–1951) for his Carasmoor estate in Katonah, New York. Other pieces are in public collections in London, Leeds, and Melbourne.

The card table, with its slender cabriole legs ending in pad feet, is a concertina-action table (see the entry for no. 34). Its folding top is decorated with a scene of a noble horseman approaching a palatial structure with blossoming trees in the background (fig. 62). Accompanied by footmen carrying banners and musicians playing pipes, he is awaited at the entrance by several women and their attendants. Variations of this scene are found on other pieces attributed to Grendey. The rounded front corners have a heraldic beast inscribed in a circular scrollwork border, and the central scene is framed at the top corners by diaper-patterned trelliswork that encloses rosettes. The main decorative element of the chair is the Asian male figure holding an umbrella depicted on the back splat. These exotic figures vary slightly on each of the known side chairs; the armchairs show a couple sharing one umbrella. The frames of both the table and the chair are further elaborately embellished with interlaced designs, shells, birds, masks, floral ornament, and trelliswork. Rendered in gold with silver accents, now discolored, and with many details such as the patterns in the garments as well as the outlines executed in black, the decoration is painted flat against the lacquered ground and partially over raised surfaces modeled in gesso. Unfortunately, the japanned surfaces have not reacted well to climatic changes; they started to crackle and flake not long after they entered the Museum's collection in 1937 and have been restored several times. The originally bright crimson color has changed to a dull orange-red but is visible in those areas where the gesso of the raised ornament is missing and the preparatory ground layer is exposed.

The technique of imitating Asian lacquer, in this case of red and gold Chinese lacquer, was practiced in England not long after the first lacquered wares had arrived from East Asia. Since the main ingredient of genuine lacquer, the sap of *Rhus vernicifera*, was not available in Europe, look-alike varnishes were created, generally with either gum-lac or shellac. Various manuals, such as the influential *Treatise of Japaning and Varnishing*...
by John Stalker and George Parker, published in 1688, offered technical advice, recipes, and designs to both professionals and amateurs who were engaged in the popular art of japanning, as it became known. This and other instruction books suggested making colored varnishes by adding various pigments: to produce the common red, vermillion should be used, but for a darker, deeper red, dragon’s blood, a reddish resinous substance found on the berries of the dragon tree, was required.10 Readers were advised to choose metal dust, either of brass, which looks like gold, or silver, for the decoration.

The advantages of japanned furniture over imported genuine lacquer pieces were not only that it was less expensive but also that it could be made to conform to European taste and fashion. In this case the side chair displays certain traditional features no longer fashionable in England during the 1730s, such as the high cabriole legs that are connected by stretchers and the caned seat that was more suitable to the hot Spanish climate. A second card table, not acquired by Loewi and possibly the one visible in the late-nineteenth-century photograph of the salon at Lazcano (fig. 63), was later in the collection of Richard Hoyt.11 Its present whereabouts are not known.

3. Ibid., p. 371. See also C. Gilbert 1971, p. 544.
7. C. Gilbert 1978a, vol. 1, pp. 79-81, no. 61. Some of the Rosen furniture was later sold from Caramoor. The sales were held at Christie’s, New York, 2 February 1980, lot 215, and 14 April 1984, lots 153-56.
11. It was acquired by French and Company, New York, in or before 1978; C. Gilbert 1978a, vol. 1, p. 81.
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**Commode**

German (Munich), ca. 1735-40
Attributed to François Cavillès (1695-1768);
carved by Johann Joachim Dietrich (1690-1753)
Pine and linden wood; carved, partially painted, and gilded gesso, partly incised; Tegernsee limestone top
H. 33⅜ in. (84.5 cm), w. 51½ in. (130.8 cm),
d. 24⅜ in. (62.2 cm)
Fletcher Fund, 1928
28.154

When in the eighteenth century “a French aristocrat or nouveau riche had a stately home built for him, in Paris or in the provinces, he was well advised to listen to his architect’s warning that the cost of construction amounted to no more than a mere quarter of total expenditure, and that the rest would have to be spent on interior decoration in order to make the edifice conform to the accepted patterns of living in the grand manner.”1 This wry observation in Louis-Sébastien Mercier’s *Tableau de Paris* (1780) held true not only in France but in other countries as well, since the European upper classes looked to Paris for everything à la mode. But the princes of the often small, scattered territories of the Holy Roman Empire lacked the financial resources to build and decorate on a grand scale. Thus, economy and thrifty inventiveness reigned in the workshops of most south German courts. Appearance counted for everything, trumping inconspicuous quality. In cabinetmaking, cheap pine or spruce was used as a secondary wood instead of oak or other hardwood for carcass construction, and paint was chosen instead of veneer to bedeck it. A handsome design in combination with an imaginative execution compensated for skimping on the fabric of show
pieces that emulated much more expensive Parisian works. This kind of furniture, known as Bildhauermöbel ("sculptor’s furniture"; see also no. 38), reflected the mutual efforts of a designer, a cabinetmaker for the body, an artisan carver (called in German a Schneidkistler, or "cut-cabinetmaker"), and a skillful gilder and painter (Fassmaler). The exuberant gilded decoration on this commode—in particular, the corner terms with satyrs' heads emerging from scroll feet—alludes to the works of celebrated Parisian masters of veneer and ormolu, such as André-Charles Boulle (1642-1732) and, in the next generation, Charles Cressent (1685-1768), whose metal mounts frequently attract more attention than the veneered furniture itself (see the entries for nos. 31, 44). These heavy metal mounts (and especially their labor-intensive mercury gilding) were infinitely more expensive than gilded wooden substitutes. This commode's gilded decoration is not even completely wood-based: the side-panel and drawer-front ornaments are cut into applied gesso, in the way plaster was applied to the ceilings and walls of contemporary south German churches and then painted and gilded so that the architecture seems to vanish, creating the illusion of "heaven on earth." When new, the skillfully water-gilded satyrs' heads and openwork aprons could surely be mistaken for gilt-bronze. Comparable examples that are less worn indicate that great care must have been given to the final finish and polishing of the gilded and painted areas of this commode to achieve a smooth and sparkling surface. It is interesting to note that in comparison with French furniture the body is only slightly serpentine and that the gilded ornaments form a refined frame for the carcase in the way a precious-metal setting intensifies the look of a sparkling jewel. Only the functional hardware—the handles and the escutcheons, which protect the painted surface surrounding the key-holes from being scratched by the metal keys—is of cast and gilded bronze. The relatively flat surface—the result of a poor job of chasing—is another indication that economy played a role in the assembly of this showcase piece. The commode's design can be attributed to the ingenious Bavarian court architect François Cuvilliés, one of the leading exponents of the Rococo style in Germany (see the entry for no. 38). His celebrated furniture designs influenced Abraham Roentgen (1711-1793), the greatest German cabinetmaker of the 1750s to the 1770s (see the entry for no. 72). The present commode does not exactly match any of the twelve commodes published in Cuvilliés's Livre de diffénts dessein de commodes between 1738 and 1742, which have more exaggerated and noticeably bulging contours; however, a "Décoration de Lambris" design by Cuvilliés illustrates a commode with carved bearded heads crowning term volutes at its corners, as seen here. Furthermore, the gilt-gesso decoration on the sides of the Museum's commode, a female bust on an elongated
C-scroll pedestal framed by scrolled foliage and trelliswork, corresponds closely to an ornamental engraving in the architect's oeuvre (figs. 64, 65). A characteristic Cuvilliés detail is the foot, and especially its curling element, which also appears in the furniture at the Amalienburg hunting lodge at Nymphenburg, designed by Cuvilliés and carved by Johann Joachim Dietrich between 1735 and 1739. Dietrich's name is mentioned in Munich court documents for the first time in 1729. On 14 March 1736, he was named court sculptor and praised for "good workmanship" in his appointment document.

It has been suggested that the construction of this commode should be attributed to Johann Michael Schmidt, but there is no real evidence for this. Adolf Feulner connected the commode with a display cabinet in the Munich Residenz that has comparable ornamental details and proposed that the commode had been made for the counts of Lerchenfeld either for Schloss Kefering, near Regensburg, or the Lerchenfeld Palace in Munich; but this, too, now seems highly unlikely.

In 1928 an advertisement for the commode was placed in Pantheon by the Munich firm of L. Bernheimer. This art dealer had bought a closely related pair of commodes of almost the same size in 1918 for a then record amount at the auction of the collection of the Munich writer and publisher Georg Hirth. Two other pairs of commodes, with only minor differences in their measurements and with similar features, are known: one pair, with female corner terms, is in the J. Paul Getty Museum, Los Angeles, and the other is in the Archbishop's Palace in Munich, the former Holstein Palace, which was commissioned by Count Franz Ludwig von Holstein and most likely designed by Cuvilliés.

During conservation of the Museum's commode, diverse paint layers were discovered: a lead-white ground without dirt residue, then a blue layer and partial gilding, covered in turn by the present color and gilding. The commode may originally have been colored blue and partially or completely gilded. It probably had a pair, which, if it could be located, might give clues to the original appearance of both and to their original provenance. The top of the Museum's commode is a polished slab of mottled Tegernsee limestone, original to the piece.
Fire screen

German (Würzburg), court workshop, ca. 1736-40
Probably carved by Ferdinand Hundt
(ca. 1704-1758)
Carved and gilded oak; silk panel not original to the screen
H. 78 in. (197.3 cm), w. 42 1/2 in. (107.8 cm)
Gift of Louis J. Boury, 1935
35.57.1-2

Fire screens have been used since the Middle Ages to shield those standing or seated near a fireplace from the excessive heat of the open flames and from flying sparks. Toward the end of the seventeenth century, screens of rectangular shape with a large central panel of colorful fabric or other boldly contrasting material were developed to conceal the dark opening beneath the mantel that otherwise would interrupt the ornamental dado and paneled decoration of the room.6 The materials used for the frame of these screens ranged from wrought iron or copper to carved wood or even silver, and the panel was often decorated with scenes from the forge of Vulcan, the Roman god of fire.6 Especially vulnerable to changing fashions and fire damage, exuberantly carved screens such as the present example have only rarely survived. The purpose of the Museum’s screen is suggested by its decoration. At the top, two snake-dragons that seem to breathe fire from their gaping jaws flank the idealized head of a woman in a shell-and-acanthus cartouche.50 By turning their heads away from the goddesslike image they protect her complexion from damaging heat, a conceit that conjures the ideal of feminine beauty at the time.

Long thought to be of French origin,5 this screen in fact represents virtuoso Franconian Rococo carving at its sculptural best.5 The expressive design and skillful carving suggest that it was one of several screens ordered for the lavishly furnished palace, or Residenz, in Würzburg, the cultural capital of Franconia, by Friedrich Karl von Schönborn (1674–1746). As prince-bishop of Bamberg and Würzburg, this noble prelate ruled as the spiritual head of the regional Catholic Church and as an independent prince of the Holy Roman Empire, who—in theory—held the emperor as his feudal lord. The office of prince-bishop, a political unicum of the Holy Roman Empire and some neighboring areas, was said to be based on the biblical model of Melchizedek, who is described as high priest and king in the book of Genesis.5 Since the prince-bishops had to defend the various interests of both church and state, they needed to amass considerable political power and wield it skillfully to allay the tensions that inevitably occurred. Moreover, it was in the interest of the bishops to use their office to increase the influence of their family and to elevate it within the feudal system. None of them understood better the importance of using the visual arts to impress friends and enemies alike with the magnitude of their worldly power than Friedrich Karl von Schönborn. During his tenure, the palace at Würzburg became one

4. In a conversation with the author, Josef Sieren, head of the painting and gilding workshop, Verwaltung der Staatlichen Schlössern, Nymphenburg, Munich, revealed that a pair of related commodes in the Archbishop’s Palace in Munich retain such a finish. Details of this conversation are recorded in a note in the archives of the Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts, Metropolitan Museum.
5. Laran 1925, p. 8. Plate 65 shows a design for a writing desk (“secrétaire en tables pour Ecrire”) of 1745-55 with a lean-to hinged fall front that could easily be mistaken for a rolltop mechanism.
6. File note of 24 October 1994 by Afra Schick in the archives of the Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts; see also Laran 1925, p. 8, pls. 32-35 (commode designs).
7. Laran 1925, pp. 9, 77.
8. Feulner and Remington 1950; Feulner 1931; Schick 1998, and Laran 1925, pl. 22 (left), for a related motif.
12. Feulner 1931, figs. 2, 3; For Schloss Krefeld, see Bezirksamt Regensburg 1910, pp. 95-97, fig. 59.
13. Lrenchenfeld Palace takes its name not from one of the thirteen different owners this stately home has had during its history but, strangely enough, from a Lrenchenfeld family member who merely lived nearby in the early nineteenth century; Scheibmayr 1989, p. 577.
14. Pantheon 2 (1928), copy of an advertisement in the archives of the Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts. It was acquired by the Museum from Adolph Loewi (1888-1977), a Bernheimer relative resident in Venice; on this collector and dealer, see Raggio 1999, pp. 4, 17, 185, n. 13.
15. The purchase price was 13,000 reichmarks, according to an annotated copy of the auction catalogue, now in a private New York collection. They were offered again at auction in 2000 and in 2005; catalogues of sales at Sotheby’s, London, 13 December 2000, lot 36, and 8 June 2005, lot 53 (the present commode is illustrated in both catalogues). In 1927 the Museum acquired from the Hirsh Collection a pair of Rococo doors that may have come from the Munich Residenz (acc. nos. 27.184.2-11).
16. These four commodes are discussed at length in Schick 1998. The pair in the Archbishop’s Palace is in an exceptionally good state of preservation; see n. 4 above.
17. On different color schemes for Munich Rococo furniture, see Langer 2000, pp. 150-56, 166, no. 52.
18. Grimm 1990, no. 177.
of the most magnificent and splendidly appointed residences of the late Baroque period. Friedrich Karl's architect Balthasar Neumann (1687–1753) hired only the best artisans to decorate it, and Ferdinand Hundt was one of them.

Hundt settled in Würzburg in 1735 and began work the following year in the west wing under the supervision of Neumann, who called him “the best of all ornamental carvers.” Closely related to the present example is Hundt's fire screen of 1736 in the Residenz, with a crest incorporating the coat of arms of Friedrich Karl (fig. 67). The exuberantly formed shell feet of that screen are also conceived as scrolls with a C-shaped volute emerging on either side (see fig. 66). Ornamental compositions with surging rocaille formations, cartouches, and dragon heads characterize Hundt's designs for the carved wood paneling of the audience chamber (ca. 1742). A group of candlestands carved about 1744 by Hundt also relate to the Museum's fire screen in their details, although their outline is unusually restrained. Later, Hundt created fully developed snake-dragons and shell-and-acanthus cartouches for the palace at Bruchsal, built by Cardinal Damian Hugo von Schönborn (1676–1743), prince-bishop of Speyer and a brother of the Würzburg palace's patron.

The blue fabric now stretched on a removable panel set within the screen probably replaced a very elaborate decorative panel of silk or lamé embroidered with precious silvered or gilded metal threads.

---

4. Nevertheless, the crest motif with the central cartouche and dragons is inspired by French designs, as the similar carved back of an earlier daybed at the Museum documents (acc. no. 22.144); Rogers 1922, p. 260.
8. Ibid., fig. 516.
9. Ibid., figs. 523, 569.
Commode

French (Paris), ca. 1740-45
Bernard van Risenburgh II (ca. 1696-ca. 1767)
Oak veneered with panels of Chinese Coromandel lacquer and European black-lacquered veneers; gilt-bronze mounts; břeče d’Alep marble top
H. 34 in. (86.4 cm), w. 63 in. (160 cm), d. 25½ in. (64.1 cm)
Stamped once on the top of each leg underneath the marble slab: “B.V.R.B.”
The Lesley and Emma Sheafer Collection, Bequest of Emma A. Sheafer, 1973
1974.356.189

First introduced at the beginning of the eighteenth century, the commode, or chest with drawers, became one of the principal pieces of case furniture in the French interior. Its name, derived from the word commodité (convenience), reflects the greater ease with which one could store clothes and finery in the drawers and on the shelves of a commode than in the coffers or trunks commonly used earlier. By the middle of the eighteenth century, commodes were found not only in bedrooms and dressing rooms but also in official reception rooms, often in pairs on opposite walls. Candelabra, busts, and mounted porcelain vases were placed on their marble tops, which may have been selected to match the marble of the mantelpiece in the same room.

Stamped four times with the initials “B.V.R.B.,” the Museum’s commode was the work of Bernard van Risenburgh II, a cabinetmaker known for exquisite pieces veneered, like this one, with Asian lacquer. In most cases the lacquer is Japanese, but some of Van Risenburgh’s commodes and corner cabinets are decorated with Chinese Coromandel lacquer instead. The latter differs from black and gold Japanese lacquer in that its designs are not painted on the surface but are incised and filled with colored lacquer. Objects decorated in this fashion—especially large multileaf screens—were shipped to Europe by way of the Coromandel coast of southeast India, where the British East India Company had a trading post.

Indicating a certain vogue for this kind of furniture, eight single Coromandel commodes were sold by the dealer in luxury goods Lazare Duvaux (ca. 1703-1758) between November 1748 and August 1753. One of them was purchased by the marquise de Pompadour (1721–1764), mistress
of Louis XV (1710–1774) and a discerning patron of the arts.¹

In creating this commode Van Risenburgh must have been guided by the size of the Coromandel lacquer panels available to him as well as by the shape of the gilt-bronze mounts.² He seems to have carefully selected pieces of lacquer with an interesting pictorial decoration to use as veneer for both the drawers and the sides. An image with five figures, for instance, was chosen for the center.³ This was cut with great difficulty horizontally and bent slightly to fit the drawer fronts. The lacquer is thought to have been derived from a late-seventeenth-century Coromandel chamber screen with panels that were tall rather than wide.⁴ This would explain why separate, unrelated figurative scenes, similarly cut and bent, were used to flank the central part. Square pieces of lacquer showing fantastic animals in a landscape setting were chosen for the double-curving sides.⁵ These panels may not have been large enough to cover an entire side since they are surrounded by black-lacquered veneer. This veneer is decorated with a painted frame matching the Chinese lacquer in its colors. Clearly inspired by borders found on Coromandel screens, the floral motifs painted in imitation of a crackled lacquer surface are very realistically rendered.

The elegant scrolling mounts also played an important role. Not only did their curving outline determine the shape of the drawers and the apron but the central cartouche, composed of C-motifs, inverted-C-motifs, and rocailles, also visually divided the facade of the commode into three parts. It seems very likely that Van Risenburgh had these mounts in hand before he completed the commode, or else he owned the bronze models for them (the inventory of furniture and goods drawn up in 1764 and sold to his son and successor, Bernard van Risenburgh III [ca. 1731–1800], included a number of models, molds, and unfinished mounts).⁶ He affixed identical mounts to several other commodes decorated with Coromandel lacquer.⁷

The drawers of this commode are not fitted with handles, making it impossible to open them without pulling on the key. This is a rather impractical feature of what was essentially invented as a convenient piece of case furniture. It indicates that commodes had become very fashionable in the mid-eighteenth century and were often considered as display pieces rather than used for actual storage. The carcass is carefully fashioned of beveled panels set into a frame, as can be clearly seen on the back of the commode (fig. 68). This type of construction, which allows the wood to expand and contract without cracking, is considered typical of the cabinetmaker's high-quality work.⁸

The Museum's commode, with its colorful brèche d'Alep marble top, is very close in size and description to two Coromandel commodes that were sold separately by Duvaux to the same client, a certain M. de La Reynière, in 1748 and 1753.⁹ The fact that the Museum's commode can be dated for stylistic reasons to 1740–45, however, makes it unlikely that it was one of them. A nearly identical piece, possibly its pair, is in a private collection.¹⁰ Before its acquisition in 1947 by Mr. and Mrs. Lesley Sheater of New York, the Museum's commode was in two distinguished Parisian collections, that of Antoine-Alfred Agénor, duc de Gramont (1851–1925),¹¹ and that of Baron Edmond de Rothschild (1845–1934).¹²

---

2. Hackenbroch and Parker 1975, no. 1; and Rieder 1994, pp. 37, 59, fig. 13.
4. See the discussion of this commode and related ones in Wolvengraves 2000, pp. 186–87, 275, 277, fig. 84.
7. One of them, in a German private collection, has been considered the pair to the Museum's commode; see Bresinski 1988, pp. 201, 204–7, figs. 3–5.
8. Another closely related commode is illustrated in Baroli 1997, p. 58. That piece was sold at Christie's, New York, 22–23 October 2003, lot 670.
9. See Wilk 1996, p. 102 (entry by Carolyn Sargentson). The first was described as "une commode à pieds de biche plaquée en vernis de Coromandel, garnie partout de bronze doré d'or moulu, avec son marbre de brèche d'Alep de cinq pieds," and the second as "une commode vernis de Coromandel, de cinq pieds, garnie partout de bronze doré d'or moulu, le marbre de brèche d'Alep, 960 Livres"; Duvaux 1873 (1965 ed.), vol. 2, p. 4, no. 33, p. 168, no. 1496.
10. See n. 7 above.
12. This information comes from the purchase record of Mr. and Mrs. Sheater in the archives of the Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts, Metropolitan Museum.
This boldly carved table, a masterpiece of Palladian design, has legs in the form of large console brackets, and in the center of the frieze is a mask of Hercules draped with the pelt of the Nemean Lion. The frieze is ornamented with a Vitruvian-scroll pattern, and each side is centered with a large concave shell below the frieze. The table was intended for a dining room and has no stretcher or connecting element at the base between the two sides, leaving room for a wine cooler below. It closely corresponds to a pencil drawing attributed to the furniture designer and carver Matthias Lock in an album of his drawings in the Victoria and Albert Museum, London. It also, however, belongs to a group of very similar tables from houses in most of which the architect Henry Flitcroft worked: Ditchley House in Oxfordshire (a pair of tables now at Temple Newsam House, near Leeds), Wentworth Woodhouse in South Yorkshire, Wimpole Hall in Cambridgeshire, and Shugborough Hall in Staffordshire (a pair of tables belonging to the earl of Lichfield). Flitcroft is recorded in the Ditchley papers as having supplied five designs for table frames there in 1740–41. It has therefore been suggested that this group of tables was designed by Flitcroft and carved by Lock.

The provenance of the present table is unknown during the eighteenth century. It was acquired at some point during the nineteenth century for Hamilton Palace in South Lanarkshire, Scotland, and after the Hamilton Palace sale in 1919 it was bought by the famous industrialist Viscount Leverhulme (1851–1925), from whom it was acquired by the Metropolitan Museum of Art in 1926. All of the other tables of this model are painted white and have gilt carving, which was probably the original state of this table.

Although Matthias Lock is better known for his many books of designs for furniture in the Rococo style, he also worked in the earlier Palladian fashion, as seen in another design by him in the Victoria and Albert Museum for a side table.

Henry Flitcroft began his career in 1720 as assistant to the architect Lord Burlington (1695–1753) and eventually became known...
44.

Commode

French (Paris), ca. 1745–49
Charles Cressent (1685–1768)
Pine and oak veneered with amaranth and bois satiné; drawer bottoms of walnut, drawer sides of oak, drawer fronts of pines gild-bronze mounts; Portor marble top
H. 34 1/4 in. (87.6 cm), w. 55 in. (139.7 cm), d. 22 1/2 in. (57.8 cm)
The mounts are stamped in several places with the crowned-C mark. Pasted to the top beneath the marble is the trade card of the dealer Jean Rousselot: “Au château de Vincennes, rue de la Monroye, vis à vis la Porte des Balan­ciers de la Monroye, Rousselot, Marchand-Mercier-Joyalier, Vend Glaces de toutes grandeurs pour les Appartements & Carrosses, Miroirs, Trumeaux & Cheminées de Glace de toutes façons; Bureaux, Comme­des, Sécrétaires, Tables, Coffres, Cabaret, Toilettes & autre Ouvrages de Marquerie & Ebenisterie de toutes sortes de Bois & Vernis, enrichis de Bronze doré d’or moala, ou en couleur; Tables de Marbre sans pieds & avec pieds, & autres Emblèmes de Bois sculptés & dorés; Bras, Feux, Flambeaux & autres Ouvrages de Cuivre doré d’or… feuille, argenté, en couleur, ou vernis; Porcelains de la Chine & du Japon, garnis ou non garnis…”
The Jack and Belle Linsky Collection, 1982

Among the Parisian cabinetmakers specializing in veneered and marquetry pieces (ébénistes), Charles Cressent was an exception. Born in Amiens, he was trained as a sculptor, like his father. His grandfather, however, had been a menuisier, or maker of joined furniture. Once in Paris, Charles worked for the cabinetmaker Joseph Poitou (ca. 1682–1718), ébéniste to Philippe, duc d’Orléans (1674–1723), the regent of France between 1715 and 1723. By marrying Claude Chevanne, Poitou’s widow, in 1719, Cressent was able to continue his master’s successful workshop and became, in his turn, cabinetmaker to the regent.1

Cressent had other important clients as well, not only in France but also abroad, such as King John V of Portugal (1689–1750) and Charles Albert, Elector of Bavaria (1697–1745), for instance, and was himself a notable art collector. Unlike most cabinetmakers, who worked for the dealers in luxury goods known as marchands-merciers, Cressent generally sold his pieces himself and had elegantly furnished show­rooms on the second floor of the buildings that accommodated his home and atelier, in the rue Josquelot, a small street between the rue Notre-Dame des Victoires and the rue Montmartre.2 Working largely in the Régence style, the transitional phase between Baroque and Rococo, Cressent was one of the few cabinetmakers whose name appears in eighteenth-century sale catalogues.3

Although Cressent did not sign a single piece of furniture, a considerable body of work has been attributed to him, including the Museum’s commode.4 One of a group of six known commodes of this model, it shows his highly individual and very sculptural manner.5 The outline of the bowfront facade, of the rounded corners, and of the undulating sides is repeated in the form of the Portor marble top. Supported on four tall legs, the commode is fitted on the front with two drawers that are separated by a horizontal divison, or traverse, visible only on either side of a central cartouche. This central section is veneered with amaranth in

5. Coleridge 1968, p. 183, fig. 93.
a V-shaped pattern. Trelliswork marquetry of *bois satinié* enclosing quartered lozenges of the same wood is used for the rest of the facade and for the sides, each of which has a single door that opens to a cupboard.

Particularly noteworthy are the bold and very decorative gilt-bronze mounts that are such essential elements in Cressent's work. These include Zephyr heads at either side (fig. 70), large scrolling acanthus leaves at the corners, and in the front a charming scene of two boys emerging from acanthus leaves who swing a monkey on a knotted rope. These gilded bronzes are clearly echoed in the outline of the amaranth veneer on which they are mounted, creating a great harmony in the overall design. As a sculptor, Cressent not only took special interest in the mounts but had them, against guild regulations, cast and finished in his own workshop, which brought him repeatedly into difficulties with the guilds of the *fondeurs-ciseleurs* (casters and chasers) and *ciseleurs-doreurs* (chasers and gilders).

This type of commode with cupboards at the sides and highly original bronzes can be clearly recognized in the detailed descriptions of the sale catalogues for the three auctions that Cressent, when pressed by financial difficulties, organized of his own stock and art collections. The first catalogue, of 1749, in which a pair of such commodes are listed, stressed their extraordinary shape that was said to be different from anything that had been made until then. Except for the tops of Verret marble (also known as Sarrancolin marble or *marbre d'Antin*), which appears to have been a favorite of Cressent's, this description matches the Museum's commode. Also, the crowned-C tax marks on the mounts accord with the date of Cressent's first sale. Imposed by a royal edict of February 1745, this tax mark (the C stands for *cuivre*, or copper, the main ingredient of bronze) was in use until February 1749. Any bronze above a certain weight sold during that period had to be struck with this stamp to show that duty had been paid. Four commodes of this type, with either brocatelle or Sarrancolin marble tops, were included in Cressent's sale of 1757. Three of them must have been sold because only one commode of this type was listed in the 1765 sale.

The overall shape, the geometrical marquetry (known as parquetry) executed in a combination of amaranth and *bois satinié*, and the inventive bronzes are typical of Cressent's work, and other less easily visible elements are characteristic of his oeuvre as well. The construction of the carcass, for instance, consisting of a combination of oak and pine, is another of this master's trademarks, as is the use of walnut for parts of the drawers. The interior of the side cabinets has been stained red to match the color of the amaranth veneer on the inside of the curving doors. This feature is repeated in most of the commodes of this group. Typical of commodes by Cressent, which constituted the majority of his oeuvre, is also the fact that he rarely used an escutcheon to
frame his keyholes but incorporated them in a much larger mount or otherwise sought to hide them. Here the keyhole on the lower drawer is tucked away underneath the mon­key’s left arm.\(^4\)

Little is known about the history of this commode, which, particularly in its orna­ment, shows development toward an advanced Rococo style. Bearing the trade label of Jean Rousselot (fig. 69), this com­mode appears to have been one of the few pieces by Cressent that passed through the hands of a marcband-mercier while the cabi­netmaker was still alive.\(^11\) Rousselot was active from about 1723 until 1756, and his establishment Au Chasteau de Vincennes, on the rue de la Monnaie, near the rue Saint- Honoré, was located in the center of the Parisian luxury trade, where many antiques dealers are still located today.\(^12\) One of the highlights of the New York collection of Jack and Belle Linsky, who acquired the commode in Paris in 1952,\(^13\) it was lent to the New York “Art Treasures Exhibition” several years later and was given to the Museum in 1982.\(^14\)
Dating to the late 1740s, this folding card table with its serpentine lines comes from Schloss Seehof. Situated north of Bamberg in Franconia, this castle, also known as Marquardsburg, was built during the late seventeenth century as the country seat of the prince-bishop of Bamberg, Marquard Sebastian Schenk von Stauffenberg (1644–1693). It is believed that the Italian architect Antonio Petrini (1624/25–1701) was responsible for the construction of this building with four monumental corner towers arranged around a square courtyard. The interior decoration and the furnishing of Seehof were carried out by the successive bishops of Bamberg, to whom the estate belonged until church properties were secularized in 1802.

Johann Philipp Anton von Franckenstein (1695–1753), prince-bishop from 1746 to 1753, did much to embellish the castle and its park (see also the entry for no. 61). He commissioned the painter Giuseppe Appiani (1701/1785/86) to decorate the Weisser Saal (White Hall) with mythological scenes of such deities as Diana, goddess of the hunt; Pomona, goddess of fruit and gardens; and Neptune, god of the waters. These sub-
jects were quite appropriate for a summer residence, where pastimes such as hunting, gardening, and fishing were enjoyed. Franckenstein also engaged the talented Ferdinand Tietz (1708–1777), whom he appointed court sculptor in 1748, to execute a large group of stone figures and benches for the gardens. It is altogether possible that Franckenstein acquired the Museum’s card table for Seehof as well.

Four slender cabriole legs ending in leaf-and-paw feet support the rectangular top with rounded forecorners, which can be opened to form a square. Iron hinges allow the frame to extend by concertina action (see the entry for no. 34) and sustain the unfolded top. Hooks on the inside of the frame prevent the table from being folded back once opened, and a locking mechanism does exactly the opposite—it prevents the table from extending once folded.

A carved, solid walnut frame in combination with colorful marquetry is characteristic of furniture originating in southern Germany. This table’s carved frieze is embellished on all sides with symmetrical ornament consisting of a central shell flanked by scrolling-leaf and rocaille motifs, and it also displays incised and punched trelliswork. Asymmetrical rocaillies, shells, and S-shaped and C-shaped scrolls are carved on the knees and extend down the legs. The top shows fine marquetry decoration of symmetrical shells, strapwork, and scrollwork in both its open and its closed positions (fig. 71). Since the table was presumably more often than not kept folded and placed out of the way against a wall, the marquetry on the inside is particularly well preserved. It still shows striking contrasts between the various native and exotic woods, such as the boxwood and padauk used for the interlaced trelliswork against a walnut ground on two of the four sides, alternating with trelliswork of boxwood and plum on the other two sides. This not only offered a rich variation of tones and textures but also, in a subtle way, identified the partnership of the players seated at opposite ends of the table. In addition to the natural colors of the different timbers, poplar stained green as a result of a natural fungal infestation, and not through the use of dye, is found on the closed top, enhancing its colorful appearance. Giving definition to the leaves, husks, and other ornaments are the engraved details that have been filled with black paste. This engraving is particularly evident on the opened corners, which, oddly, would have been largely invisible when the piece was in use and candlesticks, providing light to the players, were put on top. Since the shallow wells for counters are placed precisely in the center of each side, two of them are cut through in the middle so that the top could be folded over.

Since he is known to have supplied a number of card tables to Schloss Seehof in 1751 and 1758, it has been suggested that the Bamberg court cabinetmaker Nikolaus Bauer (1700–1771) was responsible for this table as well; however, judging by the modest sums Bauer was paid for his work (between three and six gulden), they must have been of a simple nature and are not grounds for attributing this elaborately embellished example to him. The combination of carved decoration and marquetry on the piece suggests not only a south German provenance but also that both a sculptor and a cabinetmaker were involved in its production. Strapwork is not uncommon in Bamberg marquetry, and the Museum’s table may well have originated there.

A 1774 inventory taken at Seehof lists no fewer than nineteen tables for card playing and gaming in the main building, indicating the popularity of this diversion at the court. Characterized as “ordinaire,” most of them must have been rather plain, per-

---

Fig. 71. Top of the closed card table.
haps intended for the servants' use. Two of the tables at Seehof appear to have been of higher quality, but neither of them can be identified with the Museum's table since the decoration as described in the inventory was different and since no folding mechanism is mentioned. In subsequent inventories—of 1817–18 and 1820–21—however, references to "a folding card table with exotic wood and green velvet" could very well pertain to the present example. Even more detailed is a listing of 1829, "one card table, the frame carved and the top partly inlaid and partly covered with velvet," a description that fits the Museum's table perfectly.

Since the card table was not listed in the Seehof inventories of 1774 and 1802, it probably became part of the castle's collections only after the secularization of the ecclesiastical estates. The property was part of the Bavarian royal domain when the inventories of 1817–18, 1820–21, and 1829 were drawn up, and during that time it was rented to various tenants. When the country residence with its furnishings was sold to Freiherr Friedrich von Zandt in 1842, the table remained there, for the inscription "v. Zandt" on the inside of the frame must refer to him or to one of his descendants. The card table left Seehof, together with many other artworks, after the last male heir of the family died in 1951. Acquired in 1956 by Emma A. Sheafer from the Munich antiques dealer Fischer-Böhler, it was part of the unexpected bequest this New York collector left to the Museum and was included in the exhibition of the highlights of her and her husband's collection in 1975.

---

46. Armchair (fauteuil à la reine)

French (Paris), ca. 1749
Attributed to Nicolas-Quinibert Foliot (1706–1776), possibly after a design by Pierre Contant d'Irvey (1668–1777)
Carved and gilded oak; covered in silk velvet with gold trim
H. 43 1/2 in. (110.5 cm), w. 31 1/2 in. (80 cm), d. 27 1/2 in. (69.9 cm)
Inscribed on the back seat rail under the slip seat: "III." Written in ink twice on the back seat rail under the slip seat: "99." Branded on the underside of the front seat rail and on the wood frame of the slip seat: a crown between "C" and "R" and "1749." Written in ink on a small label: "9969."
Gift of J. Pierpont Morgan, 1906
07.335.57

On 26 August 1739 the eldest and favorite daughter of Louis XV, Madame Louise Élisabeth (1727–1759), was married by proxy in the chapel of Versailles to Don Philip (1720–1765), third son of Philip V, king of Spain. Criticized by some as an extravagant expense, the splendid celebration of this alliance between France and Spain included a nautical fête on the Seine that culminated in spectacular fireworks. Shortly afterward Madame Infante, as the young bride was thence known, departed for Madrid to join her new husband. As he was the youngest son, Don Philip's prospects of inheriting the Spanish crown were slim, but in 1748, by the terms of the Treaty of Aix-la-Chapelle, which concluded the War of the Austrian Succession, he was awarded three duchies in northern Italy: Parma, Piacenza, and Guastalla.

Parma's ducal palace was nothing more than an empty shell at the time, totally unsuitable for the new rulers. Harbor­ing the ambition to create a court that reflected her status, Madame Infante visited Paris before settling in Parma. An annual sum of 200,000 livres granted by her father allowed her to commission and buy furnish­ings in France. When she left in 1749 after her stay at Versailles—the first of three such visits—she traveled home to Parma with a convoy of thirty-four wagons loaded with gifts and purchases of tapestries, porcelain, bronzes, and furniture.

It has been suggested that the suite of seat furniture to which the Museum's sumptuously carved armchair belonged was in this first shipment. Two other armchairs of the set, which contained at least four such chairs, are extant, as is a settee. The chairs and settee are thought to have been cut and carved in France and then shipped in pieces to be assembled and completed in Italy. In the absence of documentation and maker's stamps, the set has been attributed for stylistic reasons to Nicolas-Quinibert Foliot, possibly executed after a design by the celebrated architect and designer Pierre Contant d'Irvey. Member of an important family of chairmakers active in mid-eighteenth-century Paris, Foliot, who in 1749 was maître menuisier (master joiner) to the Garde-Meuble de la Couronne, the bureau charged...
with supplying furniture to the French court, would not have been responsible for the profusion of high-relief carving. Consisting of rocallies, shells, wing motifs, floral garlands, and C-scrolls, this must have been the work of an as yet unidentified carver. The decoration is distributed in an even, balanced manner on the top and front seat rails but is highly asymmetrical on the sides, its exaggerated Rococo ornament masking the joints between the armrests and the seat rails.10

The Museum's armchair, with its pronounced shoulders and inward-scrolling feet, was gilded and upholstered in Parma.7 The stippled decoration on the rails of the outer back is considered to be typically Italian.8 12 One of the other armchairs bears the inscription "Petrus," which most likely refers to Jean-Baptiste Petrus, a French upholsterer working at the court of Louise Elisabeth who may have been responsible for covering the set.9 The chairs and settee are upholstered à châssis, with drop-in seats, removable backs, and arm pads to which the velvet is tacked underneath, making it easy to change the upholstery according to the seasons. The original crimson silk-velvet on the Museum's chair, now very worn, and the broad scalloping border of gold trim—much oxidized today—may have been sent from Paris. The settee has lost its original show cover, but the other two chairs have a more elaborate metal-thread embroidery that echoes the serpentine outline of the frame and complements the carved ornament. Both the passementerie and the embroidery are described in later court inventories as either on crimson velvet or damask-covered seat furniture.13

Both types of trim are also recorded in various paintings. A full-length portrait of Maria Luisa of Parma (1751–1819), the third child of Don Philip and Madame Infante, by the French artist Laurent Pécheux (1729–1821), for instance, shows her standing in front of an armchair that clearly belonged to the same set (fig. 72). Its red velvet is embellished with elaborate gold embroidery showing a pattern similar to that known from the other two extant chairs. A cushion in the lower left corner of the canvas, however, has simpler gold passementerie, not unlike that on the Museum's chair. Because the date of the portrait is January 1765, it has been convincingly argued that the setting is the Palazzo Ducale in Parma, where the duke and his family usually spent the winter months. We know that Pécheux was lodged there at the time, and a contemporary description also mentions red and gold embroidered furniture and hangings in Don Philip's apartment at the palace.17 All this strongly indicates that the furniture was at the ducal palace in the 1760s.

A series of inventory numbers painted or stamped underneath the frame of the chair tell us something about its subsequent history. The number 99, written in ink, corresponds to an entry in the 1811 inventory of the Palazzo Colornino, one of the ducal summer residences outside Parma.13 Branded twice on the Museum's chair is an emblem consisting of the number 4869 with the letters CR (for Casa Reale, literally, royal house) separated by a crown. Dating to the year 1855, it shows that the chair had been returned to the main palace by that year (see fig. 73).15 All the artworks and furnishings at the court of Parma were given such marks at the order of Louise-Marie-Thérèse de Bourbon (1819–1864), the last duchess of Parma. The number 9969 written in ink on a small label corresponds to the 1861 inventory of the Palazzo della Pilotta in Parma.14 The chairs were then in storage on the third floor of that building, located next to the ducal palace. This inventory was compiled after the departure of Louise-Marie-Thérèse, when the duchy of Parma had become part of Italy. Thereafter, most of the furnishings were transferred to the Palazzo Quirinale in Rome, which served as the residence of the Italian kings, but a few were sold. This chair was acquired at an unknown...
date by the French architect, ceramist, and designer Georges Hoentschel (1855–1915), who had assembled two outstanding collections in a building on the boulevard Flandrin in Paris. One was devoted to medieval and Renaissance art, the other to French decorative arts of the eighteenth century. The chair can be seen in an undated photograph of one of Hoentschel’s French decorative-arts galleries (see illustration on page 2).15 Most of Hoentschel’s holdings, including the armchair of Madame Infante, were bought in 1906 by the financier and art collector J. Pierpont Morgan (1837–1913). He donated the French decorative arts to the Museum the same year, and a special department was created to oversee this gift. At the initiative of Morgan, who served as a Museum trustee and was elected its president in 1904, a new wing was constructed by the firm of McKim, Mead and White to house the Hoentschel collection.16 Louise Élisabeth’s armchair has been on display almost constantly since it arrived at the Museum.

1. The marquis d’Argenson (1694–1757), who would serve as foreign minister of France from 1744 to 1747, suggested that there were one hundred better ways to spend the money than to give opulent and ridiculous feasts in honor of the wedding; Argenson 1857–58, vol. 2, p. 31.
3. “le palais de Parme manque de tout, qu’il n’y a ni meubles, ni même d’escalier” (The palace at Parma is destitute of everything; it doesn’t have any furniture or even a staircase); Argenson 1857–58, vol. 3, p. 222.
5. One armchair is in the State Hermitage Museum, Saint Petersburg. Another is in a private collection in London, purchased at Sotheby’s, Monaco, 21 June 1987, lot 1100. The settee is in the Palazzo Quirinale, Rome.
6. James Parker and Bill Pallot have both attributed the chairs to Foliot. Pallot suggested Contant d’Ivy as designer of the set. See Parker 1966, pp. 179, 182;
The flowing, curvilinear silhouette of this exuberantly carved armchair is close in inspiration to a design attributed to the French ornamentist and court goldsmith Juste-Aurèle Meissonier (1695-1750), who was named architect and designer to the king in 1726. Meissonier’s chair is characterized by the same overall sweep of the legs and a similar disposition of the armrests. As in the Museum's example, the crest and front rail are centered by an ornamental cartouche, and the expressive undulation of the floral decoration on the central cartouche, although otherwise the Rococo ornament is much more dramatic, without compromising the French elegance or comfort. In short, the composition of the frame elements has assumed a much greater importance in the German variation. The gilding on the front, much of which is presumably original, catches the light, showing off the refinement of the carving. As a whole, the armchair strikes the eye as gracefully light and fancifully stylish, although it is difficult to estimate how the original upholstery and show covers might once have influenced this impression. (The blue eighteenth-century damask covers and the upholstery beneath it date from a conservation project undertaken at the Museum in 1963\(^9\).)

The chair is part of a set, of which three other pieces are known. One was until 1995 in the collection of the grand dukes and margraves of Baden at the castle of Baden-Baden in Germany.\(^{10}\) The other two entered the Museum in 1974 with the Lesley and Emma Sheaffer Collection. Mrs. Sheaffer had acquired the pair in 1958 from the Munich art dealer Fischer-Böhler. By that time, they had already been stripped of their gilding or paint and had tapestry show covers. One of them is depicted in a painting by Fritz Bayerlein (1872–1953) of the Green Salon at Schloss Seehof, near Bamberg (see the entry for no. 41), and a photograph thought to date shortly after 1900, taken in the south wing of Seehof, shows the same chair or its twin in situ.\(^{11}\) Nonetheless, no archival evidence exists to prove that the armchairs were part of the well-documented eighteenth-century furnishings of the castle. Most probably, they were brought to Seehof during the nineteenth century.\(^{12}\)

The set to which the present chair belongs may be compared with other South German seating furniture, such as the Audience Chair of the Elector of Bavaria at the Munich Residenz, dating to about 1750.\(^{13}\) In 1958 Fischer-Böhler attributed the design of the pair that Mrs. Sheaffer bought to the Franconian sculptor Ferdinand Tietz (1708–1777) and their manufacture to a workshop in Würzburg, but there is no written or circumstantial evidence for this. The strong French influence and the fact that one chair may have contributed to the popularization of the armchair with their embroidered decoration. See Bertini 1982, p. 52.\(^{14}\)

---

\(^{1}\) An engraving by Meissonnier published in Paris probably about 1735 resembles the drawing in many details and may have contributed to the popularization of the chair design abroad (fig. 74).

\(^{2}\) Bertini 1982, p. 52.

\(^{3}\) Tomkins 1989, p. 166.

\(^{4}\) Alvar González-Palacios 1995, p. 167.

\(^{5}\) Pallot 1995, p. 64.

\(^{6}\) Ibid.

\(^{7}\) Pallot 1995, p. 167.

\(^{8}\) Pallot 1987, pp. 152, 134–35, 142–47; and Pallot 1995. Chiara Bruganti attributed the settee to Jean Avisse; Bruganti 1969, p. 45. Alvar González-Palacios does not exclude the possibility that Jean-Baptiste Tilliard (1685–1766) may have made the set; González-Palacios 1995, p. 167.

\(^{9}\) Alvar González-Palacios suggested that it may have been the work of a well-known gilder by the name of Ramoneda; González-Palacios 1995, p. 167.

\(^{10}\) The strong French influence and the fact that one chair may have contributed to the popularization of the armchair with their embroidered decoration. See Bertini 1982, p. 52.

\(^{11}\) Hoentschel 1999, p. 179.

\(^{12}\) Tomkins 1989, p. 166.

\(^{13}\) González-Palacios 1995, p. 167.

\(^{14}\) “un seggiolone a braccioli, pressoché uguale ai due precedenti tranne che questo è guarnito di alto gal­lone in oro fino festonato” (an armchair almost identi­cal to the previous two, in that it is adorned with a wide gold galloon [trim], finely festooned). The previous entry (1968) describes the two other extant armchairs with their embroidered decoration.
2. For related French models, see Mannheim and Rahir 1907, no. 195; and Bremer-David 1993, p. 68, no. 91.
4. Archives of the Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts, Metropolitan Museum.
5. Catalogue of the Markgrafen von Baden collection sale, Sotheby's, Baden-Baden, 15-21 October 1995, vol. 1, lot 106. That chair was described in the catalogue as nineteenth-century; however, one month before the sale, this author personally examined it and concluded that the frame was eighteenth-century, with some restoration and several layers of regilding. The chair was auctioned again, at Kunsthandel Hampel, Munich, in 2002.
7. Illustrated in Feulner 1929, pl. 45.
9. Masching 1991, pp. 72, 80, no. 70, figs. 26-38.
10. For the Electoral armchair, see Langer 2002, p. 228, no. 72 (entry by Brigitte Langer). For other examples, see Kreisel and Himmelheber 1983, figs. 460-65; and the catalogue of a sale at Christie's, Amsterdam, 11 December 2003, lot 214.
11. Kreisel and Himmelheber 1983, figs. 570, 580, 582 (these chairs have stylistically related, flowing, curvilinear ornament), 1058 (the movement of this clock is marked "Frankfurt," but the vividly designed case could have been carved in one of the court workshops mentioned).
This armchair is part of a set of furniture that originally consisted of two settees and six armchairs. Three of the armchairs and one settee (fig. 75) are also in the Museum’s collection. The set is of particular interest because of its quality and well-documented provenance and because it appears to have been specifically made to carry French tapestry covers. As such, it is a rare example of seat furniture in a French style specially commissioned at this date for a prominent English Georgian house.

Grimsthorpe Castle in Lincolnshire has been the home of the Willoughby de Eresby family since 1516. It incorporates architecture from the early thirteenth century, the Tudor period of the reign of Henry VIII, and a large section, including a new north front, added in 1724 by Sir John Vanbrugh (1664–1726).

Peregrine, third duke of Ancaster (1714–1788), appears to have commissioned this set in the early 1750s as part of the extensive redecoration that he undertook following his marriage in 1750 to the heiress Mary Panton of Newmarket. The set is unusual for English furniture of this period because of its wide proportions and the circular backs of the chairs, which were upholstered with a set of Gobelins coverings entitled feux d’enfants, after designs by François Boucher (1703–1770). The seats were covered with Gobelins tapestry scenes from the fables of La Fontaine. The settees and chairs were parcel-gilt on the raised areas of carving.

Grimsthorpe descended through the dukes of Ancaster until the death of the fifth duke in 1809, when the dukedom became extinct and the property passed to his niece, Priscilla, baroness Willoughby de Eresby (1761–1828). The set is described in her drawing room in an inventory of about 1813: “Two mahogany and gilt carved Sofas, stuff’d backs and seats cover’d with..."
Tapestry de Goblins and brass nailed. Six Arm Chairs exactly to correspond with Do. In 1829 the set was put up for sale at Christie’s by Priscilla’s eldest son and heir, Peter Robert, twenty-first baron Willoughby de Eresby (1782–1865), but it failed to sell and was brought back to Grimsthorpe. The set next appears in the 1867 inventory, but without the two settees, suggesting that they had by that date left the house. The six chairs appear again in the 1901–3 inventory, where the coverings are described in detail. In 1924 the chairs were in the King James’s Drawing Room at Grimsthorpe, seen in a photograph of that room in an article about the house in Country Life. The chairs were offered for sale at Sotheby’s in London in 1934, when they still had their original coverings (see fig. 76), but again they failed to sell at auction. This time they were sold privately after the sale. The Gobelins coverings were removed and applied to six modern armchairs, which were bought by Dr. F. Mannheimer of Amsterdam and after World War II given to the Rijksmuseum, where they remain. Judge Irwin Untermyer acquired four of the original chairs in 1949, by which time they had been stripped of their parcel gilding, and he had them upholstered with
their present eighteenth-century Beauvais tapestry. In 1928 H. Avray Tipping and Christopher Hussey stated that the chairs were "probably by Chippendale," but there is no record of Chippendale's having worked at Grimsthorpe, and there is no stylistic or documentary support for the attribution. Even without an attribution and in their stripped and reupholstered state, the pieces from this set remain an important example of Francophile taste in mid-eighteenth-century England.

49.

Standing shelf

The British passion for chinoiserie was well expressed in the bedchamber at Badminton House, Gloucestershire, which was redecorated in 1753-54 for Charles Noel, fourth duke of Beaufort (1709-1756). The walls of this room were hung with Chinese paper, and the London cabinetmaking firm of William Linnell and his son John supplied a set of furniture in the Chinese manner that included four standing shelves, one pair of which is in the Museum's collection. A preparatory watercolor design for the armchairs by the younger Linnell is the only drawing for the set that has been preserved. No bills for the bedroom furniture exist, but the entire suite may have been included in the duke of Beaufort's large payment of 800 pounds sterling to the firm between 1752 and 1754. Because the account book of the duchess lists certain sums paid to the Linnells,
The commanding feature of the room was a striking japanned bed with a pagoda-shaped tester, now in the Victoria and Albert Museum, London, that may well have been inspired by the architecture of Chinese temples or garden pavilions. It was originally hung with chintz curtains, which have been inspired by the architecture of the Victoria and Albert Museum, London, that may well have been othet pieces in this set.

The interior is painted in gold that includes floral motifs as well as Chinese characters, some of which are imaginary. The interior is painted red, and there is evidence that other areas were once red as well. In fact, Linnell's drawing suggests that red was the dominant color for the chairs, with highlights executed in blue and yellow. Red and two tones of blue japanning are still present on the headboard of the bed and were originally found on the fretwork of the chairs and possibly also on the commode. In addition, there is yellow paint on the bed, and traces of it are found on the Museum's shelves. Deviating from Linnell's design, the original decoration of the suite may have included black and gold japanning, and if so, not all of it has to be of a later date. The pagoda-shaped tester of the bed and the roofs of the shelves, for instance, may always have been embellished in this manner. The drawer fronts and top of the commode as well as the central uprights of the chairs' open backs may also originally have been black and gold. Such colorful fretwork was described as "a few laths nailed across each other and made Black, Red, Blue, Yellow, or any other Colour" by the architectural theoretician Robert Morris (ca. 1702-1754), who sweepingly disparaged the whole "Affectation of the (improperly called) Chinese Taste... without Rules or Order" in his Architectural Remembrancer (1751).

Unlike true Asian lacquer, japanning is not very durable, and it is not surprising to learn from the Badminton House records that refurbishing was done in the Chinese Bedroom during the first half of the nineteenth century. Harley and Langs were paid in 1841 "for [supplying] Gold leaf Gilders tools Brass Ornaments Lacquering Furniture... for J. Coffey's use." The gilder, polisher, and cabinetmaker John Coffey, active at the house between 1837 and 1848, may, therefore, have finishes the set, but if so, we do not know how much work was involved. Samuel Lang supplied "turned balls for Ornamental to furniture in the Chinese Room" in 1843. These were probably replacements for bells missing from the standing shelves.

Although they were commissioned for the bedchamber, the Museum's pair of shelves, described as "Chinese ornament stands" in the 1835 inventory, were to be found that year in the East Breakfast Room, later known as the Red Room, where they still were in 1913. These records show that other rooms at Badminton were also richly appointed with furniture in the Chinese manner, much of which, including the suite by Linnell, was sold by the ninth duke of Beaufort in 1921. Judge Irwin Untermyer subsequently acquired the present set of standing shelves and placed them in the small porcelain room of his New York apartment. Confounding Robert Morris's assessment of the Chinese taste, the Linnells' design for "ornament stands" proved very popular and has been repeated a number of times during the past 250 years, as recently as the late twentieth century.
Armchair (fauteuil à la reine)

French (Paris), 1754-56
Nicolas-Quinibert Foliot (1706-1776)
Carved and gilded beech; covered in tapestry made at the Beauvais Manufactory, displaying two ducks on the back and a dog stalking a duck on the seat
H. 47 5/8 in. (121 cm), w. 30 5/8 in. (77.9 cm), d. 25 3/8 in. (64.8 cm)
Branded inside the back seat rail and inside the slip seat: a crowned G. Incised inside the back seat rail, inside the slip seat, on the slip back, and on the armrests, respectively: "VII," "X," "VIII," and "I" and "VIII." Written inside the slip seat: "VIII."
Purchase, Martha Baird Rockefeller Gift, 1966
66.60.2 (frame)
Gift of John D. Rockefeller Jr., 1938
35.145.23c-d (arm-pad tapestries), 35.145.25b (seat tapestry), 35.145.26a (back tapestry)

The Danish statesman of German origin Baron Johann Hartwig Ernst Bernstorff (1712-1772) remarked about King Frederick V of Denmark (1723-1766) that he "loved France with a passion." The same could be said of Bernstorff, who was a true Francophile and was credited with speaking the language better than many French people. During his tenure as Danish ambassador at the court of Versailles, from 1744 until 1751, Bernstorff developed a marked preference for the arts of France and lived in a beautifully furnished hôtel in the rue Bourbon in Paris. In 1752, not long after he was recalled to Copenhagen to assume the post of minister of foreign affairs, Bernstorff began building a grand town house in a new part of the city named Frederiksstaden, after the king. Although the exterior of the house, designed by Johann Gottfried Rosenberg (ca. 1709-1776), betrays German influence, the interior decoration was according to the latest French taste.

Particularly beautiful was the tapestry room on the main floor (fig. 78), embellished with four hangings from the series Les Amours des Dieux (The Loves of the Gods). Woven of wool and silk at the Beauvais Manufactory in 1754, after designs by the painter François Boucher (1703-1770), these tapestries were commissioned for Bernstorff by his friend the collector Louis-Antoine Crozat, Baron de Thiers (1700-1772), who acted as his representative in France. To complement these tapestries, a set of twelve chair backs, seats, and matching armrests as well as the covers for two settees were woven at the same time. The set of wall hangings and the tapestry-covered seat furniture—two settees and twelve armchairs, including this one—were shipped to Copenhagen and installed in 1756. A marble fireplace and overmantel mirror as well as three pier glasses and three console tables were also made in France for this room, as were the gilt-bronze three-light candelabra signed by François-Thomas Germain (1726-1791) and based on designs by Pierre Contant d'Ivry (1698-1777). The splendid carved and gilded armchairs and settees that were arranged against the walls of the room must have formed an important element of the decoration, which was described by Baron de Thiers as "satisfying our ultimate conception of exquisite luxury."

The frames of the seat furniture were made by the Parisian joiner Nicolas-Quinibert Foliot, who stamped eight of the chairs, but not the one illustrated here, and one of the settees. Established in the rue de Cléry, just like his father, Nicolas Foliot (ca. 1675-1740), whom he succeeded as menuisier du Garde-Meuble du Roi, the younger Foliot supplied many pieces to the court. Characteristic of his furniture is the somewhat massive, undulating outline of the chairs in this set, enlivened by symmetrically placed Rococo ornament such as the floral garlands, palm branches, and stylized leaves, which are gadrooned. A prominent shell motif forms the center of the back rail on both the chairs and the settees (fig. 79). Supported on cabriole legs, the feet are elegantly adorned with a large flower head. The set is upholstered à châssis, with removable tapestry seats, armrests, and backs, displaying colorful compositions of birds and animals in landscapes after designs by the painter Jean-Baptiste Oudry (1686-1755), codirector of the Beauvais Manufactory from 1734 until his death. Yellow and brown symmetrical borders of scrolling foliage and rocaille motifs surround these scenes that clearly reflect the outline of the seat furniture, creating a beautiful harmony between the woven covers and the carved and gilded wooden frames.

The tapestry room remained intact until the beginning of the twentieth century, despite the vicissitudes of Bernstorff's house after his death. He bequeathed it to his nephew Andreas Peter Bernstorff (1735-
1797), who, like his uncle, served as foreign minister of Denmark. The property was sold by his son to developers. It was divided and later reunited, and in 1829 became the residence of Prince Frederick Ferdinand (1792–1863) and his bride, Princess Caroline (1793–1881), the eldest daughter of the Danish King Frederick VI. There were renovations to the palace, but the tapestry room was left unaltered and the seat furniture was listed as in situ in the inventory drawn up during that same year. The widowed Princess Caroline remained in the house until the end of her life. The next owner of the Bernstorff palace was King George I of the Hellenes (1845–1913), the second son of King Christian IX of Denmark (1818–1906), who stayed there during visits to his country of birth. It was during this time that the crowned-G mark was branded into the wood of the furniture seats, identifying the pieces as his property.

George I sold the seat furniture and the tapestries separately, early in the twentieth century. By 1902 the American financier and collector J. Pierpont Morgan (1837–1913) had acquired the Foliot chairs and settees from the London dealer Charles Wertheimer.

Morgan kept the set with most of the rest of his collection at his London town house to avoid paying United States import duties on artworks more than one hundred years old. Much to their surprise, Queen Alexandra of England (1844–1925) and her sister Dowager Empress Marie of Russia (1847–1928), both Danish princesses, recognized the seat furniture during a visit in 1908 as having belonged to their brother George I. After the tariff was lifted, the suite was shipped to New York as a loan to the exhibition of Morgan's collection held at the Museum in 1912. Morgan died the next year, and, in 1915, following the exhibition, the furniture was returned to his heirs, who sold the settees and chairs to the New York art dealership Duveen Brothers. Presumably hoping to make a double profit, Joseph Duveen and Carlhian referring to the making of the seat furniture was in the Museum's collection (acc. nos. 22.16.1, 2). See Standen 1985, vol. 2, pp. 534–535, no. 79.


4. Two of these tapestries—Vulcan Presenting Arms for Aeneas to Venus and Bacchus and Ariadne—are in the Museum's collection (acc. nos. 22.16.1, 2). See Standen 1985, vol. 2, pp. 534–535, no. 79.
11. A photograph of two of the armchairs, said to be in the collection of Charles Wertheimer, was published in Molinier 1902a, p. 26.
12. Taylor 1947, p. 24. The seat furniture was included in this condition in the Metropolitan Museum's fiftieth-anniversary exhibition the next year.

The original pieces of furniture received modern upholstery, and half of the set was sold; the other half remained with the dealer. Only in 1948 was it discovered that the frames of the seat furniture in the Rockefeller collection were new, and a thoroughgoing effort was made to locate the eighteenth-century frames. In 1991 six of the original chairs and one settee were found in the Duveen Brothers warehouse, and the remaining half of the set turned up in 1965 at the Gothic Revival–style manor house Lyndhurst, in Tarrytown, New York. The last owner of this house had been Anna, duchess of Talleyrand-Périgord (1875–1961), the youngest daughter of the railroad magnate Jay Gould (1836–1892), who was an avid collector of French furniture. Having subsequently been acquired for the Rockefellers, the entire suite of seat furniture and tapestry covers eventually came into the possession of the Museum. Clothed once again in their original upholstery, the armchairs and settees serve as excellent reminders of the fact that French furniture was widely admired during the eighteenth century and served as elegant furnishings for residences all over Europe.
An agreement was drawn up on 7 July 1919 between John D. Rockefeller Jr. and Duveen Brothers. Rockefeller agreed to buy a set of ten Gobelins tapestries depicting ten months of the year, the Bernstorff tapestry-covered seat furniture said to have been in the possession of the king of Sweden, and the portrait of Lady Dysart by Sir Thomas Lawrence (1769–1830). The transaction was dependent upon delivery of the Lawrence painting. Duveen Brothers Records 1876–1981, Papers and Correspondence, 1901-81, box 504 (microfilm, reel 359). The invoice for the tapestries and seat furniture is dated 31 May 1920. Duveen Brothers Records 1876–1981, Business Records, 1876–1964, Salesbook 2, June 1919–May 1920, box 163 (microfilm, reel 59).


Half of the eighteenth-century set has retained some of its original gilding. The gilding on the other half was replaced by gold paint at some point after 1951. See the detailed memorandum by James Parker in the archives of the Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts, Metropolitan Museum.
Floral marquetry, so fashionable in France during the reign of Louis XIV (1643–1715), fell out of favor during the following decades (see the entry for no. 14). It was reintroduced during the 1740s, with stylized rather than naturalistic flowers, by Parisian cabinetmakers such as Jean-Pierre Latz (ca. 1691–1754) and, especially, Bernard van Risenburgh II. These ébénistes experimented successfully with end-cut woods, mostly the exotic Brazilian kingwood—violet colored and with dark, expressive stripes—to simulate the veining of leaves. Harmonizing with the serpentine lines of Rococo furniture, the scrolling flower stems and foliated branches were usually inlaid in a reddish background of quartered bois satiné and later of beautifully variegated tulipwood, both also imported from Brazil. Among the examples of floral marquetry furniture by Van Risenburgh are several elegant writing tables with a curvilinear top supported on cabriole legs. Fitted with a drawer on either end and with three pull-out shelves for composition or for taking dictation, these tables are veneered on top with a scrolling cartouche enclosing floral sprays. All four sides and the main writing slide in front show similar foliated branches within a shaped frame. Whereas for several of these tables Van Risenburgh used only inlays of end-cut woods, the Museum’s example is a richer and more colorful variant, including engraved mother-of-pearl and tinted horn as well (fig. 80). The stems and branches are made of ebony, and green-stained horn was selected for the leaves. The flowers consist of pieces of either red- or blue-stained horn as well as of white translucent mother-of-pearl. There is evidence of replacements and, probably in an attempt to stop further losses and create an even surface, the top was given a thick nitrocellulose finish (now yellowing) before it entered the Museum.

Only a very few pieces of furniture by Van Risenburgh incorporate such natural materials other than wood. It has been suggested that he may have learned this practice from his father, Bernard van Risenburgh I (ca. 1660–1738), an ébéniste known for his furniture and clock cases of brass and tortoiseshell in the so-called boulle technique. The inventory drawn up after the latter’s death listed a box with burgau and other types of mother-of-pearl, which strongly suggests that he used pieces of iridescent seashell in his work as well.

Very typical of the younger Van Risenburgh’s oeuvre are the high-quality gilt-bronze mounts that adorn this table. The moldings running down the hexagonal legs and along the lower edge of the front and back sides emphasize their undulating outline, which is repeated in the amaranth border enclosing the floral marquetry above. The gilt-bronze moldings meet at the center of the front in an elaborate rocaille ornament and at the legs with smaller scrolling leaf motifs. The knees of the legs are mounted with fine floral pendants. Not only is this table highly unusual in its use of materials, it also has a unique pierced gallery of gilded bronze surrounding the top on three sides and extending partly around the front, showing elaborate Rococo ornament that includes leaves and flowers. This uncommon feature suggests that it was made as a special commission for an unknown but important client.

The piece was later in several distinguished collections, crossing the Atlantic Ocean a number of times before 1976, when it was given by Mr. and Mrs. Charles Wrightsman to the Museum. In the early
Henry Walters (1848–1931), a railroad financier, philanthropist, and important art collector, acquired the graceful table from the Parisian dealer Jacques Seligmann (1858–1923) for his New York residence at 5 East Sixty-first Street. Unlike much of Walters’s collection, it did not enter the Walters Art Gallery in Baltimore after his death but remained in New York. It was left, with other important pieces of French eighteenth-century furniture, to his wife, the former Sarah Green, whom he had married in 1922. Two photographs show the table in one of the main rooms of the Walters home with various pieces of sculpture on top. When it was sold by Mrs. Walters at an auction of her property in 1941, the “B.V.R.B.” stamp under the back rail was referred to in the catalogue as the mark of an unidentified French cabinetmaker.

Later owned by two notable Brussels art collectors, the banker Baron Jean-Germain-Léon Cassel van Doorn (b. 1882) and his wife, Bertha, the table was sold again, in Paris in 1956, and illustrated in the sale catalogue in color. The stamp under the back rail was then thought to be an abbreviation of the name of a cabinetmaker of Flemish origin, Jacques Vleeschouwer (or Boucher, in French). The riddle of the initials was solved the following year by Jean-Pierre Baroli, who identified them as belonging to the successful Parisian ébéniste Bernard van Risenburgh II, whose furniture is considered to be among the finest created during the mid-eighteenth century.
Whether Thomas Chippendale (1718–1779) invented the “ribband-back” chair is not known, but it is certainly the one chair design most frequently associated with his name. The present example, one of a pair of side chairs in the Museum,1 is based on a design (fig. 81) that was included in all three editions of his famous book, The Gentleman and Cabinet-Maker’s Director.2 The plate illustrates three “ribband back” chairs of similar design. In the first edition, he describes the three chairs without modesty as “the best I have ever seen (or perhaps have ever been made).”3 In the third edition, he noted that “Several Sets have been made, which have given entire Satisfaction.”4 Little did he know just how many sets would be made by other cabinetmakers, both in London and the provinces, or that ribbonback chairs would continue to be popular throughout the Chippendale Revival in the nineteenth century and even into the twenty-first century.

In the 1910s and 1920s chairs in this style were usually attributed to Chippendale because of their correspondence to his designs. There followed a period of revisionism among furniture historians (still ongoing) when they realized that because these chairs were executed in such a wide range of quality, an attribution to Chippendale could be sustained only with other documentation. The present chairs have no recorded history before the 1950s. It is only on the basis of their construction, the type of mahogany, and the quality of the carving that they are dated to the years immediately following the publication of The Director.

One of the advantages of the design was its flexibility: parts of the ornament could be included or deleted as the cabinetmaker or patron wished. As Chippendale noted in the third edition of his book: “If any of the small Ornaments should be thought superfluous, they may be left out, without spoiling the Design.”5 In the case of the present chairs, the elements thought superfluous by the chairmaker were the ornament at the base of the splat and the entire apron.

The ribbonback design was also executed as a settee. An example with the same design as the present chairs is in the Victoria and Albert Museum, London. It has a two-section back and is accompanied by four chairs.6

---

1. For further discussion of the Museum’s two chairs, see Hackenbroch 1988a, p. 30, figs. 137, 138, pls. 110, 111; and Metropolitan Museum of Art 1977, p. 87, no. 155 (entry by William Rieder). The accession number of the second chair is 64.101.984.
2. Thomas Chippendale, The Gentleman and Cabinet-Maker’s Director (London: privately printed). In the first and second editions, of 1754 and 1755, the chair is illustrated in plate XVI. In the third edition, of 1762, the same plate was renumbered as plate XV.
3. Note to Plate XVI, p. 8, in Chippendale 1754 and Chippendale 1755.
4. Note to Plate XV, p. 3, in Chippendale 1762.
5. Ibid.
According to Heinrich Kreisel, a distinguished scholar of German furniture, this table and its companion piece, today in the Bayerisches Nationalmuseum in Munich, came from one of the princely castles in Bayreuth. Kreisel based his opinion mainly on the mark “BB” impressed underneath the stretcher of both tables. He interpreted as an abbreviation of “Brandenburg-Bayreuth,” signifying the dynasty of margraves established in Bayreuth during the eighteenth century. Kreisel dated the tables to about 1755–60 and attributed their design to Johann Schnegg (1724–1784), a Tyrolian carver working at the court in Bayreuth in the 1750s, together with Georg Dorsch and several other masters and journeymen, all under the supervision of Johann Georg Schleunig (b. ca. 1715), who was in Bayreuth in 1741 and moved to Berlin in 1764. Schnegg seems to have been the most gifted of these artisans. Documentation that would make it possible to untangle the styles of the individual carvers at the Bayreuth court workshop has not been discovered, and neither Kreisel nor any other scholar has yet been able to establish that the tables did originate there. There is a similar console table in the Neues Schloss in Bayreuth and another in the Württembergisches Landesmuseum, Stuttgart. The latter could have been part of the collection of Princess Elisabeth Sophie Friederik von Bayreuth, who married Duke Karl Eugen von Württemberg (1728–1793) in 1748. Or, the duke could have acquired the table while living in Bayreuth as Prussian governor for several years following his banishment from Montbéliard (Mömpelgard), the Württemberg enclave in eastern France.

There is also a resemblance between the large open-cartouche stretcher of the Sheafer tables and one on a simplified console table model of about 1760 at the Residenz (palace) in Ansbach. All three of these cartouches are decorated with opening pomegranate buds (Kreisel called them Krautköpfe, or cabbage heads), although the one on the Ansbach table is less ornate and not so finely carved as the other two. These striking floral decorations seem to be indebted to designs by Johann Michael Hoppenhaupt the Elder (1709–1778/86), who worked until about 1755 for Frederick the Great (1712–1786) at Berlin and Potsdam.

The Rococo furniture style in Franconia and in particular at the court of Frederick the Great is characterized by utterly fantastic and illogically juxtaposed floral elements. Rocaille ornament both forms and rules the object, an eccentricity found in the Great is characterized by utterly fantastic and illogically juxtaposed floral elements. Rocaille ornament both forms and rules the object, an eccentricity found in French Rococo pieces only if they were intended for export to Germany or to the Ottoman Empire, as, for example, was furniture by Jean-Pierre Latz (ca. 1691–1754) and bronzes by Jacques Cafféri (1678–1755). Exuberantly ornamented show furniture like the Museum’s table and its pair in Munich are of high importance, for they anticipate the coming eclipse of the Rococo.

Emma and Lesley Sheafer bought the console tables now in New York and Munich from the Munich firm of Fischer-Böhler, which had close ties with other south German dealers and — what may have been Fischer-Böhler’s most important asset — connections with impoverished noble families. Each year the firm was able to show the Sheafers high-quality furniture that appealed to their taste for the south German vernacular. It comes as a surprise that they decided to split up this pair. Perhaps, as the late James Parker, for many years a curator in the Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts at the Metropolitan, suggested, there was not enough room for both in the Sheafers’ New York apartment. Another influence may have been Mrs. Sheafer’s deep affection for the Bayerisches Nationalmuseum, which in 1955 was celebrating its centennial year, or she may have thought the tables would be reunited in Munich after her death through an extensive bequest of objects from the collection she and her husband had assembled.

In the end, however, recognizing the need of the Metropolitan Museum in her beloved New York to represent German art in a wide range of media, and probably also swayed by admiration and personal fondness for Parker, who would be in charge of her collection, Mrs. Sheafer changed her mind and left the vast Sheafer collection to be enjoyed by millions of visitors to the Metropolitan Museum each year.
Fire screen

English, ca. 1755-60
Panel attributed to Thomas Moore (ca. 1700-1778)
Mahogany; knotted-pile wool panel not original to the screen
H. 52½ in. (133.3 cm), w. 37⅜ in. (95.2 cm),
d. 26⅜ in. (66.7 cm)
Gift of Irwin Untermyer, 1964
64.101.1155

Fire screens, made to deflect the heat coming from the hearth, had been a common form of English furniture since the seventeenth century. They often had needlework panels executed by a member of the family. In the quality both of the carving and of the pile knotting, this fire screen is one of the most sophisticated to survive from the middle years of the eighteenth century. Because of its beauty and provenance, it has often been attributed to Thomas Chippendale (1718-1779). It was until the mid-1930s in the collection of the earls of Shaftesbury at St. Giles's House in Dorset, which was furnished in the 1750s by the fourth earl of Shaftesbury, whose wife was one of the subscribers to the first edition of Chippendale's design book, The Gentleman and Cabinet-Maker's Director (1754). None of Chippendale's designs for fire screens relates closely to this example, however, and no documentation has been found to support his activity at St. Giles's. The fire screen was acquired by Irwin Untermyer (1886-1973) before 1938 and given by him to the Metropolitan Museum in 1964.1

The wool panel, which is not original to the frame, is one of a group of panels of similar design, some of which may have been woven at the Savonnerie Manufactory in Paris, and some by workers from that factory who emigrated to England in the early 1750s to work first for the weaver Peter Parisot (1697-1769) in London and then for Thomas Moore, who made knotted-pile carpets and screens at his manufactory in the Moorfields section of west London.2

In their production of these panels, both Parisot and Moore were responding to the growing Francophile taste in England. In 1756 Moore advertised that he sold “Chaillot or Royal Velvet Tapestry,” meaning knotted-pile carpets and screens in the Savonnerie style.3 A panel of similar design on a mahogany fire screen at Dumfries House in Ayrshire, Scotland, supplied by Chippendale in 1759, has also been attributed to Thomas Moore.4

1. Hackenbroch 1958a, fig. 341, pl. 299; and Metropolitan Museum of Art 1977, p. 85, no. 152 (entry by William Rieder).
2. This group of panels is discussed in Standen 1983; and Standen 1985, vol. 2, pp. 740-42, no. 133. Pierre Verlet believed that the panels of French provenance in this group were made in imitation of Savonnerie but not woven there; Verlet 1982b, p. 417, n. 96. On the career of Thomas Moore, see Hefford 1977. On both Parisot and Moore, see Sherrill 1996, pp. 152-58, 171-85.
4. C. Gilbert 1969, pp. 671, 674, fig. 47.
Introduced from China early in the eighteenth century, the exotic beverage tea was at first appreciated in England as much for its alleged medicinal qualities as for its taste and mildly stimulating effect. Although the leaves were expensive, tea drinking gradually caught on as a social pastime and became very fashionable during the eighteenth century, when it was enjoyed in public places and after dinner in the drawing rooms of private homes. The custom of brewing and taking tea required new equipment, such as water kettles and their burners, teapots, porcelain bowls from which the hot beverage was sipped, sugar and milk containers, sugar tongs and spoons, and a basin for slops. These implements would be placed together on a tray or table. Tea leaves were generally stored in a box or caddy that could be secured with lock and key.

The earliest tables specifically intended for the tea ceremony were imported from East Asia, but during the eighteenth century, English cabinetmakers started making special furniture for the serving of tea, such as urn and kettle stands, trays, and tables. The latter are generally called silver or china tables because the wares displayed on them were fashioned of silver and porcelain. Many consist of tripod stands supporting a scallop-edged round top that could be tilted when the table was stored out of the way and not in use. Others are oblong in shape and have a pierced gallery, or railing, that prevents the tea things from sliding off the top. The celebrated cabinetmaker Thomas Chippendale (1718–1779) included a plate showing two designs for china tables of the latter type in the first and later editions of his influential Gentleman and Cabinet-Maker's Director (1754). The original drawing for the plate is in the Museum's collection (fig. 82). The caption in the 1754 edition describes the tables as “China or Breakfast Tables” and states that they “will look extremely neat if [they are] well executed.” The description of the same tables in the third edition, of 1762, explains that they were designed to hold a set of china, and could also be used for serving tea. With its serpentine lines, slender cabriole legs, scrolled feet, and gracefully curving cross stretchers, the Museum’s exquisite table is closely related to one of these designs, although it shows additional, crisply carved details on the aprons and legs and a different floral finial at the crossing of the stretchers. Also, in the manner of fine goldsmith’s work, certain details of the leaf ornament at the knees are stippled. The gallery has very fine openwork carving consisting of Gothic-style tracery, which is unlike the Chinese fretwork suggested in Chippendale’s Director. The table’s high quality and its resemblance to a plate in The Director do not warrant an attribution to Chippendale, however, since the book had already circulated widely among a large audience of cabinetmakers and patrons by 1755, when it appeared in a second edition.

Made of mahogany, a hard, reddish brown timber imported from the West Indies that became a very popular choice for English furniture from the 1730s until the 1770s, this table shows the lustrous quality of the beautifully figured wood at its best. Its shining surface would have magically doubled through reflection a costly tea service placed on top.

Nothing is known about the early history of the Museum’s table, but in the memoirs of the English dealer James Henry Duveen (b. 1873) there is an amusing description of its discovery at a country auction in Wales that must have taken place during the early 1890s. According to Duveen, the table had been stored for years in a neglected greenhouse, where it had been overgrown by ivy. Captivated by the most exquisite Chippendale drawing-room table he had ever seen, Duveen bought the little gem for seventy-five pounds sterling and vowed never to part with it; shortly afterward, however, he sold the table for five hundred pounds to the furniture dealer Sydney Letts (d. 1915), who intended to offer it to one of his overseas customers.

During the twentieth century the table was acquired by three notable American collectors of English furniture. The first was Richard Albert Canfield (1855–1914), who ran a famous gambling establishment in

---

Fig. 82. Thomas Chippendale, China Tables, ca. 1750–54. Preparatory drawing for an illustration in The Gentleman and Cabinet-Maker’s Director (editions of 1754, 1755, and 1762). Black ink and gray wash on blue paper. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, Rogers Fund, 1920 (20.40.2[92]).
New York City and filled his home with eighteenth-century English antiques. The table was photographed there for publication in Eberlein and McClure's Practical Book of Period Furniture (1914). The second was Marsden Jaseal Perry (1850-1935), a financier and transportation magnate who bought "the noted Chippendale collection" assembled by Canfield. Perry kept the table in Bleak House, his summer residence in Newport, Rhode Island. Purchased in 1936 for Judge Irwin Untermyer at the auction of Perry's effects, it was illustrated in a 1948 article on Untermyer's collection in the Magazine Antiques. In 1964 the judge gave this splendid and unique china table to the Museum, where it has been on display ever since.

For instance, in 1683, "One Tea table, carv'd and gilt" as well as "One Japan box for sweetmeats & tea" were listed among the furnishings of the private closet of Elizabeth Dysart, duchess of Lauderdale (ca. 1626-1698) at Ham House in Richmond upon Thames, near London. Quoted in P. Thornton and Tomlin 1980, pp. 83-84.

A late-seventeenth-century Javanese lacquer tea table has been preserved at Ham House; illustrated in Tomlin 1986, pp. 38-39.

Chippendale 1754, pl. XXXIII.

1. For instance, in 1683, "One Tea table, carv'd and gilt" as well as "One Japan box for sweetmeats & tea" were listed among the furnishings of the private closet of Elizabeth Dysart, duchess of Lauderdale (ca. 1626-1698) at Ham House in Richmond upon Thames, near London. Quoted in P. Thornton and Tomlin 1980, pp. 83-84.
2. A late-seventeenth-century Javanese lacquer tea table has been preserved at Ham House; illustrated in Tomlin 1986, pp. 38-39.
3. Chippendale 1754, pl. XXXIII.

4. Hackenbroch 1958a, pp. 22-33, pl. 218, fig. 257; Hackenbroch 1958b, pp. 229-30, figs. 11, 12; and Metropolitan Museum of Art 1977, p. 88, no. 158 (entry by William Rieder).
6. Eberlein and McClure 1914, pl. XIX.
7. So described on the title page of the catalogue of the Marsden J. Perry collection sale, American Art Association, Anderson Galleries, New York, 3-4 April 1936. The table was lot 246.
9. No other tables of this model are known to exist. A related table displaying more carving on the apron was formerly in the collection of Marsden J. Perry. It was sold at the American Art Galleries, New York, 29 January 1916, lot 112. A table with similar serpentine top and stretchers but with straight legs is illustrated in Coleridge 1968, p. 195, fig. 214.
This table with graceful, curvilinear lines is probably a commode en console. A small number of such tables were made to stand against a wall, and a commode, or chest of drawers. The French name, commode en console, indicates that it is, in fact, a combination of a console table, designed to be mounted against the wall, and a commode, or chest of drawers. The small number of such tables is known today, and they appear to have been in vogue for a short period during the middle of the eighteenth century. Five were sold by the fashionable marchands-merciers Lazare Duvaux (ca. 1703-1738) between December of 1753 and February of 1757. They were veneered with tulipwood with the exception of one table, by far the most expensive of all, which was mounted with lacquer. In Duvaux's shop records it was described as a lacquer commode, with console table, however, with its black-lacquered side, it was sold for 1,150 livres on 13 May 1756.

Considered as part of the wall decoration, most console tables were made of carved and gilded wood and were assembled by those joiners, known as menuisiers, who specialized in architectural woodworking. This side table, however, with its black-lacquered surface and Japanese lacquer veneer, was the work of an ébéniste. In 1743 it had become mandatory for all members of the furniture-makers' guild to mark their work with their name.
Writing table (bureau plat)

French (Paris), 1759
Gilles Joubert (1689-1775)
Lacquered oak; gilt-bronze mounts; lined with modern leather
H. 31 7/8 in. (80.7 cm), w. 69 1/2 in. (175.9 cm), d. 36 in. (91.4 cm)
Painted underneath the top: "2131" and "202."
Locks stamped twice: "FICHET, A PARIS."
Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Charles Wrightsman, 1973
1973.315-1

The number 2131 painted on this bureau plat (fig. 83) corresponds to an entry in the ledger listing new furniture for royal residences, the Journal du Garde-Meuble de la Couronne, for 29 December 1759. On that day the ébéniste Gilles Joubert delivered "for use in the King's Cabinet at the Château of Versailles: a red-lacquered writing table equipped with gilt-bronze mounts, with three drawers in front that can be locked with a key, the top covered with black velvet finished with a small gold braid, [the table] measuring 5 pieds, 4 pouces long, 32 pouces wide, and 30 pouces high."1

Joubert, who had been appointed a cabinetmaker to the Garde-Meuble in 1758 and who in 1763, after the death of Jean-François Oeben (1721-1763), would be named the king's cabinetmaker, had a long and successful career.2 Between 1748 and 1774 he delivered nearly four thousand pieces of furniture to the crown, many of which he had subcontracted to other cabinetmakers. In 1755 Joubert made two marquetry encoignures (corner cabinets) with elaborate gilt-bronze mounts to match the 1738 medal cabinet by Antoine-Robert Gaudreaus (ca. 1682-1746) for the king's Cabinet Intérieur at Versailles.

Four years later, the present writing table,
one of the very few lacquered pieces supplied by Joubert, was commissioned for use in the same room, the study where Louis XV (1710-1774) did much of his daily work. Located on the first floor (the American second floor), at the corner where the Royal Courtyard meets the Marble Courtyard, the Cabinet Intérieur occupied part of the former picture gallery of Louis XIV and was redecorated in 1753. With its white and gold boiserie, or paneling, carved by Jacques Verbeckt (1704-1771), this room was one of the most sumptuous in the king's private apartment.

The writing table, lacquered in brilliant red and with pseudo-Oriental landscape scenes in gold on the sides and the drawer fronts, brought an exotic element to the Rococo room. This decoration is entirely flat, closely imitating the red Chinese lacquer that first arrived in Europe about the middle of the eighteenth century. The lustrous varnish used is often called vernis Martin after the brothers Martin, who developed a high-quality varnish when all fashionable Paris began demanding lacquer in the Chinese or Japanese style. Between March of 1749 and February of 1758, for instance, the Parisian dealer in fine furniture Lazare Duvaux (ca. 1703-1758) sold a number of commodes decorated with either red Chinese lacquer or vernis Martin of the same color.

Resting on four cabriole legs, Joubert's table is embellished with exquisite gilt-bronze mounts that enhance its gracious, curving lines. Some of these bold, scrolling mounts have openwork decoration through which the red background is visible (fig. 84).

In 1765 an inkstand of the same red lacquer, with silver-gilt receptacles for the ink, sand, and sponge, was delivered for the king's use. The original black velvet inserted in the tabletop was replaced in 1777 with blue morocco leather. A desk chair also covered in blue leather and listed immediately following the writing table in the 1779 inventory of Versailles was probably used with it. At that time the room also included a folding screen and several pieces of seat furniture upholstered with crimson damask. Made of the same fabric bordered with gold galloon, the curtains beautifully echoed the color scheme of the bureau plat.

A year after the table was delivered Louis ordered a marquetry secrétaire à cylindre from Oeben. It took almost a decade to complete and was finished by Jean-Henri Riesener (1734-1806) after Oeben's death. Both this famous rolltop desk, which is back at Versailles today, and Joubert's table remained in the room under Louis XV's grandson and successor, Louis XVI (1754-1793), until December 1786, when a new marquetry writing table by Guillaume Benneman (active 1755-92; d. 1811), commissioned as companion to the king's desk, replaced the Museum's red-lacquered one. Joubert's bureau plat was passed along to the king's brother, the comte de Provence and future King Louis XVIII (1755-1824), for whom it was restored in 1787. Benneman and Adrien-Antoine Gosselin, who was named maître, or master craftsman, in 1772, charged 103 livres for their part of the repairs, which, unfortunately, are not described in detail. In addition, a certain craftsman named Galle charged 72 livres for cleaning and repolishing the mounts. There is evidence that the table was restored more than once at a later date, and it is likely that during one of these restorations the drawer locks, stamped twice "FICHET, A PARIS," were installed. The locksmith Alexandre Fichet (1799-1862), who invented the modern safe and received several patents for safety locks, established a workshop in Paris in 1825.

In 1792 Joubert's writing table was still at Versailles in the Cabinet Intérieur of the comte de Provence, and it was probably among the royal property sold during the Revolution. Nothing is known about its history in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, until Mr. and Mrs. Charles Wrightsman acquired it in the mid-1960s. They donated it to the Museum in 1973. The writing table has since been described as the "most important piece of French eighteenth-century furniture to be found on the North American continent."
This commode was purchased in 1928 from the collection of Earl Howe at Penn House, Amersham, Buckinghamshire, by Irwin Untermyer, who gave it to the Museum in 1964. It is one of a group of commodes that have been attributed to John Cobb (ca. 1700–1704–1767) and following Vile's retirement in 1764. Within the group the two commodes most closely related to this one are an example from the collection of Mrs. Venetia Gairdner and another supplied by Cobb to James West at Alscot Park in Warwickshire in 1766. These three commodes have identical gilt-bronze handles, leaf plates, and escutcheons and a similar curved front of bombé type. For all the refinement of the fronts of these commodes, the rear feet and aprons of the sides are crudely cut—a curiously anomalous feature. The most significant variation between this commode and the others in this group is in the front legs, which extend forward and then turn inward on scrolled feet.

Commodes of this type with drawers first appeared in France in the early eighteenth century. They have a strong influence on Thomas Chippendale (1718–1779), who acknowledged the French ancestry of his commodes in his design book, The Gentleman and Cabinet-Maker's Director, where he titled his versions “French Commode Tables.” That term never caught on, and this type of furniture, both in England and in France, has been called “commode” since the eighteenth century. The term is confusing to Americans who tend to call this a “chest of drawers” and think of “commode” as something quite different.

WR

1. 1. The Earl Howe sale was held at Christie's, London, on 13 June 1928, and this chest was lot 30. It has been published in Hackenbroch 1984a, p. 67, fig. 328, pl. 280; Metropolitan Museum of Art 1977, pp. 91–92, no. 165 (entry by William Rieder); and Wood 1994, p. 53, fig. 40.
2. 2. The commodes in this group are identified in Metropolitan Museum of Art 1977, pp. 91–92, no. 165 (entry by William Rieder); and in Wood 1994, pp. 51–53. On Cobb, see Beard and C. Gilbert 1986, pp. 181–84 (entry by Geoffrey Beard).
4. 4. Ibid., p. 51, fig. 35.
5. 5. See plates LXIV–LXVI and LXIX in Chippendale 1752–1779.
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Commode

English, ca. 1760
Attributed to William Vile and John Cobb (active as partners 1751–64)  
Pine veneered with mahogany; the drawer linings of mahogany; gilt-bronze handles, leaf plates, and escutcheons  
H. 35 in. (89 cm), w. 42 in. (106.6 cm), d. 24⅛ in. (62.9 cm)  
Gift of Irwin Untermyer, 1964

64.103.1142

Mrs. Venetia Gairdner and another supplied by Cobb to James West at Alscot Park in Warwickshire in 1766. These three commodes have identical gilt-bronze handles, leaf plates, and escutcheons and a similar curved front of bombé type. For all the refinement of the fronts of these commodes, the rear feet and aprons of the sides are crudely cut—a curiously anomalous feature. The most significant variation between this commode and the others in this group is in the front legs, which extend forward and then turn inward on scrolled feet.

Commodes of this type with drawers first appeared in France in the early eighteenth century. They have a strong influence on Thomas Chippendale (1718–1779), who acknowledged the French ancestry of his commodes in his design book, The Gentleman and Cabinet-Maker's Director, where he titled his versions "French Commode Tables." That term never caught on, and this type of furniture, both in England and in France, has been called "commode" since the eighteenth century. The term is confusing to Americans who tend to call this a "chest of drawers" and think of "commode" as something quite different.

WR
In 1761 the medal case was probably in the library of St. James's Palace, London, a range of rooms on the garden side that George III converted for this purpose in 1760. The following year he purchased Buckingham House and began, under the direction of Sir William Chambers (1723-1796), construction of the Great or West Library to contain the newly acquired and celebrated collection of Joseph Smith (1673/74-1770), British consul in Venice from 1740 to 1760, that included an accumulation of books and medals, as well as pictures, statues, and drawings. For this new library William Vile was commissioned to make numerous alterations to bookcases.1 When in 1825 the books and medals were given by George IV to the British Museum, the pair of medal cabinets appears to have remained at Buckingham Palace. They later went as a royal gift to the second duke of Wellington at Stratfield Saye House in Hampshire. The two medal cabinets were acquired by the Victoria and Albert Museum and the Metropolitan Museum in 1963 and 1964, respectively.

4. Quoted in ibid., p. 28.
This table has long been recognized as one of the masterpieces of Jean-François Oeben, cabinetmaker to Louis XV (1710–1774). It was made for Oeben’s most important client, the king’s mistress Madame de Pompadour (1721–1764). The main charge of her coat of arms, a tower, appears at the top of the gilt-bronze mount at each corner. On the vase at the center of the marquetry top is the ducal coronet (Madame de Pompadour was given the title “duchesse-marquise de Pompadour” in 1752). The top, a tour de force of Rococo design, shows allegorical trophies of her chief interests—architecture, music, painting, gardening, and, last but not least, love—within a scrolling foliate border, executed with dazzling skill in marquetry of etched, stained, and natural mahogany, rosewood, holly, and other woods (fig. 88). Combining an extraordinary design with marquetry of great sophistication, the top is one of the finest panels in all of Oeben’s furniture.

Oeben’s skills are also apparent in the elaborate mechanism that allows the top to slide back as the large drawer below moves forward. With the drawer open, the table could be used for either reading or writing (fig. 87). A central arched panel, hinged at the front, rises by means of a hidden ratchet support and contains a rectangular panel, which can be rotated and fixed in position so that either side faces forward. One side of this panel is lined with blue moiré silk; the other contains a panel of imitation Japanese lacquer. Flanking this are two flaps, which are inlaid with large tulips overlapping ribbon-bound borders and which cover two shaped compartments veneered with mahogany, kingwood, and tulipwood, with marquetry of mahogany, rosewood, holly, and various other woods; gilt-bronze mounts; imitation Japanese lacquer; replaced silk.

H. 27 3/4 in. (69.8 cm), w. 32 3/4 in. (82.9 cm), d. 18 3/4 in. (46.7 cm)
Stamped under the back rail on the top:
"J F OEBEN.
" Stamped under the left rail on the top:
"R.V.L.[C.]" and "JME."
The Jack and Belle Linsky Collection, 1982
1982.60.61
with tulipwood. A concealed button releases a shallow drawer below the reading-and-writing panel. One of the most unusual features of this table is the treatment of the legs, each of which is pierced with three openings framed with a gilt-bronze rim. This detail is unique in Oeben's work.

Madame de Pompadour ordered a number of pieces of furniture from Oeben in 1761, but it is not clear that this table was among them. This group was left unfinished on Oeben's death in 1763 and completed by his brother-in-law, Roger Vandercruse (called Lacroix; 1728–1799), whose stamp (R.V.L.C.) along with Oeben's and also "JME," the monogram of the guild, are found on the underside of this table. It cannot be identified in the inventory prepared after Oeben's death, either in the list of ten items awaiting delivery to Madame de Pompadour or in his large stock of completed and partly completed furniture, mainly because of the brevity of the entries. Madame de Pompadour died in April 1764, and the inventory of her possessions presents the same problem. Whether she ever took possession of this table has not been determined.

Oeben made a number of similar tables with sliding tops and drawers, sometimes
fitted as combination writing and toilette tables. The related tables by Oeben are in the Musée du Louvre, Paris; the J. Paul Getty Museum, Los Angeles; the Huntington Art Gallery, San Marino, California; the National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C.; the Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam; the Residenzmuseum, Munich; the Victoria and Albert Museum, London; the Bowes Museum, Barnard Castle, Durham; and the Museu Calouste Gulbenkian, Lisbon.

For such a well-known piece of furniture, this table has a surprisingly vague history until the early twentieth century. It may have belonged to Madame de Pompadour’s brother and principal heir, the marquis de Marigny, although there is no evidence to support this idea. In the catalogue of the 1928 sale of Judge Elbert H. Gary’s collection, a series of previous owners of the table was listed (the marquess of Tullibardine; Mrs. Mary Gavin Baillie-Hamilton; and Lady Harvey, London, from whom it was acquired by the Paris dealers Lewis and Simmons), but in none of these collections has it been documented. In the twentieth century it was sold twice at public auction, both times fetching record prices for a piece of French furniture: at the Gary sale it was acquired by the dealer Joseph Duveen (1869–1939), and at the sale of the Martha Baird Rockefeller collection in 1971, it was acquired by Jack and Belle Linsky. WR

Settee

German, ca. 1763–64
Carved, painted, and gilded linden wood; modern cotton-velvet squab cushion
H. 43 in. (109.2 cm), w. 54 1/2 in. (138.4 cm), d. 25 1/4 in. (64.1 cm)
Painted on the outer back: “56B.”
The Lesley and Emma Sheafer Collection, Bequest of Emma A. Sheafer, 1973
1974-356.120

Part of a larger set, this remarkable corner settee and its pair, also in the Museum, were commissioned by one of the most powerful figures in eighteenth-century Franconia, Adam Friedrich von Seinsheim (1708–1779). Prince-bishop of Würzburg and, after 1757, also of Bamberg, Seinsheim divided his time between two official residences in those cities and his three summer castles, Veitschochheim, Werneck, and Seehof. Preferring the country to the city, Seinsheim spent about three months a year outside Bamberg, at Seehof, where he enjoyed walking and hunting. He was fond of gardens and

1. For a more detailed discussion of this table and a partial bibliography, see Metropolitan Museum of Art 1984, pp. 210–12, no. 128 (entry by William Rieder). See also Rieder 1997.
2. Guiffrey 1899.
3. Cordey 1939.
did much to embellish the late-seventeenth-century castle and especially its park, where he ordered that a maze, a theater, and a cascade with grotto and trelliswork arcades be constructed.

In 1761 he also resumed work on one of the ancillary buildings at Seehof, the Frankenstein Schlösschen. This garden pavilion at the east end of the orangery and greenhouses had been conceived as a place where the prince could retreat from the strict etiquette at his court. Built by the architect Johann Jakob Michael Küchel (1703-1769) for one of Seinsheim’s predecessors, Johann Philipp Anton von Franckenstein, prince-bishop from 1746 to 1753, the Schlösschen had been left unfinished at the time of Franckenstein’s death (see the entry for no. 45). Contemporary records indicate that Seinsheim decided to have its two main rooms, the Saal and the Audience Chamber (also called the Arbor Room, or berceau), embellished with frescoes and stuccowork. All four walls of the Audience Chamber were to be decorated as an illusionary arbor with trelliswork and floral festoons. Franz Anton Ermeltraut, court painter at Würzburg, was commissioned to execute a small trompe l’oeil ceiling fresco. It is not known when this was finished because the work was delayed by occasional disputes between the patron and the painter and the latter’s frequent illnesses. No description of the room’s interior was made when the Frankenstein Pavilion was demolished in the nineteenth century, but a drawing thought to be Ermeltraut’s design for the Arbor Room has been preserved, illustrating its intended decoration (fig. 89). Also, an inventory of 1774 at Schloss Seehof mentions a “Grünes Perceau-zimmer,” or Green Trelliswork Room, in the garden pavilion, showing that the room had been completed by then as planned. Among the furnishings listed were the two settees, together with a pair of matching armchairs and four side chairs that are also in the Museum’s collection. In addition, there were four wall brackets hanging in niches, two of which have been preserved as well. These furnishings were described as “von bildhauer Arbeith grün Lassirt,” or carved and glazed green.

Both the settees and the matching chairs have serpentine gilded frames consisting of large scrolls of various shapes and openwork aprons decorated with polychrome flowers and foliage. They are supported on slightly curved legs carved with a pattern of reeds, and rest on bun feet that are partly...
covered by foliage. Most unusual is the off-white studded latticework intertwined with gilded and painted foliage on the inner backs of the seats; this must have been in total harmony with the original setting of the furniture. The outer backs of the settees and armchairs were left unfinished, as they were intended to stand against the wall; the side chairs, however, have latticework carving on both sides and were meant to be seen in the round. Corresponding to the inventory description of 1774, traces of a green glaze, originally applied over the gilding, are still present on the furniture. Subsequent inventories offer additional details about the pieces, mentioning their green silk-velvet seat cushions and their placement. Being rather top-heavy and unsteady, the settees stood in two corners of the room and the armchairs are described as being fastened in the other two. Grooves in the outer backs of the settees seem to imply that they too were once secured to the walls of the room.

It is, unfortunately, not known who was the maker of this unique garden-room furniture so expressive of the exuberant Rococo taste. Its sculptural silhouette and elaborate carving, the choice of linden wood, and the unusual construction and simple joinery all make it seem likely that a sculptor or master carver rather than a cabinetmaker was responsible for the set. It has been suggested that Margravine Sophie Caroline von Braunschweig-Wolfenbüttel (1737-1817), of neighboring Bayreuth, presented the suite to Seinsheim. This would explain the absence of bills in Seehof's archival records. The margravine is known to have visited Seinsheim regularly, and pieces of furniture were occasionally exchanged between them. Also in favor of a Bayreuth provenance is the florid style of the pieces; Rococo furniture of Bayreuth was characterized by unrestricted use of naturalistic motifs, such as flowers, foliage, reeds, and birds. There was also a preference in Bayreuth for naturalism in interiors. Several rooms in the more recent of Bayreuth's two castles, the Neues Schloss, were decorated before 1760 in imitation of latticework pavilions, the first examples of their kind in Germany. A complete set of furniture would have been an unusually large gift, however. Since the Museum's suite was in such perfect harmony with its original setting, and given Seinsheim's attention to the smallest details of Seehof's embellishments, it seems more likely that the prince-bishop ordered it himself. With the exception of a corner settee in the Würzburg Residenz, no other pieces known today bear even the slightest resemblance to the Seehof set. For this reason, and in the absence of contemporary documents, it is impossible to attribute the furniture to a specific artist.

The settees, chairs, and wall brackets presumably remained in the Frankensteiner Pavilion until it was pulled down, between 1867 and 1870, and were then transferred to the castle itself. Seehof, which was in the possession of the bishopric and then part of the Bavarian royal domain until 1842, had become the private property of Freiherr Friedrich von Zandt and his descendants. Photographs taken at the turn of the century and later show the furniture in the Zandt family dining room (fig. 90). They indicate that large carved flowers, now missing, originally adorned the cresting of the frames. The castle survived World War II intact, but the interior furnishings were sold off after the death in 1951 of the last male heir of the Zandt family. In 1936 the Munich antiques dealer Fischer-Böhler sold this exceptional seat furniture to the New York collector Emma A. Sheaffer. At that time the chairs and settees were upholstered in eighteenth-century painted Chinese silk, and only the trelliswork carving on the outer backs of the side chairs was left uncovered. Mrs. Sheaffer never knew the full splendor of the furniture that filled the dining room of her New York City apartment. It was with their painted silk show covers that the furniture came to the Museum as part of her bequest in 1973 (see illustration on page 5, above). Once the fabric had been taken off, a conservation campaign was undertaken.

This included the reconstruction of the foliage around the inner edge of the frames, which had been cut away to accommodate the upholstery; the removal of later paint layers on the trelliswork; and the manufacture of seat cushions covered in velvet to match the originals.

1. Much of the information in this entry is taken from Kisluk-Grosheide 1990. See also Souitanian 1990, pp. 215-21.
3. Roda 1990, pp. 161-68, fig. 3.
5. The accession numbers of the pair to the present settee, of the armchairs, and of the four side chairs are, respectively, 1974.356.121; 1974.356.118, 119; and 1974.356.114-117.
6. Accession numbers 1974.356.123, 124. They were originally fitted on top with vase-shaped carvings, which are now in the collection of the Bayerische Verwaltung der Staatlichen Schlösser, Gärten und Seen, Munich. The other two brackets and their tops were most likely used to construct a late-nineteenth-century century table to match the seat furniture. This table, which is also in the Museum's collection (acc. no. 1974.356.122), is on long-term loan to Schloss Seehof.
7. Copper was used for this glaze, which would have been applied in a variety of transparent-to-opaque green tones that would allow the gilding to partially shine through. See Gill, Souitanian, and Wilmering 1990, p. 169.
8. See the inventories of 1802, room 57b, and 1817-18, p. 57, no. 2A, transcripts of which are kept in the archives at Schloss Seehof.
9. Linden wood was more often used for sculpture than for furniture during this period. Unusual also is the way that the chairs were constructed. Normally the back supports would have formed one piece with the back legs. Here they were made like stools, with the backs attached separately. See Gill, Souitanian, and Wilmering 1990, p. 169.
10. This was suggested by the Munich dealer Fischer-Böhler (see below).
12. Heinrich Kreisel attributed the Würzburg settee to Johann Kühler, an otherwise unknown sculptor, who was paid for "sculptural work [and/or] carving executed in the newly furnished chamber in the princely residence"; Kreisel 1930, pp. 29-30. He ascribed the Seehof set to Köhler, as well; Kreisel 1936, pp. 20-21, 34, n. 28, 38-39, fig. 3.
14. Freiherr Franz Joseph von Zandt died in 1951. His widow remarried the following year, and the Zandt family dining room (fig. 90) became the private property of Freiherr Franz Joseph von Zandt and his descendants.
15. For a description of this conservation work, see Gill, Souitanian, and Wilmering 1990.
Pierre Langlois was one of the leading cabinetmakers in London in the 1760s and 1770s. He produced a wide range of furniture in the French manner, specializing in commodes in the Louis XV and Louis XVI styles decorated with floral marquetry and gilt-bronze mounts. His early marquetry was closely related to that of the Parisian ébéniste Jean-François Oeben (1721–1763), in whose workshop he may have trained. He shared his London premises with his son-in-law, the bronze caster and bronze gilder Dominique Jean, who probably made the elaborate mounts for his furniture.1

This commode is one of his few documented works. It was supplied to the sixth earl of Coventry on 20 July 1764 for Croome Court, Worcestershire, together with a bill that describes its function: “To the very honorable nobleman the count of Coventry. For a large commode for placing clothes, inlaid with flowers of wood native to India and embellished with gilt bronze at a price of fifty-five pounds.”2 The wording of the bill and the interior of the commode, which is fitted with sliding tray-shelves, indicate that it was a clothespress intended for a bedroom or dressing room.3 This is the only known piece of furniture by Langlois that has come down to us with his trade card pasted to the back; it describes in both English and French the range of his products.4 In the marquetry trophy of musical instruments on the top (fig. 91) and the bold foliated corner mounts, this commode is closely related to one supplied to the fourth duke of Bedford in 1759, now at Woburn Abbey, Bedfordshire.4 Langlois repeated on a number of commodes the marquetry bunch of flowers seen here on each front door, tied at the lower outside corner of the panel and spreading into the space along a diagonal axis.5
Fig. 91. Top of the commode.

At some point in the nineteenth century the commode was moved to the Tapestry Room at Croome Court, where it appears in an early-twentieth-century photograph. It remained at Croome until 1948, when it was sold by the countess of Coventry at Sotheby’s in London. In 1959 it was acquired by the Museum through Frank Partridge and Sons, London, from Bryan Jenks.

3. When the commode was purchased by the Museum in 1959, only a fragment of the card remained on the back. Langlois’s trade card is illustrated and its full text quoted, in both English and French, in P. Thornton and Rieder 1971–72, pp. 285–84. The trade card states that he could supply commodes, secreteries, clock cases, corner cabinets (encoignures), and other types of furniture decorated with floral marquetry and gilt-bronze mounts. He also advertised “all sorts of fine cabinets”—by which he probably meant carcass furniture generally, as opposed to seat furniture.
4. Ibid., p. 105, fig. 1.
5. Several commodes with similar decoration are illustrated and discussed in ibid., pp. 176, 179–81.
6. Dauterman, Parker, and Standen 1964, p. 33, fig. 9.
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Desk (bonheur-du-jour)

French (Paris), 1768
Attributed to Martin Carlin (ca. 1730–1785)
Oak veneered with tulipwood, amaranth, and stained sycamore; the small drawers of solid mahogany; mounted with soft-paste Sèvres porcelain plaques; gilt-bronze mounts; lined with modern velvet
H. 32 3/8 in. (82.6 cm), w. 25 3/8 in. (65.7 cm), d. 16 in. (40.6 cm)
Twelve plaques bear the date letter for 1768 and the painter’s mark of Denis Levé (active 1754–1805); five others have unidentified painter’s marks. Underneath the carcass is pasted a round label on which are printed and inscribed, respectively: “Hillingdon Heirlooms” and “103.” Also affixed underneath the carcass is a round label with a blue border on which is inscribed: “From Vernon House Aug. 31st 1921.”
Gift of Samuel H. Kress Foundation, 1958
58.75.48

The beautiful Marie Jeanne Becu (1743–1793), who is better known by her married name, Comtesse Du Barry, was introduced to Louis XV (1710–1774) in 1768. After becoming the king’s official mistress shortly thereafter, Madame Du Barry began to acquire paintings and furnishings for her apartment at Versailles and later also for her pavilion at Louveciennes. The dealer in luxury furnishings Simon-Philippe Poirier (ca. 1720–1785), who was the principal purchaser of plaques from the Sèvres porcelain manufactory until his partner Dominique Daguerre (d. 1796) succeeded him in 1777, provided her with a variety of porcelain-mounted furniture, which he himself may have helped to design. On 18 November 1768 Poirier delivered the first of these costly pieces to Madame Du Barry at Versailles. It was a low writing desk with a raised section at the back, a so-called bonheur-du-jour, for which he charged 1,440 livres. Eleven such bonheurs-du-jour are known; four of them are at the Metropolitan Museum. Seven are signed by the cabinetmaker Martin Carlin, and the others have been attributed to him. Born in Freiburg-im-Breisgau, now in southwest Germany, Carlin was established in Paris by 1759, when he married Marie-Catherine Oeben, the sister of the cabinetmaker, or ébéniste, Jean-François Oeben (1721–1763). Carlin became a maître ébéniste in 1766 and specialized in the production of small, precious pieces of furniture, working mostly for Poirier and later also for Daguerre, who provided him with designs, porcelain plaques, lacquer panels, and gilded bronzes for mounting.

Supported on four slender cabriole legs, this bonheur-du-jour is fitted with a single drawer in the frieze, containing on the right a small compartment to hold the inkwell, sponge trough, and a box for sand, now missing. On the left a large part of the drawer has a hinged writing surface, covered with modern green velvet, which could be lifted up to store writing implements underneath. On the back are one rectangular and two shaped panels framed by gilt-bronze moldings, within which are designs in marquetry of foliated and berried branches (fig. 92). The front, sides, and top—both of the table
and of the raised section with three small drawers—are mounted with Sévres plaques painted with floral decoration within a green border. Twelve carry the Sévres date letter for the year 1768 and the mark of the flower painter Denis Leve. The remaining five have been ascribed to Jean-Jacques Pierre the younger (b. 1745/46), another of the factory’s flower painters, but this attribution remains questionable. Carlin’s other bonheurs-du-jour are very similar to this one, varying only in minor details. The plaques mounted on each of the eleven examples range in date between 1765 and 1774, making it relatively easy to establish the sequence in which they were produced.

Given the date on the Sévres plaques, it has been suggested that the Museum’s writing desk was the one supplied to Madame Du Barry as “Une table à gradins en porcelaine de France, fond vert et cartouches à fleurs, très richement ornée de bronzes dorés d’or moulu, le dessus du tiroir couvert d’un velours vert et les pièces d’écritoires dorées . . . 1,440 fr. [livres]”; quoted in Vatel 1883, vol. 1, p. 152. See also Baulez 1992, p. 45. At a time when the laboring poor in France earned between 100 and 300 livres per year and a skilled worker between 300 and 1,000 livres, the sum of 1,440 livres that Madame Du Barry paid for this small, elegant desk would have been thought very high by most of Louis XV’s subjects. On this and for additional information on standards of living, see Sargentson 1996, p. xi.

Bonheur-du-jour translates literally as “happiness of the day,” and though the origins of the name are not clear, scholars have suggested that it may allude to the joy of letter writing; see Dauterman, Parker, and Standen 1964, p. 134, no. 22. 2. Two are in the Samuel H. Kress Collection, the present one and another one (acc. no. 48.75.49), and two are in the Jack and Belle Linsky Collection (acc. nos. 1982.60.54, 55). See Dauterman, Parker, and Standen 1964, pp. 134-38, nos. 22, 23; and Metropolitan Museum of Art 1984, pp. 217-22, nos. 133, 134 (entries by William Rieder). 3. Pradère 1989, p. 356. 4. Ibid., pp. 343-44. 5. Dauterman, Parker, and Standen 1964, p. 135, no. 22. 6. Jean-Jacques Pierre the younger’s mark, a scrolling P (generally recorded as P or P7), is different from the mark on the plaques of this writing desk. The painter Philippe Parpette (1736-1808) is also thought to have marked his work with a scrolling P; Savill 1988, vol. 3, pp. 1055, 1059. 7. There are differences in the gilt-bronze mounts, in the choice of the woods used for the small drawers and for the marquetry panels on the back, as well as in the choice of the veneers used for the underside of the writing flaps. A number of these differences are listed in De Bellaigue 1974, vol. 2, p. 480. 8. Wildenstein 1962, pp. 368-69; De Bellaigue 1974, vol. 2, p. 482; Pradère 1989, p. 356; and Baulez 1992, p. 45. 9. Hughes 1994, pp. 125-26, pl. 76. 10. See Le Roi 1859, pp. 13-16. 11. See Dauterman, Parker, and Standen 1964, pp. 116-19.

1. The desk was described in the bill Poirier supplied to Madame Du Barry as “Une table à gradins en porcelaine de France, fond vert et cartouches à fleurs, très richement ornée de bronzes dorés d’or moulu, le dessus du tiroir couvert d’un velours vert et les pièces d’écritoires dorées . . . 1,440 fr. [livres]”; quoted in Vatel 1883, vol. 1, p. 152. See also Baulez 1992, p. 45. At a time when the laboring poor in France earned between 100 and 300 livres per year and a skilled worker between 300 and 1,000 livres, the sum of 1,440 livres that Madame Du Barry paid for this small, elegant desk would have been thought very high by most of Louis XV’s subjects. On this and for additional information on standards of living, see Sargentson 1996, p. xi.

Bonheur-du-jour translates literally as “happiness of the day,” and though the origins of the name are not clear, scholars have suggested that it may allude to the joy of letter writing; see Dauterman, Parker, and Standen 1964, p. 134, no. 22. 2. Two are in the Samuel H. Kress Collection, the present one and another one (acc. no. 48.75.49), and two are in the Jack and Belle Linsky Collection (acc. nos. 1982.60.54, 55). See Dauterman, Parker, and Standen 1964, pp. 134-38, nos. 22, 23; and Metropolitan Museum of Art 1984, pp. 217-22, nos. 133, 134 (entries by William Rieder). 3. Pradère 1989, p. 356. 4. Ibid., pp. 343-44. 5. Dauterman, Parker, and Standen 1964, p. 135, no. 22. 6. Jean-Jacques Pierre the younger’s mark, a scrolling P (generally recorded as P or P7), is different from the mark on the plaques of this writing desk. The painter Philippe Parpette (1736-1808) is also thought to have marked his work with a scrolling P; Savill 1988, vol. 3, pp. 1055, 1059. 7. There are differences in the gilt-bronze mounts, in the choice of the woods used for the small drawers and for the marquetry panels on the back, as well as in the choice of the veneers used for the underside of the writing flaps. A number of these differences are listed in De Bellaigue 1974, vol. 2, p. 480. 8. Wildenstein 1962, pp. 368-69; De Bellaigue 1974, vol. 2, p. 482; Pradère 1989, p. 356; and Baulez 1992, p. 45. 9. Hughes 1994, pp. 125-26, pl. 76. 10. See Le Roi 1859, pp. 13-16. 11. See Dauterman, Parker, and Standen 1964, pp. 116-19.
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Settee and armchair

English, the frames 1769
John Mayhew (1736-1812) and William Ince (d. 1804)
Gilded fruitwood; covered in contemporary wool-
and-silk tapestry made at the Gobelins
Manufactory, Paris
Settee: h. 44 in. (111.8 cm), w. 75 in. (190.5 cm),
d. 32½ in. (82.6 cm); armchair: h. 42½ in.
(108.3 cm), w. 28½ in. (72.4 cm), d. 26½ in.
(67.3 cm)
Gift of Samuel H. Kress Foundation, 1958
58.75.21,16

The Museum’s set of six armchairs and two
settees from the Tapestry Room at Croome
Court, Worcestershire, formerly the seat of
the earls of Coventry, is among the best doc-
umented of all English Neoclassical furni-
ture. It was executed by the leading
London cabinetmakers, John Mayhew and
William Ince, who in 1769 billed the owner
of Croome, George William, the sixth earl
(1721-1809), for “6 Large Antique Elbow
Chairs, with oval Backs, Carv’d with Double
husks & ribbon, knot on top, Gilt in the
Best Burnish’d Gold, Stuff’d with Besthair,
in Linen, Backt with Fine Crimson Tammy,
proper for Covering with Tapistry in the
Country, the patterns included. 2 Settees for
Each Side the Chimney, richly Carv’d &
Gilt, Stuff’d & Cover’d to match the chairs.
10 Setts of Castors, with Screws, & fixing to
the Chairs & Sofas.” The floral cartoons
for the furniture covers were executed from
1760 to 1767 at the Gobelins Manufactory in
Paris by Maurice Jacques (1712-1784) and
the decorative artist Louis Tessier (1719/20–
1781).

In England this was the first instance in
which seat covers were designed en suite
with a set of tapestry hangings, which were
intended to be used rather like wallpaper,
covering the walls from chair rail to cornice.
The tapestries had a series of trompe l’œil
medallions with scenes designed by François
Boucher (1703-1770) representing alle-
gories of the elements: Air, Earth, Fire, and Water. The tapestries were finally installed in June 1771 by Mayhew and Ince: "Three Men's time at Croome putting up the Tapestry." This was the first of several sets of this group of tapestries, called the Tentures de Boucher, woven at the Gobelins for English houses, of which the best known are the ones made for Osterley Park, Middlesex; Newby Hall, North Yorkshire; Weston Park, Shropshire; and Moor Park, Hertfordshire (now at Aske, North Yorkshire).

Between 1902 and 1904 the ninth earl of Coventry sold the tapestries and tapestry-covered furniture and re-covered the walls with green damask. Subsequently the original seat covers were acquired by a dealer in Paris, who removed and applied them to a set of modern frames in the Louis XV style. The Tapestry Room from Croome Court was given to the Metropolitan Museum by the Samuel H. Kress Foundation in 1958, together with the modern set of frames (see fig. 93). In the following year the original frames were discovered in a warehouse in Paris. They were purchased by the Kress Foundation and shipped to the Museum, where they were refitted with their original tapestry covers.

1. The accession numbers of the entire set are 58.75.15-22.
3. Quoted in Dauterman, Parker, and Standen 1964, pp. 15-18, no. 22-4, figs. 11-14. The entry by James Parker contains further quotations of the bills and a bibliography. The best account of this furniture and of the Tapestry Room as a whole, by James Parker and Edith Standen, is found in this volume. See also Rieder 1996.

Fig. 93. The Tapestry Room from Croome Court, Worcestershire, 1771, at The Metropolitan Museum of Art. The photograph shows a settee and two chairs from the suite by John Mayhew and William Ince. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, Gift of Samuel H. Kress Foundation, 1958 (58.75.1).
Commode

English, ca. 1770
Attributed to John Mayhew (1736–1811) and William Ince (d. 1804)
Pine veneered on the front and sides with marquetry of satinwood, kingwood, holly, rosewood, and other woods; the drawer linings of oak; gilt-bronze mounts; marble top
H. 38 in. (96.5 cm), w. 54 in. (137 cm), d. 28 in. (71 cm)
Gift of Irwin Untermyer, 1964
64.101.1145

Large, boxlike commodes with a single marquetry panel on the front are rare in English furniture of the late eighteenth century. Access to the interior of this one can be gained only from the sides, each of which opens to reveal four mahogany drawers (see Fig. 94. Three-quarters view of the commode with the right door opened.)
This rectangular coffer on stand is one of three closely related pieces in the Museum's collection. Formerly part of the Hillingsdon collection, and gift to the Museum of the Samuel H. Kress Foundation (see the entry for no. 63), it is fitted with thirteen porcelain plaques of different shapes that are mounted on three sides and on the hinged top. Most of these plaques are decorated with floral ornament within a narrow green border. Fine gilt-bronze moldings with a stylized leaf pattern—the so-called feuilles d'eau motif—hold the porcelain in place and emphasize both the rectilinear form of the casket and the flowing lines of the elegant cabriole-legged stand, which encloses a drawer with a velvet-covered writing panel and a gilt-metal inlay as well as receptacles for a sponge and sand. The shaped and undulating plaque on the center front of the coffer is painted with a trophy consisting of various love symbols and framed by a remarkably realistic gilt-bronze imitation of a fringe that lends it the appearance of a lambrequin. The curving leg-mounts include bearded satyr masks at the top, which protrude slightly from underneath the canted corners of the stand. Interlaced trelliswork marquetry of tulipwood on a stained sycamore ground with tiny dots of the same wood placed at the crossings decorates the back of both the casket and the stand (fig. 93).

In contemporary descriptions these pieces are referred to as coffres ou coffres-forts. For example, in his L'art du menuisier (1769-75) André-Jacob Roubo (1739-1791) remarks that since toilette boxes without inside fittings were generally used for the storage of jewelry they were known as coffers, adding that they could be executed in a very sumptuous manner. The presence of the drawer fitted with a writing surface and of the compartment for writing paraphernalia, however, shows that this luxury piece doubled as a small desk.

Dated between 1770 and 1775, nine nearly identical coffers on stand that are either stamped by or attributed to Martin Carlin are known. Between 1766 and 1785 this successful German cabinetmaker working in Paris made more than seventy generally light and elegant pieces of furniture mounted with Sèvres porcelain. These were intended for a fashionable and distinguished clientele, consisting mostly, but not exclusively, of aristocratic ladies.

Marie Antoinette (1755-1793) received a jewel casket on stand by Carlin in 1770, the year of her marriage to the future Louis XVI (1754-1793), and it may well have been the prototype for the other eight examples. That coffer is marked with the double V of Versailles surmounted by the crowned monogram “GR” for G(reniers) des R(écplots), a monastery near the château where the office in charge of the furnishings in Marie Antoinette’s residences was located. The plaques are likely to be the ones bought from the Sèvres Manufactory during the
first half of 1770 by the marchand-mercier Simon-Philippe Poirier (ca. 1720-1785). Later that same year, on 13 December, Poirier, who had a virtual monopoly on the purchase of Sèvres plaques, delivered "a coffer of French porcelain on a green ground with floral cartouches, very richly embellished with gilt bronze [mounts], and its stand" to Madame Du Barry (1743-1793), mistress of Louis XV. Poirier charged 1,800 livres for this coffer on stand, to which he added six livres for shipment to Versailles. Given the fact that seven of the Sèvres plaques on the present example have the date letter R, for 1770, painted on their backs, it has been suggested that this, the earliest of the Museum's coffers, may have been the one that was commissioned by Madame Du
Fig. 95. Back of the jewel coffer on stand.

Barry. The plaque mounted at left on the drawer front, dated 1775 and painted by Michel-Gabriel Commelin, who specialized in floral borders, is likely to be a replacement for an earlier, damaged one. Since the casket on stand is not listed in any of Madame Du Barry’s inventories it is possible that she ordered the porcelain-mounted piece as an exquisite present for someone else.

A very similar coffer on stand is the subject of a pen-and-wash drawing in the Museum’s collection (fig. 96), one of a series of drawings depicting French furnishings. They were intended for two passionate collectors, Duke Albert von Sachsen Teschen (1738-1822) and his wife, Archduchess Maria-Christine (1742-1798), a sister of Marie Antoinette, who were joint governors of the Austrian Netherlands (today Belgium) from 1780 to 1792. The drawings may either have been a record of furniture in the couple’s collection or have served as a catalogue from which they could order fashionable furnishings for the Neoclassical residence built for them in Laeken, near Brussels, now the Belgian royal palace.

1. Two are part of the Samuel H. Kress Collection (acc. nos. 58.75.41, 42); Dauterman, Parker, and Standen 1964, pp. 126-34, nos. 20, 21. The third is part of the Weightsman Collection (acc. no. 1976.153.109); Watson 1966a, pp. 140-45, no. 90.

2. Four eighteenth-century descriptions are listed in Dauterman, Parker, and Standen 1964, pp. 129-130.


4. The model of the coffer and of the stand is the same for all nine, but there is variation in the decoration of the porcelain plaques, the gilt-bronze moldings, and the marquetry on the back. One coffer is fitted with seven storage trays inside; Detroit Institute of Arts 1996, pp. 55-60, no. 8 (entry by Theodore Delli). Another coffer has a different stand signed by the cabinetmaker Gaspard Schneider; catalogue of the Di Portanova collection sale, Christie’s, New York, 20 October 2000, pp. 218-19.


6. This coffer is now back at Versailles; Baulez 1997.


8. “un coffre de porcelaine de France fond verd à cartouche de fleurs et très richement orné de bronzes dorés d’or moulu ainsi que son pied”; Wildenstein 1962, p. 375.


About 1772 Thomas Chippendale executed a set of Neoclassical mahogany dining chairs for Goldsborough Hall in North Yorkshire, a large Jacobean country house that belonged to Daniel Lascelles, younger brother of Chippendale's most extravagant patron, Edwin Lascelles (1712-1795), of nearby Harewood House. The set, to which this chair belongs, and which originally included fifteen chairs, remained at Goldsborough until 1929, when it was removed to Harewood House, from where it was sold by the seventh earl of Harewood in 1976.¹

With their tall backs with arched top-rails and molded sides headed by beaded medallions, or paterae, and leaf finials; their fan-shaped splats with a central patera encircled and flanked by pendant bellflower swags; and their square, tapering paneled legs with pendant husks, the chairs represent one of Chippendale's most elegant designs. He produced five sets of these chairs, with minor variations, for three houses in Yorkshire (Harewood House, Goldsborough Hall, and Newby Hall), one in Hertfordshire (Brocket Hall), and one house in London (Lansdowne House).²

Because the Lansdowne House set has not survived, the present set of fourteen chairs was acquired by the Metropolitan Museum in 1996 for a period room, the Dining Room from Lansdowne House, as the closest approximation of what was originally there. On 20 January 1769 Chippendale billed the Lansdowne set to Lord Shelburne, the owner of the house, describing it as a set of "14 Mahogany Chairs with Antique backs and term feet very richly Carvd with hollow seats stuff'd and cover'd with Red Morocco Leather & double Brass naild L51-9-0 [fifty-one pounds, nine shillings]."³ The Metropolitan's chairs have been newly upholstered with red morocco leather.

¹ C. Gilbert 1978b, vol. 1, p. 258. The set was sold from Harewood at Christie's, London, 1 April 1976, lot 41. It was acquired by the Museum at Christie's, London, 4 July 1996, lot 340. The accession numbers of the entire set are 1996.426.1-14.
² Ibid., pp. 193-220, 253-54, 258, 264, 266.
³ Ibid., pp. 253, 255.
The athénienne was a completely new, multifunctional type of French Neoclassical furniture derived from the tripod-shaped perfume burner of classical antiquity. It could be used as a washstand, perfume burner, food warmer, and jardinière. It was invented, probably in 1773, by Jean-Henri Eberts, editor of *Le Monument du Costume* (a series of etchings on the history of French costume in the eighteenth century), and was first advertised in *L’avantcoureur* in September 1773. Only a single engraving of the advertisement, now in the Library of the University of Warsaw, appears to have survived (fig. 97). Eberts stated in his advertisement that the athénienne could be examined and acquired at the shop of the gilder and color-merchant Jean-Félix Watin, the author of a popular handbook on gilding, *L’art du peintre, doreur, vernisseur*. In Eberts’s engraving the athénienne is shown as a perfume burner, whereas the present example, which is one of a pair in the Museum’s collection, is designed for use as a jardinière.

Several examples of the athénienne have survived. One of the earliest may have been supplied to Madame Du Barry (1743–1793) at Louveciennes in 1774. But the present pair is closest in design to Eberts’s engraving. Eberts owned a painting by Joseph-Marie Vien (1716–1809), *La Vertueuse Athénienne*, which includes a tripod that was once thought to be the source of Eberts’s design, but because the correspondence is not close, that idea was subsequently played down by French furniture expert Sir Francis Watson: “Nevertheless, since Eberts owned the painting there may well have been some link between the two in his mind.”

Watson suggests that all of the surviving athéniennes may have been made by the same unidentified cabinetmaker. Whether this is the obscure A. P. Dupain who stamped one of the surviving pairs is not known. He may just have specialized in their production.
Arriving from Dresden in Rome in 1755, the historian of classical art Johann Joachim Winckelmann (1717-1768) stepped into a different, intensely stimulating world. The sophisticated international community settled there was experiencing a rapidly growing fascination with the ancient Mediterranean civilizations. As librarian to the collector Cardinal Alessandro Albani (1692-1779), Winckelmann spent nine productive years in the intense study of Etruscan, Greek, classical Roman, and Egyptian works. He found them “in the galleries, vaults, and gardens of Roman palaces, at Naples, and at the new excavations of Herculaneum.” During ancient Roman times, Egyptian monuments, such as obelisks and statues, and precious materials, such as alabaster, that formerly decorated the palaces of the pharaohs and temples on the banks of the Nile (for example, two panels on the Farnese table; see no. 7) were brought as spoils to Rome and were used to embellish public places and the imperial residences. The constant presence of Egyptian art in Italy occasionally inspired artisans of later eras to incorporate its mysterious decorative motifs into their own inventions. Sometimes it was the mere citation of a detail, such as a sphinx’s paw used to support a Renaissance cassone or cabinet. And because there was no understanding of pharaonic traditions and no knowledge of the land of Egypt or of its ancient writing, European artisans and scholars were unable to distinguish pharaonic originals from imperial Roman copies or interpretations. On a large scale, the frescoes by Raphael and his studio for the Sala dell’Incendio in the Vatican and the Sala Egiziana in the Palazzo Massimo alle Colonne are two of a few grand Italian interior decorations with Egyptianizing details that predate the Egyptomania that seized Europe in the wake of Napoleon’s military campaign along the Nile in 1798 (see the entry for no. 92).1

Winckelmann’s patron Alessandro Albani was one of the first connoisseurs in early-eighteenth-century Rome to consider in a scholarly manner the nature and significance of Egyptian art. At his villa in Rome he built a salon decorated in the Egyptian style, which he filled with ancient Egyptian and Roman works, creating what was “probably the first such historical reconstruction in modern times.”4 A few years later, the antiquarian, architect, and engraver Giovanni Battista Piranesi (1720-1778) made designs (never executed) for chimneypieces in the Egyptian taste. These extraordinary etchings contained a wealth of Egyptian ornament arranged in an imaginative, purely decorative manner. They became “the ultimate anthology of Egyptian motifs for the remainder of the century.”5 Meanwhile, the archaeologists were continuing to unearth Egyptian works in Rome. Soon European “Neo-classical designers [were drawing] on Egypt in much the same way that Rococo designers had drawn on China.”6

The design of this table reflects the Neo-Egyptian fashion in Rome in the late 1770s. The painted finish simulates the beautiful greenish red of Aswan granite. It is highlighted with flecks of gilding that harmonize with the gilded hieroglyphs and the sphinx heads that crown the legs, which terminate in human feet, also gilt. The hieroglyphs and cartouches, some of which are similar to those used by Piranesi in his chimneypiece designs, are identifiable, but their arrangement on the table’s aprons and legs does not convey a logical message. These bold details are pulled from their context on ancient monuments and arranged in a merely decorative manner.

Who commissioned this striking piece is not known. The closest connection that can be made is with a table depicted in Laurent Pêcheux’s attractive 1777 portrait of Margherita Gentili Boccapaduli standing in the room devoted to her natural-history collection and other curiosities.7 The tabletop in the portrait (fig. 98) incorporates a mosaic of various marbles and other stones, arranged in a way that is typical of souvenirs of a grand tour. It can be assumed that the Museum’s table once had a very similar top. Such highly decorative displays of stones, many of which are semiprecious,
later became collector's items in their own right. This may be why the original top of the Museum's table was removed and replaced with one of black granite. There is a related table in a New York private collection, and another was recently recorded on the New York art market.

This intriguing object was among the first gifts that Robert Lehman made to the Metropolitan Museum, which became one of his great interests. In 1975 he bequeathed his collection to the Museum, together with the gift of a new wing to house it.

2. Der Glanz der Farnese 1995, p. 55, fig. 5.
3. A passion for the Egyptian style before Napoleon's era was not limited to Italy. Johann M. Dinglinger's Apis Altar of 1731 in the Green Vault at Dresden is a rich compendium of ancient Egyptian motifs, surmounted by an obelisk; see Syndram 1999, pp. 60-61. Queen Marie Antoinette adored the style (see the entry for nos. 86-88). And, recognizing the innate appeal of Egyptian objects, David Roentgen, marketing genius sans pareil among European cabinetmakers, placed a sphinx, symbol of royal power and feminine wisdom, on either side of the writing surface of a desk that he designed in 1783 with Catherine the Great of Russia specifically in mind; see Fabian 1996, p. 100, no. 213.
5. Humbert, Pantazzi, and Ziegler 1994, p. 69, no. 16 (entry by Michael Pantazzi) and for Piranesi's chimneypiece designs, see pp. 69-74, nos. 16-21 (entries by Michael Pantazzi).
7. González-Palacios 1991, pp. 141-42, no. 60, pl. XXIV (entry by Alvar González-Palacios). See also Morley 1999, pp. 292-93, and fig. 603 (the present table).
8. For the first, see Humbert, Pantazzi, and Ziegler 1994, pp. 76-77, no. 23 (entry by Michael Pantazzi). The Museum's table is number 42 in that exhibition catalogue (it was shown only at the Paris venue). For the second, see catalogue of a sale at Christie's, New York, 24 September 1998, p. 149, lot 283.
In 1795 Grand Duchess Maria Feodorovna (1759–1828) wrote a detailed description of her private rooms at Pavlovsk, near Saint Petersburg, the palace that was built for her and her husband, Grand Duke Paul (1754–1801), by the English Neoclassical architect Charles Cameron (1745–1812). According to the account, her boudoir, leading off the room, they supported precious objects and knickknacks placed on top. The fireplace, on one of the short walls, was vaulted ceiling painted with arabesques. The central porcelain plaque bears the date letter for 1776 and the mark of Edme-François Bouillat the elder (1739–1810). Pasted on the back is a label inscribed “29617 els 5016,” a red-bordered oval label inscribed “516,” and a red-bordered octagonal label inscribed “1192” and “51.” Marked on the back: “MN2603,” “1017,” and “M.6101” (partly illegible). Painted underneath the stand: “717.”

Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Charles Wrightsman, 1976

1976.155.110

In September of 1781, Paul and Maria Feodorovna, who was born Princess Sophia-Dorothea of Württemberg, had left Saint Petersburg to go on their grand tour, traveling incognito as the comte and comtesse du Nord. For fourteen months they explored Italy and France, acquiring artworks and ordering furnishings for their residence, then under construction at Pavlovsk. While in Paris during the spring of 1782, they visited Daguerre’s fashionable shop, A la Couronne d’Or, on the rue Saint-Honoré, where they probably bought this secretary and also commissioned or purchased several other porcelain-mounted pieces of furniture that were later installed at Pavlovsk.

After becoming emperor and empress of Russia in 1796, upon the death of Catherine the Great, Paul and especially Maria—who had a deep affinity for Pavlovsk—continued to make improvements to their former residence and in the park. Maria spent much time there as dowager empress, and she left the palace in 1828 to her youngest son, Grand Duke Michael Pavlovich (1798–1849), stipulating that nothing should be dispersed or changed. After Michael’s death, the estate descended to his nephew, Grand Duke Constantine Nicolaievich (1827–1892). Neither Michael nor Constantine apparently ever lived in the palace but kept it as a family museum. Grand Duchess Alexandra Iossifovna (1830–1911), Constantine’s widow,
became the next owner, and this secretary, together with its companion in Maria Feodorovna's boudoir, are said to have graced her salon. In 1911 she left the palace to her son, Grand Duke Constantine Constantinovich (1859–1915). After the Revolution of 1917, the Soviet government took possession of Pavlovsk and offered works of art from the palace for sale. Traveling to Russia in 1931, the dealer Joseph Duveen (1869–1939) visited the former imperial residences and acquired French tapestries and porcelain-mounted furniture, including the Museum's secretary. Two years later Duveen Brothers sent the piece to the loan exhibition "French Furnishings of the Eighteenth Century" at the Toledo Museum of Art. It was sold in 1934 to the Dutch banker and art collector Fritz Mannheimer (1890–1939), together with the second porcelain-mounted secretary from Maria Feodorovna's boudoir at Pavlovsk. Both secretaries were back with Duveen Brothers in 1946, and Charles Wrightsman bought the Museum's piece, number 29617 of the dealer's stock, in 1954.
1. "deux bureaux assez élevés en porcelaine de Sèvres et bronze, surmontés de petites statues en marbre, de candélabres, de pendules et de petites pièces de cabinet, entre autres d'une petite colonne de lapis lazuli avec deux vases de même, et de babioles semblables"; quoted in Benois 1903, vol. 3, p. 374.

2. Roche 1912-13, vol. 2, pl. LVII; and Watson 1966a, pp. 186-90, no. 105. The second secretary, stamped by Adam Weisweiler (1744-1820), was offered for sale at Christie's, New York, 21 October 1997, lot 256. It is illustrated in Pradère 1989, p. 395, fig. 485.

3. Bremer-David 1993, pp. 41-42, no. 50. It is mounted with porcelain plaques bearing the date letters 1776 and 1777.


5. Two other plaques have penciled inscriptions on the back that also refer to their placement on the secretary. One reads droit (right) and the other tiroir (drawer); illustrated in Watson 1966a, p. 189.

6. Les trésors d'art en Russie 7 (1907), p. 147, pl. 84.


8. Ibid., p. 111.


10. Fowles 1976, pp. 195, 198. A jewel coffer from Maria Feodorovna's bedchamber at Pavlovsk, acquired by Duveen in 1911, now in Detroit, is described in Detroit Institute of Arts 1996, pp. 55-60, no. 8 (entry by Theodore Delli). A writing table, also from Maria’s bedchamber and bought by Duveen, is in the J. Paul Getty Museum, Los Angeles; Bremer-David 1993, pp. 58-59, no. 79.

11. French Furnishings 1933, no. 41; and “Royal Suite” 1933.


Rolltop desk

German (Neuwied), ca. 1776-78
David Roentgen (1743-1807)
Oak and cherry, veneered with mahogany, maple, kingwood, and various other woods, partly stained; mother-of-pearl; brass, partly gold-lacquered; gilt-bronze mounts; steel and brass operating mechanisms
H. 53 ½ in. (135.9 cm), w. 43 ½ in. (110.5 cm), d. 26 ½ in. (67.3 cm)
Inlaid beneath the keyhole escutcheon on the lower middle drawer: “DR.”
Rogers Fund, 1941
41.82

David Roentgen, son of the cabinetmaker Abraham Roentgen (1711-1795), 1 was a legend in his lifetime. Johann Wolfgang von Goethe (1749-1832), who visited Roentgen’s workshop in Neuwied on the Rhine in Germany, was so impressed that he himself designed a rolltop desk 2 inspired by “Neuwieder Arbeit,” as Roentgen’s marvels of technical ingenuity are often called in eighteenth-century inventories. 3

The Roentgen family belonged to the prosperous Protestant religious group known as Herrnhuter. After being expelled from other settlements, a community of these Moravians accepted the invitation of Johann Friedrich Alexander zu Wied (1706-1791), the count of Neuwied, to settle in his domain. Aiming to strengthen the local economy, Count Wied had wisely granted the internationally connected Brethren religious freedom, partial exemption from local taxes, and unrestricted employment opportunities in their workshops. 4 After a humble beginning under Abraham in 1750, the rapidly expanding Roentgen workshop produced exceptional furnishings of very forward-looking design, either commissioned by the region’s nobility or made for sale at the annual trade fair in Frankfurt am Main. David Roentgen took charge of the manufactory beginning about 1766-67, some years before the “English Cabinetmaker,” as Abraham Roentgen called himself, resigned officially.

David perfected his father’s innovative practice of prefabricating elements such as drawers and marquetry panels that could be assembled and used to make items of different purpose and appearance. He invented furniture types that soon were in demand throughout the German-speaking cultural...
Fig. 100. View of the rolltop desk with the hinged front section of the lower right compartment pulled forward and swung aside.

area. Characteristic of Neuwied furniture are sophisticated mechanical devices and a wide range of native and exotic veneers and marquetry surface decorations. Despite their superb quality, the pieces were not all exorbitantly expensive, for the Herrnhuters believed in an "economy of quality," one based on the highest craftsmanship and a just price. Roentgen was able to keep costs down by streamlining production. His innovative measures included the already mentioned préfabrication of case and decorative elements and extended to other efficiencies, such as employing dozens of specialized artisans to work on different parts of his furniture and the use of standardized measurements. The Museum owns two pieces that illustrate the latter practice. One is the present chinoiserie-decorated desk and the other is a slightly earlier rolltop (fig. 101). The latter lacks a superstructure, and its marquetry is a symphony of floral arrangements hanging on ribbon-tied swags by rings and delicately inlaid threads. Astonishingly, the width and depth measurements of both desks are identical. Roentgen's furniture could also be quickly dismantled for packing and transport, making it easy for him to supply customers from the Atlantic to the Neva: in 1779 he was named furniture maker to Louis XVI and Marie Antoinette of France, and between 1783 and 1789 he sold hundreds of pieces of furniture to the Russian empress Catherine the Great (1729-1796), who became his most important client. David's reorganization of his father's workshop culminated in a brilliant marketing ploy, the Hamburg furniture lottery of 1769. Debts that had accumulated during the economic depression following the Seven Years' War had to be paid off, and David realized that it would be necessary to cull his stock of outdated items in order to regain financial liquidity. The first prize in this lottery was an imaginative and showy piece especially created to promote the workshop's capabilities by summarizing its latest inventions and technology and to attract lottery-ticket buyers. In the announcement, Roentgen described this piece and its delicate marquetry embellishment as "a desk with top artistically decorated with Chinese figures, inlaid à la mosaique, . . . This work could be . . . compared to painter's work without any hesitation . . . all the figures are created from wood . . . they are exactly like a painting, one that could be gone over with a plane without losing anything of its beauty." This long-lost piece in Roentgen's oeuvre has recently been identified as a writing desk in the collection of the Danske Kunstindustrimuseum in Copenhagen.

A novelty in the 1770s, the rolltop mechanism was most likely adapted by Roentgen from English and French prototypes. The workshop first used it, about 1773, following an earlier desk model with a slanted fall front possibly inspired by designs of Bavarian Rococo architect François Cuvilliés (1695-1768). A terminus post quem of 1773 is established by a dated rolltop in the Residenz, Munich. The Museum's desk has six legs instead of the usual four, but it is not the most elaborate of all the desks produced at the Roentgen workshop; in many, often at the push of a button, the most amazing mechanical actions take place. Traditionally, however, it is considered a preeminent example of Roentgen's production methods and artistic creativity. Hardly any publication on the history of furniture or ornamental marquetry omits the Museum's masterpiece. The inlaid monogram "DR" on the lower middle drawer is of the utmost rarity. Its presence demonstrates the master's satisfaction with what he must have considered an exceptionally refined desk. The quality and perfectionism seen here indicate that Roentgen sought recognition for his marquetry and mechanical furniture. His ambition set him apart from most if not all his European competitors.
The exotic woods called *bois des Indes* that grey background would have been exceptionally striking, for the visible grain of the maple blue-gray or brown. In this case a stained with a preparation that turned the pieces, these panels may once have been *bureau plat* (see the entry for no. 57). David Roentgen developed versions that satisfied his large and diverse clientele. The perfection and ingenuity of these writing desks make them to this day the most collectible of collectibles. WK

Fig. 101. Rolltop desk by David Roentgen, ca. 1775. Oak, pine, cherry and *bois satiné*, veneered with thuya, tulipwood, satinwood, green- and brown-stained wood, harewood, snakewood, walnut, and amboyna; 45 x 43 1/2 x 26 1/2 in. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, Gift of Samuel H. Kress Foundation, 1938 (38.75.35).

it to the left disengages the writing surface, which can then be pulled forward by means of the drop-loop handles as one rolls back the curved top to open up the interior. The superstructure encloses a single, wide drawer.

The colorful marquetry on the outside of the desk is set into large panels of maple wood. As is the case with other Roentgen pieces, these panels may once have been stained with a preparation that turned the maple blue-gray or brown. In this case a gray background would have been exceptionally striking, for the visible grain of the maple would have evoked the bluish gray fabric that was popular in the late 1770s. Like a theater scrim, it would have set off the lively inlaid scenes of Chinese life, confining them within an apparently shallow, three-dimensional space. These vignettes, which the workshop used for numerous pieces, derive from drawings by the German painter Januarius Ziek (1730-1797), who worked closely with the artisans at Neuwied. The exotic woods called *bois des Indes* that were used for marquetry of this type (which because of its superb quality was called *peinture en bois*, or “painting in wood”) were extremely expensive. With an eye to cutting costs, the canny Roentgen used stained local woods to simulate *bois des Indes* in many areas of this desk.

By the last quarter of the eighteenth century, Parisian cabinetmakers had largely abandoned Rococo marquetry in favor of Neoclassical-style veneer with a beautiful grain. This desk, which must date early in the transitional period, may be a rare example of Roentgen’s “market research” into his customers’ willingness to purchase pieces executed in the new style. When the roll top is lifted and the dazzling display of chinoiserie disappears, the interior is seen to be entirely Neoclassical, presenting a serene nobel contrast between the richly saturated color of mahogany veneer and the sparkling ormolu and gilded-brass *nillerat* (ribbed) panels and brass moldings, which have preserved some residue of their former gold-lacquered surface (fig. 99).

In the 1770s the rolltop desk became the status symbol par excellence in the libraries of important men, supplanting the Rococo
Mechanical table

French, 1778
Jean-Henri Riesener (1734-1806); mechanism by Jean-Gotfridt Mercklein (1733-1808)
Oak veneered with marquetry of bois satiné, holly and ebonized holly; amaranth, barberry, stained sycamore, and green-lacquered wood; gilt-bronze mounts; velvet; mirror glass
H. 31 in. (78.7 cm), w. 44 1/2 in. (113 cm), d. 27 3/4 in. (69.2 cm)
Painted on the underside: "No. 2964."
Rogers Fund, 1933
33.12

For a table to serve Queen Marie Antoinette (1755-1793) during her confinement preceding the birth of her first child—Marie Thérèse Charlotte, Madame Royale, the future duchesse d’Angoulême (1778-1851)—a unique piece of furniture was required. For this occasion Jean-Henri Riesener created a multifunctional table for eating, for the toilette, and for writing in both a standing and a seated position. The mark 2964 identifies it in the archives of French royal furniture, the Journal du Garde-Meuble de la Couronne: "A mechanical table of different uses. 1. for eating, 2. for dressing, 3. for writing while standing or seated. The compartments in marquetry of cut mosaic design of mahogany with yellow rosettes inlaid on a yellow ground surrounded with black and white fillets, the top raises to different heights and contains seven compartments. . . ." It was one of the first of the many important pieces of furniture executed by Riesener for Marie Antoinette. Another detailed contemporary description of the table shows that
Jean-Gotfritt Mercklein, who as mécanicien de la reine had the royal warrant for excellence in his trade, made the mechanical fittings.

The top of the table can be raised or lowered by means of the crank at the side (fig. 102). The central panel of the top can be raised for use as a reading desk or reversed to form a dressing-table mirror. At each side of the top are three compartments for cosmetic and writing equipment, whose hinged lids are released by corresponding buttons on the front edge of the table.

Marie Antoinette appears to have been particularly fond of this table and kept it constantly by her side. She did, however, place it at the disposal of Gustavus III, king of Sweden (1746–1792), while he was visiting Versailles and the Trianon in the early summer of 1784, as she did with the other mechanical table by Riesener now in the Metropolitan Museum (see the entry for no. 77). Both required some modest restoration by the maker prior to the visit.

When the royal family left Versailles on 6 October 1789, Marie Antoinette had the present table brought to Paris, where it disappeared for more than a century before surfacing in the collection of the duc de Dino. After some short and not well-documented trips to England, in 1932 it was back in Paris, in the Parisian residence of the New York banker George Blumenthal (1858–1941), a trustee of the Metropolitan Museum. It was acquired by the Museum at the Paris sale of his furniture, pictures, drawings, and engravings in December of that year.
Armchair (fauteuil à la reine)

This splendid armchair is one of the best-documented pieces of furniture in the Museum's collection. Part of a large set, it was delivered on 20 December 1779 to Marie Antoinette (1755-1793) for use during the winter months in her Grand Cabinet Intérieur, one of the rooms of her private apartment at the Château de Versailles. Evidence of this royal provenance is the crowned double V, the mark for Versailles, on the webbing underneath the seat. The accompanying inventory number "du No 194/6" seems to indicate that this was the sixth of the six armchairs commissioned. Detailed accounts pertaining to the design and execution of the garniture reveal the names of most of the artists and craftsmen involved. Named dessinateur des Meubles de la Couronne in 1769, the architect Jacques Gondouin (or Gondoin) was responsible for the design of both the furniture and the magnificent white silk-satin brocade that was to be used as wall hangings, curtains, and drapery in the room and for the upholstery of the furniture as well. A colored drawing for the silk-satin brocade has been preserved (fig. 103), and the richness and complexity of the pattern, partly executed in silk chenille to create a sense of depth, is known from surviving lengths. Woven in the Lyons workshops of Jean Charton, the fabric had an elegant arabesque design consisting of floral garlands and foliated branches enclosing applied medallions with six different pastoral trophies. François Foliot II, member of a well-known family of Parisian joiners, who collaborated regularly with Gondouin, assembled the chair. The fine carving of the frame, with its inverted cornucopias serving as arm supports and its legs with spiraling flutes supporting leaf capitals, was the work of Madame Pierre-Edme Babel. The reparature, or the refinement of the carving where it had been dulled by the preparatory layers of whiting; the addition of further detail; as well as the application of the gilding were done by Marie-Catherine Renon, the widow of Gaspard-Marie Bardou. Claude-François Capin (d. 1789), tapissier ordinaire de sa majesté, did the upholstering and also supplied dustcovers for the entire set.

Without its luxurious show cover and its comfortable tasseled seat cushion, the chair's appearance is changed. Remnants of original upholstery belonging to other pieces of the same suite indicate that a clear harmony was intended between the decoration of the frame, on the one hand, and the upholstery and passementerie, on the other. The stylized leaf moldings, known as feuilles d'eau, carved on the outer back of the chair, for instance, were repeated in the original woven borders that complemented the satin brocade, as well as in the simulated gilded frame surrounding the medallions. A raised floral trim originally applied along the frame formed a subtle transition between the tiny flowers, so-called feuilles de refentes, densely carved on the chair's rails, and the show cover. All the gilded and woven blossoms on this magnificent set of furniture, intended for use during the winter, brought flowers to the queen's surroundings when they were not available in nature.

When in 1783 the decor of the queen's Grand Cabinet Intérieur was altered to include white and gold paneling, the wall hangings and seat furniture were removed. They were later used to furnish a small room on the floor above that had formerly been her billiards room. The American statesman Gouverneur Morris (1752-1816) purchased the Museum's chair and other pieces of the same set at the sales of the contents of Versailles held during 1793 and 1794. Having served as an unofficial diplomat for President George Washington in Paris since 1789, Morris, a great Francophile, replaced Thomas Jefferson as minister of the United States in France three years later. When he left the country in 1794, Morris received permission to ship these and other furnishings from his hôtel on the rue de la Planche, Paris, to his family home, Morrisania, in the Bronx, New York, to which he returned in 1798. Possibly the first pieces with a royal provenance to enter the United States, they remained with descendants of Morris and were later dispersed. The only side chair of the set, intended for the use of King Louis XVI, for instance, was given to the New-York Historical Society not long after Morris's death. A bergère and a fire screen.
are now in the collection of the Museum of the City of New York.\(^3\) This armchair, then believed to have been the property of Thomas Jefferson, was acquired by Mr. and Mrs. George T. Bliss of New York at an unknown date and was donated by their daughter to the Museum in 1944. \(^{D K-G}\)

During the nineteenth century this important commode was acquired by Baron Mayer Amschel de Rothschild (1818-1874) as the most expensive piece of furniture ever sold at auction.\(^1\) Bought by Mrs. Jack Linsky of New York, the celebrated object entered the Museum with the Jack and Belle Linsky collection in 1982.\(^2\) In addition to the commode’s richly decorative appearance and many intriguing hidden compartments, the possibility that its first owner was Louis XVI (1754-1793) contributes to its renown and its value. Branded twice on the uprights of the back with a double V beneath a crown, which is widely believed to be the château mark of Versailles,\(^5\) the piece was long thought to have been purchased from the great German cabinetmaker and entrepreneur David Roentgen for the private apartments of Louis XVI.\(^6\) Indeed, the king’s expense records mention for 11 April 1779 the payment of 2,400 livres “to the Germans for a big commode.” In March of that year the king had already acquired for his pleasure the ultimate multifunctional piece of cabinetmaking from Roentgen, a monumental mechanical writing cabinet with musical clock and sculptural mounts, for the enormous sum of 80,000 livres. That “royal secretary” was publicized all over Europe in newspaper articles celebrating Roentgen’s artistic and commercial accomplishments as well as his ingenuity in having created an object that so thoroughly indulged Louis XVI’s passion for locksmithing and mechanics. The extraordinary piece, twelve feet high, was dismantled in the 1820s.\(^7\) Also in 1779 Roentgen established a furniture outlet in Paris, and the king named him Ébéniste-Méchanicien du Roi et de la Reine. The following year he was inducted into the Parisian cabinetmakers’ guild as a master.

The 1792 inventory of the furniture at Versailles mentions a piece that may be the Museum’s commode as standing in the king’s private apartment in a room called the pièce du café, off the hall leading to the Cour des Cerfs.\(^8\) The account describes it as “à 3 vantaux,” the term for a commode with three doors concealing the drawer fronts within. The Museum’s piece fits the description, except that behind the central door there are shelves, not drawers.\(^9\) Strictly speaking, then, it is a cross between a commode en vantaux and a variation called commode en bas d’armoire.\(^10\) Two related examples by Roentgen, one in the Victoria and Albert Museum, London, and the other at the Bayerisches Nationalmuseum in Munich (fig. 104), are similarly designed, and in an inventory of 1795 a commode that sounds much like them is listed in the apartment of the comtesse d’Artois at Versailles.\(^10\)

Although the stamped double V mark on the Museum’s commode strongly suggests that it was once used by Louis XVI,\(^11\) there are discrepancies between the 1792 Versailles inventory description and the illusionistic marquetry on the Museum’s object. The inventory describes a commode veneered with marquetry “sur les 3 faces avec medaillons à figures.” This may describe a commode with figural medallions on all three sides, in which case the Museum’s commode is eliminated from consideration, or it may describe a commode decorated in the manner of the ones in Munich and London with two panels (out of five) designed as oval medallions with beaded frames, in the fashionable Neoclassical manner. As we shall see, the Museum’s commode may once have had such a decorative scheme on the front.

The commode en vantaux in the comtesse d’Artois’s apartment was decorated on the
front with scenes from the commedia dell'arte theater within beaded gilt-bronze frames. The top was of bleu turquin marble, a blue gray stone streaked with white and with pale veins and inclusions, of the type that still graces the tops of the commodes in Munich and London. This marble very likely came from a quarry near Leun on the Lahn River, not far from the Roentgen shop at Neuwied. It is probable that the Museum's commode originally had a bleu turquin marble top. It would have complemented the veneer panels beneath the gilded frieze mounts: those panels were once stained bluish green, as can be seen when the mounts are detached. The present replacement top is of reddish brocatelle marble from a Catalanian quarry near Tortosa.

Lion's-head pulls are applied to the small drawers inside the Museum's commode (see fig. 105). There are similar pulls on the
drawers of a monumental cabinet that Roentgen supplied to Prince Charles-Alexandre de Lorraine et de Bar (1712-1780) in Brussels in 1776. The prince's cabinet is also embellished with bold lion's-head ormolu mounts at the lower corners. Lions' heads and a relief of Hercules wearing the pelt of the Nemean Lion embellish the most elaborate surviving piece of Roentgen furniture, the secretary of King Frederick William II of Prussia (1744-1797), now in Berlin. It was made in 1779, the same year Louis XVI bought his large writing cabinet. That Roentgen reserved the use of the heraldic animal associated with Herculean power only for the decoration of objects intended for rulers would seem to support a royal provenance for the Museum's commode.16

In 1984 William Rieder observed that the scrolling mounts on the frieze of the Museum's commode are replacements; they conceal holes and marks that were made when rectangular mounts like those on the London and Munich commodes were attached; moreover, they project over the edge of the frieze in some places and clearly were not custom-made for this piece.17 They are, however, extremely refined and have been attributed to the Paris maker François Rémond.18 The mounts on the pilaster strips, decorated with S-scrolls of berried laurel and bow mounts, are typical of Roentgen.19 The craftsmanship of the moving parts of the interior, such as the sliding tambour tops of the drawers that swing out, reflects Roentgen at his best.

In the summer of 1774 Roentgen had gone on a market-exploration trip to Paris, where he met the German engraver Johann Georg Wille (1715-1808). The latter's memoranda commemorate the meeting: "Mr. Roentgen [the famous cabinetmaker, . . . visited me with a letter of introduction from Mr. Zick, a painter in Koblenz, an old friend. Because Mr. Roentgen did not know anybody in Paris, I was able to help him with the names of some sculptors and draftsmen, whom he needs."20

The painter Januarius Zick, who sent Roentgen to Wille, was certainly responsible for the designs of the delicate marquetry on the Museum's commode. His considerable flair and attention to detail give these subjects a heightened theatricality and visual importance. Like the scenes on the comtesse d'Artois's commode, the center panel on the front illustrates well-known stock characters of the commedia dell'arte, the Italian comedy theater, which enjoyed a revival in Europe during the second half of the eighteenth century.20 At right is Harlequin. At left is his sweetheart Columbine ("little dove"), a lady's maid with a flower-decorated straw hat, who in eighteenth-century French comedy became the intrigant, assuming a variety of undefined roles opposite Harlequin. In the center is the beardless Anselmo with a walking stick, who—like Columbine—was long misidentified on this commode.21

Zick's designs had to be transformed into stencil drawings, and the seal cutter Elie Gervais was employed to do this. Surviving bills document that Gervais and his workshop were paid, for example, in 1773 "for drawing letters on paper and wood" and "for the drawing and engraving of heads and figures" as well as for "the engraving of a large piece with roses and the costs of the stamp." The 1773 inventory of Gervais's workshop mentions, among decorative mount models and highly refined tools, a long list of "engraving stamps" for figures and ornaments.22 Could this mean that parts of the famous mosaic marquetry—the celebrated peinture en bois (painting in wood) that Roentgen perfected and held a monopoly on—were produced by stamping out especially complicated or minuscule pieces of veneer?23

The scene in the Museum's center front panel is nearly identical to those in the center of the London and Munich commodes; however, the composition may be slightly earlier because the chair seen at left has cabriole legs, which had been popular in the Rococo period,24 whereas the chairs in the Munich and London panels have a Neoclassical design.25 On the chair in all three panels sits a small long-haired spaniel with drooping ears.

Almost identical to but in reversed position from the ones on the London and Munich commodes are the side panels of the present example. Each depicts a light-
flooded room with a tall, curtainless case-mint window as a backdrop for two musicians. On the right side, a violinist and a cellist share a trestle stand. Each reads the music from his own sheet, on which the word “allegro” is written. Brass horns hang on the wall. On the left side, two woodwind players rest at a table. On the wall behind them hang two oboes, and a bassoon leans against the music stand. Two sheets of music most likely for a trio, are propped up on the stand.

The Munich and London commodes have more complicated mechanical operating devices than does the Museum’s piece. The other significant difference between them is the composition seen in the panels that flank the one in the center of the front. The London and Munich panels show originally the left and right doors of the Museum’s piece. This is possible but cannot be confirmed. Parenthetically, it is interesting to note that there are a number of oval panels, either documented or in existence, that show the theater-box scene. This suggests that Roentgen used the design to decorate several different pieces of furniture, as was, in fact, common practice at his shop.

The question why empty stages appear on the side doors of the Museum’s commode remains unsolved. They must convey a message: either the actors have departed and the curtain will come down momentarily or we, the audience, wait for new actors to appear suddenly from the back. The fact that the marquetry on the flanking doors is slightly different from that of the central door, as is the choice of veneer woods, suggests another possibility. Could it be that originally these doors were decorated with royal emblems or with medallions depicting Marie Antoinette and Louis XVI, which had to be removed— and were appropriately replaced in a Paris workshop by two empty stages—so that the commode could be sold during the Revolutionary auctions of 1793-94?

I thank Mechtild Baumeister, Conservator, Department of Objects Conservation, Metropolitan Museum, for discussing the various problems of this commode so patiently with me over the years. This entry could not have been realized without her enthusiasm.

3. Earl of Rosebery Collection, catalogue of a sale at Sotheby’s, London, 17 April 1964, lot 54. The commode sold for 63,000 pounds sterling (176, 400 dollars).
5. The stamp has recently been associated with pieces that originally furnished the Petit Trianon at Versailles and not the château; conversation with Yannick Chastang, March 2004.
7. Plans to divide it up and readapt sections as smaller, more usable furniture were made as early as 1789 and 1791. Himmelheber 1994, p. 461; Fabian 1996, pp. 164-65, no. 365; and Ramond 2000, vol. 3, pp. 118-29.
10. For the Victoria and Albert Museum commode, see Wilk 1996, pp. 158-59 (entry by Sarah Medall); for the Munich commode, see Himmelheber 1991; for the Versailles inventory information on the commode d’Arts commode, see Kiskul-Grosheide 2005, p. 88, and n. 12 below.
11. The double V mark appears on other Museum pieces. Some of them have been questioned; see Kiskul-Grosheide 2005, p. 71, fig. 12; P. 79, fig. 29. In 2003 Mechthild Baumeister examined the back of the London commode, which is not branded with the double V but is constructed in much the same manner as the New York piece. She concluded that the back of the New York piece is original. The two marks on the present commode do not look suspicious, but in the absence of any inventory number we cannot say for sure whether it was ordered for the king’s private rooms or some other place at Versailles.
12. “représentant des scènes de comédie ainsi que sur les côtés . . . le tout en bronze doré au mat et encadrement de perles enflées, à dessus de marbre bleu turquin”; inventory of 1795 of the apartment of the comtesse d’Artois at Versailles, quoted in Molinier 1848, p. 206, n. 2, no. 5, and Kiskul-Grosheide 2005, p. 88. The countess’s piece may be identifiable with the commode at the Victoria and Albert Museum in London. Its earlier provenance is unknown. The commode at the Bayerisches Nationalmuseum, Munich, was once part of the collection of the grand dukes of Sachsen Weimar; see catalogue of a sale at Sotheby’s, London, 10 June 1932, lot 136. The Weimar and Gotha branch of the Sachse family had early connections with the Roentgen workshop. See Fabian 1996, p. 134, where an entry in the account book of Elie Gervais for 1772 (doc. no. 2.95) is quoted: “David Roentgen owes Elie Gervais . . . for starting [on 21 June] a drawing for a grand commode with six figures, on which I [Gervais] drew the head and hands . . . on 26 [June] I began with even more care so that it could be sent to Gotha, and on 1st drew the head and hands, finished on 29 [June].” This confirms that Gervais worked on the composition’s most complicated parts, the heads and hands, by himself.
13. I thank the late Prince Friedrich Wilhelm zu Wied, of Neuwied, and especially his brother Prince Metfried zu Wied, of Runkel, for their advice and permission to consult the archives in the palace at Neuwied.
14. Research on Roentgen’s use of local marble from quarries along the Lahn River, some of which were owned by the count of Neuwied, is currently being undertaken by the Roentgen Archive Project.
17. Fabian 1996, pp. 166-70, no. 366. There are small lion’s-head pulls on the inner drawers of a rolltop desk by Roentgen in the Museum (fig. 101), but if this piece has a royal connection, we do not know what it was. Lion’s-head mounts of similar form are illustrated in some furniture-mount trade catalogues from Birmingham, England, where the Roentgens bought prefabricated mounts in huge quantities; T. F. Friedman 1973, pl. 40.
20. Fabian 1996, pp. 111, no. 243; see also p. 166, no. 366 (the corner pilaster strips).
21. For a description of the commedia dell’arte subjects in the eighteenth-century decorative arts, see Chilton 2001; on Anselmo, see p. 150. The figures shown on the center panel of the Museum’s commode have until now been identified as Harlequin, Pantaloon (who has a pointed beard), and the latter’s daughter Isabella.
24. A similar ladderback chair is depicted on a panel mounted as a tabletop on a stand of later date from the Hodgkins collection that Hans Huth published in 1928; Huth 1928, pl. 54.
26. The composition may be by Christoph Willibald Gluck (1714-1787), who wrote pieces for Roentgen’s musical devices. I thank Gerhard Croll of the Gluck-Gesamtausgabe, Salzburg, for this information.
28. One is the tabletop from the Hodgkins collection; see n. 24 above. Two others, with Roentgen mounts, are part of a nineteenth-century cabinet; see catalogue of a sale at Sotheby’s, New York, 19 November 1993, lot 70 (sold again later on the Paris art market). There is yet another example in a French private collection.
Side table

*English, ca. 1780*

*Probably after a design by John Yenn (1750-1821)*

Carved, painted, and gilded pine; painted mahogany top; gilt-bronze drawer pulls; gilt-copper molding of later date surrounding the top

H. 32 1/2 in. (82.6 cm), w. 46 in. (116.8 cm), d. 21 in. (53.3 cm)

Incised and written on the back, respectively: "G IV R 54" and "2858."

Fletcher Fund, 1929

29.118.1

The history, date, and authorship of this bowfront side table have long been a subject of debate. Among the few pieces of furniture in the Metropolitan’s collection with an English royal provenance (see also no. 59), the Museum acquired this unusual table on the London art market in 1929. Its pair had been given to the Victoria and Albert Museum during the previous year. In view of the English royal inventory monogram “G IV R,” for George IV, Rex, incised on the table and its pendant, both pieces were thought to have been ordered by the Prince of Wales, the future George IV (1762–1830), for Carlton House, London. That residence was remodeled for him by the architect Henry Holland (1745–1806) following the prince’s coming of age, in 1783. It is not surprising, then, that Holland was proposed as the designer of the tables; however, as early as 1929, Preston Remington, curator at the Museum, tentatively expressed the possibility that Sir William Chambers (1723–1796), surveyor general and comptroller of the Royal Works, was responsible for the design. Primarily an architect, Chambers, who referred to himself in 1773 as “really a very pretty connoisseur in furniture,” was also known for his designs of distinctive architectural furnishings in a heavier, more sculptural manner than the light and elegant style of Robert Adam (1728–1792), his contemporary and rival.

When a third, similar but smaller, table, now in Los Angeles, marked with the same royal inventory monogram, appeared on the art market in 1981, the Chambers attribution was firmly repeated. This assessment was largely based on comparison with a pair of bigger and more monumental tables known to have been designed by Chambers for the Great Drawing Room at Gower House, London, in the 1760s (fig. 107). Later in the 1980s some scholars argued that if the model for the three monogrammed tables derived from Chambers then their provenance might well be Buckingham House, London, where the architect worked during the 1760s, and their date might be somewhat later, about 1770.
Fig. 108. Top of the Museum's side table.
The gilded frames of 4 provenance in 1895.

...the Museum's table (fig. 108) and was based on a composition by the Italian painter Guido Reni (1575-1642). Commissioned and executed in 1637-40 for the bedroom ceiling in Queen's House, Greenwich, residence of Queen Henrietta Maria (1609-1669), the painting met a sad fate not long afterward. In 1650 the apparently prudish widow of the second owner, the Italian financier Michel Particelli d'Emery (1595-1669), bought the painting for the sum of 267 pounds, 15 shillings. They were dated to about 1793 in Fitz-Gerald 1969, pp. 1158, b.

The incised royal marks are in accordance with those used at Windsor in the late 1820s. Instead of the Greek key ornament on Chambers's Gower House tables, the friezes are carved with squares enclosing paterae and acanthus ornament.

The tops of the three tables from the royal collection are painted bright yellow and have classical scenes in grisaille against a deep reddish brown background resembling the color of porphyry. Surrounded by a frame of drapery festoons suspended from a classical urn in the center and rams' heads at the corners, the central mythological depiction varies in each case. The Meeting of Bacchus and Ariadne on the Isle of Naxos is rendered on the Museum's table (fig. 108) and was based on a composition by the Italian painter Guido Reni (1575-1642). Commissioned and executed in 1637-40 for the bedroom ceiling in Queen's House, Greenwich, residence of Queen Henrietta Maria (1609-1669), the painting met a sad fate not long afterward. In 1650 the apparently prudish widow of the second owner, the Italian financier Michel Particelli d'Emery (1595-1669), bought the painting for the sum of 267 pounds, 15 shillings. They were dated to about 1793 in Fitz-Gerald 1969, pp. 1158, b.
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This particular type of mechanical table, in which the top slides back as the drawer slides forward to reveal a toilette mirror flanked by two compartments, each covered with a hinged flap (fig. 109), was developed and perfected by Jean-François Oeben (1721-1763). Jean-Henri Riesener was apprenticed to Oeben and following Oeben's death took over the direction of his workshop. Riesener continued to make a speciality of mechanical tables.

The number 3066 painted on the underside of the table identifies it in the royal furniture archives, the Journal du Garde-Meuble de la Couronne, as the one delivered in January 1781 to Queen Marie Antoinette (1755-1793) at Versailles: "Delivered by Mr. Riesener. For the Cabinet Intérieur of the queen at Versailles. 3066.—A writing table with marquetry compartments of mosaics and rosettes on a mahogany ground,
The following year it was delivered to the Château de Saint-Cloud for the queen's use in her "dressing room: one writing table . . . the mechanical top veneered with mosaic panels, in the middle an oval medaillon with an allegorical trophy with this motto: 'Numine afflatur,' the ground and all the rest of the veneer of this table in amaranth and bois satiné . . . ." The contents of Saint-Cloud were sold in the government sales of 1794-95, following the Revolution, but it is not known if this table was included. Its whereabouts in the nineteenth century are unknown, and it next comes to light in the collection of the New York banker Jules S. Bache, who bequeathed it to the Metropolitan Museum in 1949.

The oval marquetry trophy on the top (fig. 110) seems intended to symbolize French supremacy in the worlds of science, commerce, and learning. The motto Numine afflatur (Inspired by divine light) on the pen­nant pertains to Poetry. The Gallic cock is perched on a laurel branch above an astro­nomical globe, a caduceus (the emblem of peace and commerce), an architect's rule and compass, and a writer's inkstand and books with the wreath and torch of fame.

Riesener repeated a number of the deco­rative details on other pieces of furniture.

composed of a large body of drawers with mechanical springs making the drawers advance and the top move back. The middle of the top depicts a trophy with the attributes of Poetry and Literature. The hinged stand in the center rises with the touch of a button and has a panel covered on one side with black velvet framed with a small galloon of gold. The gilt-bronze ornaments consist of frames, capitals, stems of plants, friezes, and four bas-reliefs of infants, all chased and gilded with matt gold. . . ."'

Already in 1784 the table needed light restoration by Riesener so that it could be placed in the suite of Gustavus III, king of Sweden (1746–1792), during his visit to Versailles and the Trianon in June of that year: "Order of 16 April. Delivered on 29 May to serve the king of Sweden, one mechanical table with marquetry No. 3066. Restore and renew the gilding and the mar­quetry and furnish several missing pieces of the pearls that decorate the corners and have the mechanism cleaned and adjusted. . . ."
The marquetry lozenges of bois satîné bordered by black and white fillets and containing sunflowers on a bois jaune ground are found on several pieces made for Marie Antoinette in the 1780s. The gilt-bronze plaque on the outside of the drawer was used by Riesener on several other pieces of furniture, most notably on the mother-of-pearl cylinder-top desk made for Marie Antoinette's boudoir at Fontainebleau.

On 31 October 1947 Duveen Brothers sold what the firm called "The Marie Antoinette Set" for 180,000 dollars to Samuel H. Kress (1863-1955). It consisted of three embroidered wall hangings and various pieces of matched seat furniture that originally belonged to two different eighteenth-century suites, with the addition of several later pieces. The wall hangings—chain-stitched embroideries in silk on a white silk-satin ground—show musical instruments, birds' nests, baskets of flowers, and sun hats linked together with swags of flowers. The present armchair and an identical example, as well as a pair of side chairs of the same design, have eighteenth-century show covers that were made to match these embroideries. In order to give the group of seat furniture a unified appearance, the remaining pieces were upholstered with portions cut from additional embroidered hangings that were sacrificed for this purpose.

It is not entirely clear how such an illustrious provenance became attached to this assembled lot. When the settee, which dates to the nineteenth century, was published in 1927, nothing was said about its origin except, erroneously, that the eighteenth-century menuisier Georges Jacob had signed it. In 1934, however, the author of a book on French furniture stated that the set had been made by Jacob for Marie Antoinette (1755-1793), and described it as "one of the most beautiful examples of the talent of this master." Furthermore, he declared that the embroidery was the work of the queen and her ladies and that Marie Antoinette gave the entire set to Louis-Jean-Marie de Bourbon, duc de Penthièvre (1725-1793). Stamped by Georges Jacob, who supplied much furniture to the court of Louis XVI (1754-1793), this armchair and its pair have straight, rectangular backs, which characterize them as fauteuils à la reine. When cutting the walnut frames, Jacob must have carefully taken into consideration the planned decoration, leaving enough wood to allow the unidentified but obvious very skilled carver to execute the designs. Particularly striking are the deeply undercut garlands of myrtle branches that terminate in acanthus-leaf voutes. A molding of pearls and twisted-rope motifs carved along the top of the seat rail gives a rhythmical effect not unlike that created by brass upholstery nails—here absent because the chairs are covered à châssis. The original slip seat and webbing have been preserved.

The back panel, edge roll, arm pads, and comfortable down and feather seat cushion are all covered in the original late-eighteenth-century embroidered silk-satin. The back displays floral branches framed by scrolling floral borders. The seat cushion shows a basket of flowers within similar borders. Certain motifs, such as the band of pearls along the fore edge of the seat as well as along the borders of the cushion and of the back, clearly relate to the carving of the wooden frame. The high quality of the once very colorful chain-stitched embroidery clearly identifies it as professional work rather than as the fruit of the queen's pastime. The elegant floral compositions are close to designs by the famed silk manufacturer Philippe de Lasalle (1723-1804/5).

From a series of marks, inscriptions, and inventory numbers on the frames of both armchairs a considerable amount of information can be gleaned. First of all, the incised roman numerals VII and VIII indicate that they were numbers seven and eight of a suite that included both arm- and side chairs, and possibly one or more settees. It was not unusual for a chairmaker to identify the various elements, especially such removable parts as slip seats and arm pads, as belonging to a certain chair, to avoid mixing them up.
The French inscription “de Penthévre Paris Chambre à Balustre” (and a variation of this) is enlightening as well, showing that the chairs were in the possession of the wealthy duc de Penthévre, grand admiral of France and a cousin of Louis XVI. The set furnished his Paris residence, the Hôtel de Toulouse, an early-seventeenth-century building on the rue de la Vrillière, near the place des Victoires. By 1719 the architect Robert de Cotte (1656–1735) had renovated the mansion for the duc de Penthévre’s father, the comte de Toulouse. The hôtel had, according to a late-eighteenth-century description, a chambre des balustres, or room with balustrade on the ground floor. Used only on special occasions, this room was a chambre de parade, or ceremonial bedchamber. A curving balustrade, or estrade, seen in a plan by Jacques-François Blondel (1705–1774), closed off a bed alcove separating the state bed from the rest of the room (fig. 112). To have such a balustrade was a privilege, allowed only in the state apartments of dukes, princes, and grands seigneurs. The formal nature of the Museum’s armchairs as so-called fauteuils meublants, placed along the wall and considered to be part of the interior decoration, reflects the official function of the room. Given the various other French inscriptions on the frames, it is possible that the chairs were also used in a different part of the house. It has been suggested that the entire set was placed in the first-floor apartment of the duke’s widowed daughter-in-law, Marie-Thérèse-Louise, princesse de Lamballe (1749–1792), a friend of Marie Antoinette’s.

After the duc de Penthévre’s death his only surviving daughter, Louise-Marie-Adélaïde de Bourbon-Penthévre (1753–1821), inherited his possessions. Married to the liberal Louis-Philippe-Joseph, duc d’Orléans (1747–1793), who renounced his title and became known as Philippe-Egalité, she was widowed when he was guillotined during the Reign of Terror in 1793. She was exiled from France, and her property including the Hôtel de Penthévre (Toulouse) was confiscated in 1797. The chairs may then have come into the possession of the banker Gabriel-Julien Ouvrard (1770–1846), whose name is written on stickers affixed to the slip seats. Having amassed a huge fortune in war speculations,
From 1712, when the imperial armory in the town of Tula, south of Moscow, was founded by Peter the Great (r. 1682–1725), the master armorners regularly enjoyed imperial patronage. During the reign of Empress Elizabeth (1741–61), they began to produce a sideline of cut-steel decorative items and furniture now known as Tula ware. These were richly and elaborately worked using many different techniques in addition to the faceting that gives them their characteristic diamond-like sparkle. Although in their shapes Tula chairs, tables, and stools resemble traditional wooden furniture, they are chased, blued, chiselled, gilded, pierced, and inlaid like parade weapons. They have come to embody for the eighteenth century the Russian decorative arts, as Fabergé objects have for the decades just before and after 1900. So greatly admired was Tula ware in Western Europe that around 1775 its steel-cut look was imitated in silver and silver-gilt by Augsburg goldsmiths.

In 1785 Empress Catherine the Great, during whose reign (1762–96) the Tula factory flourished, sent two of the armory's most experienced steelworkers to England in order to hone their skills and broaden their creative outlook and ideas; however, English pattern books such as Thomas Chippendale's The Gentleman and Cabinet-Maker's Director (1754) were already in circulation among Russian cabinetmakers. The most imaginative pieces of Tula furniture—objects like the Museum's table—were either delivered directly to Catherine the Great or purchased by her and her family or at the annual Sofia Spring Fair near the palace of Tsarskoye Selo. Made in a cooperative effort by designers and specialist craftsmen, such illustrious pieces were seen as objects d'art and were intended for display and not for daily use. So great was Catherine's passion for these fabulous objects that in 1775 she merged her Tula collection of several hundred pieces with some of the crown jewels and placed them together in a special jewelry gallery at the Winter Palace. The empress loved the reflection of light from the thousands of
cut-steel facets that caught the rays of the sun by day and the flickering light of candles by night.

Catherine's son and successor, Paul I (r. 1796–1801), who disliked his mother's taste in many ways, did, to some extent at least, share her love of Tula ware. As grand duke, he and his second wife, Sophia-Dorothea of Württemberg (1759–1828), called in Russia Maria Feodorovna, ordered "two chandeliers" and "a stool and several other objects" between 14 May and 7 July 1786, from the Tula armorer Simeon Samoelev (Samarin) for Pavlovsk Palace, near Saint Petersburg.

When the Museum's table appeared at auction in 2001, the sale catalogue correctly identified the branded initial "P" as the mark of Duke Peter Friedrich Ludwig of Oldenburg (r. 1785–1829); however, there is one misconception in the catalogue—that Duke Peter might have purchased the table on one of "his visits to his Russian cousins." Tula ware of such high quality was not offered for public sale; rather, such furniture would have left Russia only as a diplomatic gift or as part of an imperial dowry or a present within the imperial family. The Museum's table was most likely acquired by Catherine the Great herself about 1780–85. It is later recorded, together with furniture by Henri Jacob (1753–1824) and David Roentgen (1743–1807), in an inventory of Pavlovsk Palace in 1801. Among the furnishings in Maria Feodorovna's bedroom is listed "a one-legged table with four whale-feet supporting a rectangular top, with steel diamonds and cut silver." Next to this entry is an annotation in another hand: "Given as a gift to the duke of Golsteen [Holstein] by her Majesty, the Empress." This note contains a crucial clue as to how the imperial table left Russia. Duke Peter and his older brother, Wilhelm August, were born princes of Holstein-Gottorp. Their father's sister, Johanna Elisabeth, was the mother of Catherine the Great, who was born a princess of Anhalt-
Zerbst, a family not particularly wealthy but ancient and distinguished.

When Wilhelm was killed in an accident at sea, Peter was next in line to rule the dukedom of Oldenburg. The province had been until recently part of the inheritance of Grand Duke Paul. Following the wish of his mother, Catherine, in 1773 Paul transferred Oldenburg to the house of Holstein-Gottorp in order to provide their "poor" cousins "with a solid and suitable estate." Nevertheless, the dukes of Oldenburg had to acknowledge the feudal jurisdiction of the Russian emperor, since he was in theory the head of the state. After the assassination of Paul on 23/24 March 1801, Duke Peter traveled to Russia, doubtless to pay homage to the new czar, Alexander I (r. 1801-25), now head of the imperial family. Peter stayed with Maria Feodorovna at Pavlovsk Palace from 21 May until 26 June 1801. Twenty years earlier he had married Friederike von Württemberg, a sister of Maria Feodorovna's. The marriage, a happy one, was cut short when Friederike died in 1785 after childbirth. In a letter to his sister-in-law dated 23 November 1800, the duke, who never remarried, wrote, "Tomorrow it will be fifteen years since our beloved Friederike left us. I know that your Imperial Majesty will think of us." It seems safe to assume that the dowager empress gave the Museum's table as a personal keepsake to her former brother-in-law during his stay at Pavlovsk. The empress had herself just become a widow and must have felt gratitude and affection for Peter, who had devoted himself to the upbringing of his two sons, her nephews. In this connection, it is interesting to note that before returning to Oldenburg Duke Peter bought an extra wagon to transport home the things he had acquired during his stay in Saint Petersburg.

The Museum's table belongs to a very small group of Tula furniture embellished with silver inlay, ornamental etching, and gilded applications. It includes a dressing table with chair in the pure Neoclassical taste presented by the town of Tula to Catherine the Great on the occasion of the emperor's visit in 1787. She gave it in 1788 to Maria Feodorovna, and it is still preserved in the public rooms at Pavlovsk Palace. Closest in style to the Museum's table is one in Catherine's bedroom at the Catherine Palace in Tsarskoye Selo; its stand still reflects the influence of English Rococo forms, but its top and decoration are Neoclassical (fig. 113). Another example, with a rectangular top and four tapering legs, is in Pavlovsk. Two slightly earlier examples, with round tops in the "Chippendale style," are in the State Hermitage Museum, Saint Petersburg. The table in the Metropolitan is technically the most accomplished and visually the most exciting example. The astonishing disparity between the heavy and recalcitrant materials and the light and airy form that seems to float on the surface of the sea, supported by golden whales, makes this table a supreme masterpiece. 

I thank Mechthild Baumeister, Linda Borsch, Olga Kostuk, Marina Nudel, and Stuart Phyrr for their help with this entry. I am most grateful to H.R.H. Dr. Philipp, duke of Württemberg, for his advice. Special thanks are also due to H.R.H. Christian, duke of Oldenburg, for discussing the history of this table with me and for allowing me to consult Oldenburg family documents at the Staatsarchiv in Oldenburg.

2. Other typically Russian national arts are stone cutting, associated with the Imperial Glass and Lapidary Works in Saint Petersburg, and the walrus-ivory carving done at Arkhangelsk. On the latter, see "Recent Acquisitions" 1998, p. 39 (entry by Marina Nudel).
3. A pair of silver candlesticks in the Tula taste by the famous Beller family of Augsburg goldsmiths was shown by the Galerie Neuse, Bremen, at the TEFAF antiquites fair, Maastricht, in 1998.
8. Archives, State Museum Pavlovsk, inventory of Pavlovsk Palace, 1801, p. 52. See "Recent Acquisitions" 2002, p. 23 (entry by Wolfram Koeppe); Kuchumov 2004, pp. 139-40, no. 10 (in which the table is mentioned); and Koeppe 2003.
11. Following the protocol, the Annual Chronicle of Oldenburg published during these years documents all the public activities of the Russian imperial household before recording local events (reviewed by this author at the Staatsarchiv, Oldenburg).
12. Staatsarchiv, Oldenburg, Best. 6D, no. 25.
16. A detailed estimate provided by the duke's adjutant lists all the transport costs, including money "to bribe the Danish customs" (Staatsarchiv, Oldenburg, restricted Oldenburg family papers). Three pieces by David Roentgen, all bearing the crowned P, were most likely part of the gift; see catalogue of a sale at Christie's, Schloss Anholt, 20-21 November 2001, lots 570-72. One of them, an architect's table, is shown by the Galerie Neuse, Bremen, at the TEFAF Antiquités Fair, Maastricht.
18. The table is illustrated in color in Chenevière 1988, pp. 347, pl. 266.
20. Malchenko 1974, figs. 15, 16.
Before the introduction of the Argand oil lamp in 1784 and of later technological innovations such as gas and electric lighting, the artificial illumination of the domestic interior depended largely on candles, made either of rendered animal fat (tallow) or of less smoky and better-smelling beeswax. Given the high cost especially of the wax kind, few candles were used on a daily basis, and once daylight faded the houses were dimly lit. Only at formal entertainments, such as important dinners and balls, would multiple candles—placed in magnificent chandeliers and girandoles—burn in the reception rooms. In addition to these hanging or mounted sources of light, candelabra and candlesticks would be brought in to brighten these rooms, especially in areas that would otherwise remain dark. They might be arranged on tables and mantelpieces and were often placed on tall movable candlestands with flat tops, also known as torchères. During the mid-eighteenth century, English pattern books included pictures of elaborately carved candlestands of spirited Rococo design, which were superseded by others in a more restrained Neoclassical style, such as this elegant example, one of a pair from the Irwin Untermyer collection. With their delightful design and light painted colors, these torchères stood out amid the somber furnishings of the large Oak Room in Judge Untermyer’s Fifth Avenue apartment.

Unfortunately, nothing is known about either the maker or the origin of these candlestands. Made of soft basswood, which has a fine texture and even grain very suitable for carving and staining, the torchères have kept their eighteenth-century color scheme of pale gray and light blue, which must have been in harmony with the decor of the interior they were originally commissioned for. Three dolphins descend upon a triangular base, carved with an adaptation
of the Vitruvian scroll, which is resting on three fluted, bun-shaped feet. The uplifted tails of the scalloped dolphins are lightheartedly twisted and rest against the banded and fluted column that forms the main upright of the stands. Unlike most torchères, these stands have candleholders on top, inside glass shades that are an intrinsic part of their design. Three rams’ heads holding drapery festoons are carved at the corners of a triangular platform that surmounts the shaft. The shape of these pendant swags is playfully echoed above, in the shape of the gilt-bronze husk festoons hung from rams’ heads on the glass globe and also in the chains that are suspended between the griffins above the shade.

Dolphins were a popular decorative motif in mid-eighteenth-century English designs of many kinds, including furniture. Particularly notable examples are the 1758 design for a candlestand by Thomas Johnson (1714–ca. 1778) and the set of four torchères with two dolphins entwined around their central support, from Hagley Hall, Worcestershire, that are based on it. A simplified design was included in the third edition of The Gentleman and Cabinet-Maker’s Director by Thomas Chippendale (1718–1779), published in 1762. The architect James Stuart (1713–1788), an early proponent of the Greek Revival style, further propagated the use of the motif through his influential four-volume publication, The Antiquities of Athens, with measured drawings by Nicholas Revett (1720–1804). The Choragic Monument of Lysicrates was illustrated in the first volume of 1762 with a reconstruction of its top that included dolphins supporting a tripod stand (fig. 114). It is possible that the unknown maker of the Museum’s candlestands was familiar not only with Johnson’s overwrought work but also with Chippendale’s and with Stuart and Revett’s publications. The use of such classically inspired ornaments as the Vitruvian scroll, the husk festoons, and the rams’ heads with the pendant swags also reveals the influence of Robert Adam (1728–1792), the prominent architect and designer whose sophisticated and elegant style dominated the English arts during the 1770s and 1780s.

Since one side of each base has been left uncarved, these torchères were clearly meant to stand in a niche or to be placed against the wall, probably on triangular bases. Eliminating drafts and protecting passersby from smoke and the wooden stands, which are difficult to clean, from falling wax, the glass shades were both practical and also beautiful when the light was reflected in their shimmering surfaces. Unlike the constant glare of electric light, the dancing flames of candles and the shadows they created must have emphasized—perhaps even exaggerated—the bold carvings of these candlestands and brought the dolphins, albeit temporarily, to life.

For the history of lighting, see Laing 1982; Bourne and Brett 1991; and Country House Lighting 1992.


Hackbarth 1988, pl. 115, fig. 215; and Metropolitan Museum of Art, 1977, p. 99, no. 180 (entry by William Rieder). The accession number of the pair to this candlestand is 64.101.1059a, b.

One design by Thomas Chippendale for candlestands was “intended for a Glass Globe, fixed at the Bottom in a Piece of Ornament”; Chippendale 1762, pl. CXLVII.

Similar glass globes, but without the griffins or the chains, resting on a tripod base, are placed on candlestands in the saloon of Culzean Castle, Ayrshire, Scotland; Leatmont and Riddle 1985, p. 17.

Both the design and one of the candlestands based on it are illustrated in Wilk 1996, pp. 110–111 (entry by Catherine S. Hay).

Chippendale 1762, pl. CXLV.

Stuart and Revett 1825, vol. 1, pl. XXII. The conjectural tripod is known to have inspired a number of perfume burners executed in gilded bronze; N. Goodison 1972, pp. 695–704, figs. 56, 64, 65, 75. The monument is also thought to have inspired Robert Adam’s design for a state bed for Robert Child at Osterley Park, Middlesex; Tomlin 1982, pp. 61–64, no. H/1.
81.

Console table

Italian, 1782–92
Attributed to Giuseppe Maria Bonzanigo (1745–1820); ornament designs after Michelangelo Pergolesi (d. 1801)
Carved, painted, and gilded poplar wood; marble top
H. 36⅔ in. (92.7 cm), w. 57 in. (144.8 cm),
d. 25⅞ in. (64.8 cm)
Rogers Fund, by exchange, 1970
1970.4

This elaborate and finely carved console table with pierced legs has occasioned more debate among furniture experts as to the maker and origin than any other piece of Italian furniture in the Museum. The most convincing attribution remains that to the Piedmontese sculptor Giuseppe Maria Bonzanigo, who was appointed in 1787 by Vittorio Amadeo III, duke of Savoy and king of Sardinia (1726–1796), an official
wood-carver to the crown. For the royal palaces he made a large quantity of furniture that is notable for the great refinement and delicacy of its design and the extraordinary quality of its carving. His furniture is found chiefly in the Palazzo Reale in Turin and at Stupinigi, the royal hunting lodge just outside the city. Bonzanigo used cameo medallions in a pair of tables at Stupinigi similar to the octagonal panels above the legs on the present table, which simulate cameos carved in relief with figures from classical mythology against a pale blue background. One of the panels on this table depicting Leda and the Swan derives from a 1782 engraving by Michelangelo Pergolesi, the leading Italian master of Neoclassical ornament (fig. 115).

The delicately carved, pierced legs are the tour de force of the table. Their capitals have panels depicting mythological animals. At the top of the beautifully interwoven corners of the legs are carved oak leaves, flowers, and foliage with chains of pendant bellflowers.

Other suggestions as to the origin of the table are Rome, Naples, and Palermo. In 1913 Seymour de Ricci published it as a console in the style of Giovanni Battista Piranesi (1720–1778), which it most certainly is not, having nothing in common with Piranesi’s documented furniture or his designs for furniture in the Diverse maniere d’adornare i cammini, his volume of engravings devoted to furniture, clocks, and chimneypieces. Several Italian experts have verbally suggested that the table was made in Naples or Palermo.
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**Commode and secretary** (secrétaire à abattant or secrétaire en armoire)

**French, 1783**

Jean-Henri Riesener (1734–1806)

Oak veneered with ebony and Japanese lacquer; the interiors veneered with tulipwood, amaranth, holly, and ebonized holly; gilt-bronze mounts; replaced velvet; marble tops

*Commode:* h. 36 3/4 in. (93.4 cm), w. 56 1/2 in. (143.5 cm), d. 23 3/8 in. (59.7 cm); *secretary:* h. 57 in. (144.8 cm), w. 43 in. (109.2 cm), d. 16 in. (40.6 cm)

Both stamped on the back with the crowned monogram "MA" within the legend "GARDE MEUBLE DE LA REINE." Both stenciled under the marble top with the inventory mark of the Château de Saint-Cloud and "No. 53.”

Bequest of William K. Vanderbilt, 1920

20.155.12, 11

Often described as the most famous pieces of eighteenth-century French furniture outside France, this commode and secretary are among the truly great objects made by the cabinetmaker Jean-Henri Riesener. They were part of a suite of three pieces commissioned in 1783 by Queen Marie Antoinette (1755–1793) for her Cabinet Intérieur at Versailles. They are veneered with the queen’s prized seventeenth-century Japanese lacquer, which in this case must have been cut from large screens or a Japanese cabinet of about 1660–80.

The basic form of the commode was one that Riesener had been making for at least seven years: a rectangular body with in-curved, splayed ends, canted forecorners, and a front with a central, projecting breakfront section featuring a distinctive trapezoidal panel. The piece has two principal drawers and a frieze divided into three shallow drawers. Laurel garlands of gilded bronze are draped across the front. For the en suite secretary, Riesener essentially repeated the commode design for the upper part and added below a cabinet with two doors and a raised, central, rectangular breakfront section, framed with the same gilded bronze as the trapezoidal panel above.

Marie Antoinette was so pleased with the commode and secretary that she asked Riesener to make another pair, this time veneered with pictorial and trellis marquetry. Extensively altered in 1790–91, these later pieces are now in the Frick Collection in New York.

The extraordinary quality of the gilt-bronze mounts has been much discussed in the considerable literature on these pieces. As ébéniste du roi (furniture maker to the king), with private accommodations at the Arsenal in Paris, Riesener was exempt from the guild regulations that prohibited ébénistes from casting or chasing their own mounts. He employed his own bronze workers, among the most notable of whom was the gilder François Rémond (1747–1812).

Riesener delivered the commode and secretary, together with the third piece in the set, a corner cupboard (encoignure), to Versailles on 30 August 1783, when they were all duly recorded in the royal furniture inventory and branded on the back with Marie Antoinette’s mark (see fig. 116). They

Fig. 116. Stamped on the back of the commode is the crowned monogram “MA” within the legend “GARDE MEUBLE DE LA REINE.”
Fig. 117. Detail of the gilt-bronze mounts on the frieze of the secretary. Luxuriant floral sprays encircle the initials of Marie Antoinette. The same initials may be seen on the drawer of the commode (above).
remained at Versailles only three years, after which they were moved briefly to the Trianon, where the encoignure became separated. In 1787 the commode and secretary were moved again, to the queen's favorite château, the Château de Saint-Cloud, where they were stenciled on the top of the carcass with the inventory mark of Saint-Cloud ("S.C." beneath a crown) and the number 53 and placed in her Cabinet Intérieur.0

The two pieces remained at Saint-Cloud until 1793, when they were set aside from the Revolutionary sales and reserved for the Louvre; where, however, they appear never to have gone. In 1795 they were chosen by the leading contractor of supplies for the army of the Rhine and Moselle, Abraham Alcan, as partial payment for his services during the Revolution.

The commode and secretary were next recorded in the collection of George Watson Taylor (1770–1841) at his country house, Erlestone Park, in Wiltshire. At the Erlestone sale in 1832 they were bought by the London cabinetmaker and agent Robert Hume (active 1828-40), bidding for the duke of Hamilton.

They remained at Hamilton Palace, in South Lanarkshire, Scotland, until 1882, when in the famous Hamilton Palace sale of that year they were sold to Mrs. William K. Vanderbilt of New York for the house she was building on Fifth Avenue. Following her husband's death in 1920, they were bequeathed to the Metropolitan Museum.

---

1. This entry is based on Rieder 2002, which summarizes the history and bibliography of these pieces. See also Baulez 2001, pp. 32–33, figs. 5, 6.
2. The lacquer has been extensively studied in Impey and Koluk-Grosheide 1994.
Side chair (chaise à la reine)

In his 1966 study of the furniture in the Wrightsmans' collection Francis Watson said that the exceptional quality of the carving and the use of two-toned gilding on this chair and its pair, also in the Museum, suggested the work of a major craftsman, such as the talented menuisier Georges Jacob; he added that the chairs probably formed part of an important commission as well. All these suggestions were proven to be true when records were discovered showing that the chairs had been ordered in 1784 for the use of Queen Marie Antoinette (1755-1793) in her boudoir at the Chateau des Tuileries. These records from the Garde-Meuble de la Reine, the bureau under the direction of Bonnefoy Duplan that commissioned furnishings for the private apartments of the queen, offer interesting information about the work done by the artists and craftsmen involved in the project.

Jacob, the first in a three-generation dynasty of well-known chairmakers, and later also cabinetmakers, became a master in 1765. Soon he had a fashionable clientele and was busy supplying seating furniture to a number of the royal palaces. He charged sixty-four livres for this pair. They were described as “two side chairs of a new shape made of walnut.” The “new shape” may refer to the horseshoe- or balloon-shaped seat, a feature that Jacob was to repeat for side chairs of other important sets, or, possibly, to the arched top rails of the rectangular backs, or to both.

Once the basic framework was finished, the chairs were carved by the brothers Rousseau—Jules-Hugues and Jean-Siméon, who called himself de la Rottière, to distinguish himself from his older sibling. In the Rousseaus’ 1784 mémoire (memorandum) the chairs can easily be recognized, given the very detailed description of their work done on the different parts, sometimes with a kind of justification for the sums charged. For the columnar back stiles, for instance, which consist of bundles of thirty-two rods each, tied with crossed ribbons, the Rousseau brothers charged nine livres per upright, explaining that it was very difficult to align the rods. Of the unusual finials surmounting the back stiles they said, “the two small balloons on top covered with their strings [are] carefully detailed” (fig. 119). The finials cost six livres each. The seat rail, according to the account, was carved to show five rods tied together in a bundle with myrtle branches that were “very precisely rendered” (très précisément faits). Given the challenge to the artists of carving the rods with regularity, especially where they appear from underneath the branches, the work was assessed at eight livres per pied, which added up to thirty-two livres for the seat rails of each chair. The account also specified the different decorations on the legs, which are shaped like fluted quivers, embellished with floral swags, and filled with arrows, of which only the feathered ends are showing. The Rousseaus charged the total sum of 141 livres and 17 sols for each chair.

The side chairs were next prepared for gilding, which involved many steps, including washing the wood and applying numerous coats of whiting. This was followed by the so-called reparure, the refreshment of the carved elements lost under the preparatory layers of whiting and the addition of extra details to the decoration. This work and the actual gilding afterward were done by Presle, “painter and gilder to the king,” whose shop was on the rue Poissonnière in Paris. Given the richness and the perfection of the work, according to the account, Presle charged 210 livres for one chair. Interestingly enough, the mémoire does not specify the use of two-toned gilding, only mentioning “d’or jaune bruni avec la plus grand soin” (yellow gold burnished with the greatest care). Certain details of the carving, such as the myrtle branches on the seat rails, for instance, show an unmistakably green hue. This was not produced by a different gold, according to descriptions given by Jean-Félix Watin in his popular manual L’art du peintre,
Jacques-Etienne (1745-1799), released a town square in Annonay, near Lyons. On a hot-air balloon on 5 June 1783 from the celebrated successful aeronautic experiments of 1783, which caused much popular excitement and stirred great interest in the science of aviation. The Montgolfier brothers, Joseph-Michel (1740-1810) and Jacques-Étienne (1745-1799), released a hot-air balloon on 5 June 1783 from the town square in Annonay, near Lyons. On 19 September 1783 they launched another balloon, carrying a sheep, a duck, and a rooster, from the park of Versailles before a large audience that included Louis XVI and Marie Antoinette. Other experiments quickly followed, such as the release of a hydrogen balloon by the French physicist Jacques-Alexandre-César Charles (1746-1823) from the Champ de Mars in Paris on 27 August 1783. Later that same year, on 1 December, Charles himself manned the gondola of a hydrogen balloon together with Marie-Noël Robert, who had assisted in its design and construction. They had a successful takeoff from the Tuileries gardens. The netting draped over the upper half of the chairs' balloon-shaped finials characterize them as hydrogen rather than hot-air balloons, and it is likely that Charles and Robert's successful ascension from the Tuileries park, illustrated in contemporary prints, served as the inspiration for the finials (fig. 120).

Little is known about the later history of these exceptional side chairs, only that they were for a time in the collection of Baronne Renée de Becker in New York. Mr. and Mrs. Charles Wrightsman, also of New York, acquired them in 1938 and gave them to the Museum in 1977.

Secretary (secrétaire à abattant or secrétaire en armoire)

French, 1786–87
Guillaume Beneman (active 1785-92; d. 1811); bronze ornaments possibly by Gilles-François Martin (ca. 1713-1793); gilt-bronze mounts modeled by Louis-Simon Boizot (1743-1809) and others; mercury gilding by Galle. Oak veneered with partly stained kingwood, ebonies, rosewood, boxwood, mahogany; gilt-bronze mounts; breche d'Alep marble top not original to the secretary; the writing surface lined with leather not original to the secretary. H. 63 in. (161.5 cm), w. 32 in. (81.3 cm), d. 15 in. (38.1 cm)
Stamped vertically on the upper part of the back, at left: “G. BENEMAN.” Painted in black on the upper center of the back and again, larger, below: “No. 13.”
Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Charles Wrightsman, 1971

This desk entered the Museum's furniture collection in 1971, one of many gifts that reflect the great generosity of Mr. and Mrs. Charles Wrightsman toward the Metropolitan Museum. Its beauty and workmanship are outstanding, and its provenance is extraordinary. A receipt dated 25 May 1787 indicates it was placed for Louis XVI’s use in the Cabinet Intérieur at the Palais de Compiègne. Through a contradictory twist of fate, it was confiscated after the Revolution and used by the directors of the first formally constituted French republic at the Palais du Luxembourg in Paris—despite the fact that it was a relic of Bourbon ostentation. During the first Empire it was sent to the Hôtel d’Elbeuf for the use of Archchancellor Jean-Jacques Régis de Cambacérès (1753-1824), to whom Napoleon gave it in 1808. It was recorded in the duke’s collection in 1824 and was subsequently owned by Henri de Rothschild, by Edith Chester Beatty in London, and by Guedes de Souza in Lisbon. One of the few precisely documented pieces of Parisian furniture made for the court in the 1780s, the desk exemplifies the extreme luxury and lavish expenditure that characterized the ancien régime just before the furious class upheaval that brought it down. In regard to the commissioning and delivery of royal furniture under Louis XVI,
Fig. 121. General view of the secretary with the fall front lowered.

The secretary is also an excellent example. It was made by one of the great Parisian ébénistes, in this case, Guillaume Benneman, who in 1784 succeeded Jean-Henri Riesener (1734–1806) as royal cabinetmaker, working in collaboration with a team of artisans, all under the direction of Jean Hauré (active 1774–96), who supervised the making of furniture for the crown. (Benneman, who was born and trained in Germany, moved to Paris and received his first royal commission in 1784.) Hauré ordered the secretary and two other pieces, a commode en console and a bureau plat, to complement a commode that had been delivered to Versailles by Gilles Joubert (1689–1775) in 1770–71 and that was restored in 1786. These case pieces and an additional suite of seat furniture were all intended for the king’s use. For the Museum’s piece Benneman assembled the mounts, which had been modeled by Louis-Simon Boizot and two other sculptors, one named Martin (possibly Gilles-François Martin) and the other named Michaud. The bronze worker Forestier (probably Étienne-Jean Forestier or his brother Pierre-Auguste) was paid for the cast, and either Étienne-Jean Thomire or Pierre-Philippe Thomire (1751–1843) as well as Bardin, Tournay, and others, were paid for the chiseling. Galle did the mercury gilding. Even the small gilded rosettes that formerly decorated the “eyes” of the stylized trellis-formed marquetry, covering the colorfully contrasting inlay like a net with golden knots, are mentioned (petites rosaces en cuivre doré).

The original marble top was supplied by Jean-Pierre Lanfant (who became a master in 1785), but it has since been replaced.

In comparison with the bold, stylish mounts of which the superlative classicizing caryatids are masterfully conceived statuettes in their own right, the delicate trellis marquetry of intertwined hearts and lozenges seems rather old-fashioned. The king’s desire to economize by “updating” older pieces of furniture and commissioning matching items led to this development. Nevertheless, the cabinetry and especially the mounts are some of the best that Parisian artisans ever invented. The unfortunate loss of the small gilded rosettes results in a shift of attention toward the woodwork and clearly distorts the original appearance of the desk. The marquetry technique alone commands highest respect, however, and reflects the considerable abilities of the Benneman workshop. The vertical, curving, dark kingwood lines were cut out with a shoulder knife from large pieces of veneer with such a precision that the final result is a true masterwork. This kind of desk, called secrétaire à abattant, derived from a portable writing cabinet with a fall front. When the cabinet stood open, the latter would serve as the writing surface, and when closed, it would conceal the interior drawers and other storage compartments. The type seen here (secrétaire en armoire), with a lower cabinet closed by doors and an upper cabinet with a fall front, was fully developed by the second half of the sixteenth century (fig. 121). Many examples are virtuoso show pieces with sculptural corner figures of highly expressive design. Such lavish, flamboyant furniture maintained the glory of the French crown and the country’s hegemony in the production of luxury goods. In 1780 Louis-Sébastien Mercier noted that in France in the decade just past, “six hundred mansions were built that in the inside looked like a fairyland; because [human] imagination does not go beyond a luxury so exquisite.” Their owners lavished more attention and money on the furniture than on any other aspect of the interior decor. Louis XVI’s furniture commissions were intended to demonstrate the sound state of the exchequer and to convince the rest of Europe of France’s financial stability; however, in the face of a rapidly growing public debt, swollen by wars and an inadequate tax system, the king’s advisers were in the end unable to ward off financial disaster and political revolution. It is astonishing that this situation should have initiated the commissioning of some of the finest furniture ever made, including this secretary by Benneman, one of the most prolific and original of French cabinetmakers.

1. According to a note in the archives of the Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts, Metropolitan Museum, an inventory label with the mark “CP,” for the Palais de Compiègne, was attached to this piece until 1953.
3. Christian Baulez, Conservateur at the Château de Versailles, to James Parker, 29 June 1984, in the
Daybed (lit de repos or sultane), armchair (bergère), and fire screen (écran)

French (Paris), 1788
Jean-Baptiste-Claude Sene (1748-1803); painting and gilding by Louis-François Chatard (ca. 1749-1819)
Carved, painted, and gilded walnut; daybed and armchair covered in modern silk-satin damask, fire screen covered in modern silk-satin weave
Daybed: h. 36 1/2 in. (92.7 cm), w. 69 in. (175.3 cm), d. 31 1/2 in. (80 cm); armchair: h. 39 in. (99.1 cm), w. 27 7/4 in. (69.2 cm), d. 25 3/4 in. (64.1 cm); fire screen: h. 44 3/8 in. (112.4 cm), w. 27 7/8 in. (70.5 cm), d. (base) 17 in. (43.2 cm)
Gift of Ann Payne Blumenthal, 1941 41.205.1-3

After Louis XVI (1754-1793) bought the Château de Saint-Cloud from the duc d'Orléans, on 19 February 1785, it was provisionally furnished so that Marie Antoinette (in whose name the king purchased it) could stay there during the month of September that same year. Being in need of renovation, the seventeenth-century palace was subsequently altered and enlarged by the architect Richard Mique (1728-1794), and appropriate furnishings were commissioned for the queen, who planned to spend the summers there with her children. Much of this furniture was ordered from Jean-Baptiste-Claude Sene, member of an important dynasty of Parisian chairmakers of that name, who became a maître menuisier in 1769 and was appointed chairmaker to the crown in 1784. A mémoire by Sene of 1788 describes the daybed, fire screen, and bergère (a comfortable armchair upholstered between the arms and the seat), together with four matching

86, 87, 88.
armchairs (fauteuils) and a tabouret (stool) that were intended for the queen’s Cabinet Particulier, one of the rooms in her private apartment at Saint-Cloud.3

The daybed, referred to in Sené’s account as “une sultane,” meaning a low settee without a back, is described in great detail, which permits easy identification because of its carved ornament.4 The curving front rail is embellished with ivy; the feet carry Ionic capitals; the isolated columns at the back are surmounted by Doric capitals; the frieze running along the top at either end has sun motifs and flowers; and there are garlands of roses along the crest rails.5 Interesting are the female half figures with Egyptian headdresses carved on tapering supports that are decorated with interlaced myrtle branches.6 Sené simply calls them caryatids, without making a specific reference to their exotic headgear. He charged 700 livres for this daybed.

The bergère was decorated in a similar manner and has a medallion with Marie Antoinette’s initials flanked by rose branches on its top rail.7 Sené charged 270 livres for this chair. The fire screen differs somewhat in that it does not have Egyptian caryatids but instead seated female figures on the feet and a reclining woman, originally holding a cornucopia, on top.8 The work on the screen amounted to 400 livres. In addition, 72 livres was charged for the fabrication of two, not further specified, wax models, presumably for the furniture, probably the work of a sculptor.9

Although Sené’s name is the only one on the account, he was most certainly not responsible for the elaborate decoration. This must have been left to a specialized carver, who, very likely, collaborated closely with Sené so that the latter could provide wooden frames of the right shape and thickness for carving.6 The surface treatment was done by Louis-François Chatard, whose
mémoire describes it as “gilding, burnishing, and setting off in white”; for this he received 2,392 livres, which included packing the furniture and shipping it to Saint-Cloud.7

According to the 1789 inventory of the château, in which the furniture is listed as in Marie Antoinette’s dressing room, the set had show covers of white twilled-cotton weave, embroidered in satin stitch with rows of small detached bouquets in shaded silk framed by borders of various widths.8 The queen, who is known to have worked on needlepoint projects until the end of her life, was herself responsible for this embroidery.9 Photographs of the pieces taken early in the twentieth century show that the original upholstery was embroidered with small individual flowers, not unlike the designs on the printed cotton fabrics known as calico.10 These show covers were no longer on the furniture when it entered the Museum’s collection in 1941, with the exception of the fire screen, whose front panel has been preserved.11,12 Although the natural cotton ground is discolored, the silk embroidery, executed in satin stitch, is in a remarkably good condition. It consists of floral ornament and in the center the interlaced initials of Marie Antoinette, composed of cornflowers and other blossoms, all within simulated cored borders that frame the panel. White and various tones of yellow, blue, and green were chosen for the flowers, and for the cored borders several shades of pink and a deep brown. This mat silk fabric with its floral needlepoint in a shiny silk floss would have been in perfect harmony with the dull off-white paint and the lustrous gilding of the furniture frames and was very suitable for use during the summer.12

A ground plan of Saint-Cloud in 1788 shows the new layout of the royal apartments created by Mique on the first floor (the American second floor) of one of the lateral wings.13 The private rooms of the king faced the garden and those of the queen the courtyard. Both sets of rooms were en enfilade, with the doors aligned so that one could pass from one to the next in a straight line. Marie Antoinette’s dressing room had two windows and four doors, two of which were in the short walls opening to the rooms on either side, the bedchamber and the Cabinet Intérieur. Since the dressing room did not have a niche, the daybed, an increasingly popular piece of furniture during the eighteenth century, stood, in all likelihood, along the wall opposite the windows, flanked by doors giving access to the garderobe, or closet, and a private staircase behind the room. In addition to this set of furniture, the dressing room was furnished in 1789 with a dressing table and a commode, both of mahogany, a fauteuil de toilette, as well as a mechanical writing table by Jean-Henri Riesener (1734–1806), now also in the Museum’s collection (see no. 77).14 Unlike the daybed and the bergère, none of these other pieces or the gilt-bronze wall brackets in the room had any ornament derived from ancient Egyptian art. Nevertheless, Marie Antoinette seems to have been one of the propagators of this novel form of decoration in the Egyptian taste, since she commissioned and bought a number of furnishings embellished with sphinxes or figures with an Egyptian headdress.15

The contents of Saint-Cloud were sold in 1794–95, and the furniture from Marie Antoinette’s dressing room may have been included in the sale. Nothing further is known about the history of the daybed, bergère, and fire screen until 1911, when they were twice offered for sale in Paris at the Hôtel Drouot.16 Attributed to Georges Jacob, they were in the possession of the marquis de Casaux until 192317 and then
acquired by the financier George Blumenthal (1858–1941), who was for many years a trustee, and from 1934 to 1941 president of the Museum.  

13. D.G.

3. Archives Nationales, Paris, O, 3646, semester 1, 1788, 5 May, no. 157; see Verlet 1994, pp. 244-245, 261, no. 39. The whereabouts of the tabouret are not known. The four armchairs are thought to be dispersed among three collections. Two are at the Victoria and Albert Museum, London; illustrated in Watson 1960, pp. 140-41, no. 169. One, formerly in the Rothschild collections, is now at Versailles; Arizzi-Clementet 2002, pp. 394-395, no. 105. The fourth armchair was sold at auction in Sotheby’s, New York, 25 April 1998, lot 359, and again, at Sotheby’s, New York, 22 October 2003, lot. 78.

4. “Une sultanne de 6 pieds de long à deux dossier, le devant bombé, la cintrure ornée d’une frise de lierre, d’une tore de mirte et feuilles d’eaux, les pieds orné d’un chapiteau ionique et de 16 calenure avec graines et d’un culot au bas, les montants de devant composé d’une cariatide en guirlandes sur un pieds d’estal, la guaine orné d’une perle et de branche de mirte entrelassé et d’un tirre au milieu, le derrière à colonna isolé orné du chapiteaux dorique taillées d’ore et rossette calénu au deux tierre avec feuille d’acantite et d’une base composé, les traverses des dossier ornée d’une frise à soleil et fleurond et feuille d’eux au bas pour encadrement au dessus une.

10. The bergerie with its original upholstery is illustrated in Guardian n.d. (ca. 1910), pl. 89.
11. Accession number. 41.205.3c. This panel was mounted on the back of the fire screen when it entered the Museum’s collection in 1941, although the queen’s cipher indicates that it was originally the front panel. The front had been reupholstered. In 1991 an exact copy of the fire screen was sold at auction with an embroidered front panel showing individual flowers; it may well have been the original back panel of this screen; see Christie’s, London, 13 June 1991, lot 47.
14. Supplied to the queen’s Grand Cabinet Intérieur at Versailles in 1781, it was later transferred to Saint-Cloud; Kissuk-Grosbeke 2005, pp. 79-82, figs. 28-31.
15. Humbert, Pantazzi, and Ziegler 1994, pp. 127-28, no. 52 (entry by Jean-Marcel Humbert). In her Cabinet Intérieur at Saint-Cloud, for instance, there was a table, now at the Louvre, mounted with gilt-bronze sphinxes and supported on Caryatid legs. It is described in the 1789 inventory as a “figure de femme terme ou cariatide, drapée dans le style Egyptien”; Archives Nationales, Paris, O, 3418, p. 168.
16. First on 2 June, held for 1,000,000 francs and then withdrawn; second on 15 June, sold to an unknown buyer for 1,355,000 francs. “Trois meubles qui ont probablement appartenu à Marie-Antoinette” 1924.
17. Sold from the marquis de Casaux collection, Hôtel Drouot, Paris, 21 December 1923, lots A–C. The illustrations in the sale catalogue show the three pieces with the original embroidered cover shows largely intact.
18. Rubinstein-Bloch 1926-30, vol. 6, pls. XXXVII, XXXVIII. The pieces were given after Blumenthal’s death in 1941 to the Museum by his second wife, Ann Payne Blumenthal.

89.

Secretary on stand (secrétaire à abattant or secrétaire en cabinet)

French (Paris), ca. 1790
Adam Weisweiler (1744-1810)

Oak veneered with ebony, amaranth, holly, ebonized holly, satinwood, and Japanese and French lacquer panels; gilt-bronze mounts; brocatelle marble top not original to the secretary; steel springs; lined with modern morocco leather. H. 52 5/8 in. (133 cm), w. 34 in. (86.1 cm), d. 16 1/2 in. (41.9 cm).

Stamped on the back above the right-hand rear leg: “A. WEISWEILER.” Painted underneath the stand: “151B.”

Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Charles Wrightsman, 1977

1977.1.13

“Daguerre is [fleecing] the King] of Naples at a shameful rate. I saw yesterday a secretary (price 190000 livres) of most hideous taste, with a tremendous deal of bronze ornament wretchedly executed,” wrote the well-known collector William Beckford (1760-1844) from Paris to his cousin Sir William Hamilton (1730-1803), the English envoy in Naples, on 27 February 1792. This not very flattering remark pertained to one of a pair of secretaries, which are both now in the Museum’s collection together with a matching commode à vantaux (fig. 122). These pieces and a rolltop desk (fig. 123) were bought by Ferdinand IV, king of Naples (1751-1825), for his study at Caserta.

The history of this furniture began two years earlier, when Ferdinand and many other members of the royalty and nobility of Europe were gathered in Frankfurt to attend the coronation of Leopold II (1747-1792) as the Holy Roman Emperor. Married to the newly crowned sovereign’s sister Maria Carolina (1752-1814), Ferdinand was the brother-in-law of both Leopold and Marie Antoinette (1755-1793).

Hoping to profit from this important event by selling works of art they had brought with them and to secure other commissions, the Parisian marchands-merciers Dominique Daguerre (d. 1796) and Martin-Eloi Lignereux (1750-1809), Daguerre’s business partner since 1789, also went to Frankfurt. A bill of 20 September 1790 indicates that they achieved their goal by selling an impressive list of luxury goods to the court of Naples. This included a “very beautiful commode with Chinese lacquer, richly adorned with figures and other chased bronzes, gilded with real gold but not burnished, with a top of brocatelle marble” and a secretary with similar mounts en chinois.

For information about Chatard, see Boudry 2004.
Daguerre probably submitted designs to Weisweiler and provided him with the lacquer panels and the exquisite gilt-bronze mounts called for in the designs.

Weisweiler made a number of secretaries on stand, often with slender fluted legs connected by interlaced stretchers of an intricate design and supported on short toupié (peg-top) feet. Despite the fact that they were called “Chinese” in Daguerre’s and Lignereux’s accounts, the exteriors of both of the Museum’s secretaries are decorated with a combination of Japanese and French lacquer panels. The vertical side panels, embellished with rectangular, cardlike ornaments with borders of mon symbols and scrollwork incorporating some mother-of-pearl, are Japanese and can be dated to 1630–50.

The large panel mounted on each fall front was decorated in France. The surrounding borders—metal painted in imitation of speckled aventurine lacquer—are also European.

Most beautiful are the decorative mounts that are such an important aspect of Weisweiler’s work. The frieze, consisting of

---

**Fig. 122.** Commode by Adam Weisweiler, ca. 1790. Oak veneered with ebony and mahogany and with panels of Japanese and French lacquer; gilt-bronze mounts; marble top; 38⅜ x 58⅝ x 22⅞ in. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Charles Wrightsman, 1977 (1977.1.12).

---

**Fig. 123.** Rolltop desk by Adam Weisweiler, 1792. Oak veneered with ebony, mahogany, and satinwood and with panels of Japanese and French lacquer; gilt-bronze mounts; marble top; 53½ x 59 x 23½ in. Private collection. Since the back as well as the front and sides of the desk are veneered with lacquer panels, it was intended to be freestanding.
separate panels of an almost jewel-like quality, is invisibly mounted by means of lugs fastened either on top of the three drawer fronts or from behind. Much of this ornament, such as the central mask flanked by cornucopias, goats, scrolling foliage, and trumpeting fauns, is typical of Weisweiler’s furniture. The same is true of the female term figures carrying a basket of flowers placed in a recess at the front corners. Because of their perfection, these wonderful mounts have traditionally been attributed to the gifted bronze worker and gilder Pierre Gouthière (1732–1813/14), who often worked for Daguerre. François Rémond (1747–1812), however, may also have been involved, since he is known to have gilded, and sometimes cast and finished, similar mounts for Daguerre, who most likely owned the models.

In contrast to the lustrous and dark exterior, the interior, fitted with a shelf, pigeonholes, and narrow drawers, is much lighter in color, being veneered with satinwood. The drawer fronts have, in addition, amaranth borders and small fillets of holly and ebonized holly as well. There is a set of steel springs, connected to struts, that counterbalance the weight of the fall front; the mechanism is repeated in other examples of Weisweiler’s work. An inscription on these springs announces that they were made in the German town of Solingen, well known for the production of weapons and steel tools. The drawers of the frieze, fitted with spring catches at the back, are released by pressing buttons in the interior, underneath the top. The drawer in the stand can be opened in a similar manner by pressing a button underneath, making a lock and keyhole unnecessary. A steel rod, partially threaded, keeps the slender legs in place and gives them additional strength and stability. This practical feature allows the feet and legs to be removed and the stretcher to be detached, making it possible to move the secretary in a disassembled state, decreasing the risk of damaging its delicate legs. Given the history of the piece, this must have proved truly useful.

In 1792 the architect Carlo Vanvitelli (1739–1821) changed the decor of King Ferdinand’s study at Caserta from the Rococo to the more fashionable Neoclassical style. It seems, in fact, that its new color scheme was inspired by the purchase of Weisweiler’s commode and secretary two years earlier. The British architect Sir Robert Smirke (1781–1867), who visited Caserta early in the nineteenth century, gave the following account of the redecorated room: “A small Cabinet for the King is handsomely finished with deep green[,] painted in panels bordered with black—next to this is a small gilt moulding and beyond a broad stripe painted in imitation of venturino. . . The Base and Surface is of red porphyry.” The room has kept its late-eighteenth-century decor until today. The furniture, however, was removed in 1806, when the royal couple fled to Sicily after Napoleon’s forces had occupied Naples. With the Restoration of 1815, the furnishings were returned to Caserta, where they probably stayed until the unification of Italy in 1860, when the Kingdom of Naples was abolished. It is not known when these superb French pieces were sold and replaced with the poor copies that are still in the room. In 1920 Charles Terrasse described the secretaries, the commode, and the rolltop desk in detail in a catalogue that was probably published as a form of advertisement when the pieces were in the possession of a Parisian dealer. Having been in a private collection in Argentina, the secretaries and commode were back in Paris in 1950, where Mr. and Mrs. Charles Wrightsman acquired them.

1. The author is indebted to Alvar González-Palacios for his recent research on all four pieces. Much of it was published in González-Palacios 2003. William Beckford’s letter is quoted on p. 434 of his article. The accession number of the second secretary is 197 7.1–14.
2. “Una bellissima comoda con vernice della Cina, riccamente adornata con figure, ed altri bronzi intagliati, ed indorati in oro, senza essere imbruniti, colla coperta di Marmo broccato. Un Segretario con suoi fornimenti usarti bronzi.” Quoted in González-Palacios 2003, p. 442, doc. no. 2.
3. Ibid.
4. “Un’altro mobile componeando armario a guisa di Segretario, anche di belliss.ma vernice della Cina, ed impiallicciato in legno di Ebano, con piedi fatti a colonne scannellati in rame, i fra mezze del gambi tagliuzzati ne’ piedi, il detto mobile adornato di fregi, cornici, quadri, ed altri ornamenti in bronzo molto bene intagliati, ed indorati, senza imbrunitura, l’interno fodrato di legno giallo, con tavolini per scrivere con incastratura ad un dito pollice di distanza l’uno dall’altro, per cambiare li detti tavolini a piacere, il pezzo che casca più coperto di marmo verde, con merletto in oro. Questo mobile serve a far semenza con un Segretario, che S.M. comprò a Francfort.” Quoted in ibid., pp. 414–15, 442, doc. no. 2.
7. Some of these panels have been replaced or put back differently since the pieces were published in 1920. Compare the photographs in Terrasse 1920, between pp. 14–15 and 18–19.
8. According to Oliver Impey (notes in the archives of the Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts, Metropolitan Museum), the decoration of the fall front on both secretaries is all European but possibly on Japanese black lacquer panels. The lacquered decoration on the front and sides of the present stand is entirely European, while that on its pair is Japanese with European additions. The fact that the two secretaries were made at different times may explain some of the differences in their construction.
10. Rémond is known to have gilded similar bronzes for Daguerre as being damaged; ibid.
11. The interior of the other secretary has been reworked. It is fitted with two movable shelves for papers, not unlike those described in the bill of 1792, that rest on horizontal slots of satinwood.
12. A related secretary by Weisweiler in the collection of the Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam, used to have similar springs, for instance. Only the attachment pieces of those springs, inscribed “Fait a Solingen,” are still in place. I am grateful to Mechthild Baumeister, Conservator, Department of Objects Conservation, Metropolitan Museum, for this information.
13. Again, I thank Mechthild Baumeister for this information.
15. In an inventory of 1800 the furniture was described as being damaged; ibid.
The gilded moldings and carved decoration on this chair and a matching settee (fig. 124) frame reverse-painted glass panels, which imitate in different colors the hardstones agate, marble, and lapis lazuli. Close inspection reveals the high quality and intriguingly delicate structure of the paint and the fragility of the panels, which is not evident at first glance. That the chair and settee have survived in such good condition is testimony to the technical skills of the maker, who provided a sound base for the heavy glass strips, preventing them from peeling away over time. Nevertheless, these are display pieces that were clearly not intended for regular use. The smallest body movement of a user or any attempt to shift or lift the heavy objects puts divergent forces and pressure on their ostentatious “skin.”

The Museum’s chair and settee are part of a large suite that originally consisted of at least four settees and twenty side chairs. Early in the twentieth century the set was in the collection of the earl of Derby, from where it was sold in the collection of the earl of Derby, at Derby House, London. The pieces were dispersed in 1948. At that time, all items of the suite were covered with the bold red and gold baroque-cut velvet that still decorates the Museum's chair. The importation of a user or any attempt to shift or lift the heavy objects puts divergent forces and pressure on their ostentatious “skin.”

The Museum’s pieces, which he had acquired on the London art market, kept them at one point in the Château de Castille in Provence, the home of the Cubist scholar Douglas Cooper, who himself owned at least three chairs from the set. For the moment, the original location of the suite remains a mystery, although the preciousness and labor-intensive nature of the work narrows the source of the commission to one of the great, prosperous, aristocratic families of Sicily.

The back of the settee is tripartite; the medallion with initials appears at the top of the center section, but in the same place on the side sections there is a military trophy in the form of a helmet superimposed on a saber. There is a gilded openwork frieze of overlapping ellipses along the top of the back of both the chair and the settee; this appears also along the bottom of the back of the settee but not on the Museum’s chair. These ellipses, rather freely adapted from ancient vase paintings, reflect the so-called Etruscan fashion of the last third of the eighteenth century. A very similar pair of chairs, each, however, with an octagonal verre églomisé panel set into the back in place of upholstery, is part of the collection of Juan March Ordinas at Palau March in Palma de Mallorca. That those panels show a mythological chariot in the manner of an ancient vase painting is also very much in the “Etruscan” style. The incorporation of glass panels in the center of the backs makes the March chairs even more fragile than the Derby House suite.

Other pieces from this suite are preserved in the Art Institute of Chicago (two chairs), the Ringling Museum of Art, Sarasota, Florida (two settees and two chairs), and the Museum für Kunsthandwerk, Frankfurt am Main (one chair), as well as in various private collections. Some of them have been re-covered in shell pink or blue gray fabric with the intention of intensifying the effect of the same shades painted on the glass.

2. Catalogue of the Violet van der Elst collection sale, Christie, Manson, and Woods, London, 8 April 1948, lot 174 (ill.).

Fig. 124. Settee, Italian (Sicily), ca. 1790–1800. Carved, gilded, and painted walnut; reverse-painted glass (verre églomisé); covered in cut and voided seventeenth-century silk velvet probably not original to the settee; 38 1/4 x 78 1/8 x 26 in. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, Gift of John P. Richardson, 1992 (1992.173.1).

4. Draper 1994, p. 25. On the Villa Palagonia and its glass decorations and furniture, see González-Palacios 1971. González-Palacios quotes (p. 456) an English visitor to the villa in 1770, Patrick Brydone, who called it an "enchanted castle," in which some of the ceilings "instead of plaster or stucco, are composed entirely of large mirrors, nicely joined together. The effect that these produce (as each of them make a small angle with the other), is exactly that of a multiplying glass, so that when three or four people are walking below, there is always the appearance of three or four hundred walking above." Goethe, who visited the villa in 1787, described it as "the Palagonian madhouse." See also González-Palacios 1984, vol. 1, p. 381, vol. 2, p. 275, fig. 626; and Giarrizzo and Rotolo 1992, p. 124, pl. 78.


9. Unfortunately, I have not had the opportunity to examine the chairs in question and have no idea what their state of preservation is. The Derby House set was copied in the early twentieth century; see catalogue of a sale at Christie's, London, 27 May 1993, lot 181.


The form of this elegant washstand ultimately derives from the ancient Greco-Roman three-legged perfume burner or brazier, of which the designer Charles Percier had made some study. The decoration reflects his familiarity with the wall decorations in the emperor Nero's Golden House and their adaptation in Raphael's Logge in the Vatican. A reappreciation during the Renaissance of the tripod as a luxury item of the utmost refinement is documented as early as 1499 by an example illustrated in Francesco Colonna's Hypnerotomachia Poliphili, published in Venice that year. A further not-to-be-underestimated influence on Percier was Neoclassical paintings illustrating ancient Greek mythology, such as The Loves of Paris and Helen, commissioned from Jacques-Louis David (1748-1825) by the comte d'Artois in 1788. The designer was also familiar with the richly illustrated seven-volume Recueil d'antiquités (1752-67) by the comte de Caylus (1692-1765), and the Recueil et parallèle des édifices by Jean-Nicolas-Louis Durand (1760-1834), published between 1799 and 1801 in Paris, in which plate 25 was devoted to tri­pod forms, among other types of Roman objects.

The shape of the base and of the shelf—triangular with canted sides—can be traced back to a famous antique Roman tripod named after the French antiquarian Nicolas-Claude Fabri de Peiresc (1580-1637). Percier was probably also acquainted with the athénienne designed about 1773 by Jean-Henri Eberts (no. 69 in this book), which has the same type of base.

The long-accepted attribution of the design of this athénienne to Charles Percier, the imaginative friend of Pierre-François-Léonard Fontaine (1762-1853), who in partnership with Fontaine dominated inte-
mounts and the sparkling, moonlight-cold look of the silver basin and ewer (both missing from the Museum’s piece). Several simpler washstands are recorded in inventories of Napoleon’s palaces.10

Perrier’s athénienne is known in three versions: the above-mentioned example at Fontainebleau, which has a probably not original dark, patinated metal basin (the ewer is lost); the present piece; and the famous personal washstand of Napoleon, today at the Musée du Louvre, Paris.11 Napoleon kept it in his bedroom at the Palais des Tuileries, where he settled early in 1800, in the year in which he commissioned the stand. It was one of the few personal luxury items that accompanied the emperor into exile at Saint Helena.

Like Napoleon’s washstand, this example is decorated with masterfully executed dolphins and swans, both graceful allusions to Napoleon as the rightful successor of the Sun King, Louis XIV. The firstborn son of each French ruler was called the “Dauphin,” a word that also means dolphin. That jolly sea-dweller and also the winged sea creatures on the frieze around the tri­phon,” a word that also means dolphin. At the approach of danger, with feathers puffed up and anxiously hissing, these birds protect their young within the wall of their white wings. Napoleon’s consort, Josephine, and her children were frequently compared to a swan and its cygnets.11 The swan was chosen as her symbol by Claude, wife of Francis I, the French Renaissance king whom Napoleon greatly admired.

The Museum’s athénienne was certainly made for a close friend or relative of the emperor. It is a superior example of the new Empire style, through which Napoleon, with the aid of leading artisans, tried to emulate the lavish décors of the ancien régime, modified by the classical restraint and formality of the art of the caesars of ancient Rome. Empire furniture of such superbly calculated plan and proportions may express better than anything else the confidence, fresh ideas, and energy of the age.

The design, medium, and execution of this extravagant medal cabinet are as impressive and eccentric as the man who probably commissioned it, Napoleon, Emperor of the French (1769–1821), who at the time of its making was a step away from abdication and exile.1 The first significant contemporary reference to it has been published by Antony Griffiths: “The most interesting record, however, is an account submitted in February 1814 by [the goldsmith] Biennais for 3600 francs, for what is described as the ‘médailler du Roi.’”12 In Biennais’s account, Griffiths continues, this medal cabinet is described as “in the form of an Egyptian pedestal, containing 44 mahogany drawers with silver mounts, and meant to serve as a stand for the emperor’s old medal cabinet.”13

4. Morley 1999, p. 17, fig. 10 (dated 1802); and D’après l’antique 2000, p. 345, no. 159 (entry by Anne Dion-Tenenbaum).
5. For the Peirere tripod, see Morley 1999, p. 21, fig. 27.
6. Dion-Tenenbaum 2003, pp. 19–20, no. 2; in this excellent study Dion-Tenenbaum discusses the evolution of the tripod form in great detail.
7. Ibid., pp. 11–17.
8. Ibid., p. 14, fig. 3.
9. The third known version of this model, in the Musée du Louvre, Paris, has an identical iron plate, but the pedestal ornament has been lost. On this washstand, see below at n. 11. For the second version, at Fontainebleau, see Dion-Tenenbaum 2003, pp. 23–24, no. 4.
10. For example, “une athénienne dorée beau bois d’acajou, pot et jatte doré” (a gilded athénienne of mahogany, the ewer and bowl gilded) and another with gilded ewer and bowl decorated with palettes; Archives Nationales, Paris, Of 37.
12. A Greek mosaic found on the island of Delos is decorated with dolphins and the same wavelike Vitruvian scroll ornament that encircles the upper ring of the basin holder of the Museum’s washstand. For the mosaic, see Arizzioli-Clementi 1994, p. 62.
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Medal cabinet

French (Paris), ca. 1809–19
Designed and drawn by Charles Percier (1764–1838), probably following instructions from Baron Dominique-Vivant Denon (1747–1825); made by François-Honoré-Georges Jacob-Desmalter (1770–1841); silver decorations by the firm of Martin-Guillaume Biennais (1764–1843; retired 1819)
Mahogany; applied and inlaid silver
H. 33 3/4 in. (90.2 cm), w. 19 3/4 in. (50.2 cm), d. 14 3/4 in. (37.5 cm)
Engraved above each keyhole: “Biennais.” Marked on one wing of each winged disk: the letter B surmounted by a crouching monkey, pellet on each side, within a lozenge [the maker’s mark]; a rooster and the number 1 in an octagon [the Paris assay mark for silver]; a helmeted head in a circle [the Paris medium excise mark for silver]; a classical head and the letter P in an oval [the standard mark, 1793–94]
Bequest of Collis P. Huntington, 1900
26.168.77

Napoleon was interested in medals. While campaigning in Egypt in the 1790s, he found a medal of the Roman general Julius Caesar, and in 1803 when he was considering an invasion of England, some coins of William the Conqueror were uncovered at Ambleteuse. Both discoveries were considered extremely propitious.1 He developed the practice of distributing medals on state occasions to commemorate the high points of his career, and he also had special editions struck in precious metals and sent to foreign allies. The first fully documented owner of this cabinet, Napoleon’s Director General of Museums, Baron Dominique-Vivant Denon, was no less an enthusiast. When his estate was sold after his death, three thousand contemporary medals alone were listed in the inventory.2
Denon had accompanied Napoleon on his Egyptian campaign in 1798–99 as a recording draftsman. The book he wrote based on his experiences and observations along the Nile, *Voyage dans la basse et la haute Égypte* (1802), brought ancient Egypt to life for his readers and whipped up to a frenzy the European craze known as Egyptomania. Napoleon later appointed Denon director of the Musée Napoléon (now the Musée du Louvre). He was also for a time in charge of the medals mint (Monnaie des Médailles) and designed the ceremonial necklace of the Legion of Honor, a special badge with a chain, made by the French firm of Biennais, which Napoleon gave to distinguished members of his immediate entourage.¹

Denon may have instructed the designer Charles Percier regarding the details of this splendid cabinet (see Percier’s design, fig. 126).² The pylon (gateway) at Apollonopolis Parva (now Ghoos) in Upper Egypt,
which Denon recorded in his book, served as the inspiration for the top section. The piece was made by the Parisian firm of François-Honoré-Georges Jacob-Desmalter, who in 1807 employed as many as 350 specialist craftsmen. His furniture is characterized by superb quality, classical severity, and functional perfection. Whether or not the cabinet was commissioned by Napoleon, it would have appealed to him. The emperor once compared his own perfectly orderly mind to “a chest of drawers, which he could open or close at will, forgetting any subject when its drawer was closed, and finding it ready with all necessary detail when its drawer was opened.”

Like other grand furniture of the Empire period, the cabinet is decorated with applied and inlaid metal, but here the patron demanded something out of the ordinary: the fittings are of the finest silver (having a purity of 950/1,000) rather than the usual gilded bronze. The famous goldsmith’s shop of Martin-Guillaume Biennais was selected by the emperor (fig. 127). In addition to his name being engraved above each keyhole so that no one opening the cupboards could miss it, Biennais had his name engraved above each keyhole so that no one opening the cupboards could miss it.

As Jean-Marcel Humbert has observed, “The originality and variety of its decoration make this piece an excellent illustration of the taste for things Egyptian at the beginning of the nineteenth century. At the same time, it is the very essence of Egyptomania: the adaptation of antique forms and decorations, in dimensions as well as materials, to a type of object and function completely different from those associated with these symbols in Antiquity.”

8. Jacob-Desmalter.

The years between 1815 and 1848 in Germany and Austria were characterized by a conservative political and cultural climate. The period and its style of interior decoration are both called Biedermeier, after a fictional character invented by the poet Ludwig Eichrodt (1827–1892) in the 1850s. Although the term invokes qualities typical of the German bourgeoisie (unpretentiousness, thrift, domesticity), the origin of the Biedermeier furniture style was totally aristocratic. The simple, elegant forms with their clean lines and light-colored wood veener derived from the ornamentally restrained furniture that had been made for the royal and princely households of Germany, Austria, and France beginning in the late eighteenth century. For this market, even Parisian ébénistes, such as Canabas, Saunier, Jacob, and Molitor, produced simple mahogany pieces that were set apart only by their

Side chair

**Austrian (Vienna), ca. 1815-20**

**Designed and made at Danhauser’s K. K. Priv. Möbel-Fabrik, Vienna**

Beech and pine veneered with cherry; ebonized mahogany framing strips; covered in silk not original to the chair

H. 37 1/2 in. (94.3 cm); w. 22 3/8 in. (56.2 cm); d. 19 in. (48.3 cm)

Purchase, Friends of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts Gifts, 1996

1996.417.t

The years between 1815 and 1848 in Germany and Austria were characterized by a conservative political and cultural climate. The period and its style of interior decoration are both called Biedermeier, after a fictional character invented by the poet Ludwig Eichrodt (1827–1892) in the 1850s. Although the term invokes qualities typical of the German bourgeoisie (unpretentiousness, thrift, domesticity), the origin of the Biedermeier furniture style was totally aristocratic. The simple, elegant forms with their clean lines and light-colored wood veneer derived from the ornamentally restrained furniture that had been made for the royal and princely households of Germany, Austria, and France beginning in the late eighteenth century. For this market, even Parisian ébénistes, such as Canabas, Saunier, Jacob, and Molitor, produced simple mahogany pieces that were set apart only by their excellent materials and outstanding craftsmanship.
remnants of the earliest upholstery. Seldom are curators and conservators given such a splendid opportunity to re-create the original appearance of a piece of furniture and to do it with the correct material, in this case, precious silk. The spring upholstery on the drop-on seats is original, as are large parts of the surface finish. The quest for truly comfortable seating furniture characterized the Biedermeier period: in 1822 Georg Junigl obtained a patent in Vienna "for his improvement on contemporary methods of furniture upholstery which, by means of a special preparation of hemp and with the assistance of iron springs, he renders so elastic that it is not inferior to horsehair upholstery." The Museum's side chairs, originally part of a set of six, can be attributed to the firm of Josef Ulrich Danhauser (1780-1829), the leading furniture manufacturer in Vienna. He had many royal patrons, including Archduke Karl von Sachsen Teschen (1771-1847) and Duke Albert von Sachsen Teschen (1738-1822). The Danhauser factory produced some items in large quantities, and these pieces established the firm's reputation throughout Central Europe and Northern Italy.

1. Folnesics 1922; and Himmelheber 1989.
2. Joseph Gegenbach, called Cañabas (1712-1797); Claude Charles Saunier (1735-1807); Georges Jacob (1739-1814); Bernard Molitor (d. 1833).
5. Gere 1989, pp. 188-93; Volker 1996, pp. 12, 69, figs. 6, 61; Arrizoli-Clémental 1994, p. 47.
7. Out of the set of six (see below), the Museum was allowed to choose the two chairs in the best state of preservation. They were examined and conserved by Mechthild Baumeister and Nancy C. Britton, Conservators, in the Museum's Sherman Fairchild Center for Objects Conservation in 1998. The accession number of the second chair is 1996.417.2.
8. Fleming and Honour 1989, p. 842. The technique had been in existence for some time, however; see Fabiankowitsch and Witt-Dörring 1996.

Fig. 128. This view of the side chair from behind shows not only the sculptural quality of the design but the way in which the chair back curves to support the human back with comfort.
Lapidary work Italian (Florence), the mounts and pedestal French (Paris), 1819
Mounts and pedestal by Pierre-Philippe Thomire (1751-1843)
Russian malachite, composite filling material; gilt-bronze mounts; bronze pedestal
H. (with pedestal) 9 ft. 2 in. (279.4 cm), b. (vase alone) 3 ft. 7 1/2 in. (171.5 cm)
Inscribed on the pedestal: “THOMIRE A PARIS 1819.”
Purchase, Admiral Frederic R. Harris Gift, 1944
44.152 a,b

As early as 4000 B.C. malachite was used by the Egyptians to produce precious containers for sacred oils and cosmetics. The mineral is a hydrated copper carbonate that forms by chemical alteration in the copper ores. It “grows in layers of tiny crystals, and its colors correlate with different crystal sizes: smaller crystals form light green bands and larger crystals make darker ones.”

Today the main source of gem malachite with intricate patterns and layers is Zaire, in equatorial Africa; however, in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, malachite was mostly mined in Russia, and working it was considered a typically Russian art, like the crafting of cut-steel furniture at Tula.

For large pieces, malachite has to be used as veneer attached to a firm structure. The flamboyance of cut-steel and malachite objects puts these Russian creations in a unique category within the European decorative arts. It seems curiously appropriate that the early history of the two crafts should have been intertwined with the life of the same man, Akinfi Nikitich Demidov (1678-1745).

Early in the eighteenth century this skillful blacksmith in Tula who specialized in refined parade weaponry, attracted the attention of Peter the Great (1672-1725). The Russian emperor granted him certain privileges, and he was later given land near Moscow on which to establish forges. When these turned out to be highly successful enterprises, Demidov was granted undeveloped territories in the Ural Mountains.

Two generations later, the Demidov family discovered iron, platinum, and precious and semiprecious stones there. They also searched for copper and found large deposits of malachite nearby. The Demidovs used the unusual stone to decorate a room in one of their residences, and this became the prototype of the Malachite Room in the Winter Palace in Saint Petersburg.

The first imperial lapidary factory was founded at Peterhof, near Saint Petersburg, in 1723. Here the Russian techniques of making malachite mosaics were first perfected. Covering whole wall panels with a finely patterned mosaic of malachite pieces required great skill. The stone had to be cut in thin slabs, mounted on a special plaster or stone backing, and arranged to form designs. A second factory was established, in 1726, at Ekaterinburg. It specialized in producing huge malachite, lapis lazuli, and jasper vases with gilded bronze handles to stand on grand staircases or fill alcoves in the reception rooms of the Saint Petersburg palaces. Most of the malachite came from the Demidov mines. Malachite became even more fashionable in the nineteenth century, when new and richer lodes were discovered on the western slopes of the Ural Mountains, making it possible to carry out such projects as the one for Saint Isaac’s Cathedral in Saint Petersburg, with its ten thirty-foot-tall malachite mosaic columns.

The Museum’s vase is of the so-called Medici type, based on an ancient Roman form admired in the early nineteenth century. It was commissioned by Count Nikolai Demidov (1773-1828) for his villa at San Donato, near Florence. From a distance the malachite veneer is perfection itself. A closer look reveals an uneven—and in some areas an almost clumsy—use of the stone, by comparison with important malachite objects of known Russian manufacture. For example, large areas of the surface are composed of small malachite particles mixed with a filling substance. It thus seems likely that the veneer was not applied by the extraordinarily skilled Russian master mosaists. Instead, Demidov probably had the raw malachite—a symbol of his fortune—transported from the family-owned mines to Florence. There it would have been shaped and finished by local artists, perhaps at the famous stone-working factory Opificio delle Pietre Dure, and then sent on to Paris to be fitted with its gilt-bronze mounts and set on its bronze pedestal.

The winged female figures attached to the body of the vase represent Fame. Their trumpet-attributes are teasingly shaped like handles, suggesting the possibility of lifting...
the monumental object like a loving cup. Both figures stand on military trophies and wear laurel crowns, the symbols of victory. A garland of laurel runs prominently under the lip mount. This evergreen plant, *Laurus nobilis*, was an emblem adopted by Lorenzo de' Medici (1448–1492) together with the motto "Ita ut virtus," which means "Thus is virtue," that is to say, virtue is evergreen, like the color of the precious stone that embellishes this vase. Lorenzo, wealthy patron of the arts, was called "the Magnificent." Did Count Demidov want to evoke a comparison between his own family's "evergreen" fortune and that of the Florentine Medici dynasty?

The vase's foot is firmly established on an ormolu roundel covered with oak leaves and acorns, the traditional symbols of strength and continuity that were part of the heraldic devices of several noble families in Renais-
sance Tuscany (fig. 129). These and the other mounts by the famous Thomire ormolu factory in Paris are of superb quality. Pierre-Philippe Thomire was known throughout Europe for his bronze decorations and sculpture. He established a reputation about 1780 with his beautiful mounts for Sévres porcelain vases. In 1804 he founded a workshop that produced furniture as well as luxury bronzes. After Thomire brilliantly executed large commissions for the imperial palaces, Napoléon granted his firm the title four­nisseur de leurs Majestés.9

Count Demidov displayed this vase, which is still in the Empire style,10 at the Villa San Donato, together with his important art collection, until his death. His heir, Prince Anatole Demidov (1812–1870), enlarged the villa and commissioned and purchased many paintings, sculptures, and decorative objects to fill it.11 In the winter of 1874–75, while a student at Florence, the American sculptor Daniel Chester French (1850–1931) described the interior of the Demidov Palace and made special note of the numerous objects of malachite that were there, including "great malachite vases five feet high." He added, "The Demidov Family, it seems, owned all the malachite mines in the world." At the time of the sale of parts of the prince's collection in 1880, this vase was described in the catalogue as supporting a gilt-bronze candelabrum with nineteen branches in the form of fluted, leafy stalks arranged in a lower tier of twelve surmounted by a central cluster of seven branches. Today wooden blocks fitted around the interior of the rim and pierced with twelve holes remain. These holes presumably were made to hold the twelve branches of the first tier of the candelabrum as mentioned in the sale catalogue.12

William Henry Vanderbilt (1821–1885) purchased the vase at the 1880 Demidov sale for his residence at 640 Fifth Avenue, New York City.13 In an annotated catalogue of the sale, the name Crosby appears with the entry for lot 1019, the Museum's vase. John Schuyler Crosby (1839–1914) and James Jackson Jarves (1818–1888) apparently acted as Vanderbilt's agents, which is surprising, given the importance of their official positions. They were at that time, respectively, American consul and vice-consul in Florence.14 Comparable in size and shape but set with mounts in a different style is a malachite vase in the Royal Collection at Windsor Castle. It was presented by Nicholas I of Russia (1796–1855) to Queen Victoria when he stayed at Windsor in 1844.15

I am grateful to Marina Nudel, Senior Research Associate, Department of European Sculpture and Decorative Arts, for helping me with this entry.
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Armchair

German (Berlin), 1828
Designed by Karl Friedrich Schinkel (1781–1841); probably made by Johann Christian Seewening (d. 1836) and Karl Wünschaff (1775–1878)
Mountains ash, gilded; brass mounts and casters; modern upholstery
H. 35⅞ in. (90.2 cm), w. 24¼ in. (61.5 cm), d. 23¼ in. (59.1 cm)
Purchase, Gifts of Mr. and Mrs. William Randolph Hearst Jr., by exchange, and Bequest of John D. Rockefeller Jr., by exchange, and Bequest of John L. Cadwalader, by exchange, 1996
1996.30

Between 1826 and 1828, at the height of his influence as Germany's leading architect and most sought-after designer, Karl Friedrich Schinkel was commissioned by Prince Karl of Prussia (1802–1885) to remodel the prince's Berlin palace (destroyed in World War II). It seemed appropriate that Karl, a son of King Frederick William III of Prussia (1770–1840) and the younger brother of King Frederick William IV (1795–1861) and Emperor William I (1797–1888), would engage the court architect Schinkel to draw up the plans. For the Marble Hall (or Reception Hall) Schinkel designed a luxurious suite of gilded furniture, comprising two sofas and eight armchairs, one of which is the present piece.1 Many of the details reflect the designer's sensitivity to all aspects of the room's decor. One of the most intriguing of these is the similarity between the sides of the sofas and the pattern of the inlaid border of the wooden floor.12 Like most display furniture, all ten pieces would have been placed against a wall. The details of the show covers and the ornaments on the front, such as the sphinxes looking forward from the arm supports and the lion mascarons on the armrests, give the furniture a ponderous grandeur; however, the chairs, which are indeed extremely heavy,
have casters and can be moved—belying their monumentality and very much to the astonishment of the viewer, who can thus appreciate them from all sides.

Until recently there was much confusion as to how many examples of the chairs of the former suite were still in existence. The situation is now thought to be as follows. The Stiftung Preussische Schlösser und Gärten Berlin-Brandenburg currently displays two chairs in the Schinkel Pavilion at Schloss Charlottenberg, Berlin, and there is a further example in storage at Schloss Charlottenburg. The Kunstgewerbemuseum in Berlin owns two chairs, and there is another example, which has possibly retained much of its original upholstery under a later show cover, in the Danske Kunstindustri-museum in Copenhagen. Therefore, it seems that seven chairs (including the Museum's piece) have survived, leaving one example as well as the pair of sofas unaccounted for.

It is generally acknowledged that the Museum's chair is the prototype for the series and was made under the architect's supervision. It retains most of its original gilding and the original casters, and, in addition, the decorative parts, such as the fully three-dimensional sphinxes and the flat relief ornamentation, are carved in wood following traditional chairmaking techniques. Molds were later made of these elements in lead, zinc, iron, and composite mass in order that casts might be applied to the other pieces in the suite. As the chair prototype was one of the most expensive ever produced, this was clearly done in order to simplify production and reduce costs. The use of different materials for the decorative parts of the later chairs reflects Schinkel's passion for exploring new production methods.

Schinkel's design for the prototype is based on an ancient Roman chair depicted in wall paintings that had been excavated in Herculaneum in the eighteenth century. The sketch of a related but bolder chair in the Egyptian taste appears in plate 29 of Charles Percier and Pierre-François-Léonard Fontaine's Recueil de décorations intérieures, of 1801. A closer comparison, however, is provided by plate 59, fig. 1, in Thomas Hope's Household Furniture and Interior Decoration, of 1807, a publication well known to the German architect. Hope's and Schinkel's interpretations clearly follow the ancient paintings, even to the extravagantly conceived but structurally weak armrests. If Schinkel's chairs are picked up by the arms rather than moved on their casters, the thin armrests and their fragile supports may break. A regilding of the repaired areas is then inevitable. This must have happened to the Museum's example before it was acquired.

Schinkel made no fewer than 252 design drawings for Prince Karl's furnishings. He chose the cabinetmakers Karl Wanschaff and Johann Christian Sewining to execute them. Two preparatory drawings for the chair—a silhouette and a frontal view—have survived (see fig. 130). They show that Schinkel had originally planned to use owls, symbols of wisdom in ancient Greece and attributes of Athena, as armrest supports; however, he later substituted sphinxes. These half-human, half-leonine creatures were in antiquity often depicted in monumental and funerary contexts. Regarded as repositories of arcane wisdom in ancient Greek mythology, they were believed also to be monstrous and bloodthirsty. Gradually they lost their aura of malevolence and were envisaged as enigmatic creatures. In postclassical art they have beautiful female faces and breasts, as on this chair. The motif reflects Schinkel's obsession with the ancient Greek world. His working drawings include detailed views of the coverings and other textile ornamentation, enabling the Museum's Objects Conservation Department, under the supervision of Nancy C. Britton, to re-create the sumptuous upholstery in 1997–98.
Prince Karl must have appreciated the beauty and extraordinary design of the set, for in 1872 he donated one example to the Hohenzollernmuseum (today, with a different show cover, it is in the Kunstgewerbemuseum, Berlin). After his death, in 1883, much of the rest of the palace’s contents was dispersed. The Museum’s chair was acquired by a member of the Puttkammer family of Prussia, and it presumably stayed in the hands of that collector’s descendants until about 1995. The following year, the Museum was fortunate enough to acquire it. This famous and fully documented chair model is rightly considered one of Schinkel’s most accomplished pieces of furniture as well as a superb example of the late German Empire style.  

3. Even the catalogue of the recent Schinkel furniture exhibition did not clear up this problem. See ibid.  
5. I am grateful to Mechthild Baumeister, Conservator, Department of Objects Conservation, Metropolitan Museum, for bringing this unpublished example in Schloss Charlottenburg to my attention. I also thank Burkhardt Göres for examining the two chairs at the Kunstgewerbemuseum, Berlin, with me in 1997.  
6. Conservator Mechthild Baumeister and I thank Vibeke Woldbye of the Danske Kunstindustrimuseum for allowing us (on separate occasions in 1999 and 2000) to examine the Copenhagen chair.  
8. Mechthild Baumeister and I have examined the two chairs in the Schinkel Pavilion as well as the pieces at the Kunstgewerbemuseum, Berlin. They all incorporate prefabricated elements.  
10. Published in the Antichità di Ercolano 1757–92, vol. 4, pl. 44.  

Porcelain table

German (Berlin), ca. 1833–34

Probably designed by Karl Friedrich Schinkel (1781–1841); made by the Königliche Porzellan-Manufaktur, Berlin; Helios and zodiac designs for the center of the top painted by August von Kloeber (1793–1864), design for the garland painted by Gottfried Wilhelm Voelker (1772–1849); bronze and metal mounts by Bronzearbeiten Werner und Neffen, Berlin

Hard-paste porcelain, gilded bronze, and yellow metal; iron and wood as support materials

H. 33⅞ in. (90.2 cm); diam. of top 26 in. (66 cm); diam. of top including frame 32 in. (81.3 cm)  

Impressed on the underside of the torus molding with curling-leaf decoration between the paws on the base: “16.” Incised twice on the shaft: “XII.”  

Wrightsman Fund, 2000
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This dazzling table is made entirely of the reeded gilt-metal rim with concave moldings around the circular porcelain top, the canted triangular gilt-metal base with low feet, and the gilt-bronze beaded molding encircling the porcelain curling-leaf decoration on top of the base. There is also an iron column that acts as a spine, disguised by four porcelain acanthus-leaf sleeves fitted one above the other, set off by gilded metal moldings. The gilding of the porcelain surface of the stand is daringly finished in different techniques that create delicate matt and brilliantly polished areas, producing the trompe l’oeil effect of a gilded cast-bronze support.  

The fabrication of the Museum’s spectacular table was made possible by new production and color technologies that were developed after 1832 at the Royal Porcelain Manufactory, Berlin, to attract royal patronage. The catalogue of the Academy Exhibition of 1834 mentions “a round table with porcelain top and porcelain foot.” Since porcelain tabletops were usually fitted with supports of metal or carved wood, this piece would have been a novelty and of great interest to the public. A Berlin newspaper devoted much space to the subject in November of that year: “The porcelain table with a twenty-six-inch circular top displayed at the current exhibition in the rooms of the Royal Academy is characterized not only by excellent color, gilding, and painting but by a beautifully smooth top . . . [and this] can also be said of the gilded table foot. Only the triangular base of the piece is of gilded bronze: all other [parts], even those that are difficult to make, such as the ancient griffins feet, are of porcelain, and [they] testify to the skill of the modelers employed by the manufactory, and to their good fortune in overcoming the difficulties encountered during the firing of the porcelain.”  

It is tempting to identify the table mentioned in the Berlinische Nachrichten with the Museum’s example. Very likely only two of these tables with a porcelain foot were produced at the manufactory. One was given by King Frederick William III of Prussia (1770–1840) to the grand duchess of Weimar in 1838. It is described in the king’s privy-purse account as having a “top 25 inches in diameter on a porcelain foot with figural painting.” The same account book, which the king began to keep in 1811 to record royal gifts within his family (and their value) as well as diplomatic presents to foreign dignitaries, mentions in much more detail an earlier gift by the monarch to Grand Duchess Helena Pavlovna of Russia (1807–1873). In an entry of 1 June 1835, the Museum’s table is described as follows: “For Her Royal Highness the Grand Duchess Helene, 1. Tabletop, circular, 25 inches in diameter, with colored figures, the subject Helios, on a blue background, surrounded by a zodiac border in brown and gold on matt gold, the whole background
polished gold, on which colored fruits and flower garlands are intertwined with a blue band, also colored butterflies and insects. The price (1,200 taler) was very high, surpassed only by that of the monumental vases the king commissioned as gifts for the Russian court. The top (fig. 131) is richly decorated with a central tondo in which Helios, the sun god, is seen rising up to heaven from the eastern Ocean in a carriage pulled by four white horses. "Iconographically the signs of the . . . zodiac [in the band around the tondo] belong to the triumph of light during the course of the seasons."8 The latter are represented by garlands of fruits and flowers that flourish as the year progresses, and these are displayed on a wreath of vine leaves, autumn's bounty. The exotic fruits, such as pineapples and pomegranates, possibly symbolize winter, when they would have been cultivated in the fashionable and expensive greenhouses of the period, a luxury as extravagant as a table made almost completely out of porcelain.

As unusual as this lavish present was the character of the recipient, Helena Pavlovna. Born Princess Charlotte of Württemberg, she married, in 1824, Grand Duke Michael Pavlovitch, the youngest brother of Alexander I of Russia (1777-1825). She was one of the most fascinating personalities of the period, always a little ahead of her time. Socially and politically concerned with Russia's future, she was a most outspoken advocate of the abolition of serfdom, setting a courageous example on her own estates. In 1859, two years before Alexander II (1818-1881) officially abolished serfdom, the grand duchess freed more than fifteen thousand people living on her estates in the Ukraine.9 During the Crimean War, this mother of five daughters established a nursing unit, a step that was aggressively opposed by leading military figures. This was the first war in which medically trained women served on the battlefield, saving the lives of thousands of soldiers. The salon of her residence, the Mikhail Palace—designed by Karl Rossi (1775-1849) and the finest example of architecture in the Russian Empire style—was one of the cultural centers of Saint Petersburg. The palace now houses the State Russian Museum. Beginning in 1852, the gifted pianist Anton Rubinstein (1829-1894) was part of the grand duchess's artistic entourage. She firmly supported Rubinstein's ambition to found Russia's first musical conservatory, whose most famous student would be the composer Peter Ilyich Tchaikovsky (1840-1893).10

The Museum's table can be attributed to Karl Friedrich Schinkel, Germany's most important nineteenth-century architect, who was also a gifted interior designer (see the entry for no. 95). Schinkel's tables are distinguished by their strong compositions and inventive interpretations of ancient models, especially the tripod form.11 Closely related shaft designs with highly stylized acanthus leaves arranged in tiers can be seen on the monumental candelabra of 1831-32 in the Schinkel Pavilion at Schloss Charlottenburg, Berlin.12 It seems that during this period in Berlin only Schinkel could coordinate many different craftsmen in the production of a large and complex object that looks magnificent when seen from a distance and withstands the close scrutiny of a connoisseur in its refined details. These qualities, rarely seen in furniture, characterize this extraordinary table.

I am very grateful to Achim Stiegel, of the Kunsthistorisches Museum, Berlin, who researched this table extensively before the Museum acquired it. His unpublished notes made it possible to confirm previously vague attributions to the various artists involved. I am also grateful to Ilse Baer and Winfried Baer, for their generous help with archival information.

Fig. 131. Top of the table.

12. Karl Friedrich Schinkel 1981, p. 315, no. 275 (entry by Winfried Baer); see also Schinkel's signed candleabra design in Sievers 1950, fig. 227. For a stand by Schinkel with a similar design, see Sievers 1950, fig. 58.
Sofa and armchair

Italian (Piedmont), ca. 1835
Designed by Filippo Pelagio Palagi (1775–1860); made by Gabrielle Cappello (1806–1876)
Mahogany veneered with maple and mahogany; covered in modern silk brocade
Sofa: h. 42⅜ in. (108 cm), w. 90 in. (228.6 cm), d. 31⅜ in. (79.1 cm); armchair: h. 43 in. (109.2 cm), w. 27½ in. (70 cm), d. 24 in. (61 cm)
Inscribed in pencil on the frame of the sofa seat: "Racconigi Camera da letto degli Augusti Sposi."
Stenciled in green on the back of the frame of the chair are marks that reflect the last inventory made at the Castello di Racconigi, in 1900: "3425," with traces of the letters "PPR," erased. The chair bears the incised stamp "PPR 3425."
Purchase, Gift of J. Pierpont Morgan, by exchange, 1987
1987.62.1,3

The Museum's sofa and two armchairs, one of which is illustrated here, are from a suite of twelve pieces of furniture—consisting of a daybed (fig. 132), sofa, four armchairs, and six side chairs—made for the royal bedroom and adjacent drawing room at Racconigi, the summer palace of the kings of Sardinia, later to become kings of Italy, near Turin. The inscription on the sofa translates literally as "Racconigi Bedroom of the August Married Couple," that is, the Royal Bedroom.

The set was designed by Filippo Pelagio Palagi, who trained as a painter in Bologna. After working in Rome and Naples, in 1818 he opened a private art school in Milan. In 1832 he was summoned to Turin by King Carlo Alberto I of Sardinia (r. 1831–49) to direct the Scuola di Ornato of the newly founded Accademia Albertina di Belle Arti and charged with the renovation and redecoration of the royal palaces: the Palazzo Reale and Racconigi. Palagi designed not only major features such as ceilings, floors, and furniture but also candelabra, chandeliers, and curtain rods, achieving a decorative unity that blended architecture with the ornamentation and furnishing. The style of this suite, which might best be called Italianate Late Empire, incorporates motifs from as far afield as Etruscan bronzes, ancient Greek vases, and Biedermeier furniture. In his eclecticism, Palagi announces the oncoming historicism of the revival styles.

His furniture for the royal palaces represents Italian craftsmanship of the period at its highest level. This suite was executed by Gabrielle Cappello, known as Moncalvo, with the assistance of Carlo Chivasse. Moncalvo, who executed many of Palagi's designs for Racconigi, was a master of inlay and veneer and headed a large workshop. He was awarded a medal at the 1851 Great Exhibition of the Works of Industry of All Nations in London for a set of furniture from the Etruscan Study at Racconigi.

Other pieces from this suite have been acquired by the J. Paul Getty Museum, Los Angeles (the daybed), the Art Institute of Chicago (two side chairs), the Minneapolis Institute of Arts (two armchairs), the Detroit Institute of Arts (side chair), the Virginia
Fig. 132. Daybed designed by Filippo Pelagio Palagi and made by Gabrielle Cappello, ca. 1835. Mahogany veneered with maple and mahogany, covered in modern silk brocade; 31 1/2 x 88 3/4 x 27 3/4 in. The J. Paul Getty Museum, Los Angeles, copyright © The J. Paul Getty Museum.

Museum of Fine Arts, Richmond (side chair), 4 and the Victoria and Albert Museum, London (side chair). 5 The sofa and two armchairs were acquired by the Metropolitan Museum in 1980 from Heim Gallery, Ltd., London, together with fragments of the original blue and silver silk brocade upholstery, which allowed several of these museums to collaborate in commissioning new upholstery from the firm that made the original fabric: Prelle in Lyons. 

1. The accession number of the Museum's second armchair is 1987.62.2.
At the London International Exhibition of 1862 this remarkable cabinet was part of the first public showing by the newly established firm of Morris, Marshall, Faulkner and Company. It was one of six pieces of painted furniture by the firm included in the Medieval Court of the exhibition, where a range of objects in an eclectic mixture of Gothicizing styles was on display. Forming the “most conspicuous feature of the Court,” according to William Burges (1827–1881), these exhibits reflected a contemporary interest in painted furnishings and in particular the influence of Burges himself. He was an architect and designer with a passion for medieval forms and techniques, and his studies resulted in carefully constructed furniture with integral painted scenes. Burges praised the pieces by Morris, Marshall, Faulkner and Company shown at the 1862 exhibition, but they were not generally admired. The Ecclesiologist for June 1862 observed: “Some painted and japanned furniture, exhibited by Messrs. Morris, Marshall, and Co., is simply preposterous. We believe that it is meant to be inexpensive; but some of the affixed prices scarcely bear out the assertion. We must totally decline to praise the design or execution of these specimens. The colouring in particular is crude and unpleasing, while the design is laboriously grotesque.” According to a description in the Building News for 8 August of that year, the two doors of the Museum’s lacquered cabinet were beautifully painted with single figures on a punctured gilt background. These pictures were said to be “decidedly the best of Messrs. Morris, Faulkner, & Marshall’s work,” but, the critic added, “if we possessed the cabinet we should cut them out and frame them, and put the rest behind the fire, because it gives us perfectly the rude execution and barbarous ornament of centuries ago.”

The versatile William Morris (1834–1896), one of the leading artists and theorists of the late Victorian era, is generally not known for his furniture and, with exception of a few pieces for his own use, he designed very little of it. He relied largely on the talent of his friend the architect Phillip Webb, who designed furniture for the Red House in Bexleyheath that he built for Morris and his bride, Jane Burden (1839–1914). The painter Edward Burne-Jones decorated some of these early furnishings, and others were embellished by Morris himself. The fruitful collaboration between various architects and Pre-Raphaelite painters on the interior decoration of the Red House, combined with the need that they felt to reform the minor arts, which were, according to Morris, “in a state of complete degradation,” led them to establish their own firm in 1861.

Webb was also the mastermind behind the Museum’s cabinet—his accounts with the firm recorded an 1861 payment of one pound, ten shillings for the design of the “chess player” cabinet. Medieval in spirit, with a refreshingly simple rectilinear shape, stylized finials, and honest construction, the cabinet served as a perfect vehicle for the painting by Burne-Jones. Executed on leather that has been fastened with brass tacks to the wooden carcase, the composition shows a backgammon-playing couple in a garden. For this, two preparatory drawings and a watercolor are known (see fig. 133). It has been suggested that the striking redhead Fanny Cornforth (1824–1906), mistress of the painter Dante Gabriel Rossetti (1828–1882), served as model for the female figure.

The technique used here of applying several coats of tinted varnish over silver foil, creating an almost iridescent effect, was already known in the Middle Ages. Burges is credited with having reintroduced this method of decorating furniture, which was first written up by Theophilus in his treatise De Diversis Artibus. This twelfth-century monk also described how to cover altar and door panels with animal hides, and it is quite possible that the idea of painting on leather, regularly practiced by Morris, Marshall, Faulkner and Company, was also generated by this medieval manuscript.

The structural members of the cabinet provide a decorative frame, as it were, for the scene on the doors. They are—just as are also the cabinet’s sides and interior—embellished with rhythmical patterns of

---

**Fig. 133.** Edward Burne-Jones, *The Backgammon Players*, 1861. Pencil heightened with bodycolor. Fitzwilliam Museum, University of Cambridge (2004).
geometric shapes or of two-dimensional floral ornament that clearly foreshadow the future wallpaper and textile designs produced by the firm. Although the company remunerated Webb during the early years of its existence for painting and lacquering leather panels and woodwork,15 it has been suggested that Burre-Jones may have been partly responsible for the decorative work on the Museum’s cabinet. An entry in his account book with the firm, dating to the first months of 1862, listed “Gold cabinet: woodwork £5 painting £10.”16 The copper pulls on the inner drawers, remarkably modern, are identical to those found on a cabinet designed by Webb and painted by Morris, which was also shown at the 1862 exhibition.17

Priced at thirty guineas,18 the cabinet was sold at the time of the exhibition to the politician Henry Labouchère, later Baron Taunton (1798–1869). In correspondence of 11 December 1862, Morris, Marshall, Faulkner and Company explained that there was a delay in delivering the cabinet to its new owner due to minor damage that had occurred during its transportation to and from the exhibition. In addition, the painted surfaces had suffered from dampness during the exposition, which the company “felt bound to repair . . . though they are of slight account in themselves yet it takes some time for the paint & varnish to dry properly.”19 The cabinet remained with the descendants of Lord Taunton until 1926, when his grandson decided to offer it for sale. A farsighted and important acquisition, it was purchased that year by the Museum through the London dealer R. Langston Douglas.

Table

| Tabletop: Italian (Florence), ca. 1855–60; stand: Austrian (Vienna), ca. 1865 |
| Designed by Theophil Hansen (1813–1891); the top executed at the Opificio delle Pietre Dure, Florence; the stand executed by Heinrich Dübell (active ca. 1853–80); the carved elements and the models for the bronze sculptures made by Josef Dollischek (active 1865–72) and cast by the firm of Hagenmeyer |
| Tabletop: Belgian black marble, semiprecious stones; stand: painted pine, ebonized fruitwood; brass; gilt-bronze mounts |
| Diam. of top 31 in. (79.5 cm); h. of stand 30⅞ in. (77.5 cm) |
| Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Nathaniel Spear Jr., 1982.168.a,b |

When this table arrived at the Metropolitan, it was thought to have been made in Florence about 1880; however, Museum curator James Parker pointed out that the “stand for the table top, which does not resemble [1880s] Italian work, was probably made at about the same time in Vienna, where both table top and stand were in the early years of the twentieth century.”20

Recent research has focused on the stand; the quality of its design and the subtle execution point to Theophil (Theophilus Edward) Hansen, one of the most important architects of the second half of the nineteenth century. After studying at the Academy in Copenhagen, Hansen won a scholarship in 1838 and traveled to Berlin, where he became an admirer of architect and designer Karl Friedrich Schinkel’s work (see the entries for nos. 95, 96). Following a stay in Munich, Hansen embarked on a study tour of Italy and Greece, before settling in Vienna in 1846. There he helped construct several public buildings, including the museum of arms and armor at the Viennese arsenal. Hansen soon became one of the most sought-after architects in the Austrian capital. Together with Friedrich von Schmidt and Heinrich von Ferstel, he was part of the triumvirate that dominated Viennese architecture in the 1860s and 1870s.21 During these years he created the Wiener Stil (Vienna Style), a distinguished and elegant interpretation of High Renaissance art. He also helped design the famous boulevard known as the Ringstrasse. After the Austrian Parliament (1873–83), Hansen’s best-known creation may be the imposing Golden Hall at the Vienna Musikverein, of 1867–69, in which the Vienna Philharmonic performs its annual concert on New Year’s Day, an event that has been televised around the world for decades and has acquainted millions of music lovers with Hansen’s magnificent architecture.

During the 1860s Hansen was mainly concerned with the interior decoration of two grand Viennese houses, the Palais Todesco and the Palais Epstein and Ephrussi.
His patrons were wealthy men who wanted to showcase their possessions (which symbolized their accomplishments) in the artistically decorated reception rooms of their splendid mansions. The owner of the Palais Todesco, like his colleagues S. M. von Rothschild and Baron Jonas Königswarter, was a powerful Austrian banker and member of the Vienna stock exchange. These elites moved in what has been called a “second society,” a different world from that of the old Austrian aristocracy. Wealth was its basis, and its lifeblood was the practice of unregulated capitalism. In the private office of Eduard Todesco, directly over his desk, was a fresco, *The Allegory of Trading*. For this sophisticated patron of art, wealth was not only the means to acquire the beautiful things that he wanted but an aspect of his identity.

The Museum’s table stood in one of the most important public rooms in the Palais
was founded in 1588 by the Medici family.

Workers' manufactory that made the top, shaped legs of the chairs and table and the style. The unifying elements are the vase-Hansen's finest creations in the "modern" for the Salon by Hansen (see fig. 134). In of an ensemble that was specifically created CD The table is part the tabletop probably marked the places of smoking, alcoholic beverages, and other amusements. The eight floral compositions on the tabletop probably marked the places of participating card players.22 The table is part of an ensemble that was specifically created for the Salon by Hansen (see fig. 134). In 1866 the suite was described as one of Hansen's finest creations in the "modern" style.23 The unifying elements are the vase-shaped legs of the chair and table and the bronze figures decorating them.24 Not much is known about the Viennese court cabinetmaker Heinrich Dübell, who made the stand; some very diverse furniture by him exists, documenting his workshop's flexibility.25

The Opificio delle Pietre Dure, the stoneworkers' manufactory that made the top, was founded in 1588 by the Medici family in Florence. Late Renaissance tables by the Opificio with pietre dure (hardstone mosaic) tops supported on carved-wood vase-shaped stands with putti decoration could have influenced Hansen.26 The 1860s, when the table is first recorded, were years of dramatic political change on the Italian peninsula. Tuscany had been ruled by the house of Hapsburg-Lorraine since 1766. After the uprising under Giuseppe Garibaldi (1807-1882), Grand Duke Ferdinand IV of Hapsburg-Toscana had to leave Tuscany, which became part of a unified Italy. It is likely that this tabletop was bought shortly before 1860, possibly as a souvenir of some northern visitor's grand tour; many travelers purchased the famous pietre dure panels and had them mounted on stands as console or center tables by cabinetmakers at home. A similar eclectic table is depicted in a portrait of Emperor Francis I of Austria (1768-1835) by Friedrich von Amerling (1803-1887),27 and there exist several examples of pietre dure tops on later stands in the Chinese (Blue) Salon at Schönbrunn Palace, in Vienna.28

101.

Cabinet (armoire)

French (Paris), 1867
Designed by Jean Brandely (active 1855-67); made by Charles-Guillaume Diehl (1811-1865); mounts and large central plaque by Emmanuel Frémiet (1821-1910)
Oak veneered with cedar, walnut, ebony, and ivory; silvered bronze mounts
H. 93 1/2 in. (238 cm), w. 39 1/2 in. (101 cm), d. 25 1/2 in. (60 cm)
Incised at the bottom edge and at the lower left of the large plaque, respectively: "BRANDELY DIEHL. FREMIET" and "E. FREMIET."
Purchase, Mr. and Mrs. Frank E. Richardson Gift, 1989
1989.197

When the prototype for this cabinet, now in the collection of the Musée d’Orsay, Paris, was exhibited at the Exposition Universelle in 1867, the reaction of the British Royal Commission was not at all favorable: "Some heavy work by Diehl, containing metal bas-reliefs by Frémiet, is an invention, though, probably, the visitor will not care to see such work repeated."29 Perhaps the public would not have liked to see more of this kind of furniture, but Diehl must have been very pleased with the results because he ordered a second, nearly identical, cabinet for himself, the present example, now in the Museum’s collection.30

Born in Steinbach, Hesse, Diehl moved to Paris in 1840. There he started a workshop that expanded into a large and thriving establishment specializing in the production of luxury objects and small pieces of furniture decorated with boulle marquetry, mounted with porcelain and bronzes, or veneered with costly woods.31 Diehl participated in numerous international exhibitions, where his work was usually well received, and he was awarded many medals.

For the realization of an ambitious model that he intended to submit to the

Fig. 135. Detail of the projecting underside of the central plaque on the cabinet, inscribed with the names of the artists.
Paris exposition of 1867, Diehl enlisted the help of the industrial designer Jean Brandely and the sculptor Emmanuel Frémiet. Unlike much furniture of the period, which was executed in various revival styles, this piece is truly unconventional in shape. Unexpected, too, is its strong expression of French nationalism. Beneath a sarcophagus-like top and above two front legs reminiscent of capitals, the armoire’s central plaque of silvered bronze evokes a legendary past. It depicts the return of King Merovech (d. 458), leader of the Salian Franks, after defeating Attila at the battle of the Catalaunian Fields in 451 and thereby saving Western Europe from the marauding Huns. Surrounded by armed warriors, Merovech stands atop an ox-drawn chariot while the driver urges the three hesitant oxen to step over the body of a fallen enemy.

Clearly serving as a triumphal arch, an openwork frieze frames the round-topped central plaque and is supported at each side by cedar-veneered pilasters. Trophies of Frankish arms and armor, corner mounts of yoked ox heads, hooves, and fantastic animals further strengthen the sculptural character of this highly unusual piece. The talented sculptor Frémiet, known for his vivid representations of animals and equestrian sculptures of historical figures in bronze, created these mounts as well as the central relief, on which his name appears twice (see fig. 135). The artist’s fascination with natural history is clearly expressed in this work.

Diehl may well have made the first version of this model, which is fitted with fifty interior drawers for the storage of medals, with Emperor Napoleon III (1808–1873) in mind. The victory of King Merovech, founder of the Merovingian dynasty of Frankish kings, was a source of national pride to the French, and a special section devoted to the Merovingian era was included in the Musée des Souverains established in Paris by Napoleon III in 1852. A decade later the emperor decided to install a Gallo-Roman museum in the old château at Saint-Germain-en-Laye, subsequently known as the Musée des Antiquités Nationales. Despite the overt reference to a decisive moment in early French history, Napoleon III was not interested, and the cabinet remained unsold. Diehl was awarded only a bronze medal in the category of furniture by the jury of the exhibition, and considering this an offense, he refused to accept the award.

Not all the criticism, however, was negative. The precisely executed marquetry borders framing the door as well as the arabesques on the sides were praised as magnificent, and the large relief was called “truly artistic.” Particularly positive was the description by Jules Mesnard in his Les merveilles de l’Exposition Universelle de 1867. After commenting favorably on Diehl’s extreme originality, the author expounded on the Merovingian style of the piece, wondering how the artist found models for it since the art, and especially the furniture, of this period was practically nonexistent. Mesnard went on to say that Diehl did not create a cabinet in the Merovingian manner but in the spirit, style, and taste of that era. Praising the correctness of the chariot and its decoration, the costumes, and the arms and armor, Mesnard described Frémiet, who had made equestrian sculptures of a Gallic chief and a Roman horseman in 1866 for the Musée des Antiquités Nationales, as famous for his archaeological veracity. The author also commented on the unusual hidden hinges that are enclosed inside the cabinet once the door is shut. In 1875 the armoire was sent to the international exhibition in Vienna, where again it failed to find a buyer. Not until a century had passed did the French government finally acquire it for the national collections.

This version of the armoire, which Diehl commissioned for his own use, differs in some aspects from its prototype. The interior is fitted as a regular armoire rather than as a medal cabinet. On the exterior Diehl made several changes as well, enriching it with extra mounts, also by Frémiet, in the shape of trophies of arms placed in the spandrels of the arch as well as with the fantastic, almost froglike creatures in the corners below. Diehl kept the piece at his country house at Lagny, east of Paris, until his death, whereupon Albert Dubosc (1874–1956), a collector of works by Frémiet, acquired it for his residence La Roseraie at Sainte-Adresse. A decade later the Museum purchased it from descendants of Dubosc, becoming only the third owner of this most compelling armoire.
Frame

Italian (Florence), 1870
Egisto Gajani (1832–1890)
Carved and partially gilded walnut; modern mirror glass backed with oak
H. 30⅜ in. (78.1 cm), w. 22⅛ in. (57.9 cm), d. 3⅜ in. (9.2 cm)
Incised on the frame below the glass: “EGISTO GAJANI FECE/ FIRENZE 1870”
Gift of James Parker, in memory of Alfreda Huntington, 1992
1992.317

At the Exposition Universelle of 1867 in Paris, Egisto Gajani showed various examples of his talent, including two sumptuously sculptured frames that had taken nearly a year to complete. Reproduced in The Illustrated Catalogue of the Universal Exhibition, one of these oval frames was largely composed of luxuriant openwork acanthus scrolls and the other was nearly identical to the Museum’s object (fig. 136). Gajani’s works were, according to the commentary, “of rare excellence in execution, and in design prominent among the best of Italy.”

Gajani was one of a small group of virtuoso carvers active in Florence and Siena during the second half of the nineteenth century. In search of a national style after the unification of Italy in 1861, they worked
in a manner that was derived from the Italian Renaissance, and it quickly found favor all over Europe and also in America. Trained in Florence, first under Angiolo Barbetti (1805–1873) and subsequently under Francesco Morini (1822–1899), Gajani opened his own workshop in Florence in the early 1860s. It was to become one of the most esteemed in the city, employing twelve to fourteen craftsmen in 1867. Working frequently for foreign clients, the artist designed and executed entire room decorations—furniture as well as smaller objects. Between 1865 and 1887 he was a regular participant in the international exhibitions, where he received a number of awards. Together with his distinguished colleague Luigi Frullini (1839–1897), Gajani issued an undated album of photographs entitled Panneaux et ornements en bois sculptés. These images show that although the artists at times adopted a very similar manner, Frullini’s work was predominantly characterized by its graceful tendrils and minute renderings of plants and birds. Gajani’s art, on the other hand, was generally executed in a bolder style that translated well into the decoration of larger pieces of furniture as well as of monumental mantelpieces.

The Museum’s oval frame, now fitted as a mirror, has a winged putto in a contrapposto pose standing in a scallop shell as crest decoration. Cords strung with clusters of various fruits and pinecones, carved almost in the round, descend from the raised arms of this naked amorino along the upper edges of the frame. Beautifully contrasting with the punched and gilded background, the high-relief decoration consists further of a grotesque mask, coiling acanthus leaves and flowers, as well as fantastic dragon-headed creatures with birdlike bodies. A strapwork cartouche below, incised with the name of the artist and place and date of origin, shows this frame to be a later variation of the one exhibited in Paris in 1867. The sensuality of the putto, combined with the fruit garlands that are somewhat reminiscent of the ceramic wreaths by the Della Robbia family, and the fanciful creatures and plant ornament, lend the frame an unmistakably nineteenth-century flavor. They illustrate clearly that rather than being a mere copyist, Gajani was a talented artist who possessed a spirited imagination.

Not only did Gajani use this model, albeit slightly changed, at least twice but the Sienese artists Arturo Guidi (1844–1911), Angelo Querci (1838–1900), and Gaetano Gosi (1835–after 1892) executed a similar frame in 1870, signaling a certain vogue for this kind of richly sculptured decorative object in a Renaissance-inspired style.

The frame was the gift of James Parker, an authority on European furniture, curator at the Museum for four decades, and a cherished colleague, in memory of a close Anglo-American friend and a wonderful hostess, Alfreda Huntington, in 1992.

---

5. The album consists of twenty-six sheets of photographs. A copy is in the Thomas J. Watson Library at the Metropolitan Museum.
8. Draper 1994, p. 44.

---
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Settee

**English (London), 1885**

*Designed by Sir Lawrence Alma-Tadema (1836–1912); made by Johnstone, Norman and Company, London*

*Ebony, boxwood, sandalwood, cedarwood, ebonized mahogany, ivory, mother-of-pearl, and brass; covered in modern silk, colored trim*

*H. 35 1/2 in. (90.2 cm), w. 58 1/4 in. (148 cm), d. 28 in. (71.1 cm)*

*Bequest of Elizabeth Love Godwin, 1975, 1975.219a*

In 1881 Henry Gurdon Marquand (1819–1902), a wealthy banker, Museum benefactor, and collector, commissioned his friend the eminent architect Richard Morris Hunt (1827–1895) to design a residence for him in New York City. Located at the northwest corner of East Sixty-eighth Street and Madison Avenue, Hunt’s four-story mansion in a French transitional style was finished in 1884. Its interiors, the collaborative work of several American and European artists working under Hunt’s direction, took until 1888 to complete. For each of the rooms a different historical style was chosen, with Marquand’s art collection as an integral part of the decoration.

One of the most beautiful and best documented rooms of the house was the Greek Parlor, or Music Room, on the main floor, for which the Dutch-born English painter...
Fig. 137. Undated photograph of the Music Room at the Marquand residence, New York City. Nassau County Museum of Art, Roslyn Harbor, New York.
Sir Lawrence Alma-Tadema designed the classicizing furnishings. Although his artistic reputation was based on his genre paintings of the ancient world, this was not the only time Alma-Tadema was to act as designer, for he also created the interiors of his two homes in London, noted for their highly original and eclectic decoration.

The Museum's unusual settee was part of the seating furniture made for the Marquand Music Room, which comprised a second, identical, settee, two smaller ones with curved backs to be placed in the alcove, a pair of tub-shaped armchairs, and four side chairs. These pieces were all similarly carved with ducks' heads and decorated with scrolling tendrils, rosettes, stylized palmettes, and adaptations of the Greek-key motif. The owner's monogram was inlaid in the outer backs of the chairs. In addition there were two round onyx-topped tables, a music cabinet, and a pair of corner display cabinets, as well as the grand piano with its matching stools.

Alma-Tadema selected the London firm of Johnstone, Norman and Company to execute his designs. In a letter to Marquand in March 1884 he advised: “I have asked the people who work generally for me & understand my wishes Messrs. Johnston [sic] Norman & Co. who do every thing amongst others for the Prince of Wales, if such be a recommendadion [sic], to write to you on the subject of your drawing room. They will execute the things under my direction & according to my sketches if you allow me to mix up with it. I offer this as no firm will be able to do the thing good enough without the advice of a specialist.”

W. C. Codman provided working drawings for the carving, inlay, and embroidery, under Alma-Tadema's supervision. The artist kept his patron regularly abreast of the progress made. In September 1884 he communicated to Marquand that “the furniture progresses. I have looked at it several times. We have succeeded in making the corner of [the] sofa most comfortable as also the easy chair & I am convinced that it will turn out a success, which is of course necessary.” In April of the following year Alma-Tadema's correspondence with Marquand in New York included the news that the furniture was “progressing favorably” as well as that “[Johnstone, Norman and Company] seem to be pleased with it & as workmanship I really believe you could not get anything better. I hope for goodness sake that it will please you.”

By the summer of 1885 the set of furniture, with the exception of the grand piano, was completed. Exhibited in July of that year at Johnstone's New Bond Street showroom, the suite, considered befitting “a fairy palace,” was positively reviewed in various contemporary journals. It was praised as much for the “quaintness of its design” as for the high quality of the workmanship and the richness of the materials used.

With its wonderful carved detail and colorful inlays, the furniture clearly reflected Alma-Tadema's interest in and study of classical archaeology. In 1863 the artist had traveled on his honeymoon to Italy, visiting Rome, Naples, and the excavations at Pompeii. Not only did Alma-Tadema make measured drawings while in Italy, but over the years he also built up an extensive photographic documentation of both Roman and Greek buildings and architectural details.
that served him for his paintings. Undoubtedly, Alma-Tadema drew inspiration from his photographic archives for the Marquand furnishings as well. For instance, the shape of the armchairs (see fig. 137) is freely derived from stone seats in ancient Greece of which he owned photographs, while the ovolo-and-beaded border along the top rail of the settee and around the top of the grand piano case is closely related to an image of an architectural fragment also in Alma-Tadema’s collection. Even more striking is the resemblance of the piano’s heavy front legs, shaped like winged lions, to Roman marble table supports known as protomes. The painter may have seen these during his journeys to Italy, and his photograph archives included at least two similar images—one from the House of Gaius Cornelius Rufus and another from the House of Meleager, both in Pompeii. The graceful, curving wing and the muscular body of the lion sheathed by acanthus leaves can be clearly recognized from this type of protome. The flowing scrolls and tendrils, the anthemia and bellflowers, and the corded, ribbed stalks emerging from acanthus leaves can be clearly recognized from this type of protome. The flowing scrolls and stylized leaves in gold, also covered the walls above the marble dado.

Paintings and painted decoration were an important aspect of the room. Alma-Tadema had asked Frederic, Lord Leighton (1830–1896), the best-known classicizing painter of the day in Great Britain, to create a painting for the ceiling, and two of Alma-Tadema’s own works were hung on the walls. In addition Alma-Tadema commissioned Sir Edward Poynter (1836–1919) to paint the inside lid of the piano, for which the artist produced The Wandering Minstrels in 1887.

Widely considered a New York landmark in its day, the mansion did not survive its owner for very long, as it was torn down in 1912. Even earlier, following Marquand’s death, his art collection was disposed of at a very successful auction in January 1903 that included the furnishings of the Music Room. As a result, the furniture and piano are now divided among various private and public collections. Although the entire suite was offered for sale, one of the settees remained in the possession of the family, and it came to the Museum in 1975 as a bequest of Marquand’s granddaughter Elizabeth Love Godwin. At the time it no longer retained its original show covers or trimmings, but the materials of the understructure remained intact. The location and width of the original embroidered panels were clearly visible on the inner back, denoted by pencil lines and the discoloration of the cotton filler cover. In an attempt to supply the settee with covers that were close to Alma-Tadema’s designs, the Museum had it reupholstered in green gray silk, with silk-screened panels replacing the original embroideries, and provided with a deep fringe as seen in old photographs.

1. Most of the information in this entry is derived from Kisluk-Grosheide 1994.
2. On the interiors at Townshend House, near Regent’s Park, where Alma-Tadema lived between 1870 and 1886, as well as those of his house at 17 Grove End Road, where he subsequently lived, see Treuherz 1997, pp. 45–49, 51–56.
3. Alma-Tadema to Marquand, 2 March 1884; Allan Marquand Papers, Manuscripts Division, Department of Rare Books and Special Collections, Princeton University Library.
4. Alma-Tadema to Marquand, 29 September 1884; Archives of the Metropolitan Museum.
5. Alma-Tadema to Marquand, 10 April 1885; Allan Marquand Papers.
6. “Classic Furniture” 1885.
7. “Furniture” 1885. See also “Art in August” 1885, p. xliii; “Art Notes” 1885, p. 290 and “Furniture for New York Millionaires” 1885.
boulle work: a type of marquetry perfected by André-Charles Boulle (1642–1732) in which identical thin sheets of tortoiseshell and brass are glued and then cut together in a design; the layers are then combined and applied to the carcass of a piece of furniture. If the brass design is inlaid in the tortoiseshell, the work is called première partie, and if the tortoiseshell design is inlaid in the brass ground, the work is called contre partie.

breakfront: descriptive of a piece of case furniture in which the central section projects beyond the side sections.

brecchiated marble: a fine-grained limestone in which sharp fragments of rock are embedded, forming a variegated pattern. It is often used for the tops of tables and commodes.

bucranium: a decorative motif of ox skulls, often with garlanded horns.

bun foot: a flattened ball foot.

bureau brisé: a French term (meaning literally, “broken desk”) for a writing table with a hinged top that opens or “breaks” across the middle to reveal a writing surface inside. Fashionable during the last three decades of the seventeenth century, this type of desk was supplanted by the bureau plat during the early eighteenth century.

bureau plat: the French term for a writing table with a flat, often leather-covered top and with drawers in the frieze.

burl or burr: an abnormal growth on a tree. Its irregular grain makes it desirable for use as veneer.

cabochon: a convex oval or round sculpted ornament resembling an unfaceted gemstone. It is often set among carved acanthus foliage.

cabriolet leg: a furniture leg that curves outward at the knee, then inward, ending in a scrolled foot.

canapé: a French term for a settee or sofa.

canoeing: ratan wickerwork used for the seat and back of chairs.

canted: descriptive of an edge or corner that is beveled or oblique.

carcase: the framework or body of a piece of furniture.

cartouche: an ornament resembling a partly unrolled sheet of paper or scroll, sometimes an oval tablet bearing an inscription or armorial device.

caryatid: a female figure used as a support for a capital or an entablature.

case furniture: a category that includes bureaus, bookcases, and any other cabinet piece intended to contain something.

chasing: the decoration of metal by punching relief patterns in the surface; the removal of surface blemishes from metal by tooling.

chinoiserie: European imitations of, for the most part, Chinese art. They are usually more evocative than accurate.

ciseler: the French term for the chasing and finishing given to bronze sculptures and bronze furniture mounts. The specialist in this work was called a ciseler; see also fonduer-ciseler.

coffer: a small chest usually intended to hold papers or precious items; also a recessed panel in a ceiling, usually one of many—hence the term “coffered ceiling.”

commode: a French term for a low chest of drawers often made in pairs. It was introduced during the early eighteenth century.

commode en console: a variant of the commode. Its drawers are enclosed by doors.

console table: a table placed against or fixed to a wall, usually without legs in back, and often set beneath a mirror.

coule: see boule work.

diaper: a repeated, allowed pattern of diamond or rectangular shapes.

drop front: see fall front.

ebéniste: the French name for a cabinetmaker specializing in veneered furniture.

ecran: a French term for a screen, especially a fire screen.

encoignure: the French term for a type of low corner cupboard, often made in pairs.

en suite: a term designating a set of matching furniture; an ensemble.

escutcheon: a shield or shield-shaped ornament bearing an emblem or coat of arms; also a metal plate, often of gilded bronze, used to protect a keyhole and the surrounding surface of a piece of furniture.

fall front or drop front: a hinged panel on the front of a piece of case furniture that can be lowered to form a writing surface. The French term for fall-front pieces is à abattant.

fauteuil: the French term for an open armchair with an upholstered back and seat. A version of this with a straight back is called a fauteuil à la reine. When the back is curved, such a chair is called a fauteuil en cabriolet.
fondeur-ciseleur: the French term for a formal armchair meant to stand against the wall.

feastoon: a representation of a floral garland gathered up at either end and bound with ribbons.

fillet: in cabinetwork, a plain, very narrow band, usually a strip of veneer.

finial: a small ornamental sculpture, often in the form of an acorn, urn, or flame, placed on top of furniture or on the cover of a vase.

foliate: in the shape of a leaf.

fondeur-ciseleur: the French name for a specialist in casting and chasing metal. The members of the guild of fondeurs-ciseleurs made the bronze mounts that distinguish much elegant eighteenth-century French furniture.

fretwork: a decorative band of intersecting horizontal and vertical lines, forming a Greek-key or meander pattern.

frieze: a continuous, flat, rectangular band under a cornice or tabletop.

galloon: a braidlike upholstery trim.

gesso: a mixture of plaster of paris, sizing, and/or glue used to make a base for carved and painted or gilded ornamentation.

girandole: an elaborate branched candlestick or candelabrum, in some cases portable, in others mounted as a wall bracket.

Greek-key pattern: see fretwork.

grisaille: decorative painting in monochrome, usually whites or grays, giving the illusion of relief sculpture.

gros point: the French term for cross-stitch embroidery worked on canvas or similar material on a larger scale than petit point.

grottesque: a classically inspired ornament that features fantastic beasts, birds, and humans set amid scrolling foliate motifs.

guillocche: a braidlike ornament in which two bands twist in opposite directions around a central circle or rosette.

hardware: furniture parts or fittings made of metal.

harpy: a monstrous creature with a woman's head and breasts and a bird's claws and wings.

husk: an ear of wheat, often portrayed strung or suspended in a garland.

inlay: a decorative technique whereby small, shaped pieces of contrasting wood, ivory, or metal are set into the wooden carcase or frame of furniture. It differs from marquetry, a veneering technique in which the whole surface of the furniture is covered.

jardiniere: a French term for a table or stand intended to hold flowers or plants.

joiner: a craftsman who constructs pieces of furniture from solid wood—such as chairs, tables, and beds—to be decorated with carving rather than veneer.

lambrquin: a carved or painted ornament imitating draped cloth with tassel or fringe embellishment.

latticework: see trelliswork.

lobing: see gadrooning.

lozenge: a diamond-shaped figure.

marchands-merciers: eighteenth-century Parisian dealer-decorators. They not only sold but ordered and may also have helped to design luxury furnishings, frequently becoming catalysts in the development of new fashions and decorating trends.

marquetry: a decorating technique whereby small pieces of wood or other precious materials (e.g., ivory or metal) are arranged on the surface of a piece of furniture to form a decorative veneer. The patterns may include floral or figurative designs, but if a geometric design is employed, the technique is called parquetry.

menuiser: a French term for joiner.

mercer: a dealer in textiles and trim.

mercury gilding: a method of decorating bronze and other metals with gold. Ground gold (see ormolu) was mixed with mercury and applied to the base metal, which was then heated over an open fire. The gold would adhere to the base metal but the mercury would evaporate. The process was repeated several times until a sufficiently thick layer of gold had been formed. The gilded product might be burnished or was left matt.

modillion: a small console or bracket, usually in a series, supporting a cornice.

monochrome: painting in a single hue or color (see grisaille).

mount: a decorative and sometimes also functional metal attachment to a piece of furniture.

ormolu: a French term (or mould) meaning ground gold; the gilded bronze used for decorative objects and furniture mounts.

Palladian: influenced by the classical style of the Italian architect Andrea Palladio (1508–1580). Palladian furniture is characterized by the use of such motifs as masks, swags, and pediments.

parcel gilding: a technique of gilding furniture whereby only the carved ornamentation is gilded.

parquetry: see marquetry.

passementerie: a French term for the trim in gold or silver lace or braid used to decorate textiles and upholstery.

patera: a small circular ornament decorated in low relief, often with a floral or acanthus motif in the center.

pediment: a triangular structure set above the cornice of bookcases or cabinets, imitating the gable over the porico of a classical temple.

petit point: the French term for a diagonal embroidery stitch called tent stitch, worked on a smaller scale than gros point.

pier glass: a tall mirror placed on the pier or wall between two windows.

piede dure: an Italian term meaning hard stones; the use of semiprecious stones or colored marble to create large-scale objects such as vases and sculpture, or in mosaic form to decorate tabletops and furniture panels.

plinth: a usually square base for a pilaster or column.

premier partie: see boule work.

rail: a horizontal element in the framework of a chair.

reparature: a French term for the work that is done to refine the carved decoration on a piece of furniture intended for gilding, after several preparatory coats of whiting have dulled the carving. It also includes the addition of new details before the gold leaf is applied.

repoussé work: the ornamentation of a sheet of metal produced by hammering it from the underside, creating a design in relief.

rincau: a scrolling-foliation motif.

ripple molding: a continuous band of small grooves placed close together to produce an undulating effect.

rocaille: a French term meaning rockwork. It originally designated the natural materials used in grotto and garden decoration, such as shells and stones. Their irregular, asymmetrical contours became an important feature of the Rococo style, with its emphasis on C-curves and fantastic shapes.

scroll foot: a furniture foot carved into a scroll or tight S-shape.

show cover: the decorative and often costly top layer of upholstery on a piece of furniture. Unlike the under upholstery, it is meant to be seen.

slip seat: a seat that can be dropped into and removed from a chair frame.

splat: the vertical central panel of a chair back extending from the top rail to the seat rails.

splayed: curving or extending outward.

stile: an upright supporting post on a piece of seating furniture, extending from the foot to the top rail.

stippling: ornament creating by punching small dots on a surface.
strapwork: ornament consisting of narrow interlaced bands.

stretcher: a crosspiece that joins the legs of a table or chair, providing extra support.

swag: a representation of a length of cloth suspended and tied up in a loop at each end.

tambour top: in cabinetwork, a type of flexible shutter made of thin strips of wood glued to a fabric backing; often used for the roll tops on desks.

tester: the canopy over a bed. Made of cloth or wood, it is generally supported on four posts.

trelliswork or latticework: an arrangement of strips of wood or metal in a woven crisscross pattern.

trompe l’oeil: a French term meaning “fool the eye”; giving a three-dimensional effect in a two-dimensional medium.

trophy: originally, a pile of armor and other spoils of war commemorating a victory. Later, the attributes of the arts, sciences, love, pastoral life, and music might be painted or carved in a similar way as a purely decorative motif.

under upholstery: that part of the upholstery of a chair or sofa concealed by a show cover.

veneer: a thin layer of a superior wood applied to the carcass of a piece of furniture. The carcass is usually made of a secondary, less fine wood, such as oak or pine.

verdigris: a blue green pigment, consisting of copper acetate, which is often dissolved and used as a stain.

verre églomisé: a plate of glass decorated on the back with an unfired painted design or gilding.

Vitruvian scroll: a classical ornament consisting of running scrolls that resemble waves. It is usually used to decorate the frieze of a table or other edge.

volute: a spiral, scrolling form, found, for example, on either side of the Ionic capital.

webbing: wide strips of usually woven material interlaced and tacked to a chair frame; part of the invisible under upholstery that with the linen sackcloth on top forms the foundation for the stuffing of the seat or for the seat cushion.
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AA, Pieter van der, *La galerie agréable du monde*, 98

*Abduction of Charles V in Brussels, 1555, The* [fig. 6], 15

Académie Royale de Peinture et de Sculpture, 61

Acanthus motif, 21, 21, 22n4, 22n7, 48, 49

Accademia Albertini di Belle Arti, Turin, 232

à châssis chairs, 61, 120, 121, 129, 131, 189, 190

Adam, Robert, 184, 196; state bed, 196n8

Adam, William, 186

Admiration, Fiametta di Donato, 1217

Aeso's Tables, 29

Aga Khan, H. H. Shah Kerim, 14

Aichmann, Abraham, 201

*De Re Aedificatoria*, 20

Alberti, Leon Battista, 116

Albani, Cardinal Alessandro, 168

À la Couronne d'Or, Paris, 170

Aiken, Sefferin, side table attributed to, after l'Honneur de Messieurs Charles et Robert

Alexandra Iossifovna, Grand Duchess, 170-71

Alexander II, emperor of Russia, 230

Alexander I, emperor of Russia, 194, 230

Allom, Sir Charles, 94

Allot, Alessandro, portrait of Bianco Cappello, 15013

Alma-Tadema, Sir Lawrence, 244-45, 245n82, settee [no. 103], 245, 245, 245

Almeida, Paul, collection (Madrid), 14

Alma-Tadema, Sir Lawrence, 244-45, 245n2; settee [no. 103], 2, 119-21, 120, 121

French fauteuil à la reine, from “Marie-Antoinette Set” (Georges Jacob) [no. 78], 3, 178-80, 179; engravings after, 98, 99n11

Audrain, Jean, 98-111

Augustus II (the Strong), king of Saxony and Poland, 61

Augustus II (the Young), 123

Austrian Parliament, Vienna, 216

Avise, Jean, 122

Babel, Mme Pierre-Edme, armchair with carving by [no. 74], 2, 178-80, 179

Bagno, Maurice, 124

Baldock, Edward Holmes, 37n7

Bailly, Jacques, allegorical vignettes, 60

Baldock, Edward Holmes, 37n7

Balloons motif [figs. 119, 120], 202, 202, 204

Baptistery, Florence, 20

Barbetti, Angiolo, 242

Barbieri, Antonio, 28

Barbieri, Cardinal Francesco, 3015

Barbieri, Monsignor Francesco, 28

Barbero, Matteo (Urban VIII), 28-29, 30, 3015

Barbieri cabinet [no. 9], 28-30, 29, 29

Barbieri family, 28-29, 30, 3015

Barbetti, Angiolo, 2, 2, 2

Bardini (carver), 202

Baudouin, Gaspard-Marie, 178

Baroli, Jean-Pierre, 133

Baths of Caracalla, Rome, 25

Baths of Caracalla, Rome, 25

Baths of Caracalla, Rome, 25

Baths of Caracalla, Rome, 25
German, monumental cabinet for Prince Charles-Alexandre de Lorraine (David Roentgen) (Berlin), 182
Italian, for Cardinal Maffeo Barberini [no. 9], 28–30, 29, 29; related cabinets (Florence; Mons; Ottawa; Palma de Mallorca), 30
See also cabinets on stand; coffer; collectors' cabinets; cupboards; mechanical writing cabinet; medal cabinets; wig cabinets

Cabinets de toilette. See wig cabinets

Cabinets on stand

Dutch, with floral marquetry (attributed to Jan van Mekerken) [no. 271], 6, 76–78, 76, 77
English, with seaweed marquetry [no. 24], 3, 68–71, 69, 70, 71
French, attributed to Pierre Gole (London), 45011
French, with ebony veneer [no. 11], 34–37, 35, 16
Herter Brothers, 37110
See also bookcase on stand; jewel coffers on stand; secretaries on stand; showcase on stand

Cadwalader, John L., 3
Caesar, Julius, 218
Caffiéri, Jacques, 136
Cailleux, Paul, 142
callout, term, 35
Calandrini, Mme, term, 35
Cappello, Gabrielle, after Filippo Pelagio Palagi
Cappello, Gabrielle (Moncalvo), 232
Cappello, Bianco, 151
Carnarvon, earls of, 93
Carlhian, Paul, 130
Carlhian, André, 130
Carlin, Martin, attributed to

Cassini. See also

Cathedral of Toledo, sillones de cadera, 14
Catherine II (the Great), empress of Russia, 170, 174, 192–93, 194; desk for (David Roentgen), 16913
Catherine Palace, Tsarskoye Selo, table [fig. 113], 194, 194
Caylus, comte de, Recueil d'antiquités, 216 center table, Tula ware [no. 79], 192–94, 193 chairs

Château de Saint-Cloud, 79, 188, 207, 209; related cabinets (Florence; Lucca formerly, now lost), 16, 16n5; related design (Paris), 71, 16, 17, 17; companion piece (Lucca formerly, now lost), 16, 16n5; related pairs (Philadelphia) [fig. 7], 15, 17, Roman, with carved carvings on dolphins [no. 6], 21, 21–22; related chests (Manchester; Paris), 227, 227
Chezanne, Claude, 114
Chiconeiferi, Antonio, 90
Chicheley Hall, Buckinghamshire, side chairs, 88, 88n6
Child, Robert, 1968
China cabinet; china table [no. 55], 4, 140–41, 141; related designs (Thomas Chippendale) [fig. 82], 140, 140
Chinese lacquer, 145
chinoiserie, 126, 126, 127, 128n1, 173
Chippendale, Thomas, 4, 126, 126n9, 134, 134, 146
Chinese Tables [fig. 82], 140, 140
Gentleman and Cabinet-Maker's Director, 126, 128, 134, 134, 134n2, 138, 140, 140, 146, 192, 196, 196n4
Ribbon back Chairs [fig. 81], 134, 134 side chair [no. 68], 161, 165; related sets, 165
Chippendale Revival, 134
Chivasse, Carlo, 232
Chomois, Louis XV's bedroom, console table for, 232
Choragic Monument of Lysicrates, 196, 196, 196n8
Christian IX, king of Denmark, 130
Christie's, London, 88, 125
Chrysler Museum of Art, Norfolk, Virginia, music cabinet (Sir Lawrence Alma-Tadema) [fig. 82], 140
Citeleurs-doreurs, 115
Clark, Sterling and Francine, Art Institute, Williamsburg, Massachusetts, piano (Sir Lawrence Alma-Tadema), 142, 142n3
Claude, queen of Francis I of France, 218
Clement VIII, Pope, 30
Cleveland House, London, 72 clocks

altar clock (Johann Valentin Gevers; Johann Andreas Thelot) (Munich), 81
long-case clock and clock given in honor of Wolfram Koepp (workshop of David Roentgen), 175n6
Jacob-Desmalter, François-Honoré-Georges, 221; Jacob, Georges, 189, 202, 209, 221

inlay, 68
ivory, 41; tinted, 37, 44
intarsia, 8

Infantado Castle, Lazcano, Spain, salon furniture

Imperial Glass and Lapidary Works, Saint-Petersburg, 19402

Indau, Johann, 48

Infantado Castle, Lazcano, Spain, salon furniture suite (Giles Grendey) [fig. 65], 104, 105, 105
inlay, 68
intarsia, 8, 9, 9
isnglass, 98, 998
ivory, 41; tinted, 37, 44

Jacques, Maurice, 159
James II, king of England, 56
Januza [no. 3], 12-14, 13

Japanese lacquer, 111, 127, 198, 199, 200; panels, 142, 143, 211, 212, 212
japanning, 104-5, 128

Jarves, James Jackson, 226
Jean, Dominique, 175
Jefferson, Thomas, 178, 180
Jenks, Bryan, 156
Jensen, Gerrit, 77; pair of cabinets attributed to (Chatsworth), 71
jewel coffers on stand (coffres à bijoux)
Design for a [fig. 96], 164, 164
Du Barry commission, 163-64
from Hillingdon collection [attributed to Martin Carlin] [no. 67], 3, 22, 162-64, 163, 164
from Maria Fedorovna's bedchamber at Pavlov (Detroit), 17210
for Marie Antoinette (Martin Carlin) (Versailles), 22, 162, 16416

Johanna Elizabeth, princess of Holstein-Gottorp, 193

John V, king of Portugal, 114
Johnson, Barbara Piasecka, collection, 128
Johnson, Thomas, design for a candlestand, 196

Johnstone, Norman and Company, London, after Sir Lawrence Alma-Tadema, settee [no. 103], 242-45, 243, 243
Josephine, empress of France, 218
Joubert, Gilles, 144
commode, 206
encougrures, 144
writing table (bureau plat) [no. 57], 5, 144-45, 144, 144, 145

Journaud, Margaret, 99
Journal du Garde-Meuble de la Couronne, 144, 176, 187
Juan Carlos I, king of Spain, 14
Judith and Holofernes theme, 31, 32, 35, 36, 37
Judith with the Head of Holofernes (attributed to Jean Cousin the Younger) [fig. 19], 37
Juigné, Georg, 222
Jurande des Menuisiers-Ebénistes, 142

Kane, Annie C., 94, 9415, 9498
Karol of Prussia, Prince, 226, 228, 229
Kemp, Marten, 20
Kensington Palace, London, chandeliers for, 85
Kent, William, 88, 114
Kingston Lacy, Dorset, seaweed-marquetry cabinet, 7181
Kirtlington Park, Oxfordshire, Dining Room from 3
Kistenmakerspand, Amsterdam, 7891
Kloebcr, August von, porcelain table with zodiac designs painted by [no. 96], 5-6, 229-30, 230, 231
Knight, Frank and Rutley, 7294
Kniff, Leonard, The North Prospect of Hampton Court, Herefordshire [fig. 37], 64, 64
Koeppc, Wolfram, 17596
Köhler, Johann, 154112
Königliche Porzellan-Manufaktur (Royal Porcelain Manufactory), Berlin, after a design probably by Karl Friedrich Schinkel, porcelain table [no. 96], 5-6, 229-30, 230, 231
Königswarter, Baron Jonas, 237

Kraus, Johann Ulrich, 60
Kreisel, Heinrich, 136, 134112
Kress, Rush H., 138
Kress, Samuel H., 3, 158, 189
Kress, Samuel H., Collection, 13812
Kress, Samuel H., Foundation, 3, 6, 138, 160, 162, 19212
Küssel, Johann Jakob Michael, 153
Kunstgewerbemuseum, Berlin, works by Karl Friedrich Schinkel armchairs, 228, 229
table, 231111

Kunstkammer, 40-41, 42
Kupferstichkabinett, Berlin, design for armchair (Karl Friedrich Schinkel), 228, 228
Küsel, Johanna Sibylla, engravings after Jacques Bailly, 60
lacca posera (lacca contrafatta), 96-99, 96, 97, 98
lacquer, 104-5, 111, 115
Chinese, 145
Coromandel, 113-12, 113
Japanese, 111, 142, 143, 198, 199, 200, 211, 212, 212
japanned finishes, 104-5, 127, 128
vernis Martin, 144, 145
Lacroix (Roger Vandercluse), 151
Lady Lever Art Gallery, Portrait Sunlight, standing shelves (William and John Linnell), 128, 128n15, 128115
La Fontaine, Fabre, tapestry scenes from, 124
lambrequin motif, 61, 62, 81, 92, 90, 91
Lanfant, Jean-Pierre, 206
Lang, Samuel, 128
Langlois, Pierre, 155, 15603, commode [no. 62], 155, 155-56, 156

Landsdowne House, London chairs for (Thomas Chippendale), 165
Dining Room from, 3, 165
La Reynière, M. de, 112
La Roserie, Sainte-Adresse, France, 240
Lasalle, Philippe de, 189
Lascelles, Daniel, 165
Lascelles, Edwin, 165
Latz, Jean-Pierre, 134, 136
Lauderdale, Elizabeth Dysart, duchess of, 141111 laurel motif, 29, 30, 3015
lavoro alla certosina, 14
Lawrence, Thomas, portrait of Lady Dysart, 131114
Lazcano, dukes of, 104; Don Juan de Dios de Silva y Mendoza, tenth duke, 104
Lazcano, Maria Francisca Alfonsa de Silva Mendoza y Sandoval, eleventh duchess of, 104
Le Brun, Charles, 48, 50, 61; tapestries of the Four Seasons, 60
Le Clerc, Jean, Figures historiques du Vieux Testament [fig. 19], 35, 37
Le Clerc, Sébastien, 6216; engravings after Jacques Bailly, 60
Legion of Honor badge, 220
Lehn, Philip, 4
Lehn, Robert, 4, 169
Lehn, Robert, Collection, 4-5, 169; hip-joint armchairs [fig. 1], 14, 14, 1407
Lehn, Robert, Wing, 4-5, 169
Leidesdorf, Arthur D., collection, 126110
Leighton, Frederic, Lord, 245
Lemmers-Danforth Sammlung, Wetzlar cabinet, 43
hip-seat chair, 1414, 15110
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Pavlovsk Palace, near Saint Petersburg, 170-71, 193, 194

Pavlovsk Palace, center table from [no. 79], 6, 192-94, 193

secretary on stand from (attributed to Martin Carlin) [no. 71], 170-71

Paxton, Joseph, 25

Péchoux, Laurent

Portrait of Maria Luisa of Parma (1751-1819), Later Queen of Spain [fig. 72], 120, 120

Portrait of the marchesa Margherita Gentili Boccapaduli [fig. 98], 168, 168

pedestal (attributed to Thomas How) [fig. 55], 94, 94

peinture en bois, 173, 175, 181, 181, 182-83

pejcie motif, 23, 24-25, 25

Penn House, Amersham, Buckinghamshire, 146

Pencière, Louise-Jean-Marie de Bourbon, duc de, 189, 190, 192

Percier, Charles, 216

design for an athénienne attributed to [fig. 125], 216, 216; athénienne after [no. 91], 216-18, 217

Design for a Medal Cabinet [fig. 126], 220, 220; medal cabinet after [no. 92], 218-21, 219, 220

Percier, Charles, and Pierre-François-Léonard Fontainé, Recueil de décorations intérieures, 228

Peruggia, Michelangelo, 162, 162n2; cameo after [fig. 197], 197, 198

Peronne, Pierre, London, 64

Perry, Marsden Jaseal, 141, 141n0

Perspectiefje, 39

Peter I (the Great), emperor of Russia, 192, 224

Peter, prince of Holstein-Gottorp, 193, 194

Peterhof, Russia, lapidary factory, 224

Petit Trianon, Versailles, 183n5; set for Marie Antoinette's bedchamber, 204n6

Petruini, Antonio, 117

Petrus, Jean-Baptiste, 120

Philadelphia Museum of Art cassoni [fig. 7], 16, 17

commodes, 162

Philip V, king of Spain, 119

Philip of Spain, later duke of Parma, 119, 120

Philippe-Egalité, 122n7

Philippine-Égalité, 204n6

Picco di Cosimo, 161; Hunting Scene and The Return from the Hunt, 16

Pierre, Jean-Jacques the younger, 118, 118n6;

jewel casket with stand with porcelain plaques painted by [no. 67], 3, 162-64, 163

pierre dure, 23, 24-25, 44, 237, 218

Pillement, Jean, 176n15

Piranesi, Giovanni Battista, 168, 198; Diverse maniere d'adornare i cammini, 198

pittori di cassoni, 10

Pitzner, Ferdinand, 53, 56n14

Pociocle, Dr. Richard, 128

Poilly, Nicolas de, 78

Poirier, Simon-Philippe, 162, 163, 158n1, 163, 170

Poitou, Joseph, 114

Poitou, Philippe, 56n5

pomegranate pattern, 10, 11, 12

Pompadour, marquise de, 5, 111-12, 150, 151; mechanical table for (Jean-François Oeben) [no. 60], 5, 150-52, 150, 151

Pompée, 2, 252, 245

porcelain-mounted furniture. See Sévres porcelain plaques

porcelain table (probabley designed by Karl Friedrich Schinkel) [no. 96], 5-6, 229-30, 230, 231

Porta, Gugliemo della, 25; table with marble piers carved by (Farnese table) [no. 71], 4, 228n4, 23-25, 23, 24-25

portiere, from Music Room, Marquand residence [fig. 118], 244, 245

Pouyer, Sir Edward, The Wandering Minstrels, 245

Prelle, Lyons, 233

première partie marquetry, 51, 52, 53, 54, 54, 55, 67, 68, 71n3

Presle, side chair gilded by [no. 84], 5, 202-4, 202, 203

Prince-bishops, 108

Proust, Marcel, 142

Providence, comte de, 145

Puttkammer family, 229

Quantock Lodge, Somersetshire, 236n19

Queen's House, Greenwich, painting for, 186

Querci, Angelo, 242

Racconigi, near Turin, suite for (Filippo Pelagio Palagi; Gabrielle Cappello), 232-33; daybed [fig. 112], 232, 233n69; side armchair [nos. 97, 98], 232-33, 232, 233

Raggio, Olga, 21, 25

Ramoneda (gilder), 122n7

Raphael, 96

Galatea, Villa Farnesina, tabeletop decoration after, 186

Logge, Vatican, 22, 216

Sala dell'Incendio, Vatican, 168

Sala Egiziana, Palazzo Massimo alle Colonne, 168

Rebecca, Biagio, 186n18

Redford, William, 85n2

Red House, Bexleyheath, England, 234

Régence style, 79, 85, 114

Reign of Terror, 190

Rembold, Joh. Christoph, 82n8

Remington, Preston, 85n2

Rémond, François, 198, 213, 213n10; commode with frieze mounts attributed to [no. 75], 180-83, 181, 182

Remondini, Giovanni Antonio, 98

René, Guido

Aurora Scattering Flowers for the Chariot of Apollo, 186

The Meeting of Bacchus and Ariadne on the Isle of Naxos, 186; tabletop scene after [fig. 108], 185, 186

Renon, Marie-Catherine, 178; armchair with gilding by [no. 74], 3, 178-80, 179

reparures, term, 202

Residenz, Ansbach, console table, 136

Residenz, Munich

Audience Chair of the Elector of Bavaria, 132

console tables (François Cuvilliés; Wenzeslaus Miroffsky), 102, 102n5

display cabinet, 107

doors, 108n15

rolltop desk, 174

Residenz, Würzburg, 108-10

corner settee, 154, 154n12

fire screen (Ferdinand Hundt) [fig. 67], 110, 110
Sculpi, Giovanni, 2803
seating. See armchairs; chairs; settees; side chairs; sofa
seaweed marquetry, 68, 69, 70-71, 71n13
secrétaires à abattant, 170-71, 171, 198-201, 200, 201, 204-6, 205, 206, 210-13, 211
secrétaires à cylindre, term, 145. See also rolltop desks
secrétaires en armoire, 198-201, 200, 201, 205, 206, 207
secrétaires
French, with caryatid mounts (Guillaume Benenman) [no. 85], 204-6, 205, 206
French, with Japanese lacquer [Jean-Henri Riesener] [no. 85], 3, 198-201, 199, 200, 201
German, "royal secretary" for Louis XVI (David Roentgen), 180, 182, 183n7
Italian, with lacca potente decoupage [no. 36], 96-99, 96, 97, 98
secreteries on stand
with lacquer panels (Adam Weisweiler) [no. 89], 5, 210-13, 211; related secretary (Amsterdam), 213n12
with porcelain mounts [attributed to Martin Carlin] [no. 71], 170-71, 171; similar piece (stamped by Carlin) (Los Angeles), 170, 172n13
with porcelain mounts (Adam Weisweiler), 170, 172n12
Seinsheim, Adam Friedrich von, prince-bishop of Würzburg and Bamberg, 152-53
Seligmann, Jacques, Paris, 82, 133
sella carnis, 12
Séné, Jean-Baptiste-Claude, 207; daybed, armchair, and fire screen [no. 13], 156, 162-63; attributed to Martin Carlin
ribbonback, 134
German, from Schloss Seehof [no. 61], 4, 132-54, 153
Italian, with verre églomisé panels [fig. 124], 214, 215
ribbonback, 134
Severočeské Museum, Liberec, mirrors, 82
Sevres porcelain plaques, furniture mounted with, 3, 156, 162-63; attributed to Martin Carlin desk [no. 63], 3, 156-58, 157
jewel coffer on stand [no. 67], 3, 22, 162-64, 163, 164
secretary on stand [no. 71], 170-71, 171
Sévres porcelain vases, mounts for, 226
Sewining, Johann Christian, and Karl Wanschaff, after Karl Friedrich Schinkel, armchair [no. 93], 226-29, 227
Seymour, Richard, The Court-Gamer, 92
sgabello a tre gambe, 68, 69
state beds, 64
silver furniture, at Versailles, 61, 81, 93, 101
silver table, term, 140
silver tankard [Jürgen Richels] (Wetzlar), 43n12
silver tripod, 204n6
Smirke, Sir Robert, 213
Smith, Joseph, 148
sofa (Filippo Pelagio Palagi; Gabrielle Cappello) [no. 97], 211-12, 212n2, 212
Sofa Spring Fair, 192
Sommer, Catherine, 56n5
Sommer, Eberhard, 53
Sommer, Jacques, 53
Sommer, Johann Daniel II, 53-54, 55
collector's cabinet (Künzelsau) [fig. 31], 55, 55
table for Count Albrecht von Hoienlohe-Langenburg, 53, 54
traveling apothecary for margrave of Brandenburg-Kulmbach, 53
wig cabinet [no. 18], 53-56, 54, 55
Sommer, Johann Eberhart, 54
Sotheby's, London, 88, 125
Souza, Guedes de, 204
Conférence, 44
sphinxes, term, 227, 228
Spranger, Bartholomäus, 43n10
Stalker, John, and George Parker, Treatise of Japaning and Varnishing, 104-5
Stauden, Edith Appleton, 6
standing shelf (William Linnell) [no. 49], 126-28, 127; others in suite and copies after, 128, 128n2, 128n11
Stanislas Leszczynski, king of Poland, 61-62; throne of [fig. 35], 61, 61
Stanton, Ruth, Family Foundation, 175n6
state beds, 64
Burley-on-the-Hill [fig. 43], 72, 72
design for (Daniel Marot) [fig. 38], 64, 64
Hampton Court: for Baron Coningsby [figs. 22, 64, 65; for King's Bedroom now at Het Loo], 64
Houghton Hall (William Kent), 85
State Hermitage Museum, Saint Petersburg, 250
armchair [attributed to Nicolas-Quinibert Foliot], 12115
tables, 194
State Russian Museum, Saint Petersburg, 250
Stauffenberg, Marquard Sebastian Schenk von, prince-bishop of Bamberg, 117
Stegmann, Hans, 18
Stiftung Preussische Schlösser und Gärten Berlin-Brandenburg, armchairs (Karl Friedrich Schinkel), 228
Stowe, Buckinghamshire, suite said to be from, 99
Stratfield Saye House, Hampshire, 148
Strozzi, Carlo, funeral monument of (Naples formerly), 12n6
Strozzi, Filippo di Matteo di Simone, 10, 12, 12n7, 20
impresa of: on chest, 10, 10; onondo of chair, 18, 20
medal of (attributed to Niccolò Fiorentino) (Washington, D.C.) [fig. 9], 18, 20
Strozzi chair [attributed to the workshop of Giuliano and Benedetto da Maiano] [no. 5], 4, 18-20, 18, 19
Strozzi family, 10-12, 116, 18-20
Strozzi Palace, Florence, 20
Stuart, James, and Nicholas Revett, The Antiquities of Athens, 196, 196
studïolo, from Gubbio, 8
table cabinets, 34

tables

Austrian (Theophilus Hansen) with Italian top [no. 100], 236-38, 237, 237
French, in English taste (Paris), 21015
French, with floral marquetry (attributed to Pierre Gole) [no. 14], 5, 43-45, 44, 45, 33

Italian, for Farnese Palace (Jacopo Barozzi da Vignola; Guglielmo della Porta; Giovanni Mynardo) [no. 7], 4, 2214, 23-25, 23, 24-25

Italian, in Neo-Egyptian style [no. 70], 4, 168-69, 169

model for a multifunctional table (David Roentgen), 17610

Russian, Tula ware (Tsarskoye Selo) [fig. 113], 194, 194

See also card tables; center table; china table; console tables; mechanical tables; porcelain table; side tables; tea tables; traveling tables; writing tables

tables de carrosse. See traveling tables
tables de voyage. See traveling tables
tables pliantes (folding tables). See traveling tables
tabletop, design for, attributed to John Yenn

Tapestry room, Bernstorff Palace, 129-30, 130

Talleyrand-Périgord, Anna, duchess of, 130

tea equipment, 140

tea chest (Abraham Roentgen), 176110

Tapestries. See also T civilizations

torchères. See candlestands

Toulouse, comte de, 190

Tournay (carver), 206

Towerhead House, London, 24512

traveling tables (tables de carrosse, tables de voyage, tables pliantes)

French [no. 28], 79-80, 79, 80

German (workshop of David Roentgen), 17716

Treaty of Aix-la-Chapelle (1748), 119

Trebizond (Trabzon), 10, 12

tripod tables, 2014

Tuileriers. See Palais des Tuileries

Tula ware, 6, 192-94, 193, 194, 244

Tullibardine, marquetry of, 15

Tuppo, Francisco, woodcuts for Aesop’s Fables, 29

turquerie, 12

University of Warsaw, Library, engraved advertisement by Jean-Henri Eberts [fig. 97], 166, 166

Ussel, Johann, 48

Untermyer, Irwin, 3-4, 6, 58, 74-76, 93, 9495, 125, 128, 138, 141, 146, 162, 195; apartment entrance hall, 4, 76

Upton House, Warwickshire, side tables, 99

Utopia, 23

Urban VIII, Pope (Maffeio Barberini), 28-29, 30, 3015

Vaga, Perino del, 22

Valentine, William R., 2

Vanbrugh, Sir John, 134

Vanderbilt, William Henry, 226

Vanderbilt, William K., 3

Vander Cruz, Roger (called Lacroix), 151

Vanvitelli, Carlo, 213

Varengueville Room, from Hôtel Varengueville, Paris, 6

Vasari, Giorgio, 10

Vase, malachite (mounts and pedestal by Pierre-Philippe Thomire) [no. 94], 224-26, 224, 225

Vatican Palace

Logge (Raphael), 22, 216

Sala dell’Incendio (Raphael), 168

Venice, 12

Venus motif, 42, 43110, 48

Verbeek, Jacques, 145
evénus Martin, 144, 145

Vernon House, London, 158

verse égonomisé, 94, 94, 95, 214, 214

Versailles, Bibliothèque de la Conservation, drawing, “Grand Cabinet” with Writing Desk and Mirror [fig. 28], 52

Versailles, Château de, 10, 177

armchair from Saint-Cloud (Jean-Baptiste-Claude Sené), 208, 21013

armchairs, bedroom of Louis XIV, 61

bureaux (commodes), 8612

Galerie des Glaces, 48

jewel coffer on stand (Martin Carlin), 22, 162, 16416

rolltop desk (Jean-François Oeben; Jean-Henri Riesener), 145

tapestry depicting royal visit to Gobelins, 43, 43-54

Formerly in

armchair for Marie Antoinette (Jacques Gondouin; François Foliot II; Mme Pierre-Edme Babel; Marie-Catherin Renon) [no. 74], 3, 178-80, 179

commode and secretary for Marie Antoinette (Jean-Henri Riesener) [nos. 82, 83], 3, 198-201, 198, 199, 199, 200, 201

mechanical table for Marie Antoinette (Jean-Henri Riesener) [no. 77], 3, 177, 187, 188-89, 188, 209, 21014

mechanical table for Marie Antoinette (Jean-Henri Riesener; Jean-Gottfrir Mercklein) [no. 75], 176-77, 176, 177

secretary for Louis XVI (Guillaume Beneman) [no. 85], 204-6, 205, 206

side tables with pieds de biche, 61, 6216

silver furniture, 61, 81, 93, 101

suite for Grand Cabinet of Marie Antoinette, 178, 18011

armchair [no. 74], 3, 178-80, 179; hergérie and fire screen (New York), 178-80; side chair (New York), 178

writing desk for Louis XIV (Jean Bérain; Alexandre-Jean Oppenordt) [no. 17], 50-53, 50, 51, 52, 51111

writing table for Louis XV (Gilles Joubert) [no. 57], 5, 144-45, 144, 144, 145

Versailles, Trianon. See Grand Trianon; Petit Trianon

Vienan, Paulus van, gold beaker, 42112

Victoria, queen of England, 226

Victoria and Albert Museum, London

album of drawings (Matthias Lock), 113

armchairs (Sir Lawrence Alma-Tadema), 24513

armchairs for Saint-Cloud (Jean-Baptiste-Claude Sené), 208, 21013

bed, commode, and armchairs in Chinese style (William and John Linnell), 128, 12810, 12810, 12811, 12811

commode (attributed to Jan van Mekeran), 7813, 7811

commode on stand (attributed to Pierre Gole), 4518

chandelier (James Moore and John Gamley), 85, 8505

Chinese paper hangings, 128110

commode (David Roentgen), 180, 181, 182-83, 18311, 18311, 18312

daybed (Giles Grendey), 10518

design for a side table, 113

mechanical table (Jean-François Oeben; Jean-Henri Riesener), 152

medal cabinet (attributed to William Vile and John Cobb) [fig. 85], 148, 148
settee and chairs, ribbonback, 134
side chair (Filippo Pelagio Palagi; Gabrielle Cappello), 233
side chair (attributed to Richard Roberts), 88, 88n3
side chairs (Georges Jacob), 204n6
side table (after John Yenn), 184, 186, 186n1, 186n2, 186n3
Victorian furniture, 3
Vien, Joseph-Marie, *La Vertuose Athénienne*, 166
Vignola, Jacopo Barozzi da, 24, 25n2, 25mo
Vienna Philharmonie, 236
Vleeschouwer, Jacques, 133
Vittorio Amadeo III, duke of Savoy and king of Sardinia, 28n3
De architectura, 31, 33, 35
Virginia Museum of Fine Arts, Richmond, side chairs (Georges Jacob), 204n6
side chair (attributed to Richard Roberts), 88, 88n3
side table (after John Yenn), 184, 186, 186n1, 186n2, 186n3
Vienna exhibition (1873), 240
Vienna Musicverein, Golden Hall, 236
Vienna Philharmonic, 236
Vignola, Jacopo Barozzi da, 24, 25n2, 25mo
Farnese table [no. 7], 4, 220v, 23-25, 25, 24-25
Guicciardini table, 25n10
Vile, William, 146, 148
Vile, William, and John Cobb, attributed to commode [no. 58], 146, 147
Villa Farnesina, Rome, wall hangings
Villa Giulia, Rome, fountains (Bartolommeo Ammanati), 22
Villa Palagonia, Bagheria, 214, 215n4
Villa Giulia, Rome, fountains (Bartolommeo Ammanati), 22
Villa Palagonia, Bagheria, 214, 215n4
Villa San Donato, near Florence, 224, 226
Vincent, Clare, 29
Vincent, Clare, 29
Vinckenbrinck, Albert, pulpit, Nieuwe Kerk, Amsterdam, 34
Waddesdon Manor, Buckinghamshire, commodes (Daniel Sommer II) [no. 18], 5, 50-53, 50, 51n2; collector’s cabinet (Johann Daniel Sommer II) [no. 17], 5, 50-53, 50, 51n2; matching companion piece, 52, 53
Walpole, Sir Robert, 88
walrus-ivory carving, 194n2
Walters, Henry, 133
Walters, Mrs. Henry (Sarah Green), 133
Walters Art Gallery, Baltimore, 133
Wassnacht, Carl, See Sewing, Johann Christian, and Karl Wassnacht
War of the Austrian Succession, 119
Washington, George, 178
Watin, Jean-Félix, 166
*L’art de faire et d’employer le vernis*, 99n5
*L’art du peintre, doreur, vernisseur*, 99n8, 166, 202-4
Watson, Sir Francis J. B., 6, 166, 202
Watteau, Antoine, engravings after [fig. 57], 98, 98
Webb, Philip, 234, 256; cabinet [no. 99], 3, 254-56, 255
Meeting Hall, Norfolk, tables from, 186
Weimar, grand duchess of, 229
Weisweiler, Adam, 212-13
commode [fig. 124], 210, 212, 212, 213
rolltop desk [fig. 123], 210, 212, 213
secretary on stand, with lacquer panels [no. 89], 5, 210-13, 211; related secretary (Amsterdam), 213n12
secretary on stand, with porcelain mounts, 172n2
Wentworth Woodhouse, South Yorkshire, table, 113
Wernher, Charles, London, 130, 130n11
West, James, 146
Weston Park, Shropshire, tapestries (Gobelins), 160
Wied, Johann Friedrich Alexander zu, count of Neuwied, 172, 183n13
Wiene Stil, 256
wig cabinets (cabinets de toilette), 56n1; with tortoise-shell and pewter marquetry (Johann Daniel Sommer II) [no. 18], 53-56, 54, 55
Wilhelm August, prince of Holstein-Gottorp, 193, 194
Wilhelm August, prince of Holstein-Gottorp, 193, 194
Wille, Johann Georg, 182
William I, Holy Roman Emperor, 226
William III of Orange-Nassau, Dutch stadholder and king of England, 60, 64, 72, 73, 84
William, George, 139
Willoughby de Eresby, Priscilla, baroness, 124, 125
Willoughby de Eresby, Peter Robert, twenty-first baron, 125
Willoughby de Eresby, Priscilla, baroness, 124, 125
Willoughby de Eresby family, 124
Wimpole Hall, Cambridgeshire, table, 113
Winkelmann, Johann Joachim, 168
Windsor Castle, 186
Winter Palace, Saint Petersburg, jewel gallery, 192
Malachite Room, 224
Woburn Abbey, Bedfordshire, commode, 155
library ceiling (William Chambers after Reni) (destroyed), 186, 186n18
Wolff, Jeremías, 81
Wrightsmans, Charles, 171
Wrightsmans, Mr. and Mrs. Charles, 5, 6, 132, 142, 145, 170, 202, 204
Wrightsmans, Jayne (Mrs. Charles), 5-6
Wrightsmans Fund, 5
Wrightsmans Galleries, 5, 6
writing cabinet, mechanical. See mechanical writing cabinet
writing desks
*bureau biscuit* (Jean Bérain; Alexandre-Jean Oppeanordt) [no. 17], 5, 50-53, 50, 51n2; *Grand Cabinet* with 52 of Madame, with pieds de biche, 79 See also desk (bonheur-du-jour); jewel coffers on stand; secretaries; writing tables
writing tables, 132, 133n3
*bureau plat* (Gilles Joubert) [no. 57], 5, 6, 144-45, 144, 144, 145
with floral marquetry in shaped frame (Bernard van Risenburgh II) [no. 51], 132-33, 132, 133
for Louis XVI (Guillaume Benneman), 145, 146n10
for Maria Feodorovna (Los Angeles), 172n10
See also desk; mechanical tables; writing desks
Würth, Reinhold, Collection, Künzelsau, collector’s cabinet (Johann Daniel Sommer II) [fig. 31], 55, 55
Württemberg, Duke Karl Eugen von, 136
Württemberg, Friederike von, 194
Württembergisches Landesmuseum, Stuttgart, console table, 136
Yale Center for British Art, New Haven, painting, 64
Yenn, John, 186; design for a tabletop attributed to (London) [fig. 106], 184, 186, 186n9; side table probably after [no. 76], 184-86, 185; 185
Zandt, Freiherr Franz Joseph von, 154n14
Zandt, Freiherr Friedrich von, 119, 154; dining room, Schloss Seehof [fig. 90], 152, 154
Zick, Januarius, 175, 176n1, 182; commode with figured marquetry designed by [no. 73], 180-83, 181
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Photographs in color and black and white of the featured pieces, including full views and many details, were made expressly for this book by Joseph Coscia, Jr., Associate Chief Photographer at the Museum. Three hundred fifty-eight additional color images can be accessed on a CD-ROM disk in a sleeve at the back of the book. Many of them disclose hidden or hard-to-see aspects of the furniture, such as makers' marks, details of construction and finishing, and secret drawers. Others offer closed and partially open views of folding and multifunctional furniture. In addition, close-ups and zoom shots of intricate inlay, sparkling gilt-bronze and silver mounts, and richly textured upholstery are featured.
