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Director’s Foreword

This publication celebrates the promised gift to the Metropolitan Museum by the Oscar Tang family of twelve masterworks from the C. C. Wang Family Collection. Ranging in date from the tenth to the early eighteenth century, these works significantly extend the Museum’s holdings. One of the foremost collectors of Chinese painting in the twentieth century Ch’i-ch’ien Wang, a resident of New York City since 1949, is also an accomplished artist who has collected paintings since he began practicing his own art in China more than seventy years ago, when he was in his early twenties. For Mr. Wang, collecting has always been a means of enriching his knowledge of the styles of earlier masters. Studying in Shanghai in the 1930s with the artist-connoisseur Wu Hufan, Mr. Wang learned by viewing, copying, and creatively reinterpreting masterpieces from the orthodox traditions of scholarly art. His tastes later broadened to include outstanding works in all the major schools and genres of Chinese painting.

In the course of this long career, Mr. Wang has assembled the most comprehensive private collection of Chinese old master paintings formed since the seventeenth century, with particular strengths in monumental landscape painting of the tenth and eleventh centuries, Song figure paintings of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, Yuan scholar painting of the fourteenth century, and Qing orthodox and individualist paintings of the seventeenth century. Works from the C. C. Wang Family Collection, long known to Western scholars and connoisseurs, are in many American public institutions and universities including those in Cleveland, Boston, San Francisco, Chicago, and Princeton. But by far the largest concentration of paintings—some sixty works—is now at the Metropolitan Museum.

The process whereby so many of Mr. Wang’s treasures came to the Metropolitan began in 1973, when the Museum acquired twenty-five Song and Yuan masterpieces with the help of Douglas Dillon and the Dillon Fund as a first step toward expanding
its holdings of Asian art. Since that time, twenty-three additional works have come to
the Museum from other collections. The twelve paintings presented here constitute
yet another important group of paintings assembled by Mr. Wang.

At the time of the Museum’s initial acquisition in 1973, the Metropolitan lacked
even a permanent space for the display of Chinese painting. Twenty-five years later, in
1998, the Museum completed an entire wing devoted to Asian art, a virtual museum
within a museum, incorporating the largest permanent exhibition space for Chinese
painting and calligraphy outside Asia. This space includes the renovated Douglas
Dillon Galleries, first opened in 1981, as well as two new galleries, the C. C. Wang
Gallery and the Frances Young Tang Gallery, the latter named in honor of Oscar Tang’s
late wife. This dramatic transformation in the Museum’s capacity to display Chinese
painting would not have been possible without the support of many generous patrons
and collectors, foremost among them Douglas Dillon, John M. Crawford Jr., Robert
H. Ellsworth, John B. Elliott, Earl Morse, and the members of the P. Y. and Kinmay
W. Tang family. The promised gift from the Oscar Tang family represents another
major addition.

This volume is published on the occasion of the exhibition “The Artist as
Collector: Masterpieces of Chinese Painting from the C. C. Wang Family Collection,”
which includes most of the works acquired by the Metropolitan from Mr. Wang
together with a selection of loans both from the family and from other sources. For the
opportunity to preserve and exhibit these masterpieces, we are indebted to the gen-
erosity of many donors and patrons, in particular Museum trustees Douglas Dillon
and Oscar Tang, and, of course, C. C. Wang and his family.

Philippe de Montebello
Director
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ALONG THE RIVERBANK
Plate 1. Attributed to Dong Yuan (active 930s–60s), Riverbank. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 87 x 42 1/2 in. (221 x 109 cm). The Metropolitan Museum of Art. Ex. Coll: C. C. Wang Family. Promised Gift of the Oscar L. Tang Family
Riverbank
Wen C. Fong

In 1955, a noted Hong Kong art dealer, J. D. Chen, published a catalogue of his collection of early Chinese paintings, *The Three Patriarchs of the Southern School of Chinese Painting*, in which he listed a large hanging scroll, *Travelers amid Autumn Mountains* (fig. 1), a modern forgery of a work by the early-tenth-century master Dong Yuan (active 930–601), as an original painting by Dong, a founding patriarch of the Southern school of Chinese landscape painting. The basis for Chen’s attribution was a colophon by the early-seventeenth-century painter and critic Dong Qichang (1555–1636) in “To See Large Within Small,” an album of Song and Yuan landscape compositions by Chen Lian (active early 17th century) dating to soon after 1627. Included in the album was a reduced copy of a composition by the Yuan master Wang Meng (ca. 1308–1385; fig. 2). The colophon reads:

Wang Meng’s *After Dong Yuan’s “Travelers amid Autumn Mountains”* was once in my collection. When I saw this work, I recognized that it derived from Dong Yuan. A masterwork, it was done before Wang Meng transformed the earlier master’s style.

Chen assumed that the hanging scroll in his collection was the same work referred to by Dong Qichang in his colophon. The attribution thus appeared to be supported by both Wang Meng and Dong Qichang.

In early Chinese painting no attribution can be accepted without careful examination. There is general agreement that the two finest surviving examples of early Chinese monumental landscape painting are believably signed works by Fan Kuan (active ca. 990–1030; fig. 1) and Guo Xi (ca. 1000–ca. 1090; fig. 4). Predating these masters, the founding fathers of the landscape tradition, Jing Hao (ca. 870–930), Guan Tong (active ca. 907–21), and Li Cheng (919–967) in the north and Dong Yuan and Juran (active ca. 960–95) in the south, are known only by paintings attributed to them rather than by authenticated works, or they are known through later copies. A court painter of the Southern Tang kingdom in Nanjing, Dong Yuan is of particular significance to this tradition because works attributed to him inspired major changes in later landscape painting. Leading landscape masters from the Yuan dynasty (1279–1368) through the early twentieth century have sought to re-create Dong Yuan in their own works, while critics and art

Figure 1. Modern forgery of Dong Yuan’s *Travelers amid Autumn Mountains*. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 94 x 38½ in. (246.5 x 97.8 cm). Formerly J. D. Chen Collection
Figure 2. Chen Lian (active early 17th century), After Wang Meng’s “Travelers amid Autumn Mountains,” with facing page inscribed by Dong Qichang (1555–1636). From the album “To See Large Within Small,” ca. 1627. Album leaf, ink on paper, 27 3/8 x 15 5/8 in. (70.2 x 40.2 cm). National Palace Museum, Taipei.

Figure 3. Fan Kuan (active ca. 990–1030), Travelers amid Streams and Mountains, ca. 1000. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 81 1/4 x 40 7/8 in. (206.3 x 103.3 cm). National Palace Museum, Taipei.

Figure 4. Guo Xi (ca. 1000–ca. 1090), Early Spring, dated 1072. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 62 1/2 x 42 5/8 in. (158.3 x 108.1 cm). National Palace Museum, Taipei.
Figure 5. Attributed to Dong Yuan (active ca. 930s–60s). *Windy Groves and Layered Banks*. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 71½ x 45½ in. (181.5 x 116.5 cm). Kurokawa Institute of Ancient Cultures, Hyōgo Prefecture, Japan.
historians have struggled to sort out the various works attributed to him. Until the early 1980s, two Dong Yuan compositions were recognized as best representing Dong’s style: *Wintry Groves and Layered Banks* (fig. 5), datable stylistically to the tenth century, and *The Xiao and Xiang Rivers* (fig. 6), a close copy of Dong’s late style dating to the late eleventh century.

In 1983, Richard M. Barnhart proposed another painting, *Riverbank* (pl. 1), as an early work by Dong Yuan. To authenticate *Riverbank*, and to date it accurately, it is necessary to reconstruct the development of both early Chinese landscape painting and Dong Yuan’s style as perceived by later painters and collectors through modern times.

**Riverbank**

Measuring 87 by 42 inches, *Riverbank* is the tallest of all extant early Chinese landscape paintings, as compared with the most celebrated surviving Northern Song landscape hanging scroll, *Travelers amid Streams and Mountains* (fig. 3), by Fan Kuan, which measures 81 inches in height. In the lower-left corner is an inscription that reads: “Painted by the Assistant Administrator of the Rear Park, Servitor Dong Yuan” (1a).

The imposing landscape, painted in monochrome ink with light colors on silk now darkened with age, shows in the foreground a pavilion structure at a river’s edge (frontispiece); a scholar wearing a cap and gown, accompanied by his wife and child and a boy servant, sits in a yoke-back chair by the railing to look out on the gathering storm; beyond the pavilion great pines and deciduous trees along the riverbank bend omi-
nously in the wind, and the water rises in choppy, netlike waves (pl. 1b). Behind the pavilion a steep foothill ascends leftward in a series of thrusting boulders, and to the left a waterfall rushes down to the river (pl. 1c). A winding pathway connects the foreground with a mist-covered river valley in the deep distance (pl. 1d), wild geese fly by in formation, and hills beyond the river loom under a darkening sky. Scurrying along the narrow path six travelers, one of them wearing a thatch cloak and hat, hurry back to the mountain villa through the rain. A boy on a water buffalo at water's edge heads toward a courtyard compound surrounded by a bamboo grove; inside the compound a woman prepares the evening meal while another woman carries a tray of food along the covered porch. With the family residence visible behind the courtyard, the master and his family assemble in the pavilion on the riverbank—a perfect metaphor for a safe haven in a threatening world.

In the most thorough analysis of *Riverbank* to date, Richard Barnhart has studied the painting's style, state of preservation, and collectors' seals, which range from the mid-thirteenth to the late-fourteenth century. He observes that the painting is formed of two pieces of silk joined down the center (the left piece measures 20 3/4 inches in width, the right piece 23 inches in width). Noting that three important attributions to Dong Yuan's follower Juran measure, respectively, 22 3/8, 23 1/2, and 21 3/8 inches in width, he concludes that 23 inches was the approximate width of silk rolls commonly used for paintings throughout the early Northern Song period. He further suggests that in the fourteenth century the two panels of the painting were separated.

The chronological sequence of the seals on the painting begins with those of the late Southern Song prime minister Jia Sidao (1213–1275) and the collector Zhao Yuqing (late 13th century), a member of the Song imperial family, continues with three seals of the Yuan connoisseur Ke Jiusi (1290–1343), and ends with the official half-seal of the Ming imperial collection, which dates to about 1374–84. Barnhart finds the seal evidence corroborated by the historical record: "Dong Yuan's *Riverbank* is, for example, recorded by the late-thirteenth-century scholar-connoisseur Zhou Mi (1232–1298) as having been among the paintings in the collection of Zhao Yuqing; and in the collected works of Zhao Mengfu (1254–1322), the leading calligrapher and painter of the early Yuan, there is a poem entitled "For Dong Yuan's *Riverbank".

Barnhart compares *Riverbank* stylistically with authenticated works by two lesser tenth-century masters. And he discusses affinities with other Dong Yuan attributions. He concludes that "while there is no way to confirm or to deny the authenticity of
the signature, *Riverbank* gives every indication of being a tenth-century painting, and its importance to the history of Chinese landscape painting can scarcely be overstated.

A different opinion has been expressed by James F. Cahill. In his *Index of Early Chinese Painters and Paintings*, published in 1980, Cahill lists the painting with the query: “Important early work? Or modern fabrication?” And at a symposium on the modern Chinese painter Zhang Daqian (1899–1983), held on the occasion of an exhibition of his works in 1991, Cahill delivered a paper on Zhang as a forger of early Chinese paintings, citing *Riverbank* as one example of his handiwork. In support of his argument, Cahill offered the following description: “The whole composition, to my eye, is full of spongy, ambiguous forms and spatial contradictions.” “Zhang was fond . . . of long, continuous, winding movements connecting near to far along ziz-zag streaks of white that can be either roads or rivers—and it is often unclear which. In *Riverbank*, what must be a distant river turns imperceptibly into a road with people walking on it.”
Furthermore, the whole upper part is obscure, neither the top of the main mountain nor the distant ones to the right being really readable—not because of damage or concealing mist (which would be anachronistic in so early a work) but because they were never clearly painted in.”

To resolve the question of whether Riverbank is an important tenth-century work or a modern fabrication, a difference in date of a thousand years, we must better understand the way forms were conceptualized in different periods and the changing art-historical contexts in which such forms developed.” In Cahill’s words, “Much hangs on the judgment of Riverbank... To admit [it] into the small canon of believably signed, early Chinese paintings would allow us—or oblige us—to rewrite our histories.”

Landscape as Architectural Decoration

Screens decorated with landscape compositions were popular in China from the early Tang dynasty.” Fu Xinian has identified the hanging scroll Riverboats, Storied Pavilions (fig. 7), a blue-green landscape attributed to the eighth-century master Li Sixun (651-716), as the left panel of what was originally a three-fold landscape screen composition similar to that in the handscroll Spring Outing (fig. 8), attributed to the early-seventh-century painter Zhan Ziqian (ca. 550–604).” A six-fold screen dating to the late eleventh century in the Kyoto National Museum, Landscape with Figures (fig. 9), reflects a similar Tang model.” It is not unlikely that Riverbank, whose composition recalls both Riverboats, Storied Pavilions and the left side of Spring Outing, originally constituted the left panel of a three-panel screen similar to that seen in Scholar Examining Books (fig. 10), a handscroll attributed to another Southern Tang painter, Wang Xihui (active ca. 961–75). Assuming that the lost central panel was twice the width of each of the side panels, the original three-fold screen would have been four times the width of the present painting, measuring over seven feet high and fourteen feet wide.

To argue that Riverbank was the left panel of a horizontal screen composition, we may first examine other early landscape paintings in a vertical format. Beginning in the eighth century, as seen in paintings on lute plectra guards in the Shōsō-in Treasury, Nara (figs. 1a-c), three vertical schemata emerged as ways of representing landscape. As later described by Guo Xi, the leading landscape master of the eleventh century, a scene dominated by tall vertical peaks represents a “high-distance” view; a panorama filled by a series of horizontal elements is a “level-distance” view; and a composition combining the two creates a “deep-distance” view.” The Shōsō-in paintings are early examples of vertical landscape views, with the carefully chosen elements perfectly balanced and framed within the four borders of the composition. By comparison, Riverbank does not resemble these or any known early vertical compositions. Rather than rising vertically in a monolithic mass as in Fan Kuan’s Travelers amid Streams and Mountains (fig. 3), the mountain boulders are stacked diagonally across the picture plane and the mountain slope in the upper-right corner suggests a structure that continues
on into another space. Compositionally, it also resembles the left sections of several tenth-century horizontal landscapes, the woodblock prints in the Bizangzuan (fig. 12), an imperial commentary to the Buddhist Tripitaka. This comparison enables us to visualize Riverbank as the left side of a wide panoramic riverscape. Bearing in mind the compositional similarity between Riverbank and Riverboats, Storied Pavilions, we may now address the differences between the two paintings. In fact, the paintings diverge in both style and content, and it is this divergence that marks the advent of monumental landscape painting in tenth-century China.

The devastation of northern China by continuous warfare in the late Tang period was followed by a resurgence of artistic and cultural activity in the mid-tenth century in the Jiangnan (south of the Yangzi River) and southwestern Sichuan regions. At the same time that Dong Yuan was painting revolutionary landscapes at the Southern Tang court in Nanjing under the patronage of Emperor Li Yu (r. 961–75), renowned figure painters such as Zhou Wenju and Gu Hongzhong (both active ca. 943–75) were chronicling the opulent life-style of the ruling aristocracy. Li Yu, himself a renowned poet, contributed to the development of a new lyric aesthetic, one that changed the course of both art and literature. The majestic landscape of Riverbank is pervaded by the deep melancholy that characterizes this new aesthetic. In contrast to the festive blue-green idiom of Riverboats, Storied Pavilions and Spring Outing, in which aristocrats and their families travel on horseback or in pleasure boats to their various palatial mansions, the landscape of Riverbank, painted in somber ink monochrome, shows a recluse scholar lost in thought.

Ink monochrome landscape painting was an innovation of the Tang period, one in which the artist could, in the words of the ninth-century art historian Zhang Yanyuan (active ca. 847), represent in graded ink wash a world of variegated colors:

Now yin and yang fashion and form all things. . . . Grasses and trees may display their glory without the use of reds and greens; clouds and snow may swirl and float aloft without the use of white color; mountains may show greenness without the use of blues and greens; and a phoenix may look colorful without the use of the five colors. For this reason a painter may use ink alone, and yet all five colors may seem present in his painting.

Until the late Tang, the ruling class was composed largely of elite families whose power was based on hereditary entitlement. Beginning in the ninth century a rising scholar-official class, chosen through an examination system, replaced entrenched hereditary interests with government bureaucrats whose authority was conferred by the state. After the fall of the Tang, in the early tenth century, many scholars, choosing a life of seclusion and self-cultivation, retreated to the mountains. Riverbank shares the theme of reclusion with two important ink monochrome landscape paintings datable to the tenth century: The Lofty Scholar Liang Boluan (fig. 13), by Wei Xian (active ca. 960–75), a colleague of Dong Yuan's in Nanjing, and A Chess Party in the Mountains (fig. 14), by an unidentified artist. The former painting, which is recorded in the Xuanhe huapu (preface dated 1120), the official catalogue of the imperial paintings collection of Emperor Huizong, was
Figure 7. Attributed to Li Sixun (651–716), *Riverboats, Storied Pavilions*. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 40 1/4 x 21 1/2 in. (101.9 x 54.7 cm). National Palace Museum, Taipei

Figure 8 (far right). Attributed to Zhan Ziqian (ca. 550–604), *Spring Outing*. Handscroll, ink and color on silk, 16 7/8 x 31 3/4 in. (43 x 80.5 cm). Palace Museum, Beijing

Figure 9. Unidentified artist (11th century), *Landscape with Figures*. Six-fold screen, ink and color on silk, each panel 37 1/2 x 16 1/2 in. (96.1 x 42.6 cm). Kyoto National Museum
Figure 10. Attributed to Wang Qihan (active ca. 961–75), Scholar Examining Books. Handscroll, ink and color on silk, 11 5/8 x 25 5/8 in. (28.4 x 65.7 cm). Nanjing University
Figure 11a. Unidentified artist (8th century), Sitting under a Mountain. Painting on a lute plectrum guard. Shōsō-in Treasury, Nara

Figure 11b. Unidentified artist (8th century), Hawk and Ducks. Painting on a lute plectrum guard. Shōsō-in Treasury, Nara

Figure 11c. Unidentified artist (8th century), Tiger Hunt. Painting on a lute plectrum guard. Shōsō-in Treasury, Nara

Figure 12. Unidentified artist (10th century), Bizangspan (vol. 15, pl. 3). Woodcut. Arthur M. Sackler Museum, Harvard University Art Museum, Cambridge, Massachusetts
one of a set of six panels representing “lofty scholars” (gaoshi tu), presumably the last, leftmost panel of the set. I would like to suggest that both Riverbank and Wei Xian’s Lofty Scholar were screen paintings executed for the Southern Tang court.

As the creator of a screen decoration for a palatial building, the painter of Riverbank was concerned with its orientation in an architectural setting. Facing south, the scholar’s pavilion in the composition appears on the viewer’s left—that is, the right side of the
Figure 13. Wei Xian (active ca. 960–75). The Lofty Scholar Liang Baisan. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 53 x 26⅞ in. (134.5 x 52.5 cm). Palace Museum, Beijing
screen—which is traditionally associated with the direction of the west, with the season of autumn, and with a place of retreat from the affairs of the world. This is the same symbolic orientation followed by decorative programs of pre-Tang and Tang tomb structures, as well as in Buddhist cave temples at Dunhuang, where the left signifies the place of exiting or departing from the world."

In its thematic underpinning as well, Riverbank reveals its origins in the tenth century. Developing out of the narrative tradition of pre-Tang and Tang figure painting, the carefully conceived composition is essentially didactic. In the safe haven of his pavilion, the scholar rediscovers the moral order that had been lost amid the social and political turmoil of worldly affairs. The tall pine trees on the riverbank represent Neo-Confucian junzi, superior gentlemen and leaders of men, steadfast in their moral uprightness. Here, they refer also to the scholar in his pavilion. As the eighth-century poet Du Fu wrote,

*The nation is shattered, but the mountains and the rivers shall remain,*

*Deep in the countryside the grasses and trees shall continue to grow...*  

suggesting that in time reclusion may yet lead back to active service at court. By encapsulating two central themes, reclusion and self-cultivation, Riverbank embodies the main concerns of the Confucian scholar-gentleman in the tenth century.
Figure 14. Unidentified artist (10th century). *A Chess Party in the Mountains*, ca. 940–85, from a tomb at Yemaotai. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 47¼ x 25⅜ in. (120 x 65 cm). Liaoning Provincial Museum.
THE RISE AND DECLINE OF MONUMENTAL LANDSCAPE PAINTING

In style and execution, Riverbank can be dated firmly to the tenth century. Although the authenticity of the signature (pl. 1a) is not documented, the calligraphic style, which follows that of the leading Tang calligrapher Yan Zhengqing (709–785), is typical of the tenth and eleventh centuries. It compares closely, for example, in both form and brush technique, to the calligraphy of the Northern Song scholar Ouyang Xiu (1007–1072; fig. 15), suggesting that the inscription dates to no later than the early Song period.

James Cahill, in his repudiation of Riverbank as tenth century, characterizes the brushwork in the painting as “fuzzy,” but in fact it is precisely this brushwork that defines the tenth-century modeling technique. In his study of Jing Hao (ca. 870–930), Kiyohiko Munakata describes the tenth century as “a transitional period [between the Tang and the Song] when . . . ink-wash was still a new and developing means in painting. It is interesting to note that [Jing’s] approach does not include the notion of an [texture pattern] strokes, which are the typical means by which the Song artists achieved harmony between brushwork and ink-wash.” The modeling of the mountain forms in Riverbank (pls. 1c, 1d), for example, does not show clearly defined draping hemp-fiber texture patterns, seen in Wintry Groves and Layered Banks (fig. 5). Instead, the bulging mountain boulders are shaded naturalistically, with a softly rubbed and rather complex brushwork that blends with and disappears into a graded ink wash. Two other tenth-century paintings, Wei Xiao’s The Lofty Scholar Liang Buhuan (fig. 15) and Huang Jucai’s (933–after 993) Pleasant and Sparrows (fig. 16), show similarly shaded rock forms delineated by a graded ink wash and softly brushed darker accents, and without a clearly formulated texture pattern. Jing Hao (ca. 870–930), a Neo-Confucian scholar and painter from northern Henan Province, in his “Notes on Brush Methods,” has described this technique as follows:

The higher or lower parts of an object are distinguished by a light ink wash, which makes them stand out in either shallow or deep relief. The rendering is so natural that it does not appear to be made by a brush [italics added].

The tenth century saw the growth of Neo-Confucianism, a philosophy that advocated the reordering of society by the fusing of the spiritual with the material and by the objective study of the moral principles of nature. The first to formulate a coherent theory of monumental landscape painting, Jing Hao viewed the archetypal landscape image as a diagram of cosmic truth; a good landscape painter was someone “capable of divining the emblems [xiang], or archetypes, of objects and grasping their truth.”

Riverbank may also be seen to reflect the new tenth-century interest in naturalness and in the rich diversity of mountain forms in nature. Jing catalogues a variety of mountain forms:

A peak has a pointed top; a head has a flat top; a hump has a round top; ranges have connected peaks; a cavern has a cave on the side; a cliff has a steep wall; a grotto is the space between two cliffs or below a cliff; a gorge is a pass going
Figure 16. Attributed to Huang Jucai (933–after 993), Pheasant and Sparrows. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 38 1/4 x 21 1/4 in. (97 x 57.6 cm). National Palace Museum, Taipei
through the mountain; a gully is a pass blocked at one end; a ravine is a gully with running water; and a torrent is a stream running through a gorge. Although the peaks and the humps high above are separated, below them the hills and the ranges run together. The forests and springs are seen here and hidden there. The impression of far and near is thus clearly revealed."

In contrast to the simple schematic mountain motifs of Tang landscape painting, as seen in Riverboats, Storied Pavilions (fig. 7) and Spring Outing (fig. 8), the complicated natural forms in Riverbank appear to illustrate Jing Hao’s belief that “the [forms] of the mountains and rivers are mutually generative, their breath forces causing one another to grow.” The interlocking mountains show a rich but naturalistic complexity unmatched by any known painted landscape after the tenth century.

Jing also describes trees and tree forms. In the tall pine tree, he discerns the human characteristics of the superior gentleman. “One must understand the archetype, the emblem of each thing”:

When a pine tree grows, it may bend but will not appear crooked. . . . As a sapling it stands upright, its budding heart already harboring noble ambitions. Once it has grown taller than all the other trees, even when its lower branches bow down to the earth, they never touch the common ground. Its layered branches spreading in the forest, it possesses the virtuous air of a superior gentleman.

And he describes other tree forms:

When a cypress grows, it is full of movement and has many turns. It is dense but not ornate, and its trunk has many clearly marked knots. Its twisting patterns grow so as to follow the movement of the sun. Its leaves are like knotted threads and its branches are covered in hemp. . . . As for other trees, such as catalpas, paulownias, camilias, oaks, elms, willows, mulberries, and pagoda trees, each is different from the other in its form and character. By thinking deeply about them, one should be able to distinguish each and every one.”

Ranging laterally across the bottom of Riverbank are three tall pine trees (pl. 1b), a deciduous paulownia, a cypress, and another paulownia. The treatment of the trees and the rising mountain view call to mind the autumn scene from The Four Seasons, in the mausoleum at Qingling in eastern Mongolia, dating to about 1030 (fig. 17). In both compositions the mountain forms are additively piled up, the evergreens and deciduous trees are differentiated and carefully scaled to suggest recession in space, the pine trees in the foreground are individually delineated, and the distant firs are indicated by simplified patterns of layered foliage in ink wash.

As a transitional work between the Tang and the early Song, the visual structure of Riverbank remains notably additive and compartmental in the treatment of forms and spatial juxtapositions. In contrast to Guo Xi’s Early Spring, of 1072 (fig. 4), in which the complex overlapping of the trees and their three-dimensional disposition in space suggest illusionistic depth and spatial recession, the tree forms in Riverbank are disposed laterally, with virtually no overlapping. The far valley, with a line of geese leading the eye into the distance (pl. 1d), also shows similarities with such earlier Tang
Figure 17. *The Four Seasons*, ca. 1030. Detail from a wall painting in the mausoleum of Liao Shenzong (r. 983–1031), approx. 110 x 74¾ in. (280 x 190 cm). Qingling, eastern Mongolia
examples as the deep distance in *Musicians Riding on an Elephant* (fig. 18), at the Shōsō-in, dating to the eighth century, and *Emperor Minghuang’s Journey to Shu*, attributed to Li Zhaodao (active ca. 670–730), in the National Palace Museum, Taipei. Compared with the distant valley scene on the left side of *Early Spring*, in which overlapping shorelines recede in a foreshortened perspective, the zigzag shorelines in the deep distance of *Riverbank* are flat silhouettes piled up vertically and additively in the picture plane. Cahill’s description of “what must be a distant river turn[ing] imperceptibly into a road with people walking on it” appears to be a misreading of the scenery. The zigzag path in the valley leads the eye into the distance, where it ends at the tiny village by water’s edge. The additive, compartmentalized treatment of space reflects the conceptual approach of the tenth-century landscape masters—the approach outlined by Jing Hao, with its emphasis on “thought” (*shí*) and “scenery” (*jīng*).

Jing describes “thought” as a mental state through which “imagination crystallizes into forms.” In poetry criticism, the eighth-century poet Wang Changling describes the conception of the poetic world as passing through three successive stages: “objective description” (*wújìng*), which deals with the external world, “affective state” (*qíngjìng*), which is concerned with emotion, and “ideational state” (*yìjìng*), which describes an aesthetic idea.” To draw a parallel to landscape painting, the narrative
description of Riverbank, which deals with the external world of human activity, is replaced in Wintry Groves and Layered Banks (fig. 5) by a symbolic monumental style that is concerned with the aesthetic idea. Unlike Riverbank, Wintry Groves has no narrative content. Rather, it is a lyrical evocation—of winter with its windswept branches, bare earth, and frozen waters. Where Riverbank gives descriptive detail, Wintry Groves offers simplified, formal abstractions. Where figures are present in Riverbank, there is only feeling and emotion in Wintry Groves. In the former, we see softly rolling earthen banks, descriptive of the Jiangnan landscape; in the latter, a clearly formulated hemp-fiber texture pattern.

Following Max Loehr (1968), Barnhart has argued that “if both Riverbank and Wintry Groves are the creations of Dong Yuan, then the former must be an early work, perhaps dating from the late 930s, and the latter a product of two or three decades later, near the end of the artist’s life.” Barnhart shows that the trees and mountain forms of Riverbank relate not only to those of Wintry Groves but also to those in First Snow along the River (fig. 19), a painting by Zhao Gan (active ca. 960–75), a student at the Southern Tang Painting Academy, where Dong was the leading master. A common feature shared by Riverbank and First Snow along the River is the way the painter portrays a storm gusting across the landscape, with turbulent waves, violently stretched tree branches, and travelers struggling to withstand the elements. Like Dong Yuan in Riverbank, Zhao Gan employs a descriptive, rather than a lyric, symbolic style. Only in Wintry Groves is the later, monumental landscape style brought to realization.
The ideational state (yijing) is most clearly and brilliantly expressed in the two well-known masterpieces of Northern Song monumental landscape painting by Fan Kuan and by Guo Xi (figs. 3, 4). In his essay “Lofty Ambition in Forests and Streams,” Guo Xi describes landscape painting in terms of huayi—a state of suggested ideas and meanings. For both Fan and Guo, landscape painting represented a cosmic vision of the natural and the human world. The central mountain in Fan Kuan’s Travelers amid Streams and Mountains, for example, represents, in Guo Xi’s words, “a great king, seated and facing the sun, with a hundred grandees [secondary mountains] coming to court.”

As screen and wall decorations, early Northern Song monumental landscape painting served a symbolic and ritual function. In the early Northern Song imperial palace, the Jade Hall of the Institute of Academicians at the Imperial Chancellery was first decorated on the east, north, and west with a continuous wall painting of dragons in the vast oceans surrounding the Daoist isles of the immortals. In 992, when the expatriate Southern Tang painter Juran, a follower of Dong Yuan’s, came to the Song capital Bianjing, he was ordered to paint a landscape composition on the north wall of the Jade Hall. In 1023, a six-panel landscape screen by the court painter Yan Su (d. 1040) was placed in the center of the same hall. Guo Xi, who was the favorite court painter of Emperor Shenzong (r. 1068–85), decorated the screens and walls of many major imperial buildings during this period. Guo’s Early Spring (fig. 4), dated 1072, which celebrates the ebullient spirit of the early Shenzong reign, is expressive of the occult Daoist belief in the magical powers of spring and seasonal renewal that was central to imperial ritual sacrifices.

Monumental landscape painting began its decline at the end of the Shenzong reign, in the late eleventh century. Ironically, it was through the efforts of Emperor Huizong (r. 1101–25) to improve the quality of painting through the establishment of an Institute of Painting in 1104 that the art of monumental landscape painting was brought to an end. A consummate artist himself, Huizong aspired to a deeper, transcendent realism, achieved through the careful study of both nature and ancient models. According to the twelfth-century art historian Deng Chun (active ca. 1167), as soon as Huizong became emperor, he had all the wall paintings by Guo Xi removed from his palaces. When Deng’s father was serving on the Imperial Privy Council, the emperor gave him a new mansion, and there he discovered that a workman from the palace was using a painting by Guo Xi as a dust cloth.
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Beginning in the reign of Huizong, and throughout the ensuing Southern Song period, as the Painting Academy elevated painting to a fine art, Academy artists turned increasingly to the production of small-scale handscroll and album paintings, and left monumental pictorial decoration to artisan painters. Meanwhile, rare ancient works were removed from old screens and palace furnishings, remounted as scrolls and in albums, and kept in imperial collections as art treasures. Two of the tenth-century works discussed above, Wei Xian's *The Lofty Scholar Liaog Beluan* (fig. 13) and Huang Jucai’s *Pheasant and Sparrows* (fig. 16), found their way into the imperial collection of Emperor Huizong. These vertical compositions, both of which show original Huizong mountings, had been turned sideways, mounted, and preserved as individual handscrolls, a decision that marked their transformation from old screen decorations to ancient masterworks to be prized and collected."

**Reconstructing the Dong Yuan/Juran Idiom during the Yuan Dynasty**

Following the Song conquest of the south in 976, Dong Yuan’s southern landscape style, with its soft, subtly modeled mountain forms, was brought to the north at the Northern Song court, in Bianjing, through the work of Dong’s follower the Buddhist monk Juran (active ca. 960–95), who in 992 was commissioned to decorate the Jade Hall. No authenticated original work by Juran survives. Nevertheless, on the basis of stylistic analysis, we can make several attributions. In an early Northern Song hanging scroll attributed to Juran, *Xiao Yi Seizing the Lanting Manuscript* (fig. 20), the columnar mountain forms in the background, individually outlined and shaded, recall the rising peaks in *Riverbank*, while the triangular slopes in the foreground, with their continuous outlines and softly rubbed parallel texture strokes, echo those in *Wintry Groves and Layered Banks*. In two other Juran attributions stylistically datable to the late Northern Song period, *Layered Peaks and Dense Forests* (fig. 21) and *Buddhist Retreat by Streams and Mountains*, rounded rocks known as alum heads (*fangdou*), parallel hemp-fiber texture strokes, and tall, spindly trees covered with round foliage dots represent landscape motifs recognized as more typical of the Juran manner.

For the most part, Northern Song landscape painting was dominated by the dramatic landscapes of northern China, exemplified in the work of Fan Kuan and Guo Xi. In the late Northern Song, Dong Yuan was rediscovered by the scholar-critic Mi Fu (1052–1107). Mi, rejecting the dramatic emotionalism of Guo Xi’s landscapes, advocated a revival of Dong Yuan’s quieter style, the simplicity of which he considered essential to good art:

Dong Yuan’s painting, plain and natural, is completely different from paintings of the Tang dynasty. . . . It is the divine class of the recent age, incomparable in its lofty character. . . . In his representations of streams, bridges, and fishing bays with overlapping islets and beaches, [Dong] depicts scenes typical of Jiangnan.

During the Southern Song, when most painters turned from the monumental to a more intimate idiom, the Dong Yuan/Juran idiom was all but forgotten. One of
Figure 20.Attributed to Juran (active ca. 960–935), *Xiao Yi Seizing the Lasting Manuscript*. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 25 1/2 in. (65.4 x 59.6 cm). National Palace Museum, Taipei.

Figure 21. Attributed to Juran (active ca. 960–935), *Layered Peaks and Dense Forests*. Hanging scroll, ink on silk, 25 1/4 in. (65.4 x 55.4 cm). National Palace Museum, Taipei.
the best-known chroniclers of Southern Song life and culture was Zhou Mi (1232–1298). As a supervisor of the imperial storehouse in Lin’an, he had access to all the private art collections in the capital. Among them were those of the notorious prime minister Jia Sidao (1213–1275) and the collector Prince Zhao Yuqin (late 13th century).47 Included in the inventory of Prince Zhao’s collection is the listing “Dong Yuan, Riverbank.” Apparently, the painting had passed from Jia Sidao’s collection to Zhao’s (as indicated by their respective seals) after Jia fell from power and his properties were dispersed in 1275. After the fall of Lin’an to the Mongol forces in 1276, the great art collections in the capital—presumably including the collection in which Riverbank was preserved—were scattered. Then in the 1280s, Riverbank resurfaced among the holdings of the early-Yuan collector Wang Ziqing (active ca. 1290–1310).48 Sometime before he went north to present himself at the Mongol Yuan court in Beijing in 1286, the southern calligrapher and painter Zhao Mengfu (1254–1322), who was a friend of both the chronicler Zhou Mi and the collector Wang Ziqing, wrote a poem about the painting entitled “For Dong Yuan’s Riverbank”:

How the mountain peaks are gray and white,
With gentle mists encircling their waist.
Blocking the sun, the high mountains,
Dark and obscure, are heavy with rain.
A stream, meandering through the valley,
Disappears into distant sandy marshland.
Here macaques and gibbons thrive,
And ducks and wild geese gather.
Who is that gentleman who lives in seclusion,
Keeping company with fragrant grasses?
When he sings
The forest quivers with the sounds of autumn.”

Technically, in his own practice of early Northern Song landscape styles, Zhao Mengfu followed the hemp-fiber brush idioms of Wintry Groves and Layered Banks (fig. 5)
Figure 23. Huang Gongwang (1269–1354), 
_Dwelling in the Fuchun Mountains_, dated 1350. 
Detail. Handscroll, ink on paper, 13 x 250/3 in. 
(33 x 636.9 cm). National Palace Museum, Taipei

Figure 24. Ni Zan 
(1306–1374), _Empty Pavilion in a Pine Grove_, 
dated 1354. Hanging scroll, ink on silk, 
32 3/8 x 20 3/4 in. (83.4 x 52.9 cm). National Palace Museum, Taipei
Figure 25. Wu Zhen (1280–1354), *Winter Geese amid Reedy Banks*, 1330s. Hanging scroll, ink on silk, 32 3/4 x 11 in. (83.3 x 27.8 cm). Palace Museum, Beijing

Figure 26. Wu Zhen (1280–1354), *Autumn Mountains*, 1330s. Hanging scroll, ink on silk, 39 3/4 x 40 3/4 in. (100.9 x 103.8 cm). National Palace Museum, Taipei
Figure 27. Li Shixing (1283–1328), Landscape, 1320s. Hanging scroll, ink on silk, 41⅞ x 20⅜ in. (106.2 x 52.7 cm). Palace Museum, Beijing

Figure 28. Wu Zhen (1280–1354), Central Mountain, dated 1336. Handscroll, ink on paper, 10⅝ x 35¾ in. (26.4 x 90.7 cm). National Palace Museum, Taipei
rather than Riverbank as his model for the Dong Yuan style. Dong Yuan had formed his style by studying the softly rolling mountain landscape of the Jiangnan region. Only in his later style did he condense his technique to a graphic formula of softly rubbed parallel texture strokes. It was from this later technique that Zhao Mengfu derived the typical Yuan painter's calligraphic hemp-fiber texture pattern, using it as a vocabulary for painting a landscape. In his *Autumn Colors on the Qiao and Hua Mountains* (fig. 22), dated early 1296 and dedicated to his friend Zhou Mi, Zhao, a native of the south, uses Dong Yuan's brush idiom to recollect a stretch of landscape in Shandong, where he had recently served as vice governor and where Zhou Mi's ancestral home was located. Across the short handscroll composition on paper, two schematic mountain forms, one triangular and the other semicircular, rise above a flat marshland; the central section recalls Dong Yuan's *Wintry Groves*. In modeling the layered sand banks with parallel texture strokes, Zhao turns Dong Yuan's naturalistic representation into a calligraphic formula: dry, centered brushstrokes, blurred and fused, are used to create a visually continuous vista, with a spatially foreshortened ground plane.

During the late Yuan, after the flooding of the Yellow River in 1344, the Yuan government embarked on a ruthless campaign to collect tax revenues from the rich lower Yangzi delta region of southeastern China, especially Suzhou and its neighboring prefectures. As rebellions against the Mongols broke out in southern China in the 1340s and 1350s, the subject of *Riverbank*—living in reclusion in the mountains—assumed a new topical meaning for scholar-artists retreating from political turmoil. Huang Gongwang (1269–1354), for example, in his handscroll of 1350, *Dwelling in the Fuchun Mountains* (fig. 23), casts himself as a recluse scholar sitting in a pavilion near three tall pine trees. And Ni Zan (1306–1374), in his *Empty Pavilion in a Pine Grove* (fig. 24), dated 1354, paints a landscape in the Dong Yuan hemp-fiber idiom with an empty pavilion that represents the artist's abandoned home in a war-torn world.

Wu Zhen (1280–1354), on the other hand, seems to have been inspired more by Dong Yuan's *Wintry Groves* than by Riverbank. In *Winter Geese amid Reedy Banks* (fig. 25), dating to the 1330s, Wu adapts compositional elements from *Wintry Groves*, a painting he knew, to paint one of his hermit-fishermen series, using a wet, blunt brushwork to create a desolate view of lonely riverbanks covered with dense, bristly reeds. In such larger landscape compositions as *Autumn Mountains* (fig. 26), also dating to the 1330s, Wu follows the Juran model (figs. 20, 21). During the 1320s and 1330s, both Li Shixing (1283–1328; fig. 27) and Zhang Xun (active ca. 1295–after 1349; pl. 6) had experimented with the Juran idiom. It was Wu Zhen, however, who formulated the new calligraphic Juran idiom, with clustered alum heads, round parallel texture strokes, and round moss dots. In his *Central Mountain* (fig. 28), dated 1336, Wu reduces the landscape to an iconic image of a host peak flanked by guest peaks, with straight parallel strokes done with a round centered brushwork that represents the typical calligraphic Juran idiom.

The youngest of the four masters of the late Yuan, Wang Meng (ca. 1308–1385) was a grandson of Zhao Mengfu. Wang clearly knew Riverbank. Not only did he re-create the vision of reclusion exemplified in the painting, he also transformed Dong's hemp-fiber texture idiom into a new, dynamically expressive landscape style. Unlike the other
three masters, who found the life of reclusion congenial to their temperament, Wang was by nature outgoing and had an active interest in public affairs. He served for a time as a provincial prosecutor before war broke out in the 1340s, and he was forced to retire to the Yellow Crane Mountain near Hangzhou. In *Sparse Trees and Pavilion* (fig. 20), a silk fan painting datable to the 1350s, Wang paints a shorthand version of *Riverbank* and describes his own life as a recluse:

> In the quiet of empty forest and dancing foliage,
> A thatched pavilion stands alone under the noonday sun.
> In the south wind, green waves ripple through the long day,
> Wearing a gauze cap and coarse hemp, I feel no summer heat.
> My wilderness home is near Yellow Crane Peak.
> At dusk I enter an empty grotto to listen to the mountain rain.

And in *Dwelling in Seclusion in Summer Mountains* (fig. 30), dated 1354, Wang adapts *Riverbank* to his own ideas of peaceful mountain living in a lush summer setting. Seated in his pavilion shaded by tall trees, the artist looks onto an open panorama of marsh grasses; behind him, conical mountain masses verdant with growth extend into the distance. Unlike Wu Zhen’s straight parallel brushstrokes, Wang Meng’s hemp-fiber strokes are more complexly vibrant.

Wang Meng in the 1350s and 1360s spent much time traveling between Songjiang, Suzhou, and Wuxi, Jiangsu, making the acquaintance of famous poets and artists in the area. By 1365, he was involved with the rebel government of Zhang Shicheng (1321–1367), a salt smuggler who had occupied Suzhou since 1356 and now called himself the Prince of Wu. In 1365, Wang commemorated a gathering near Suzhou of literati notables at the Rain-Listening Pavilion of Lu Shiheng, painting a handscroll on which all those present (including Ni Zan) inscribed poems. In *Reading in Spring Mountains* (fig. 31), dating to the spring of 1365, Wang brings the *Riverbank* motifs into closer focus, transforming the crowded mountains, dense, swaying pine trees, and well-hidden pavilion into a dark vision of impending doom.

In the summer of 1365 Zhu Yuanzhang, the future founder of the Ming dynasty (r. 1368–98), began his campaign against Zhang Shicheng, and by the end of 1366 Suzhou was under siege by the forces of the Ming general Xu Da. In *Fisherman’s Retreat on a Flowering Stream* (fig. 32), of about 1367, Wang Meng reworks elements from *Riverbank* to represent the story of the Peach Blossom Spring, by the early-fifth-century poet Tao Qian (365–427). In the story a fisherman loses his way and finds himself in the land of peach blossoms, a realm where time has been suspended. The villagers he meets there are descendants of those who had escaped from the ravages of the war during the years of the Qin dynasty (221–206 B.C.). Hiding in the mountains by a landlocked flowering stream, Wang, during the ten-month siege of Suzhou, perhaps felt that he, like the villagers in the garden, was inhabiting a place where time had stopped.

After the establishment of the Ming dynasty in early 1368, Wang Meng went north and served for three years as the magistrate of Taian, Shandong. In *Simple Retreat* (pl. 8, page 121), dating to about 1370, he rearranges the familiar motif of a thatched
Figure 30. Wang Meng (ca. 1308–1385), *Dwelling in Seclusion in Summer Mountains*, dated 1354. Hanging scroll, ink on silk, 22⅞ x 13⅞ in. (56.8 x 34.2 cm). Freer Gallery of Art, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.
Figure 31. Wang Meng (ca. 1308–1385), *Reading in Spring Mountains*, 1365. Hanging scroll, ink and color on paper, 52 x 21⅜ in. (132 x 55.4). Shanghai Museum

Figure 32. Wang Meng (ca. 1308–1385), *Fisherman's Retreat on a Flowering Stream*, ca. 1367. Hanging scroll, ink and color on paper, 50¼ x 23 in. (129 x 58.3 cm). National Palace Museum, Taipei
hermitage nestled in the mountains into a tightly woven composition of fluttering texture strokes, dots, and bright spots of color. The restless energy and thrusting, heaving movement give an unprecedented physicality and dynamism to late-Yuan landscape painting. In his essay "Secrets of Landscape Painting," Huang Gongwang writes:

The mountain peaks should turn and link together, change direction and turn again, with the veins of the mountains branching smoothly throughout. This method makes the mountains come alive. The myriad peaks bow, making way for one another, while ten thousand trees march like soldiers in a great army."

The Simple Retreat shares with certain Northern Song paintings, notably Travelers at the Mountain Pass, attributed to Guan Tong (active ca. 907–23; fig. 33), an extraordinary expressiveness. It is possible that during his northern sojourn Wang Meng had an opportunity to see Travelers, which was part of the Yuan imperial collection in Beijing. In his late years Wang continued to express in paintings his own inner turmoil and complexity. In 1380, he was implicated in the alleged treason of the prime minister Hu Weiyoung. He died in prison in 1385.

**Dong Yuan: A Patriarch of the Southern School of Landscape Painting**

In the tradition of Mi Fu and Zhao Mengfu, the literati artists of the Ming period studied ancient paintings both as painters and as critics, art historians, and collectors. They studied the works of the old masters with the eye and the feeling of a painter, and they painted to re-create and recapture art history with the experience of a connoisseur.

Because the Ming painters of the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries continued to paint in the calligraphic landscape idioms of the late Yuan masters, little critical attention was paid to Dong Yuan and Juran during this period. Once Riverbank entered the Ming imperial collections—as documented by the painting's official Ming half-seal dating to 1374–84—it became inaccessible to the artist-connoisseur. By the early seventeenth century, when Dong Qichang was collecting the work of Dong Yuan and attempting to reconstruct his oeuvre, Riverbank was notably absent from the key monuments available to him.

Dong Qichang (1555–1636) began to collect important Song and Yuan paintings soon after he had earned his jinsbi

Figure 33. Attributed to Guan Tong (active ca. 907–23)), Travelers at the Mountain Pass. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 16 7/8 x 22 7/8 in. (44.4 x 56.8 cm). National Palace Museum, Taipei
degree in Beijing in 1589. In 1597, he acquired the handscroll The Xiao and Xiang Rivers (fig. 6). And in 1635, a year before he died, he inscribed the following colophon on the handscroll Summer Mountains, also in his collection and also attributed to Dong Yuan:

While I was in Chang’an [Beijing], I saw [original] handscrolls by Dong Yuan on three different occasions. In 1597, I acquired The Xiao and Xiang Rivers for my collection; in 1624, I saw Awaiting the Ferry at the Foot of Summer Mountains and in 1632, I acquired the present scroll. It was formerly owned by [the Southern Song prime minister] Jia Sidao, and on it is his seal, [which reads] “Zhang.” The height and the length of these three silk scrolls are about the same, but The Xiao and Xiang Rivers is the best. Awaiting the Ferry bears a colophon by [the Yuan connoisseur] Ke Jiusi; it was an imperial treasure in the collection of Emperor Wenzong of the Yuan dynasty, and is now in the collection of Zhu [Geng], the minister of Donggang. Mi Fu, who lived not long after the time of Dong Yuan, said that he had seen only five original works by that master. How fortunate I am to have owned two of them!

The handscrolls, all three of which represent the rich, dense landscape of the lower Yangzi delta region in central China, show the recognized late manner of Dong Yuan, the rounded mountain forms modeled in softly rubbed, parallel hemp-fiber texture
strokes and round moss dots. *The Xiao and Xiang Rivers*, which, as noted by Dong Qichang, is indeed superior to the other two scrolls, is dated by modern scholars to the late eleventh century. As noted earlier, compared with the clearly outlined mountain forms and highly representational foliage dots of the earlier *Wintry Groves and Layered Banks* (fig. 5), here the marks are fused to create a unified, impressionistic surface typical of the late eleventh century. Barnhart has demonstrated that *The Xiao and Xiang Rivers* and *Awaiting the Ferry* are sections of different versions of a lost handscroll composition. In *Summer Mountains*, a work by a follower of Dong Yuan that appears to date to the first half of the thirteenth century, spatial illusion is further developed, with defined outlines obliterated in a surface pattern of dots and ink wash.

Dong Qichang’s interest in Dong Yuan was motivated by his attempt to define the Southern school of landscape painting, a stylistic lineage that, according to his theory, was initiated in the Tang dynasty by the poet-painter Wang Wei (701–761) and was later
developed by Dong Yuan and the four masters of the late Yuan. In reconstructing the stylistic tradition of the Southern school, Dong proposed the hemp-fiber texture pattern as the principal connecting element. In a colophon that he inscribed on the handscroll Rivers and Mountains after Snow, attributed to Wang Wei, he noted: “Beginning with Wang Wei, both texture strokes and ink washes were used in landscape painting. . . . Once the basic method was formulated, it was not difficult [for later painters] to follow and improve upon it.” In his own rendering of the hemp-fiber texture method, Dong Qichang created a stylistic synthesis of the Dong Yuan and Huang Gongwang idiom by reducing it to a calligraphically based “straight texture” formula:

One begins [a landscape] by outlining the mountains, first in accordance with their outward form and momentum, and then by applying within the outlines straight texture strokes. This is the method of Huang Gongwang.64

The best demonstration of Dong’s straight texture method is Landscape in the Manner of Huang Gongwang (fig. 34), dating to about 1624–27, in which cubistic mountain forms rise and fall across the picture plane creating a dynamic “breath-momentum” (qishi) throughout the composition.65

Dong Qichang was puzzled by the apparent diversity of styles found in paintings—especially large hanging scrolls—traditionally attributed to Dong Yuan:

Both The Xu River and The Xiao and Xiang Rivers, by Dong Yuan, are in my collection. In their brushwork, they look as if they were done by two different hands. I also own several hanging scrolls by Dong Yuan. Not one of them shares a common style. [Dong] may be called the [mysterious] dragon among painters!66

As a connoisseur and a collector, Dong Qichang kept his own counsel. In his public pronouncements, he could be less than candid—even inaccurate—wreaking havoc in the researches of later scholars.67

It is often difficult for us to understand what Dong Qichang had in mind when he wrote that in his own paintings he was “imitating Dong Yuan.” James Cahill, for example, in his study of Dong’s 1617 hanging scroll Qingbian Mountain in the Manner of Dong Yuan (fig. 35), writes:

Wang Meng’s depiction of the mountain [fig. 48], done in 1566, survives; Dong, although he may have had this great work in mind, does not refer to it in the inscription on his own Qingbian Mountain of 1617, but says rather that he is “imitating Dong Yuan.” It is hard to see what he can mean by invoking that tenth-century master, whose style cannot account for much of importance in this painting.68

Kohara Hironobu argues that the model for Dong Qichang’s Qingbian Mountain in the Manner of Dong Yuan was none other than Dong Yuan’s Travelers amid Autumn Mountains (fig. 1), the work formerly in the J. D. Chen collection.69 Kohara points to a line from the poem inscribed by Dong Qichang on the painting that seems to describe the mountain village depicted in the Chen Travelers:

Where chickens and dogs are seen on top of clouds, a village market lies.
Kohara assumes that the J. D. Chen *Travelers amid Autumn Mountains*, whose composition is of course identical to that of Chen Lian's reduced copy of the scroll (fig. 2), was the Dong Yuan model referred to by Dong Qichang as in his collection. This is refuted by Fu Shen, who proposes, without explanation, that the J. D. Chen "Dong Yuan" is a modern forgery by Zhang Daqian." We shall return to this problem later.

Another painting attributed to Dong Yuan, now in the Ogawa Collection in Kyoto, has a similar title, *Travelers amid Streams and Mountains* (fig. 36), and also shows a village market scene with chickens and dogs. Once in the collection of the fifteenth-century scholar painter Shen Zhou (1427–1509), this painting was known as "A Half-Scroll of Jiangnan." About this painting Dong Qichang wrote:

I have in my collection the painting *Travelers amid Streams and Mountains*, by Dong Yuan. This painting was copied by Shen Zhou. Wen Zhengming [1470–1559] once wrote that in his entire life the only painting by Dong Yuan that he had seen was "a mountain in half a scroll." This is the painting [Wen] was referring to."

It would now appear that rather than the Chen *Travelers amid Autumn Mountains*, it is the Ogawa *Travelers amid Streams and Mountains* that was the model for Dong Qichang's *Qingbian Mountain in the Manner of Dong Yuan*. Painted in heavy parallel hemp-fiber texture strokes, the Ogawa *Travelers* dates stylistically to the early fifteenth century. In his painting, Dong Qichang applies the simplified Dong Yuan/Huang Gongwang straight texture pattern. A complex exercise in the study of rock and tree forms rendered calligraphically, the composition represents the breath-momentum of the artist's physical movements with abstract, volumetric mountain forms that writhe and turn in a new, dynamically expressive kinesthetic landscape style.

When we examine Chen Lian's copy of Wang Meng's *Travelers amid Autumn Mountains* (fig. 2), we realize that unlike the Ogawa *Travelers amid Streams and Mountains*, the mountain village in Wang Meng's painting is placed in a landscape setting very much like that of *Riverbank*. The modeling, done in a fine parallel hemp-fiber pattern, recalls that of Wang's earlier *Dwelling in Seclusion in Summer Mountains* (fig. 30), except that the mountain forms have been simplified and transformed into a serpentine, or dragon-vein, movement.7 Dong Qichang was therefore very much on the mark when he observed that *Travelers amid Autumn Mountains* was "a masterwork done before Wang Meng transformed
Figure 37. Wang Hui (1632–1717), *After Chen Lian’s Reduced Copy of Wang Meng’s “Travelers amid Streams and Mountains,”* 1680s. Hanging scroll, ink on paper, 23½ x 10½ in. (88 x 65 cm). Private collection

Figure 38. Wu Li (1632–1718), *After Chen Lian’s Reduced Copy of Wang Meng’s “Travelers amid Streams and Mountains,”* 1680s. Hanging scroll, ink on paper, 23½ x 10½ in. (59.7 x 27.2 cm). The Metropolitan Museum of Art. Ex. Coll.: C. C. Wang Family, Edward Elliott Family Collection, Purchase, The Dillon Fund Gift, 1981 (1981.285.6)
Figure 39. Unidentified artist (14th century), *Along the Riverbank at Dusk*. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 70½ x 43¼ in. (179 x 116.5 cm). National Palace Museum, Taipei
the earlier master’s style.” The statement is remarkable in that Dong himself appears not to have had any direct knowledge of Dong Yuan’s Riverbank, which was of course Wang’s model.

Stylistically, Wang Meng’s fine hemp-fiber brushstrokes, a calligraphic idiom of the fourteenth century, are very different from the subtly shaded mountain forms of Riverbank (pl. 1c), which show the more representational modeling technique of the tenth century. By the seventeenth century, while Dong Yuan’s representational shading technique had been relegated to obscurity, his texture pattern continued to be re-created through Wang Meng’s calligraphic method. In the 1680s, both Wang Hui (1632–1717; fig. 37) and Wu Li (1632–1718; fig. 38) made exact copies of Chen Lian’s reduced copy of Wang Meng’s Travelers amid Autumn Mountains (fig. 2). Working in Dong Qichang’s kinesthetic landscape style, both painters used Chen Lian’s straight parallel texture strokes to build up the composition, the whole dramatically expanding in a dragon-vein movement.

Zhang Daqian and Modern Forgeries

According to Fu Shen, sometime between 1936 and 1938 the modern painter and collector Zhang Daqian (1899–1983) saw in Beijing a painting then attributed to Dong Yuan, a colored landscape entitled Along the Riverbank at Dusk (fig. 39). It was a work that haunted him for many years. Living in Sichuan and Dunhuang during the Second World War, Zhang returned to Beijing in 1945 and acquired the painting. It was donated to the National Palace Museum, Taipei, by Zhang’s family after his death in 1983. Painted in the archaic blue-green idiom of Zhao Mengfu, with elegant calligraphic hemp-fiber strokes and bright mineral colors, Along the Riverbank at Dusk dates stylistically to the fourteenth century or later.

Seven years prior to his acquisition of this painting, in wartime Guilin, Guangxi Province, Zhang had acquired Riverbank from the painter Xu Beihong (1895–1953), who had discovered the painting in Guilin. In a letter dating from late 1938 or early 1939 sent from Singapore (fig. 40), Xu wrote the following:

I have acquired a large hanging scroll, The Water Village, by Dong Yuan, perhaps the preeminent Dong Yuan under heaven. [Zhang] Daqian saw the painting and was so enamored of it that he insisted on taking it with him to Sichuan. So I invited him to research and authenticate the painting. The work includes a line of signature [by Dong Yuan], a Song palace seal, and the collectors’ seals of Jia Sidao and Ke Jiusi. Although the silk of the painting is abraded, the painting itself is quite legible. All the details—figures, houses, mountains, trees, water—are wonderfully executed.

From this letter we learn that when Xu first acquired Riverbank, the painting was known, mistakenly, as The Water Village. The line of signature and the various collectors’ seals on the painting had already been deciphered. By 1957, when the painting was
published by the connoisseur Xie Zhiliu, it had recovered its proper title, *Riverbank.*” When C. C. Wang acquired the painting from Zhang Daqian in the late 1960s, he had it restored and remounted in Tokyo by the renowned conservator Meguro Sanji.

In the late 1940s and early 1950s, Zhang Daqian labored to recapture Dong Yuan’s style (fig. 41), copying and recopying his favorite Dong Yuan model, *Along the Riverbank at Dusk* (fig. 39). Fu Shen writes:

> Zhang was so impressed with *Along the Riverbank* that after learning how to copy its imposing foreground trees he used their likeness in some thirty paintings. He also made at least three copies of the complete composition; the first in spring 1946 and two, or possibly more, copies in fall 1950, in Darjeeling, India.”

It would appear that Zhang Daqian did not recognize the importance of *Riverbank.* His own collecting activities were inspired by Dong Qichang. In a colophon dated 1947 he wrote,

> According to [Zhang Zhou’s] “The Calligraphy and Painting Barge” [1616], Dong Qichang was obsessed with Dong Yuan. In his lifetime he owned four works [by Dong Yuan], *The Xiao and Xiang Rivers* being the finest. . . . Last winter [1946], I myself finally acquired *The Xiao and Xiang Rivers*. . . . I had earlier obtained *Along the
Figure 41. Zhang Daqian (1899–1983). *After Dong Yuan’s “Along the Riverbank at Dusk,”* dated 1950. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 78 x 46 ¼ in. (198 x 117.5 cm). Zhang Xu Wenbo, Taipei

*Riverbank at Dusk and Wind and Rain* [*The Dragon*] *Rising after Hibernation,* so that I now own three Dong Yuans. If some day I should acquire one more, I will have put a stop to old Dong’s monopoly!  

And in another colophon, dated 1949:

Dong Yuan has a grand and elegant manner, which is difficult to imitate. After I acquired *Along the Riverbank at Dusk* and *The Xiao and Xiang Rivers,* I began to understand [Dong’s] brush methods.  

It is possible that because *Riverbank* does not show the typical Dong Yuan/Juran hemp-fiber idiom, Zhang simply did not know how to respond to the attribution. As Fu Shen has noted:
Figure 43. Zhang Daqian (1899–1983), Water and Clouds at the Xiao and Xiang Rivers, dated 1946. Hanging scroll, ink and color on paper. Private collection

Figure 44. Zhang Daqian (1899–1983), Peach Blossom Spring, dated 1981. Hanging scroll, ink and color on paper, 82 ⅞ x 36 ⅝ in. (209.1 x 92.4 cm). Cenac Ltd., Edmonton, Alberta
Figure 45. Zhang Daqian (1899–1983), forgery of Jurujn's Dense Forests and Layered Peaks, ca. 1951. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 72 3/4 x 29 in. (184.7 x 73.8 cm). British Museum, London
The hanging scroll Riverbank did not have much influence on Zhang. There is no evidence of his ever having made any copy of this work, nor have we found any discussion of this work by him. A possible reason for this is the way the mountain forms in this painting are done with a shading technique, without a clearly defined linear, cun texture method. Neither does the linear pattern of its trees, architecture, or human figures seem extraordinary, so Zhang made no effort to copy or learn from it.51

The key concept here is the phrase “linear, cun texture method,” which defines Zhang Daqian’s technical approach to landscape painting. Earlier we discussed the development of Chinese landscape painting from Song naturalism to the calligraphic expression of the Yuan. By the late Ming and early Qing, landscape modeling techniques had been codified and catalogued into an elaborate set of graphic formulas known as cun texture methods. The Mustard Seed Garden Manual of Painting (1679; fig. 42), for example, lists “draping hemp fiber” (pima), “disorderly hemp fiber” (huanna), and “cow hair” (niunao) among a repertoire of sixteen texture patterns, relating them to historical styles of well-known masters.51 This was Zhang Daqian’s technical inheritance.

In his copies of the Dong Yuan attributions Along the Riverbank at Dusk and The Xiao and Xiang Rivers (figs. 41, 43; cf. figs. 39, 6), Zhang starts with the hemp-fiber cun patterns. In the former, his brushwork is sleek and sharp; in the latter, round, straight, and flat. There is a crucial difference between Zhang’s hemp-fiber cun method and those of the late Ming and early Qing masters, such as Dong Qichang, Wang Hui, and Wu Li (figs. 34, 35, 37, 38); whereas the energetic and richly varied brushwork of the seventeenth-century masters builds forms into a smoothly flowing serpentine, or dragon-vein, movement, Zhang’s linear patterns, which derive from Qing copybooks, are static, flat, and lifeless.

To remedy the flatness of his cun method, Zhang turned to ink wash to model

Figure 46. Unidentified artist (late 17th century), After Juran’s “Living in the Mountains.” Hanging scroll, ink on silk. Saito Collection, Japan
his forms in a Western chiaroscuro manner. He began to experiment in a new splattered-ink (pome) blue-and-green landscape style, combining late-Qing linear curl patterns with Western chiaroscuro modeling techniques. In his Peach Blossom Spring, dated 1983 (fig. 44), the grandeur of the scenery and the dynamic impact of natural forces are powerfully conveyed by both traditional Dong Yuan/Juran motifs and imposing abstract blobs of black ink and color.

Of Zhang Daqian’s large output of paintings now in collections around the world, Dense Forests and Layered Peaks (fig. 45), a forgery of a work by Juran, has received much critical attention. Fu Shen writes:

When closely examined, the brushwork bears traits of Zhang’s hand. The contrast between light and dark, which is harsher than in early paintings, gives Zhang’s landscape a flatter appearance than Seeking Dao in the Autumn Mountains. The contours of the rocks and especially the hard edge of the distant mountains in Dense Forests and Layered Peaks are stiffer and more slick.

In addition to Seeking Dao in the Autumn Mountains, a painting attributed stylistically in the early Ming to Juran, Dense Forests and Layered Peaks also builds on another Juran attribution, Living in the Mountains (fig. 46), a work whose dragon-vein composition dates it to the late Ming and early Qing. Compared with its models, the modern forgery shows every hallmark of a painting by Zhang Daqian: fluent but flat brushwork, static mountain and tree forms, and smooth, heavy, Western-style chiaroscuro modeling.

In the early twentieth century, many modern forgeries of early Chinese paintings were made by copying photographic facsimiles. The J. D. Chen Travelers amid Autumn Mountains (fig. 1) is a case in point, unfortunately now known only in reproduction. Without close examination of the work itself, it is not possible to determine whether it is actually by Zhang Daqian. From the poor reproduction, one can deduce only that it shares many of the same characteristics of a twentieth-century forgery: lifeless brushwork, flat forms, and heavy Western-style modeling.

Much can be learned, however, from a close examination of the modern forgery of Wang Meng’s Hermitage in the Qinghian Mountain (fig. 47), also formerly in the J. D. Chen collection, which is based on a facsimile reproduction of the original, now in the Shanghai Museum (fig. 48). In the original, dated 1366, one of the most famous paintings of the Yuan period, the convulsive mountain forms and electrically charged trees are built with layers of pulsating, overlapping, dark and light inkstrokes, their swirling rhythms creating violent eddies and counter-eddies in a composition that, in the words of Max Loehr, “seems not so much to describe a passage of mountain scenery as to express a terrible occurrence . . . an eruptive vision.” By contrast, the
copyist, though attempting to be faithful to his model, is heavy-handed and incoherent. In a close-up view of two comparable details, one from the forgery (47a) and one from the original (48a), where the calligraphic brushwork in the original is both fluent and representationally expressive, in the forgery the copyist merely conceals his awkwardness with aimless daubing and heavy ink-wash modeling. In focusing on individual motifs and surface patterns, the forger grasps the proverbial trees but not the forest of Wang Meng's composition.

In studying Riverbank and the history of the Dong Yuan tradition in Chinese landscape painting, we have related the style of the painting to the social and artistic milieu of tenth-century China, in which it was produced; we have reconstructed its history and its influence on Yuan painting in the fourteenth century; and we have noted its inaccessibility to scholars and collectors during the Ming dynasty, from about 1380 to 1644, and its eventual rediscovery in the early twentieth century. The ramifications of the story we have told are manifold. As the product of a pivotal period in Chinese history, Riverbank
exemplifies the rise of monumental landscape painting, one of the most important developments in Chinese art. Its true significance, however, was soon forgotten. Later painters nevertheless tried to re-create Dong Yuan in their own works. At the heart of Chinese artistic endeavor is the traditional practice of copying works by ancient masters and imitating their styles. In copying, the exceptional artist studies the original, intently and perceptively, but he uses it only as a point of departure for his own creativity.

The Chinese painter's tool, a pliant yet resilient brush that tapers to a point, was compared by the seventeenth-century master Wang Yuanqi (1642–1715) to Indra's diamond club, an invincible weapon that bestowed upon the Hindu god his powers of creation. A good painting, like good calligraphy, is considered a "heart print" (xinyin) that graphically expresses the artist's psychic identity. So how, one might ask, can a forgery, however clever, masquerade as an ancient masterpiece?

A successful forgery is not only a technical triumph, it is a psychological coup. Writing about forgeries of European masterworks, Hans Tietze once remarked that a good fake "must be served hot on the plate." One of the first rules of a good
imitator or forger is to re-create a work in an idiom he has so mastered and internalized that the end product appears naturally inspired. So while Zhang Daqian may have been capable of creating forgeries of works by Dong Yuan by successfully imitating *Along the Riverbank at Dusk* and *The Xiao and Xiang Rivers*, in whose styles he was thoroughly conversant, he could never have painted *Riverbank*, whose ancient and forgotten forms and techniques were alien and incomprehensible to him.
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Along the Riverbank
Maxwell K. Hearn

Devoted to ancestor worship, the Chinese have long described their art in terms of schools and traditions and master-pupil lineages. The tenth-century master Dong Yuan, for example, to whom the monumental landscape painting Riverbank (pl. 1, page 2) is attributed, was later regarded as a founding patriarch of the Southern school of Chinese landscape painting. Leading landscape painters of later centuries, from the four masters of the late Yuan (1279–1368) to Dong Qichang (1555–1636) and both the orthodox and individualist masters of the early Qing dynasty (1644–1911), were all said to be Dong’s followers. But as Wen C. Fong has demonstrated in the preceding essay, while works attributed to Dong have inspired landscape painters from the Yuan period onward, these later artists re-created the master’s idiom after their own styles.

We can approach the history of Chinese painting by thinking of it as a great river formed by the confluence of many tributaries, cross-currents, and directional shifts. This essay explores some of these meandering currents, these ever-changing directions. The twelve works from the C. C. Wang Family Collection that are discussed in this volume have been acquired for the Metropolitan Museum by Oscar L. Tang and his family to extend and strengthen the Museum’s holdings. Spanning nearly eight centuries of painting history, from about 950 to 1702, the group comprises major examples of court and scholar painting from the three principal genres of Chinese pictorial art: figural narrative, landscape, and flower-and-bird subjects. While twelve works cannot encompass the breadth and variety of this great tradition, they illuminate major changes in Chinese art history; in particular, they illustrate how later painters continued to reflect upon and transform the images and styles inherited from earlier masters.

Palace Banquet
The two earliest paintings in the Tang family promised gift are rare tenth-century works that may be associated with the Southern Tang dynasty (937–75). Palace Banquet is by an unidentified Academy painter, and Riverbank is attributed to Dong Yuan (active 930s–60s).

A time of political turmoil, the tenth century was also an era of artistic innovation. During the chaotic years between the collapse of the Tang dynasty in 907 and the founding of the Song dynasty in 960, a time known as the Five Dynasties and Ten Kingdoms period, centralized rule was shattered and China splintered into competing states. North China was ruled by a succession of short-lived military regimes, each
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intent on achieving political hegemony, and South China fragmented into small regional states that showed little interest in conquest.

The Southern Tang dynasty, which governed much of the lower Yangzi River delta region from its capital at Nanjing, was among the most stable and prosperous of these southern kingdoms. The arts flourished, particularly under the patronage of the last two rulers, Li Jing (r. 943–61) and Li Yu (r. 961–75), both of whom supported talented artists at their courts, including the figure painters Zhou Wenju and Gu Hongzhong, the architectural specialist Wei Xian (all three active ca. 943–75), and the originators of a distinctive southern idiom of landscape painting, Dong Yuan (active 930s–60s) and Juran (active ca. 960–95).1

The elegant life-style of the Southern Tang court is vividly evoked in Palace Banquet (pl. 2), a large-scale image executed in ink and mineral colors on silk that epitomizes the descriptive richness and narrative complexity of tenth-century figure painting. Measuring nearly five feet in height, the painting, now mounted as a hanging scroll consisting of two joined vertical lengths of silk, originally may have formed part of a much larger multipanel screen. The painting presents a bird’s-eye view of the women’s quarters of an imposing palace in which richly furnished rooms look out onto a series of private courtyards graced with willows, plantains, bamboo, palms, and other ornamental trees. At the heart of the complex is a second-story terrace where ladies and female servants stand expectantly around tables set with dishes and serving vessels, waiting for an elaborate banquet to begin. What is the occasion? Where is the emperor? Only on close examination do we discover the subtle narrative structure that guides us through the picture space, providing answers to our questions.

At the top of the picture, a broad expanse of empty silk defines the separation of these private quarters from the world beyond the palace, whose only point of entry is the gate at the upper right corner. Beginning with the gateway, both the architecture and the gestures of the figures lead us from the exterior world to the increasingly intimate interior space of the royal harem. The path, like that in a Chinese garden, is not a direct one; it follows a circuitous route that only gradually reveals the layers of meaning that the painting holds.

Inside the gate, a servant girl helps a lady lift off the massive wooden beam that bars the double doors—signaling the emperor’s imminent arrival (pl. 2a). The servant holds a padlock that she has just removed from a second gate, the open door of which beckons the viewer to enter a wide yard where two girls—one holding a fan, the other a pair of lotus—chase after a butterfly, oblivious to the calls of the ladies who look down on them from a two-story pavilion (pl. 2b). The butterfly and the paired lotus are images often linked with romantic love, but they also function as temporal markers indicating the summer season.
The girls stand beside a stone stairway that is the only visible access to the seraglio. Broad avenues, a moat, and garden rocks like sentinels encircle the tree-shaded cloister, emphasizing its physical isolation. At the left, in a spacious hall, five ladies look out expectantly (the one on the far left is shown just emerging from a stairway leading from the first floor), while another plays a lute beside a large table surrounded by cushioned stools (pl. 2c). More tables set with wine cups, plates, and ewers have been moved onto the adjacent terrace (pl. 2d), and a sideboard nearby holds an array of gold serving vessels. In a detached pavilion to the right of the terrace, an elaborate incense burner in the form of lions on lotus thrones has been placed on a carpet, while musical instruments still wrapped in brocade have been set out for a concert (pl. 2e).

Everything has been readied for an elaborate party, but the imperial guest has yet to arrive. Among the waiting women, two standing at the rear left of the central banquet table are particularly noteworthy. One points skyward, while her companion licks a strand of silk in preparation for threading the needle that she holds in her raised left hand. A lady on the balcony at the far left also threads a needle (pl. 2c). It is these unusual activities that provide the key to the subject of the painting.

On the seventh day of the seventh lunar month, the Weaving Maid and the Herd Boy, legendary lovers immortalized as stars at either end of the Milky Way, meet in a lovers’ tryst. The occasion, still celebrated as Lovers’ Day (Qingrenjie), was known in traditional China as the Seventh Evening Festival (Qixijie), or the Entreating Skills Festival (Quiaojie), a day when women decorated the household, set out platters of food, drank wine, and competed in needle threading. If the theme of Palace Banquet is indeed the celebration of Lovers’ Day, the absence of its principal participant
intensifies the picture’s narrative suspense. In seeking out the emperor, we are inevitably led beyond the banquet scene to the inner chambers of the royal harem, where the amorous nature of the festival is made more explicit.

Entry to these private apartments lies directly behind the terrace through yet another gate, where a lady and her servant are about to enter (pl. 2d). Beyond this doorway is a three-bay hall (pl. 2f), the central room of which holds a dais backed by a multipanel landscape screen (pl. 2g). If this were the emperor’s study, the dais might be set with an inkstone and writing materials; instead, we see a mirror case and a cosmetics box, clear indications that this is a feminine space. In the right chamber, a woman lights a standing lamp—a reminder of the evening hour. The left chamber is a bedroom. Viewed through a transparent blind, we glimpse a bed with curtains pulled back to reveal its occupant, concealed beneath a disheveled bedcover. The sleeping figure, presumably the emperor’s favorite, is interrupted in her reverie by a lady who claps her hands, to rouse her in preparation for his arrival. Uncovered by our voyeuristic gaze, the scene explicitly suggests the possibility of a later romantic encounter and makes us conscious of ourselves as the unseen observers for whom the vignette was created.

The artist has led us on a path of gradual discovery. But if the scene in the bedroom represents a dramatic culmination, it does not occur in the innermost space of
the compound and so cannot be the narrative’s final chapter. Behind the bedroom suite lies another hall aligned along the same axis but set perpendicular to it, its massive roof shielding the interior from our view. Here, no doubt, are the emperor’s private apartments. The corridor to the left of the royal chambers is lined with a large screen and several wall hangings that depict a palatial complex surrounded by rugged peaks and tall pines, a blue-green image of layered hills along a shoreline, and a three-panel composition showing fishermen boating by a reed bank (pl. zh).

These paintings within the painting remind us of the many levels on which illusion functions in this work. By the tenth century, painters had begun to reflect on the very act of painting itself, both through the revival of earlier styles and through a recognition of the artifice involved in representing a three-dimensional space on a two-dimensional surface. The paintings within the painting serve to persuade us that the space we have entered is a real one. This illusion, however, is contradicted by our relation to the action depicted, since we view the narrative from outside the picture space. Like other works created at the Southern Tang court, Palace Banquet explores the tension between our world and the world of the painting, offering, like a theatrical performance, only a momentary suspension of disbelief before leading us back to a heightened awareness of ourselves.⁴

Another aspect of the painting’s artifice is its revival of Tang-dynasty architectural motifs, costumes, and figure types to indicate that this is not a record of contemporary life but a historical narrative. The most famous lovers associated with the seventh day of the seventh month are Tang emperor Xuanzong (r. 712–56) and his consort Yang Guifei. It was Xuanzong’s infatuation with Yang Guifei and his neglect of the affairs of state that led to the rebellion of An Lushan in 755, which forced the emperor to flee the capital to Shu (present-day Sichuan Province). En route,
Xuanzong's palace guard mutinied, refusing to accompany the emperor until Yang Guifei was put to death. Several generations later, the poet Bai Juyi (772–846) memorialized the tragic romance in his “Song of Unending Sorrow” (Changge). *Palace Banquet*, with its elegant ladies, elaborate banquet, and in particular the dilatory con-cubine still sleeping in her bedchamber recall similar images in Bai Juyi's poem:

*There were other ladies in the inner palace, three thousand of rare beauty,*

*But [the emperor’s] favors to three thousand were focused on one.*

*By the time she was dressed in her Golden Chamber, it was nearly nightfall;*

*In the Jade Tower at the banquet’s end, her drunkenness was mellow as springtime.*

Other details in the painting may also allude to images from the poem. The blossoming hibiscus and willow trees recall the poem’s Lake Taiyi hibiscus and Weiyang Palace willows, which are compared to Yang Guifei’s “petal-like countenance” and “willow-leaf eyebrow,” while the tree formed of intertwined trunks (pl. 2f) alludes to the lovers’ unfulfilled vow, recounted in a dreamlike sequence:

*And she sent him, by his envoy, a verse reminding him*  
*Of vows known only to their two hearts:*  
*“On the seventh day of the seventh month, in the Palace of Long Life,*  
*We told each other secretly in the quiet midnight world*  
*That we wished to fly to heaven, two birds with the wings of one,*  
*And to grow together on earth, two branches of one tree.”*

Other details in the painting are also based on Tang prototypes. The blue-green color scheme of the architecture and the inverted V-brackets set between the columns (pl. 2e), an ornamental device that disappears after the Tang dynasty, parallel structures depicted in Tang murals (fig. 51). The costumes, coiffures, and full proportions of the
palace ladies are based on eighth-century fashions and standards of beauty later associated specifically with Yang Guifei, while the furnishings of the palace, including the couches and beds with box construction and the elaborate ogival cutouts and large banquet tables with stools, all follow Tang styles (fig. 52). But while these details derive from Tang models, they are not clearly Tang. The inverted V-brackets have fanciful upturned ends, while the ladies’ high-waisted dresses, short jackets, and scarves do not precisely match a Tang model. Other details also suggest a later date. The latticework on the railings, while it derives from Sui and Tang prototypes, is closer in proportion and in the spacing of the railing posts to the example depicted in Zhang Xian’s (990–1078) Ten Poems Celebrating a Gathering at the South Garden, dated 1064 (fig. 53).7 Similarly, the incense burner (pl. 2d) resembles a censor found in the Buddha Vikrmisnabhiṣa, of about 1056 (fig. 54).

The complex spatial setting of Palace Banquet also argues against a Tang date. In paradise scenes depicted in ninth-century silk
paintings recovered from Dunhuang (fig. 55), figures appear out of scale in relation to their setting; shifts in scale are schematic; and perspective is disjointed, with the main hall represented as if viewed from below, the side halls viewed from above. By the twelfth century, this kind of grand architectural panorama had become highly complex, as seen in the late-eleventh-century handscroll Going Up the River at the Qingming Festival (fig. 56), or in the murals of the Yanshan Temple, dating to 1167 (fig. 57).1 In the Yanshan murals, a multiscene narrative is woven together across a vast space with architecture providing the spatial framework. But while certain motifs such as the ornamental railings with lattice-work inserts are close to those in Palace Banquet, the use of foreshortening is far more advanced; the technique of drawing the bracketing system has also become more mechanical, with a grid of ruled lines used to block out the placement of the individual components. The same lowered ground

Figure 56. Zhang Zeduan (active 11th century). Going Up the River at the Qingming Festival. Detail. Handscroll, ink and color on silk, 9 1/2 x 208 in. (24.8 x 528 cm). Palace Museum, Beijing

Figure 57. Wang Kui (active 12th century). Detail of a mural on the west wall of Manjusri Hall, dated to 1167. Yanshan Temple, Fanzhi County, Shanxi Province

Figure 58. Unidentified artist (late 11th century). Detail of a mural on the north wall of Kaihua Temple, rebuilt 1073–98. Gaoping County, Shanxi Province
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plane and use of ruled lines to render the brackets appear in Zhang Xian’s painting of 1064 (fig. 53). A closer parallel to Palace Banquet is found in the murals of the main hall of the Kaihua Temple in Shanxi Province, painted about 1098 (fig. 58), which show similar Tang-style architectural archaisms such as inverted V-brackets between columns, though the more highly integrated spatial setting in the Kaihua mural indicates a somewhat later date. In spite of the painting’s elevated perspective, which enables us to look over the walls into neighboring courtyards and chambers, Palace Banquet does not exhibit a unified spatial structure. Instead, the composition is constructed additively, with the architecture forming register-like internal divisions as a structure for a sequential narrative. The sharply tilted ground plane, the minimal diminution in the size of objects from foreground to background, and the expanses of blank silk with no suggestion of atmosphere all suggest a date prior to the eleventh century.

Difficult to date because of the dearth of comparable works and the absence of any clear record of transmission, Palace Banquet fits most comfortably into the later tenth century, when “ruled-line” (jiéhùa) architectural renderings developed into a specialized genre and the Tang tradition of depicting the daily life of palace ladies came into vogue. The painting’s intimately detailed evocation of the inner palace also relates it to two of the most famous compositions associated with the Southern Tang court, The Night Entertainment of Han Xizai, by Gu Hongzhong (fig. 59), and In the Palace, by Zhou Wenju, a composition preserved in an early-twelfth-century copy now divided
into four parts, the final section of which is at the Metropolitan Museum (fig. 60). Like Palace Banquet, In the Palace focuses on the women’s quarters and depicts figures wearing Tang-style costumes. Night Entertainment, which depicts the promiscuous private life of the Southern Tang minister Han Xizai (908–970), similarly features banquetting, musical instruments, and bedroom scenes comparable to those in Palace Banquet, though the costumes and furniture reflect contemporary tenth-century tastes.

Palace Banquet may also be read as a subtle political commentary directed at the Southern Tang ruling house. In the painting, the failure of the concubine to treat the imminent arrival of the emperor with the properly respectful attitude not only recalls the excesses of Yang Guifei’s relationship with Emperor Xuanzong, whose love affair was a disaster for the Tang empire, but would also have been understood as a warning against similar excesses at the Southern Tang court. There, its last ruler, Li Yu, sensing the inevitability of his own dynasty’s demise and the futility of resistance, is said to have given himself over to an indulgent life among the ladies of his harem.

There is of course a major difference in the format of Palace Banquet compared with that of Night Entertainment and In the Palace. Both Night Entertainment and In the Palace are painted as handscrolls; by contrast, Palace Banquet is a rare survivor of the large-scale screen format—perhaps the central section of a panoramic architectural structure comparable to those seen in later wall paintings (figs. 57, 58). The screen paintings depicted in Palace Banquet offer important evidence of how such works functioned within an architectural setting. In Night Entertainment, paintings are shown as screens or panels set within pieces of movable furniture. In Palace Banquet, however, paintings are integrated into an architectural framework. Large panels (made up of joined pieces of silk) are mounted against the partition walls between columns, while screens with individual silk panels framed by a cloth border are displayed either flat, as behind a dais, or folded into a niche-like configuration. Five such compositions are visible in the painting: two in the bedroom suite of the emperor’s consort and three in the emperor’s apartments. The couch in the central bay of the consort’s chambers (pl. 2g) is backed by a three-panel composition that shows a blue-green landscape. Behind this screen is a series of much larger panels that fill the spaces between columns along the rear wall of the hall. Only the panel behind the lady lighting the lamp is readable; it shows a wintry lowland landscape with bare trees and rocks visible along its right edge. Three more compositions are visible in the corridor adjacent to the emperor’s apartments (pl. 2h). The multipanel composition at the left, which shows two figures in a skiff beside a shore lined with tall reeds, resembles a scene from First Snow along the River, a handscroll composition by the Southern Tang Academy painter Zhao Gan (active ca. 960–75; fig. 19). Adjacent to this screen are two other landscape compositions, one a blue-green mountainscape, the other an image of a temple framed by a rugged range of mountains and tall foreground pines—a composition that became even more evocatively rendered in eleventh-century handscrolls. The dominance of landscape imagery in these paintings is striking; while Palace Banquet emphasizes the worldly pleasures of the royal harem, at the heart of this man-made paradise withdrawal into nature remains the ultimate ideal.
As so often happens in art history (as in political history), once a certain development or style has reached its apogee or a level of mastery is achieved, it is replaced by its opposite. Thus, at about this time, when figure painting had attained a highly sophisticated complexity, it was superseded by monumental landscape, which had been evolving as an independent genre since at least the eighth century. While the depictions by Southern Tang figure painters of the decadent pleasures of palace life alluded to the increasingly perilous position of the rulers for whom they labored, another group of artists working at the court drew inspiration from the natural world, finding in mountains and rivers a permanence and place of sanctuary that the human realm increasingly lacked. The images of landscape that these men created were not descriptions of specific sites; rather, they were idealized conceptions of man’s place within the natural order."

One of the striking features of the genre was its predominantly monochromatic palette. Whereas Tang landscapes were often brilliantly colored with mineral pigments, tenth-century artists increasingly relied on graded tones of ink to capture the spirit of the landscape, not merely its outward appearance. Although darkened with age, Riverbank (pl. 1, page 2), the tallest of all surviving early Chinese landscape paintings, marks the majestic inception of the monumental landscape tradition. With the absence of contour lines and the use of subtle ink washes to describe the rounded hills and earthen slopes of southeastern China, the painting is distinct in appearance and style from images attributed to northern masters of the late tenth and early eleventh centuries (fig. 3). Its tenth-century date and southern regional character are supported by the partially effaced signature inscribed along its lower left margin: "Painted by the Assistant Administrator of the Rear Park, Servitor Dong Yuan" (pl. 1a, page 6). The presence of a signature on a Chinese painting is never a guarantee of its authorship, as signatures were often added long after the painting was made, but the antique style of the writing makes it equally inadvisable to ignore.

Dong Yuan, revered by later scholar-artists as a patriarch of the Southern school of landscape painting, had perhaps a greater impact on the development of later Chinese painting than any other artist, yet the details of his life remain largely unknown. His name is absent from the art-historical record for more than a century after his period of activity, and even such details as his given name, place of birth, and date of death are in dispute. What is known is that Dong was active in the Southern Tang capital (present-day Nanjing, Jiangsu Province) under the second Southern Tang ruler, Li Jing (r. 943–61), and that he held the minor position of assistant administrator of the North or Rear Park. In 947, Dong and several court artists were ordered to collaborate on a painting that would depict Li Jing and several of his officials celebrating a heavy snowfall on New Year’s Day. Dong was to contribute the snow-covered bamboo and wintry groves. That he was asked to participate in an imperial commission such as this suggests that Dong was already an established artist, active for some time
prior to the commission. While he was not a member of the Southern Tang Painting Academy, Dong’s period of activity placed him in the circle of a remarkable constellation of artists working at the Southern Tang court, including the figure painters Zhou Wenju and Gu Hongzhong, the flower-and-bird painter Xu Xi, the architectural specialist Wei Xian (all active ca. 943–75), and the landscapist Juran (active ca. 960–95). With the exception of Juran, none of these artists is recorded as having continued to work during the Song dynasty, so their periods of activity presumably came to an end prior to 975, when the Southern Tang state was extinguished and its last ruler, Li Yu (r. 961–75), and members of his court were relocated to the Song capital of Kaifeng.14

The nature of Dong Yuan’s art has remained as elusive as the facts of his life. Guo Ruoxu, writing about 1070, records that Dong mastered both the colored landscape style of Li Sixun (651–716) and the monochrome ink-wash landscape style of Wang Wei (701–761), but that he was also a proficient painter of water buffalo and tigers.15 The Xuanhe huapu (preface dated 1120), the Song catalogue of imperially owned paintings, records an even wider range of subject matter among the seventy-eight examples of Dong’s art in Emperor Huizong’s (r. 1101–25) collection, including landscapes, dragons, water buffalo and herdboys, and various figural subjects.

To ascertain whether Riverbank has a place within this diverse oeuvre, we must begin with a careful examination of the painting itself. Large in scale, the painting is formed of two vertical lengths of silk that, while they have been trimmed during the course of repeated remountings (see the Appendix for a description of the painting’s condition), still preserve a coherent composition of deeply sculpted mountains framing a distant vista. As we enter the composition from the bottom edge of the picture frame, a screen of trees arrayed along a foreground spit of land serves as a repoussoir separating the pictorial space from the picture surface (pl. 1b, pages 8–9). The tallest of the trees leads us across a wide river to a middle-ground promontory. To the right, a river flows beside an elegant hermitage whose geomantically optimal siting between mountains and river emphasizes its harmonious integration with the natural world (pl. 1e, page 73). To the left, the river recedes to a waterfall that pours forth from a mountain in a series of cascades (pl. 1c, page 10), further enhancing the importance of water in the artist’s conception of landscape, or shanshui (mountains and waters). Behind the waterfall a succession of thrusting escarpments and deeply eroded ridgelines topped with clusters of trees builds layer upon layer into a towering peak that disappears into the background as it climbs toward the top of the picture frame.

Many aspects of the painting suggest a dating to the tenth century. First, the artist achieves his complex vision simply and schematically through the additive buildup of overlapping motifs across a steeply tilted ground plane. Following the archaic convention that more distant elements are rendered higher and smaller on the picture plane, the painting’s step-by-step recession is complemented by a progressive diminution in the scale of trees and other landscape features. Second, the artist’s efforts to convey the monumental grandeur of the mountains is rivaled by his painstaking delineation of the landscape’s spaces: the shadowy clefts and hollows of

1f. Detail, plate 1
the mountain, the sheltering courtyards and halls of the hermitage, the contained curves of the stream, and the winding valley that connects the foreground space to the broad river basin and distant mountains—all reflect an early stage in the depiction of volume and the illusion of recession on a two-dimensional surface through the use of primitive space cells."

A third key to the dating of this landscape is the way in which the surfaces of the rocks and mountain forms are modeled through the use of alternating areas of light and dark ink wash. There is no evidence of a brush or of the artist's hand, and there is a general absence of contour lines, both of which constitute an approach to landscape not unlike that of artists of the Tang dynasty. An eighth-century painting on a leather lute plectrum guard (fig. 18, page 25), for example, shows mountains defined not by texture strokes but by alternating bands of light and dark wash with no sense of a brush as an animating presence. In a landscape composition excavated from a mid-tenth-century Liao-dynasty tomb (fig. 14, page 26), the alternating areas of dark and light shading are defined by distinctive dragged strokes that reveal traces of the brush—a characteristic already in advance of what we see in Riverbank." By the early eleventh century, the texturing of landscape forms through the use of individual brushstrokes in such works as Fan Kuan's (active ca. 990–1030) Travelers amid Streams and Mountains (fig. 3, page 4) had become both more prominent and more systematic: texture strokes and dots that vary in both length and direction now define the planes of rock surfaces. By the second half of the eleventh century, in works such as Early Spring (fig. 4, page 4), a painting by Guo Xi (ca. 1000–ca. 1090) dated 1072, an even more complex array of texture strokes, washes, and contours is used not only to evoke the tactile qualities of rock forms but to suggest the blurring effect of a moisture-laden atmosphere." Another indication that Riverbank represents an early moment in the development of monumental landscape is its narrative content, for here human activity still vies with nature as the principal subject, each of the fourteen figures in the painting contributing to the complex narrative structure. The figures thus appear to be relatively large in scale, especially when compared to the minute stature of the figures in the landscapes of Fan Kuan and Guo Xi. Indeed, the painting's unusual height may reflect the artist's desire to present the mountain as monumental while retaining a human scale comparable to that found in other figure paintings of the period. In spite of its increased importance, landscape in Riverbank thus continues to function as the framework for human activity.

As with Palace Banquet (pl. 2), the narrative unfolds from background to foreground. Having peered deep into the distance, the viewer is again brought back to the foreground in a winding course that follows the river in its forward movement from the top right of the composition, passing by two thatched dwellings before disappearing behind a mountain ridge (pl. 11, page 74). The dwellings stand at the convergence of the river and a pathway that leads into the foreground through an opening in the mountains. In the gathering gloom four travelers scurry through the narrow defile, sustaining the forward movement until it is arrested by the broad stream and hermitage.
Following the travelers, the viewer encounters a series of narrative clues that establishes the painting’s temporal as well as physical setting. The darkening sky announces the approach of evening; the formation of geese seeking shelter in the valley heralds the changing season. Trees bend in the wind, and the travelers wear thatch cloaks and hats as protection against the approaching storm.

The hermitage at the center of the composition (pl. 1e) offers shelter. It is protected on three sides by the encircling mountains, while the fourth side is enclosed by a wattle palisade. A man carrying a plow and the boy on the water buffalo have just arrived at the villa’s outer gate after a day in the rice paddies. Entering the rustic compound one finds a central hall and side buildings that form a courtyard with a woven bamboo fence. Through the open doorway of the building at the right a woman is visible preparing the evening meal. Another servant carries a tray of food. Behind the kitchen area are the servants’ quarters, separated from the main household by a yard. On the balcony of the two-story tower behind the central hall a woman comforts a child who appears frightened by the rising storm. Stalks of bamboo, windswept branches of trees, and the choppy waves of the river indicate the intensity of the squall.

Our visual journey through the picture space culminates at the hermitage’s most distinctive structure, an imposing pavilion that projects over the river on stilts (pl. 1g). It is here that the master of the household, seated in an elegant yoke-back chair, contemplates his surroundings, his scholarly status underscored by his tall hat, the writing table upon which he leans, and the calligraphic screen at the back of the pavilion. Joined by his wife and two children and protected by a transparent bamboo blind, the gentleman serenely faces the storm—a vivid metaphor for the tenth-century ideal of finding in nature sanctuary from political chaos.

The theme of the scholar-recluse living in rustic retirement was common in later Chinese painting, but its appearance here is more complex and less self-conscious than the stereotyped images seen in fourteenth-century and later interpretations of the subject, all of which derive from tenth-century precedents. Here, the artist has given careful attention to many details of the household and the lives of its inhabitants. This delight in describing everyday life through a rich accumulation of material detail, which finds parallels in popular fiction and poetry of the time, reached its zenith between the late tenth and the early twelfth century, in such works as The Water Mill (fig. 61) and Going Up the River at the Qingming Festival (fig. 56), but it was already a salient feature in tenth-century works associated with the Southern Tang court.

One such painting, which shows many parallels to Riverbank, is a small hanging scroll entitled The Lofty Scholar Liang Bohuan (fig. 13, page 18). Originally part of a six-panel screen, it is attributed to the tenth-century artist Wei Xian. A contemporary of Dong Yuan, Wei Xian came from Chang’an, the former Tang capital (present-day Xi’an), but worked at the court of the Southern Tang ruler Li Yu. When we compare The Lofty Scholar with Riverbank, we see the same sharply inclined ground plane, a schematic, tripartite division of space, pronounced contrast in scale between foreground figures and
Figure 61. Unidentified artist (late 10th–early 11th century), *The Water Mill*. Detail. Handscroll, ink and color on silk, 21 x 47 in. (55.2 x 119.3 cm). Shanghai Museum
landscape setting, and compositional juxtaposition of tall mountains to the left with
a deep recession into space on the right. Descriptive details and brushwork techniques
are also analogous. The mountains and rocks in The Lofty Scholar are modeled by con-
trasting light and dark areas rendered by an unsystematic mix of washes and texturing
marks. In particular, the deeply eroded recesses and mountain cavities, defined with
progressively darker washes, recall similar passages in Riverbank, notably the area of the
waterfall (pl. 1c). Tree trunks are similarly modeled with light and dark contrasts to
enhance the illusion of roundness and are pocked with knotholes that add form and
texture. Both paintings also share the same meticulous representation of water, the
total surface delineated by a netlike pattern of waves.

Parallels in the architecture of Riverbank and The Lofty Scholar are equally striking.
The pavilions in the two paintings exhibit the same hip-and-gable roof structure,
with interlocking convex and concave tiles surmounted by a raised roof spine and
raised corner ridges that emphasize the gable ends and hips (pl. 1g; fig. 62). In both
structures the junction of the gable with the roof skirt is trimmed with raised tiles
that are indented inside the rafter beams, helping to frame the ornamental cloud-
scroll centered in the gable. Both have gated fences that use similar construction
techniques and materials. And the scholars in both paintings lean on writing tables having the same kind of curved, slatted legs. A similar yoke-back armchair and bent-leg table appear in the late-tenth- or early-eleventh-century The Water Mill (fig. 61).

Another painting accepted as having been made at the Southern Tang court is a long handscroll entitled First Snow along the River (fig. 19, page 26) by Zhao Gan (active ca. 960–75), a student at the Southern Tang Painting Academy. Zhao’s painting displays the same uptilted ground plane, layered division of space, netlike pattern of waves, and figures that appear large relative to landscape details seen in Riverbank. As in Riverbank, the earthen embankments in First Snow along the River are modeled in soft ink washes with no texture strokes, while the foreground trees, arrayed in screenlike fashion parallel to the picture plane, show the same sticklike branches and trunks modeled with arbitrary light and dark shading.

In both paintings narrative content is prominent. First Snow along the River is notable for its sharp observations of daily life, in particular the activities of the fishermen living along the river and the official and his family seen traveling through the landscape on muleback, all of whom are subjected to the discomforts of winter weather. If Riverbank is the confident image of a scholar who faces a gathering storm unperturbed, Zhao Gan’s image shows the hardships shared by scholar and commoner alike as the winter cold intensifies. It is not implausible that the distinction reflects a shift in political circumstances. While Riverbank still holds out hope for an idyllic life in reclusion, Zhao Gan’s image may reflect the imminent demise of the Southern Tang dynasty, which led scholars at court to contemplate the consequences of dynastic collapse and the harsh reality of survival outside the court.
L IU C H E N A N D R U A N Z H A O I N T H E T I A N T A I M O U N T A I N S

With the fall of the Southern Tang dynasty in 975 and the Wu-Yue kingdom in 978, the Song dynasty (960–1279) completed its consolidation of the core of the former Tang empire, becoming the largest and most prosperous state in East Asia. In spite of its great wealth, however, the Song state was militarily weak. The Song founder, Emperor Taizu (r. 960–76), believing that the fall of the Tang had resulted from the excessive independence and power of the aristocracy and the military, concentrated authority in the hands of a civil bureaucracy selected through a system of civil service examinations. The resulting shift in power, based on scholarship rather than birthright or force of arms, gave rise to a new meritocracy of scholar-officials. Success in the government examinations, achieved through literary brilliance and a command of history and the arts rather than any specific technical skill, became the enduring ideal of later Chinese society and the pinnacle of cultural attainment.

This shift in priorities from military prowess to literary achievement may have contributed to the Song inability to subdue the non-Chinese states along its northern borders. In 1127, armies from one of these states, the Jurchen Jin (1115–1234), seized the Song capital of Bian (present-day Kaifeng, Henan Province) and occupied all of Song China north of the Huai River. The remnants of the Song government fled south, eventually establishing a new capital at Hangzhou. Buying peace with annual payments of silver and silk to the Jin state, the so-called Southern Song dynasty prolonged its existence another 170 years until the Mongols, a warlike nomadic people, succeeded in overrunning Song defenses, capturing Hangzhou in 1275, and replacing the Song with their own Yuan dynasty (1279–1368), the first non-Chinese state to rule all of China.
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The Mongol conquest imposed a harsh new political reality upon China. Civil service examinations were discontinued, leaving most of China’s educated elite disenfranchised. Southern Chinese, having long resisted the Mongol invasion, were subjected to a concerted policy of discrimination. Encountering absolutist policies and prejudicial treatment, many scholars living in the south withdrew from government service and lived in humbled circumstances or semi-retirement, a lifestyle that afforded them the time and impetus to pursue self-cultivation and self-expression through the arts.

An early reflection of the trauma of dynastic change is captured in *Liu Chen and Ruan Zhao in the Tiantai Mountains* (pl. 3), a long narrative handscroll by Zhao Cangyun (active late 13th–early 14th century), a member of the Song royal family who lived through the Mongol conquest. The painting and its accompanying text chronicle the well-known legend of Liu Chen and Ruan Zhao, two gentlemen of the Later Han dynasty who enter the Tiantai Mountains of Zhejiang Province in search of medicinal herbs and stumble upon a magical realm of immortals. Returning home after what had seemed like half a year’s time, they discover that seven generations have come and gone. Alone in the world, they retrace their steps, only to find that the cave from which they had so recently emerged has vanished. In the painting, the only known illustrated version of the story, the loss of home and paradise—both situated close to the Southern Song capital of Hangzhou—evokes the disorientation and alienation felt by many of the Chinese elite following the fall of the dynasty in 1279.

Painted in rich shades of monochrome ink on paper, the story unfolds as an episodic narrative, with individual scenes set off from one another by blank spaces inscribed with text. The handscroll opens at the right with the two men entering the mountains (pl. 3a). One carries a hoe and a basket; the other points to a trail. The
accompanying text serves to introduce the protagonists:

Liu Chen and Ruan Zhao were from Shan County [in Zhejiang Province]. Although they came from old Confucian families, they were particularly interested in medicinal herbs and were accustomed to remaining aloof from the world. Thus did they, in the fifteenth year of the Yongan [fifth year of the Yongping] reign era of Han emperor Mingdi [A.D. 72], take up hoe and basket and set off for the Tiantai Mountains to gather herbs.79

In the second episode (pl. 3b) the men, close to starvation after having lost their way and exhausted their supplies, discover a tree laden with ripe peaches—symbol of immortality—which they eagerly devour. The next four scenes follow Liu and Ruan as they come upon the realm of the immortals. The third episode (pl. 3c) depicts the two men at a
stream where they discover a bowl filled with sesame rice floating with the current. In
the following scene (pl. 3d), they are shown wading through the stream to find its
source. In the fifth episode (pl. 3e), Liu and Ruan encounter two elegantly dressed
women who greet them by name. “What took you so long?” they coyly inquire. The
sixth episode shows the two men being escorted to the immortals’ residence (pl. 3f).
The accompanying text describes the succulent meal they are offered of sesame rice
and mutton. They inquire about the women’s families, but the women are evasive, and
Liu and Ruan begin to suspect they are supernatural seductresses.

The seventh episode (pl. 3g), the largest and most detailed scene in the painting,
shows Liu and Ruan as guests at an elaborate outdoor banquet. Zhao’s text, embel-
lished with a great many details not found in other extant versions, complements the
painted image:

In the courtyard a banquet was arranged, with wine and food set out to wish the
men long life. After a few cups, guest immortals arrived at the ladies’ residence
bringing peaches of longevity and announcing, “We have come to congratulate the
grooms.” The two men paid their respects to the immortals, each of whom wore
magical clothes and carried a musical instrument which they played in perfect
harmony. For two or three hours the two men drank happily, while the two female
immortals personally served them cups [of wine] and urged them to drink more.
The beguiling melodies evoked an almost tangible sensation of spring, and the two
men felt as if they were in paradise. The guests departed as the sun set.

In the accompanying illustration, Liu and Ruan occupy the seats of honor at the
head and foot of a large mat that has been laid out in a grove of blossoming peach
trees. They are attended by the two female immortals who offer them cups of wine.
Four male immortals have joined the party; one plays a four-stringed nangan, while a
flute and sheng (mouth organ) lie on the ground.” Spread out on the mat are serving
dishes, one filled with peaches, another with lingzhi (magic fungi). Servants wait upon
the two men. Yet Liu and Ruan are reluctant guests in spite of this lavish entertain-
ment:

The two women persuaded Liu and Ruan to remain for more than half a month,
but then the men asked to return home. The women responded: “Coming upon us
and living here is your good fortune. How can the herbal elixirs of the common
world compare to this immortal dwelling?” So they begged the men to stay for half
a year. Every day was like late spring, but the mournful cries of the mountain birds
casted the two men to plead even more to return home. The women said: “Traces
of your karma have remained here, which is why you still feel this way.” So they
summoned the other female immortals to bid them farewell with music, saying,
“Not far from the mouth of this cave is a roadway that will lead to your home.”

This passage is illustrated in two sections on either side of the text. In the eighth
scene (pl. 3h), the female immortals and two attendants escort Liu and Ruan back to
their lodgings along a cobblestone path that winds between flowering trees. While the
two men maintain the dignified manners of Confucian gentlemen, clasping their
hands in gestures of respect, the women are less restrained; one urges them on by
pointing the way, while the other pulls at one of the men's sleeves. In the ninth scene (pl. 31), the two female immortals share with Liu and Ruan a last drink of wine, while attendants serenade them with clappers, sheng, flute, and ruangín.

The final scene (pl. 33) brings the pictorial narrative to an abrupt conclusion, juxtaposing a view of the cave entrance seen from the immortal realm on one side with a view of the two men standing helplessly before a solid stone wall on the other side. The text is again split into two sections to maximize the impact of the concluding lines. To the right of the cave opening the text describes what happens when Liu and Ruan return to their home:

The two men exited the cave and reached the roadway. They looked back, but saw only the brilliant glow of peach blossoms and the layered greens of the mountain. When they arrived home, they recognized no one. Greatly perplexed, they made inquiries until they realized that [the villagers] were their seventh-generation descendants.

The narrative concludes at the left:

Finding that their homeland held neither close relations nor a place to live, the two men decided to reenter the Tiantai Mountains and seek out the roadway that they had just followed. But the way was obscured, and they became lost. Later, in the eighth year of the Taikang reign era of Jin Wudi [A.D. 287], the two again entered the Tiantai Mountains. What became of them remains unknown.

In other versions of this story, the narrative ends with the two men unable to find their way back to the cave. Zhao Cangyun, by contrast, has them return again to the mountains, never to reappear. This final withdrawal recalls the Confucian tale of Boyi and Shuqi, two loyal subjects of the Shang dynasty (ca. 1600–ca. 1050 B.C.) who, rather than live under the rule of the usurping Zhou dynasty (ca. 1050–256 B.C.), prefer to enter the mountains, where eventually they starve to death. Zhao's version adds a reference to the Jin dynasty (A.D. 265–317) as the time of the men's final return to the mountains, making explicit the fact that Liu and Ruan, originally subjects of the Han (206 B.C.–A.D. 220), found no place for themselves in a world governed by another ruling house. An additional detail not found in other versions is the identification of the men in the opening passage as coming from Confucian families. As Zhao's images make clear, in spite of their interest in medicinal herbs, Liu and Ruan were not practicing Daoists seeking immortality. On the contrary, when they stumble upon the enchanted world inhabited by beguiling female immortals, they maintain the decorum and bearing of Confucian gentlemen and repeatedly ask to return home. Finding neither family nor friends in their homeland and unable to retrace their steps to the lost paradise, they elect to withdraw into the mountains, never to be heard from again.

What little we know of Zhao Cangyun's life suggests that this painting and the accompanying text are a highly personal reflection of Zhao's state of mind as a Song loyalist living under Mongol rule. In his signature following the last text passage, the artist identifies himself as Cangyun Shannen (Gathering Clouds Mountain Man). It is the colophon by Hua Youwu (1307–after 1386), the first of three colophons mounted
after the painting (pls. 3k, 3l), that identifies Cangyun Shanren as Zhao Cangyun, a descendant of the Song royal clan. Hua describes Zhao as an artist known for boneless ink-wash landscapes and delicate figure paintings. Hua also states that in his youth Zhao Cangyun was more famous than his fellow clansmen Zhao Mengjian.
(1199–before 1267) and Zhao Mengfu (1254–1322). Because he never married and never served as an official, however, but withdrew to the mountains and lived as a recluse, no documentation—save for this scroll—survives.  

The second colophon is by Yao Guangxiao (1335–1418), a noted Buddhist monk who, from 1382 onward, served in Beijing as a key adviser to Zhu Di (1360–1424), the prince of Yan and subsequently the Yongle emperor (r. 1402–24).  

The final colophon is an undated poem by Song Yong (unidentified) that recapitulates the painting’s narrative. Yao’s inscription, dated 1386, when he was still a monk using the name Daoyan, praises Hua Youwu’s connoisseurship and Zhao Cangyun’s art and offers another title for the painting: Princely Grandsons in the Tiantai Mountains. It is hard to understand why Yao would assign this title to the story unless he intended to link the painting’s subject to the fate of his creator, Zhao Cangyun, a descendant of the Song royal house, who, like the subjects of his painting, withdrew from society to live a solitary life in the mountains.  

Art historically, the painting is a rare example of late-thirteenth-century narrative art and the early Yuan revival of haimiao, the monochrome drawing style heralded by the painter Li Gonglin (ca. 1041–1106). Zhao Mengfu is most often credited with this revival as part of his more general investigation of pre-Southern Song pictorial and calligraphic sources, but Zhao Cangyun’s painting attests to the ongoing interest in monochrome drawing throughout the Southern Song period. Zhao Mengfu’s contribution was to introduce an even more extreme austerity and calligraphic abstraction to Li Gonglin’s style. In Zhao Mengfu’s depiction of the Song poet Su Shi, of 1301,
for example (fig. 63), the lines of Su Shi’s robe are shorn of all decorative embellishment or bravura brushwork.17 Zhao Cangyun, by contrast, delights in his drawing in rhythmic flourishes and assertive hooks which have a visual appeal that is often independent of the drawing’s representational function. This kind of bravura brushwork is typical of late-Song figure painting, as seen in a monochrome depiction of Daoist deities by Liang Kai (active first half 13th century; fig. 64). Zhao Cangyun’s more relaxed drawing style is much closer to that seen in The Sixteen Luohans, attributed to the Buddhist monk Fanlong (12th century; fig. 65) or in the Odes of the State of Bin, by an unidentified scholar-artist of the late twelfth or early thirteenth century (fig. 66).18 As in Zhao Cangyun’s work, the figures in these two scrolls are placed within a landscape setting rendered in an ink-wash style derived from academic painting of the Southern Song.

In spite of the obvious differences in format and style, the imagery of Zhao Cangyun’s painting recalls that of Palace Banquet (pl. 2). While Zhao eschews the brilliant mineral colors and elaborate architectural setting of the earlier painting, his pictorial narrative nonetheless leads the viewer into a protected world from which men are largely absent and the principal focus is a decadent life of music, wine, and banqueting. Liu Chen and Ruan Zhao’s rejection of that life-style suggests Zhao’s own disapproval of the excesses depicted in such paintings and, by extension, of the Southern Song court which, like the Southern Tang court before it, was fatally weakened by an indulgent and self-absorbed way of life. Finding himself in a homeland that had become foreign to him, Zhao was nostalgic for the lost paradise of the Song, but he was not uncritical of its flaws. Like the protagonists of his story, he rejected both worlds and sought sanctuary in the anonymity of the landscape. But for this painting, he very nearly succeeded.

**LOFTY VIRTUE REACHING THE SKY**

The early fourteenth century marked a watershed in Chinese painting as Yuan artists rejected the artistic precedents of the morally discredited Southern Song Academy and sought to express a new moral purpose through a revival and exploration of archaic styles. This revolution was led by Zhao Mengfu, who not only revived the use of antique models but shifted the focus of painting away from representational verisimilitude toward a greater emphasis on the calligraphic qualities of brushwork. Inscribing his own *Elegant Rocks and Sparse Trees* (fig. 67), he declared that one should ‘write’ rocks with flying-white cursive script, trees with seal script, and bamboo with clerical script.” Zhao concluded that “only when a person is capable of understanding this will he know that painting and calligraphy are basically the same.” Thenceforth, the process of painting and the physical experience of the medium became, as it had been for calligraphy, part of the artist’s purpose and subject matter. Painting as practiced by the Yuan scholar-artist was no longer understood as merely the representation of reality or the poetic evocation of a particular theme; its primary purpose became calligraphic self-expression.
Nowhere is the application of calligraphic principles to painting more evident than in monochrome depictions of bamboo, old trees, and rocks—often combined into a single composition—in which the imagery is reduced to a limited repertoire of motifs, brushstroke types, and compositional variations. The symbolic and expressive potential of this subject matter was first explored by Northern Song scholar-artists such as Wen Tong (1019–1079; fig. 68) and Su Shi (1037–1101). Focusing on individual trees, bamboo plants, and rocks, paintings by these artists were metaphors for the life cycle and for the personality traits of men.16 Old trees that had withstood the frost of many winters were emblems of survival. Bamboo, with its “empty heart”—signifying the ideal of a mind freed from the illusions of material existence—and its capacity to bend but not break in the winds, symbolized integrity and resilience. Distinctive rocks stood for endurance and individuality. For Chinese scholars living under the alien rule of the Mongol Yuan dynasty, such images became a potent metaphor for survival in the face of political discrimination.16

It was Zhao Mengfu and his slightly older contemporary and friend Li Kan (1245–1320) who were responsible for renewing the Northern Song theme of old tree, rock, and bamboo, but it was Wu Zhen, later canonized as one of the four masters of the late Yuan, who gave this traditional imagery a new expressive power by integrating painting, poetry, and calligraphy into unified works that embodied these “three perfections.”
Wu Zhen (1280–1354) was born one year after the fall of the Southern Song dynasty in Jiaxing, Zhejiang Province, and lived there all his life in relative obscurity. Most likely he came from a scholarly family, for he received a good education, though he remained aloof from government service and other professions traditionally pursued by Confucian scholars, choosing instead to live in reclusion, calling himself Meihua Daoen (Plum Blossom Daoist), and making a humble living through the practice of divination.

_Lofty Virtue Reaching the Sky_ (pl. 4), dated 1338, is Wu Zhen’s earliest extant example of the theme of old tree, rock, and bamboo. A large-scale hanging scroll executed in monochrome shades of ink on two joined widths of silk, it presents a powerful image of tightly knit picture elements whose overlapping forms carve out a shallow space that is anchored to the foreground by the barest indication of a ground plane. A massive rock modeled in dense ink washes dominates the composition, while bamboo caresses the surface, its overlapping leaves distinguished from one another by graded ink tones that effectively suggest recession. Behind the bamboo stands a spare willow tree, its twisted, lichen-covered trunk shorn of many limbs, but its continuing vigor attested to by the many smaller branches that spring from its upper reaches.

Painted in saturated ink tones, each of these elements appears weighed down: the precariously undercut rock, the bowed bamboo, the drooping branches of the willow, even the moss hanging off the rock’s down-turned face and the pliant blades of grass—all bend over as if laden with moisture from a recent rain. While the bedraggled protagonists of the painting hardly project a noble image of survival, Wu’s boldly brushed poem reminds us that appearances may be deceptive. Describing the bamboo, he writes:

_Tall stalks pierce the clouds._
_Perhaps you find it strange._
_Not recognizing the wings of a phoenix._
_A pure sound enters the realm of the soundless._
_Don’t let Zhonglang blow his long-necked flute._

The multiple levels of meaning embedded in Wu Zhen’s imagery are immediately apparent in the first words of the poem, with the reference to the bamboo as “tall stalks” (gōojué), a phrase that may also be read as “lofty virtue” (hence the title of the painting). Thus, despite its bowed form, “the wings of a phoenix”—symbol of nobility—are concealed within and its silent stillness constitutes a state of transcendence. The reference to Zhonglang, a general during the Han dynasty, in the last line of verse relates directly to the circumstances of Wu’s own life under Mongol rule. Known also as Su Wu (139 B.C.—after 60 B.C.), Zhonglang was sent
as a special envoy to improve relations with the Xiongnu, a nomadic people who were constantly threatening the northern borders of Han China. The Xiongnu detained Su and sought his collaboration, first offering him position and power, and then, when he refused their overtures, torturing him. But Su remained steadfast. Enduring nineteen years of captivity before the Han secured his release, he became a model of unbending loyalty. In saying that Su Wu should not “blow his flute” (in Western parlance, “blow his horn”), Wu Zhen implies that Su’s heroism is no more worthy of fanfare than the humble bamboo, which, though unnoticed—like Wu Zhen himself—requires no trumpeting; its virtue is its own reward (it has entered “the realm of the soundless”).

The same indomitable spirit is conveyed by Wu Zhen’s defiantly individualistic calligraphy (pl. 4a). In its spontaneity, asymmetry, and irregularly sized characters, Wu’s inscription recalls the wild cursive (kuangcao) writing of the Chan (Zen, in Japanese) Buddhist monk Huaisu (725–785). But while Huaisu often linked characters with fluid ligatures, Wu Zhen prefers the squatter, simplified forms of draft cursive (zhangcao), which derives from clerical script and exhibits few such linkages. Another distinctive feature of Wu’s writing is his emphatic alternation of heavy, thick brushstrokes with lighter, thinner strokes, which lends to the calligraphy a pulsing, rhythmic quality. But the most striking attribute of Wu’s brushwork is his centered
brush. By keeping the brush tip concealed within the center of each stroke, Wu imparts a roundness and substantiality to the lines that charge them with barely contained energy. This same characteristic is fundamental to the linear strokes of his painting, as seen in the branches of the willow (pl. 4b), the contours of the rock, the leaves and stalks of the bamboo.

Wu Zhen's signature, "Meihua Daoren playing with ink," signals that his painting partakes of a long tradition of highly personal "ink plays" that extend back to Su Shi and Wen Tong (fig. 68). Wu's use of silk rather than paper also follows a Song prototype, as does the painting's large scale and the use of overlapping forms and graded ink tones to create the illusion of mass and recession." But Wu has moved beyond the descriptive naturalism of Song precedents. Whereas each leaf in Wen Tong's image
is painstakingly individuated to give a rich sense of natural growth, Wu Zhen’s bamboo leaves have been reduced to a calligraphic formula of repeating, patternlike clusters, while the conspicuous texture strokes and foliage dots used to describe his rock surfaces have become emphatic mannerisms (pl. 4c).

The calligraphic assertiveness of Wu’s image was inspired by Zhao Mengfu’s treatment of the same subject (fig. 69). In both images brushwork is overtly assertive and reduced to a set of brush conventions, while forms are flattened and frontally arrayed, creating an impenetrable space. The dark rock anchors Wu’s composition in a dynamic yin-yang relationship with the predominantly uninked upper portions of the painting and provides an effective counterbalance to the dark lines of the inscription, the prominence of which points to the new expressive purpose of his art. While Zhao Mengfu’s painting bears two inscriptions by later admirers, his own signature along the lower left margin is neither conspicuous nor informative of his state of mind.61 Wu Zhen’s inscription, on the other hand, forms a significant part of the composition, and its bold cursive script echoes the graphic energy of the bamboo. Zhao leaves his image to explain itself; Wu’s poem extends and deepens the meaning of the painting, encouraging the viewer to read the image not merely as a pictorial design but as a personal credo.

It was during the 1330s that Wu Zhen developed a new balance in his work between symbolic imagery, calligraphic execution, and poetic, highly personal expression. In Crooked Pine (fig. 70), a large-scale composition on silk painted in 1335, three years before Lofty Virtue, the new style is still evolving.62 The painting focuses on the idiosyncratic form of a single tree, and the way one branch appears dramatically to defy gravity, projecting upward and outward toward the viewer. Wu’s inscription tells us that he made the picture “to record what I saw [on an outing] a crooked and twisting
ancient tree.” Aside from the riveting form of the pine, the rest of the image has a somewhat perfunctory quality, as if an afterthought. Compared with the inscription on Lofty Virtue, the inscription here is less conspicuous; nor does it extend the meaning of the image through poetry. In Lofty Virtue the pictorial and calligraphic elements are visually and expressively integrated, providing a tension between the visual and the poetic imagery that gives the painting its remarkable power.

By the 1340s, Wu Zhen’s work had reached a further stage of distillation. Bamboo and Rock (fig. 71), of 1347, is more intimately scaled. Pictorial details are simplified, enhancing the painting’s expressive impact. The inscription, framed by rock and bamboo, here plays an even more prominent role, both in the composition and in the meaning of the painting. Lofty Virtue thus represents the first stage in the development of a new art form, one in which painting, poetry, and calligraphy are integrated in one work, while in format and descriptive technique Song prototypes are still followed. In his subsequent shift from silk to paper, from a large to a smaller scale and to ever-sparser compositions, Wu brought his art to its quintessential form. In Lofty Virtue, we see the complex sources of his inspiration.

Bamboo after Wen Tong

During the first half of the Yuan dynasty, Chinese scholar-officials made a concerted effort to transform their Mongol conquerors into orthodox Confucian rulers. This effort met with growing success through the early 1330s as Mongol khans, in their capital city of Dadu (present-day Beijing), relinquished control over central and western Asian domains and increasingly assumed the role of Chinese emperors. By far the most Sinified of the Yuan rulers was Wenzong (r. 1328–32), who was not only fluent in the Chinese language but developed a keen appreciation for ancient works of calligraphy and painting. Wenzong used his patronage of Chinese culture and his recruitment of Chinese scholars into the Yuan government as a means of bolstering his legitimacy, and it was during his brief reign that Chinese from the south received their greatest recognition and advancement. One of the southern scholars most closely associated with Wenzong was Ke Jiusi (1290–1345), a distinguished poet, painter, and calligrapher who became the official connoisseur of the imperial art collection.

Ke Jiusi was born in Taizhou, Zhejiang Province, into a family with a history of official service dating back to the Northern Song era (960–1127). His father, Ke Qian (1251–1319), held posts under three Mongol emperors, helping to compile the Veritable Records of Emperor Shizu (Khubilai Khan; r. 1260–94) and rising to the rank of vice supervisor of Confucian studies in the Jiang-Zhe provincial government. Because of his father’s rank, at the age of twenty-four Ke Jiusi was offered a minor post as district defender of Huating, an offer he declined. Five years later, however, in 1319, he traveled to Beijing in the company of the scholar-artist Zhu Derun (1294–1365) and, using his skills in literature and painting, gained service in the residence of the heir apparent, the future emperor Yingzong (r. 1321–23). After Yingzong’s assassination Ke Jiusi returned to the south, but in 1325 he was brought to the attention of a Mongol
prince, the future emperor Wenzong, who maintained a residence in Nanjing. Shortly after Wenzong ascended the throne, in 1328, Ke was awarded a post in the capital, and the following year he was among the first officials appointed to the newly established Pavilion of the Star of Literature (Kuizhang Ge), a scholarly academy created by Wenzong for the transmission of Chinese artistic and literary culture at court. Chinese and Mongol scholars attached to the Pavilion translated Chinese texts into Mongolian, offered instruction in the Confucian classics to the sons of the emperor and his high-ranking courtiers, and supervised the imperial library and art collections. Among Ke Jiusi’s duties was the composition of formal poems that compared
Wenzong’s rule to that of traditional Chinese rulers. In 1330, Ke was promoted to the rank of erudite connoisseur of calligraphy with the responsibility of evaluating all the model calligraphies and famous paintings in the palace. In addition to inscribing laudatory colophons on many of these works, Ke also served as adviser-curator for the imperial collection.

In 1332, Ke Jiusi’s close relationship with Wenzong precipitated a jealous attack by a member of the censorate who sought his impeachment on the grounds that he “lacked good character, was devious in conduct, and, making the most of an insignificant skill, was a sycophant of the powerful.” Wenzong reassured Ke, but took the precaution of appointing him to a provincial post. Wenzong died, however, before the appointment was put into effect, and Ke Jiusi had little choice but to retire from office and return to the south. There he devoted himself to the arts in the company of many of the leading painters and calligraphers of the day.

Ke established a residence in Eastern Wu (near Songjiang, Jiangsu Province), but frequently traveled around the region, visiting friends and collectors who sought him out for his skill as a connoisseur. Ke’s leisurely pursuit of the arts came to a sudden end on November 12, 1343, when, after traveling by boat with friends in the Suzhou area, he was taken ill and died at the age of fifty-three.

In addition to his renown as a connoisseur, Ke Jiusi had gained a reputation as a specialist in monochrome depictions of bamboo, helping to establish the subject as one of the most important genres in Yuan scholar-painting. His early interest in the genre was undoubtedly inspired by scholar-artists such as Li Kan, who composed a Manual on Bamboo (author’s preface dated 1299) to which Ke’s father contributed a preface in 1319. Like Li Kan, Ke Jiusi sought inspiration from the originator of the monochrome bamboo genre, the Northern Song master Wen Tong, whose works were scarce even in the fourteenth century, but whose reputation enjoyed a near-legendary status thanks to the praise of his friend the poet-painter Su Shi. Throughout his career Ke sought out paintings by Wen Tong, as well as calligraphies by Su Shi, Huang Tingjian, and other Northern Song literati in an effort to model his own art after theirs. Once, while visiting Ni Zan (1306–1374) at his Pure and Secluded Pavilion, he made a paint-
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ing of ink bamboo after viewing one by Wen Tong. On another occasion he was invit-
ed to “repair” a painting (i.e., to paint in a missing branch) of an old tree attributed
to Wen Tong using “antique [brush] methods.”

While Ke took Wen Tong as a source of inspiration and sought to master the
“antique methods” of the Northern Song master, he also adopted the principles first
articulated by Zhao Mengfu (1254–1322) of applying calligraphic techniques to paint-
ing. According to his biographer and friend Xu Xian:

[Ke Jiusi] was adept at drawing rocks and bamboo. At first he followed the brush
method of Wen Tong. Later he said that in painting the stalks of the bamboo one
employs the seal script [zhuan] style [of calligraphy], in painting the branches one
employs the cursive [caoshu] style, in painting the leaves one employs the clerical
[bafen] or scattered brush [sah] style of Lu Gong [Yan Zhenqing; 709–785], and
that [in the case of] trees and rocks one employs [brushstrokes] which recall bent
hairpins [jinbaidu] or the old stains from a leaky roof [guleiben]. He never explained
why these styles were beautiful, yet the life and movement [of his brushwork]
suggests the hovering of dragons and the soaring of phoenixes.

Bamboo after Wen Tong, dated 1343 (pl. 5), pays homage to the patriarch of mono-
chrome bamboo painting while giving Ke Jiusi the opportunity to display his many
talents—as connoisseur, painter, and calligrapher. A copy of a purported original by
Wen Tong, the painting implies Ke’s broad knowledge of antique masterpieces and his
ability to identify an original work by Wen Tong. His willingness to compare himself
directly with the older master by creating his own spirited reinterpretation of the
composition reflects his confidence as a painter. And his dynamic inscription demonstrates
his skill as a calligrapher.

The dramatically cropped composition reveals neither the base of the bamboo
stalk nor its tip. It is the densely foliated branches, many reaching toward the artist’s
two-column inscription that vividly links the calligraphic brushwork of the painting
to the writing, which command our attention. The inscription shows off Ke’s callig-
raphy at its most expressive (pl. 5a). In contrast to the highly disciplined small regular
script that he customarily employed at court and when inscribing colophons, this
writing is larger in scale and bolder in design, capturing something of the freer, more
asymmetrical brush manner of the Northern Song masters. Faithful to his model, Ke
in the right column transcribes the text of Wen Tong’s original: “The first day of
winter in the jiyon year of the Xining reign era [1069], Wen Tong, Yuke, of Bajun.” In
the left column he adds his own date and signature: “On the Duanwu Festival of the
guiwei year of the Zhizheng reign era [May 28, 1343], Ke Jiusi, Danqiu, made this copy.”

Ke’s model no longer survives, but the composition recalls that of Wen Tong’s
best-known attribution (fig. 68). Both paintings show a single stalk of pendant bam-
boo with subsidiary branches and dense clusters of leaves defined in varied ink tones.
Ke’s work, however, reveals a significant departure from the representational intention
of the Northern Song example. In the earlier painting, each joint of the stalk and its
subsidiary branches is securely anchored into its socket and each twig grows convinc-
ingly from one of these junctions (fig. 72). In Ke’s work (pl. 5b) the joints of the stalk abut without interlocking, twigs emerge illogically from the outside lip of the joints rather than from the joints themselves, and the smaller branches are drawn quickly, in “cursive-script” strokes, without an articulation of the individual segments. More interested in making beautiful strokes, Ke reduces the range of ink tones and the rich variety of leaves and twigs to a limited repertoire of graphic conventions.89 Imitating Wen Tong’s brush mannerisms rather than describing nature, his bamboo is a vehicle for demonstrating his command of a new calligraphic idiom.90

The formal nature of Ke’s bamboo painting becomes apparent when compared with Wu Zhen’s nearly contemporaneous image (pl. 4c). Although Wu Zhen’s bamboo exhibits an even greater degree of calligraphic abstraction, it is charged with more expressive power, evoking both temporal conditions and the artist’s state of mind, the idiosyncratic calligraphy and poem drawing the viewer into a private world. Ke Jiisi, trained in the etiquette of the court, remains the self-effacing courtier. His painting, formal and reserved, has none of the emotion-charged immediacy of Wu Zhen’s treatment, while his terse inscription reveals nothing about his inner state.

**Rocky Landscape with Pines**

By the 1340s the Yuan dynasty was descending into anarchy. The extensive employment of foreigners in government administration encouraged exploitation and fiscal corruption, while factionalism in the increasingly bloody struggle for imperial succession fatally weakened the already noncentralized Mongol governmental structure. Between 1320 and 1333 seven different candidates, all under the age of thirty-five, occupied the throne before the thirteen-year-old Shundi was installed as emperor (r. 1333–67). Although Shundi remained on the throne for the remainder of the dynasty, his rule was that of a figurehead. The court was fragmented by power struggles, and regional authority was divided among contending warlords. Large sections of the countryside were beyond the control of the central government, which was further undermined by a series of natural disasters. In 1344 the Yellow River overflowed its banks, causing widespread devastation and resulting in famine, epidemics, and the massive dislocation of the population. The dikes remained unrepaired for seven years before an army of 170,000 corvée laborers was assembled to rechannel the river. Although the work was successful, thousands of workers were incited to rebel, overrunning a dozen cities in the upper Huai River basin by 1351. Uprisings occurred in nearly every province, and by 1353 several rival warlords had emerged in the contest that would determine the inheritance of the Mandate of Heaven.91

Literati painters living through this period of turmoil found parallels to their own lives in those of tenth-century recluse scholars attempting to escape the chaos at the end of the Tang dynasty and drew inspiration from the visions of cosmic order presented in their monumental landscape paintings. In the fourteenth century, as belief
in cosmic renewal waned, images of the natural world became more introverted and personal. In contrast to earlier representations of an all-embracing natural order, Yuan landscapes become temporary havens for the artist’s emotions.\^{65}

Zhang Xun (ca. 1295—after 1349) was one of the scholar-artists who followed tenth-century prototypes. Zhang left no collection of poetry and his surviving works are few, but he was known to the leading literati of the late Yuan, and it is through the brief biographical notices that appear in their writings that a sketchy outline of his career may be reconstructed.\^{66}

A native of Suzhou, Zhang Xun maintained a peripatetic existence from an early age, supporting himself through tutoring and painting. He must have had a striking appearance, as he is often referred to by his nickname, “whiskered Zhang.” His contemporary Xia Wenyan wrote of him: “Widely learned, good at literary compositions, and excellent in both calligraphy and painting, [Zhang] excelled at painting bamboo in the outline drawing method, [a style in which] he was unsurpassed during his lifetime. In his landscapes he studied Juran [active ca. 960–95], but these were not as distinctive as his bamboo.”\^{66}

The exceptional nature of Zhang’s bamboo painting is borne out by his masterpiece in this genre (fig. 73), a long handscroll painted in 1349 that shows clusters of bamboo, a pine tree, and an eroded garden rock in a landscape of earthen hummocks delineated by hemp-fiber texture strokes “in the manner of Dong Yuan.”\^{66} In an age when many artists produced paintings of bamboo, Zhang distinguished himself by specializing in delineating the bamboo in fine outlines rather than in ink wash or color, a method that Zhang Shen (active late 14th–early 15th century), in an undated colophon to this scroll, compared with the style of such early masters as Wang Wei (701–761) and Huang Quan (10th century).\^{66} Ni Zan, in his own colophon to the scroll, dated 1362, also lauds Zhang’s talents:
Whiskered Zhang [put his pictorial] ideas into iron-wire outline drawings [of bamboo];
His calligraphy was uncommon, his poetry proficient.
How one grieves that in his noble suffering he was poor to the bone,
Since when he wielded his brush, he attained the style of the ancients.57

Rocky Landscape with Pines (pl. 6), the only extant example of Zhang Xun’s landscape manner, confirms Xia Wenyan’s account that he followed the style of Juran. Painted in ink on silk, the composition studiously follows tenth-century precedents. Recession is indicated schematically both through shifts in the scale of the trees and through the organization of landscape elements into overlapping foreground, middle ground, and far distance elements aligned in echelon. Following the Juran idiom, the landscape (pl. 6a) is built up of earthen mountains with clustered alum-head hummocks described by ropey hemp-fiber texture strokes with dark foliage dots along the contours. When Zhang’s painting is compared with an early attribution to Juran (fig. 21, page 29), however, its later date is immediately apparent. In spite of his conscientious revival of the Juran manner, Zhang’s more advanced rendering of recession along a low, continuous plane of water and his more assertive, calligraphic brushwork clearly distinguish his work from that of his Northern Song sources.

Wu Zhen is commonly regarded as the first Yuan artist to revive the Juran manner, in works datable to the late 1220s and early 1330s such as his Autumn Mountains (fig. 26, page 32). But Rocky Landscape, made about 1346, and landscapes by Li Shixing (1283–1328)
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datable to the 1320s (fig. 27, page 33) and by Wang Meng (ca. 1308–1385) dated 1354 (fig.
30, page 37) all show fairly literal interpretations of the Juran style. This would sug-
suggest that Wu Zhen’s revival was actually part of a much broader phenomenon, in which
the idioms of such early southern masters as Dong Yuan and Juran gradually replaced
northern models among scholars living south of the Yangzi River. Compared with the
interpretations of Juran by these other artists, however, Zhang Xun’s painting is
notable for its extreme simplicity. It shows none of the narrative detail found in the
other works—neither figures nor architectural elements appear in Zhang’s image—
nor is the landscape structure as complex. While the other paintings present elevated
views across winding river valleys and layered mountains that recede into the far dis-
tance, Zhang Xun’s mountains are viewed frontally and screen off any distant vista.
Adhering more strictly to tenth-century models, Zhang Xun’s landscape is uncom-
promisingly austere and abstract.

Inscriptions by the artist and two contemporaries added to the upper left corner
(pl. 6b) shed light on the various ways in which such an image was read. The middle
inscription by Li Zuan (active mid-14th century), a friend of Zhang Xun’s, character-
izes Zhang’s work specifically as a revival of the Juran manner:65

Layered peaks gather alum-head rocks,
Cloudlike groves hold deep shadows.
If you are not the Buddhist monk Juran,
How can you understand the meaning of this brushwork?

The painter and connoisseur Ni Zan, who may have been the scroll’s original
owner, added an inscription at the upper right when, in 1346, he chose to present the
scroll to an unidentified acquaintance named Zhongwen. Ni offers a more lyrical
response to Zhang’s landscape, reading it as a vision of remote mountains at sunset:

On the far shore forests and peaks glow with sunset clouds;
At the foot of the mountains round boulders are gathered beside the winding stream.
When will I put on [hiking] socks and sandals,
And visit the Cloud Gate [Temple] and Ruoye Stream?66

The poem in the upper left, by Zhang Xun himself, echoes the melancholy of the
uninhabited landscape:

In the rocky [landscape] pine blossoms fall and bloom again.
The mountain Ailanthus, useless [for its timber], has grown to full size.
No cart has ever reached this woodcutter’s path.
Now with gray hair, I have passed fifty years in vain.

Zhang Xun, at the age of fifty, here expresses his growing sense of isolation.
Comparing himself to the useless Ailanthus, he acknowledges that while he has man-
aged to survive, he feels as well the pain of being forgotten. Mocked by the yearly cycle
of decay and renewal in the natural world, the aging artist reveals a deepening aware-
ness of his own mortality.

If the austerity of the landscape expresses Zhang’s sense of loneliness, the
individualized treatment of the trees may reveal another, quite different meaning. Like Ni Zan, whose images of isolated groves often symbolize the artist and his coterie of friends, Zhang may have intended the trees in his painting to represent the close-knit circle of literati with whom he associated. During a period when civil order was crumbling and men of learning had to rely on one another rather than on position or court patronage, he may have seen himself not as an isolated figure but as a member of a community of like-minded men. In this capacity Zhang Xun, though not a major master, played a valuable supporting role in the transmission and enrichment of southern literati culture, helping to establish the Dong Yuan–Juran idiom as the primary bearer of expressive meaning in landscape painting.

Traveling through Snow-Covered Mountains

Prior to the 1350s, the Dong Yuan–Juran, or Dong–Ju, landscape idiom shared the stage with another Northern Song tradition, that of Li Cheng (919–967) and Guo Xi.

Figure 74. Zhao Mengfu (1254–1322), Twin Pines, Level Distance, ca. 1310. Handscroll, ink on paper, 10 1/8 x 42 3/4 in. (26.9 x 107.4 cm). The Metropolitan Museum of Art. Ex coll: C. C. Wang Family, Gift of The Dillon Fund, 1971 (1973.120.5)

Figure 75. Guo Xi (ca. 1000–ca. 1090), Old Trees, Level Distance. Handscroll, ink and color on silk, 14 3/8 x 47 3/8 in. (36.9 x 120.8 cm). The Metropolitan Museum of Art. John M. Crawford Jr., Collection, Gift of John M. Crawford Jr., in honor of Douglas Dillon, 1981 (1981.276)
The Dong–Ju tradition held the greatest appeal for southern scholars living in retirement, both because of its regional connection to the Jiangnan landscape and because its imagery celebrated a life in reclusion. The Li–Guo style, on the other hand, was the preferred choice of scholars living in northern China, where Northern Song painting styles, long neglected in the south during the Southern Song period, had persisted and continued to evolve under the Jin dynasty (1115–1234). During the Northern Song, the Li–Guo style had enjoyed state sponsorship, with court artists creating idealized visions of towering pines and majestic mountains presiding over hierarchically ordered landscapes that could be interpreted metaphorically as images of enlightened rulership engendering a prosperous and stable domain. Understandably, this style also became the preferred choice for Yuan court commissions and for works produced for northern patrons serving as officials in the south.

With the reunification of China by the Mongols in 1279, Chinese scholars living in the former Southern Song realm were once again exposed to northern cultural traditions. The artist who initiated the revival of Northern Song styles in the south was Zhao Mengfu. Zhao not only resurrected the southern landscape idiom of Dong Yuan, he also explored the styles of the northern masters Li Cheng and Guo Xi. *Twin Pines, Level Distance* (fig. 74), a short handscroll painted by Zhao about 1310 and based on a composition by Guo Xi (fig. 75), exemplifies Zhao’s transformation of the earlier style. Like its source, Zhao’s painting evokes the broad river valleys of central China, where isolated clusters of trees and rocky outcrops punctuate watery lowland plains. But in Zhao’s re-creation, the earlier master’s richly descriptive vocabulary of texture strokes and graded washes is transformed into a terse set of calligraphic brush conventions. Using a minimalist treatment Zhao strips the landscape down to its skeletal structure, removing the veiling effects of atmosphere, the play of light and shadow across the surface, and all sense of mass, and focusing instead on brushwork and on the abstract qualities of line on paper. He thus transforms an essentially representational idiom into an abstract vocabulary of brush marks that he uses for distinctly expressive ends.

The Li–Guo revival initiated by Zhao Mengfu continued to flourish among a second generation of southern artists, including Tang Di (1287–1355) and Zhu Derun (1294–1365), both of whom served at the Yuan court, and Cao Zhibo (1272–1355), who was on close terms with northerners serving in the south. Yao Yanqing (ca. 1300–after 1360), a native of Wuxing in Zhejiang Province, followed in the footsteps of his fellow townsman Zhao Mengfu and Tang Di.

Figure 76. Yao Yanqing (ca. 1300–after 1360). *Winter Landscape*, 1330s. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 62 3/4 x 19 in. (159.2 x 48.2 cm). Museum of Fine Arts, Boston.
in taking up the Li–Guo manner. Yao’s paintings, like those of Tang Di, are far more literal in their adapta-
tion of the grand Northern Song manner than Zhao’s
simplified interpretations, making them more suitable
for mural paintings and other large-scale decorative
works in which the narrative content remains im-
portant. But Yao Yanqing was more than a professional
artisan. A seminal article by Richard M. Barnhart has
established that Yao, who sometimes used his style
name, Tingmei, was a scholar-painter who also worked
in a sketchy, calligraphic manner derived from the styl-
istic tradition of Dong Yuan and Juran. Yao’s use of
different styles and names led to his being identified as
two different painters, Yao Yanqing and Yao Tingmei. By
demonstrating the underlying stylistic consistency
of Yao’s variously attributed works, Barnhart not only
shows that they are the product of a single hand but
underscores the ability of scholar-painters to work in
different stylistic traditions according to the demands
of the occasion. Shih Shou-chien offers the further
suggestion that Yao’s different painting styles reflect a
broader pattern of social change. According to Shih,
during the first half of the Yuan a number of southern
scholar-painters, who either served in government or
made paintings for northern patrons, worked in the
Li–Guo style. Beginning in the early 1350s, however,
there was a marked decline in the demand for Li–Guo-
style landscapes, as the deteriorating political situation
led to less frequent exchanges between northerners and
southerners.
Plate 7. Yao Yanqing (ca. 1300—after 1360), *Traveling through Snow-Covered Mountains*, 1340s. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 38 3/4 x 21 1/4 in. (98.5 x 54 cm). The Metropolitan Museum of Art. Ex. coll.: C. C. Wang Family, Promised Gift of the Oscar L. Tang Family
Only three works bearing Yao's signature or seals have survived: Winter Landscape (fig. 76) and Fisherman in a Wintry Riverscape (an undated work now in the Palace Museum, Beijing), both executed in the northern Li–Guo manner; and Leisure Enough to Spare (fig. 77), painted in the southern Dong–Ju mode. A fourth painting, Spring Mountains (fig. 78), in a sketchy style that combines elements from both the Li–Guo and Dong–Ju idioms, bears no artist's seal or signature, but may also be attributed to Yao. Within this small oeuvre, Winter Landscape, which accords well with the kind of work that would have been suitable decoration for a formal reception hall or office, is probably an early work, dating to the 1330s. The more informal Spring Mountains, like Leisure Enough to Spare, is probably a late work. These two later paintings also exemplify a new genre that developed during the late Yuan: idealized "portraits" of retired villas. Yao painted Spring Mountains for the noted literatus Yang Weizhen (1296–1370), whose bold inscription, added to the upper portion of the scroll, identifies the painting as a depiction of his studio. Leisure Enough to Spare was painted as a tribute to a retired scholar, Master Du. Executed in the first lunar month of 1360, the painting and Yao's accompanying poem were part of a collection of twenty-three such poetic tributes made between 1359 and 1360, including a long biographical sketch by Yang Weizhen. Yao's diverse oeuvre demonstrates his ability to adapt his style to suit changing circumstances, while the scholarly status of the recipients of his works establishes him as at least a peripheral member of literati circles.

Traveling through Snow–Covered Mountains (pl. 7) no longer bears an artist's signature or seals, but its stylistic idiosyncrasies identify it unmistakably as the work of Yao Yanqing, an attribution first given to the painting by C. C. Wang in a label strip added in 1980.° A hanging scroll painted in ink and touches of color on silk, the painting presents a rugged landscape of massive boulders and craggy promontories whose snowy whiteness is set off by expanses...
of gray water and sky and by the black silhouettes of contorted trees. Following Northern Song and Jin prototypes, the dominant mountain, built up of large bulging shapes, rises along the left half of the composition while a river valley recedes into the distance along the right. In the foreground, where a bridge spans the river, two gentlemen riding on mules and accompanied by two attendants draw the viewer into the composition. The roadway passes behind a foreground promontory and up the slope toward an isolated temple complex nestled in a high mountain valley (pl. 7a). Other dwellings are similarly secluded within their own pockets of space. In the foreground is a pavilion raised on stilts over the water. Inside, a scholar attended by a servant faces away from the viewer toward a waterfall (pl. 7b). Farther up the mountainside, a thatched house overlooks the river, where a lone figure oars his boat.

The billowing-cloud rocks and crab-claw tree branches immediately identify the painting as belonging to the stylistic lineage of Guo Xi (fig. 4, page 4), as do the dramatically modulated contour lines and thick layering of ink wash and texture strokes. But whereas Guo Xi seeks to describe nature, Yao seeks to create a style, reducing Guo’s varied texture strokes and contour lines to a limited repertoire of calligraphic conventions. Each motif is a schematic pattern. As a result, individual landscape elements no longer merge into a coherent whole and the composition begins to fragment and

7b. Detail, plate 7
flatten, becoming an uneasy pattern of contending shapes. Exaggerations in shading and the fragmentation of forms were already common features in Jin interpretations of the Guo Xi style (fig. 79). But Yao’s pursuit of calligraphic effects has gone far beyond the simplification and exaggeration found in earlier interpretations and more closely resembles the mid-Yuan reinterpretation of the Guo Xi style, particularly as practiced by Yao’s near contemporary Tang Di (fig. 80).63

Within Yao’s own oeuvre, Traveling through Snow-Covered Mountains stands midway between Winter Landscape and Leisure Enough to Spare in both subject and style. Thematically, it includes the travelers and remote temple that are hallmarks of the Li–Guo tradition, and it celebrates the pleasures of rustic retirement. Stylistically, Traveling and Winter Landscape both present typical Li–Guo snowscapes, with white peaks defined by deeply shadowed valleys and foreground promontories dominated by contorted trees with clawlike branches. The distant temple complexes in both paintings also show the same dense cluster of halls defined by wide eaves and massive beams highlighted in umber and red. But Traveling differs from Winter Landscape in the degree to which Yao has departed from representational values in favor of the abstract compositional patterns that are most fully developed in Leisure Enough to Spare. The mountain forms are delineated through a schematic alternation of densely textured fissures and stark white areas that resemble pathways or flat bands, while prominent black dots, intended to indicate cavities, seem to sit on the painting surface. In Traveling, the flattened-out pattern formed by the stream as it divides and subdivides into multiple rivulets also closely parallels the same motif in Leisure Enough to Spare. If Leisure, dated 1360, and the more conservative Winter Landscape, from the 1330s, define the parameters of Yao’s stylistic evolution, then Traveling through Snow-Covered Mountains most likely dates to the 1340s, when the Li–Guo manner was still a viable stylistic choice but when new ways of animating landscape compositions had begun to emerge.

Exemplifying a taste for abstract form that accompanied the new self-expressive aims of late-Yuan scholar-painters, Traveling through Snow-Covered Mountains, Yao Yanqing’s most ambitious surviving composition, not only adds significantly to the small corpus of his works but provides a fuller understanding of the mid-Yuan transformation of the Northern Song monumental landscape mode from representational to calligraphic goals, setting the stage for the highly personal expressive works of the late Yuan.

Simple Retreat

The final two decades of the Yuan dynasty were a time of disintegrating social order and convulsive political change. Uprisings broke out in nearly every province, leading to the rise of several rival warlords in a contest that would determine the inheritance of the Mandate of Heaven. In 1368 one of the rebel leaders, Zhu Yuanzhang, emerged victorious and proclaimed himself Taizu, the first emperor of the Ming dynasty (r. 1368–98).
A revolutionary change also occurred in painting during the mid-fourteenth century, indelibly influencing how later Chinese artists would perceive and represent space. Having mastered the illusion of continuous recession on a two-dimensional surface, fourteenth-century artists then rejected it. Extending the implications of Zhao Mengfu’s subordination of representational objectives in favor of expressive calligraphic brushwork and following Zhao’s pursuit of antique models, late-Yuan artists used the archaic spatial schema of tenth-century landscapes to animate their compositions, a process not unlike that of Picasso when he abandoned one-point perspective and explored more primitive ways of visualizing forms in space as a means of imparting new energy to his images.

The landscapes of Wang Meng (ca. 1308–1385) epitomize the transformation of painting from a descriptive and symbolic language to an abstract and personal one. Wang, the youngest of the four masters of the late Yuan, was deeply influenced by the crumbling social order in which he grew to maturity. Born into a culturally prominent family in Wuxing, Wang’s father, Wang Guoqi (ca. 1285—after 1366), was a poet, connoisseur, and minor painter who belonged to the same circle of literati as Huang Gongwang (1269–1354) and Yang Weizhen (1296–1370) and who knew the hermit painter Wu Zhen (1280–1354). Wang Meng’s maternal grandfather was Zhao Mengfu. Zhao had retired to Wuxing in 1319, so the young Wang would have had ample opportunity to become personally acquainted with him. Wang also remained close to Zhao Mengfu’s son Zhao Yong (1289—after 1360) and grandson Zhao Lin (active ca. 1350–70), connections that afforded Wang the opportunity to familiarize himself with Zhao’s painting style as well as to view early paintings from the family collection.

Wang Meng spent much of his life in retirement, residing at Yellow Crane Mountain northeast of Hangzhou, and he made reclusion one of the dominant themes of his art. In the late 1350s and early 1360s, however, Wang was often in Suzhou, where he may have held an official post under the local strongman, Zhang Shicheng (1321–1367). Zhang had gained the support of many scholars after pledging nominal submission to the Yuan government in 1357, but his fealty to the Yuan was brief. In 1363, he renounced his allegiance to the central government and proclaimed himself Prince of Wu. Wang Meng and many of his friends nevertheless remained in Suzhou through its siege and fall to the troops of Zhu Yuanzhang in 1367.

In spite of his possible association with Zhang Shicheng or with scholars serving under him, Wang quickly found employment under the new dynasty. From 1368 to 1371 he served as magistrate of Tai’an, in Shandong Province. He then returned south, residing in the Ming capital at Nanjing, where he spent most of the remaining years of his life. At the capital his circle of friends included a number of eminent Buddhist monks as well as artists and officials, many of whom eventually fell victim to the bloody purges of the increasingly paranoid first Ming emperor. In 1385, Wang was himself arrested and thrown into prison, where he died a short time later.

Except for his intermittent engagement in political affairs, Wang Meng defined his life by his connections to the small coterie of like-minded Jiangnan literati who
often gathered at one another’s homes to converse, view works of art, listen to music, paint, and compose poetry. The gatherings and the residences where these men sought refuge from the political world themselves became the subject of works of art, the studio, library, and garden serving as the loci of an alternative life, one defined not by the civil service examination or government office but by withdrawal from public life—an act of protest, self-protection, and self-cultivation.

The revolutionary change in late-Yuan landscape art is clearly documented in Wang Meng’s shifting attitude toward stylistic precedents. In *Dwelling in Seclusion in Summer Mountains* (fig. 30, page 37), a small, conservative painting on silk dated 1354, Wang studiously revived the archaic landscape manner of the tenth century: schematic buildings and frontally disposed landscape elements step back to an axially aligned central peak that is densely built up in the Dong–Ju idiom of hemp-fiber texture strokes and foliage dots.68 The painting surpasses its tenth-century models in its effective description of spatial recession, but is otherwise rather dry and derivative. By the early 1360s, Wang had liberated himself from the strictures of too literal an interpretation of earlier models. In *Fisherman’s Retreat on a Flowering Stream* (fig. 32, page 38), the monumental landscape imagery of the early Northern Song has been transformed. In marked contrast to the controlled formality and stability of the earlier painting, the later work pulses with a restless energy barely contained within the traditional landscape structure. The uniform application of parallel texturing in *Dwelling in Seclusion* is replaced by richly varied brushstrokes that fluctuate in thickness, length, direction, density, and tonality. The exploration of the abstract and expressive possibilities of brushwork is complemented by a calculated destabilization of the mountain forms, which slant implausibly to accord with larger compositional movements. As Wang was drawn deeper into the turmoil that engulfed his home region after 1363, the dynamic equilibrium of his compositions was lost as mountain forms, wracked by convulsive brushwork and conscious distortions of spatial logic, became increasingly unstable.

Significantly, it was at just this time that the Dong Yuan manner, as exemplified by such paintings as *Riverbank* (pl. 1), had a major impact on landscape imagery. *Simple Retreat* (pl. 8), datable stylistically to around the time of the founding of the Ming dynasty in 1368, is one of several paintings by Wang Meng that reflect the importance of *Riverbank* as a compositional and thematic model. It is dominated by a towering mountain peak that rises above a foreground river and trees in a series of thrusting ridgelines. The mountain fills almost the entire picture space, leaving only a small corner of sky in the upper right where Wang has inserted the title, *Simple Retreat (Sū’an tu)*, in archaic seal script and a brief dedication in regular script: “The Yellow Crane Mountain Woodcutter, Wang Meng, painted this for the lofty scholar of the Simple Retreat” (pl. 8a).

The narrative elements of *Simple Retreat* also bear a striking similarity to those of *Riverbank*: a spacious hermitage, nestled between the side of the mountain and a stream, is approached by a traveler along a winding pathway while another figure, accompanied by two deer, has just arrived at the compound’s gate (pl. 8b). As in the earlier painting, the hermitage is a thatched structure built around a central courtyard, with
another building behind and figures that inhabit the household: someone looks out from the rearmost structure, a servant offers a sprig of herbs to a crane in the courtyard, and the master himself gazes out from his prominent position at the front gate, a scepter-like magic fungus in his hand. The auspicious Daoist imagery of fungus, crane, and deer, as well as the archaic simplicity of the figures and dwelling—protected by overarchin g mountains and trees—evokes a dreamlike vision of reclusion.

While the subject matter of *Simple Retreat* closely parallels that of *Riverbank*, the landscape structures of the two paintings are quite different. Rocks and trees in *Simple Retreat*, animated by fluttering texture strokes, dots, color washes, and daubs of bright mineral pigment, are vibrant with calligraphic energy (pl. 8c). Renouncing the advances made by the early fourteenth century in the depiction of spatial recession, Wang rejects the illusion of a continuous ground plane in favor of a schematic
compositional structure that exploits the tension between the illusion of three-dimensional form and the flat patterning of brushstrokes on a two-dimensional surface. The blank paper of the waterways and the rustic compound serves as a graphic counterbalance to the densely textured mountain, creating a yin-yang interplay of mass and void that further energizes the composition. Encircled by this charged landscape of mountains and water, the retreat becomes a reservoir of calm at the vortex of a world whose dynamic configurations embody both nature’s creative potential and a different, man-made turmoil—the shifting terrain of political power that characterized the latter part of the fourteenth century.

Although Wang Meng’s revival of imagery first created during the chaotic Five Dynasties period served as a thinly veiled allusion to the political upheavals of his own time, the earlier embodiment of the eremitic ideal is here undermined by a nonrealistic style. The pictorial narrative can no longer be taken at face value. While the scholar-recluse in Riverbank appears protected from the storm that swirls around him, the security of the master in Simple Retreat is symbolically contradicted by the tumultuous brushwork. The tempest that blows through the later painting is no longer an autumn storm but the inner tumult of the artist manifested through expressive brushwork.

**Mandarin Ducks and Hollyhocks**

The founder of the Ming dynasty, Zhu Yuanzhang (1328–1398), having successfully defeated his rivals and expelled the Mongols from China, immediately set about reestablishing imperial institutions, rebuilding the central bureaucracy, and asserting his place as the inheritor of the Mandate of Heaven. The Ming dynasty, thus begun, lasted nearly three hundred years. At the outset it witnessed a period of dynamic political and cultural expansion as early Ming emperors actively directed military campaigns, proclaimed new laws, and managed state affairs. After the death of the Xuande emperor (r. 1426–35), however, a succession of young, willful rulers grew increasingly passive and self-absorbed and the dynasty entered a period of military setback and political decline. During the sixteenth and early seventeenth centuries corruption at court led to political disillusionment, but a burgeoning urban life and expanding literati culture provided a stimulus to change and innovation, reflected in increased social mobility and in a diversity of artistic expression.

In the arts, the early Ming dynasty was a period of cultural restoration and expansion. With the defeat of the Mongols and the reestablishment of an indigenous Chinese ruling house came a reassertion of imperial power and the imposition of court-dictated styles. As part of the official policy of institutional revival and the renewal of a state ideological orthodoxy, the painters recruited by the early Ming court were directed to return to didactic and realistic representation and to emulate the styles of the Imperial Painting Academy of the Song dynasty. Large-scale landscapes, flower-and-bird compositions, and figural narratives were particularly favored as images that would glorify the new dynasty and convey its majesty, benevolence, and virtue.
Lü Ji (ca. 1430—ca. 1504) was one of the three leading artists at the Ming court responsible for the revival of the Song academic tradition of decorative, meticulously realistic flower-and-bird painting. Following in the footsteps of Bian Wenjin (ca. 1354—ca. 1428) and Lin Liang (ca. 1430—ca. 1490), Lü was the most influential in transforming the inherited Song tradition into a distinctively Ming idiom that became the model for all later practitioners. Because of his status as a professional painter rather than a scholar, however, and the fact that virtually none of his extant paintings are dated, relatively little is known of his life.

Lü was a native of the port city of Ningbo in Zhejiang Province, where the Southern Song academic manner had remained popular through the intervening centuries. Traditional accounts state that he began his study of painting by modeling his work on that of Bian Wenjin. His talent was soon recognized by his fellow townsman Yuan Zhongche (1376—1458), a physiognomist and high court official who probably met Lü sometime after he had retired from government service in 1439. Inviting the young artist to become a member of his household, Yuan encouraged Lü to broaden his skills through the study and copying of pre-Ming paintings, no doubt including works from Yuan’s own collection. Although nothing is known of Lü’s career after Yuan died, he was probably already at court during the Chenghua era (1465—87), when he would have served in the Renzhi Hall, the informal painting academy in the Forbidden City. In Beijing, Lü would have come into contact with Lin Liang (fig. 86), a professional artist from Guangdong Province who specialized in a dashing ink-wash style animated by bravura brushwork. While Lü also produced monochrome works on paper that show his awareness of Lin’s brush techniques, he is best known for his meticulous, richly colored works on silk.

During the Hongzhi era (1488—1505), Lü attained one of the highest ranks possible for a court painter, Battalion Commander of the Embroidered Uniform Guard. He was held in particular esteem because despite the strictures placed on his commissions, the finished paintings “still preserved his original concept.” This approach earned him the emperor’s praise: “Lü Ji has the ability to offer admonitions through his art.” Howard Rogers has observed that the Hongzhi emperor’s appreciation of the didactic function of Lü Ji’s art was at least in part motivated by his desire to influence the heir apparent, the future Zhengde emperor (r. 1506—21), to whom he once observed: “The paintings of Lü Ji marvelously surpass the wellsprings of nature itself; such pictures as The Talented Listening to Admonitions and Ten Thousand Years of Clear Purity [both, whereabouts unknown] are very much concerned with administration and are worthy to be handed down as treasures.”

Lü Ji’s skill as a figure painter was also admired by several high officials of the time. In 1490 the minister of revenue, Zhou Jing (1440—1510), commissioned Lü Ji and the artist Lü Wenyong (active late 15th century) to paint a record of his sixtieth-birthday celebration at his Bamboo Garden in Beijing, and the grand secretary, Li Dongyang (1447—1516), requested a painting of the Ten Graduates of the 1464 Examination (whereabouts unknown) in 1503. Shortly thereafter, Lü fell ill. After the emperor had inquired repeatedly about his health, Lü observed: “To be so favored is difficult to bear; this [must portend] my death.” Whereupon he died.
Lü’s surviving paintings are distinguished by images that are rich with symbolic motifs. For all their realistic detail, the images often juxtapose birds and plants that would not necessarily be found together in nature, clearly indicating that they are artificial constructs intended to fulfill specific social functions: to offer admonitions to the emperor and his court, to extend congratulations or good wishes for success in examinations; to celebrate an official promotion, a birthday, or a marriage; or to convey good wishes for the New Year. Typically, the dense imagery of his paintings was intended to be read as a series of rebus or pictorial puns, a conceit already in use during the Northern Song period.5

*Mandarin Ducks and Hollyhocks* (pl. 9), a large hanging scroll painted in ink and color on silk and bearing the artist’s signature and seal, presents a concentration of auspicious images that symbolize marital happiness. Most prominent is the pair of mandarin ducks, a traditional emblem of conjugal harmony, as the species was believed to mate for life.6 The ducks turn toward one another, the felicity of their relationship underscored by the nearby cluster of hollyhocks (*furong*) and overhanging branch of flowering cassia (*gui*) which together form a rebus (*furong gui*): “prosperous groom, honorable bride.”7 The three mynahs (*bade*)—one a rare albino—perched on the cassia greedily eyeing some plump red berries must also add to the painting’s auspicious significance, but their exact meaning is unclear. Perhaps their name (*ge*), which is homophonic with “to sing,” and their high position in the composition were meant to suggest high-spirited singing (*guo ge*), adding further to the painting’s celebratory air. The conjunction of these auspicious motifs would not only have enhanced the painting’s decorative function but made it a fitting wedding gift, with felicitous wishes for a successful marriage.

The composition—an array of precisely defined picture elements organized within a shallow foreground to create a dense, tightly structured composition that has an almost geometric rigor—is typical of Lü Ji’s interpretation of the Song flower-and-bird genre. Further, the combination of intricacy and measured order, suggesting a harmonious natural hierarchy, made his paintings effective symbols for the Ming court and its ideal of a well-ordered empire.

The hollyhock, cassia, and two varieties of chrysanthemum are signs of autumn and suggest that the painting may originally have formed part of a set illustrating the four seasons. Nearly the same constellation of birds, flowers, rocky outcrop, and sandy embankment appears in the autumn scene from Lü Ji’s four-scroll set *Birds and Flowers of the Four Seasons* (fig. 81).8 But in the present scroll, the picture elements are more numerous and more crowded. The cliffside takes the place of the cassia tree’s trunk

*Figure 81*. Lü Ji (ca. 1430–ca. 1504). “Autumn,” from *Birds and Flowers of the Four Seasons*. Set of four hanging scrolls, ink and color on silk, each 69¼ x 39¼ in. (176 x 100.8 cm). Tokyo National Museum

Plate 10. Liu Jun (active ca. 1475–ca. 1505), *Remonstrating with the Emperor*. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 65 x 41⅞ in. (165 x 106.5 cm). The Metropolitan Museum of Art. Ex. coll.: C. C. Wang Family, Promised Gift of the Oscar L. Tang Family
and makes possible the addition of more chrysanthemums and red berries at the level of the three mynahs. As if to compensate for the added concentration of motifs, the foreground shoreline in the present painting is separated from the bottom edge of the composition by a narrow band of water, but this device does little to alleviate the sense of spatial compression. The flat texture patterns of the rocks and the stylized band of mist that cuts across the top of the composition further emphasize the schematization of pictorial elements. The flattening of the picture space is a device also used in the works of Lü’s early-sixteenth-century followers Yin Hong and Xiao Zeng and his nephew Lü Tang. It is therefore possible that the present work was a product of Lü’s studio.

It is the interchangeability of composition and brush manner between members of the same workshop that marks the work of the Ming professional painters, who followed the representational idiom of the Song, creating technically virtuoso images that featured vivid coloring, intricate brushwork, and bold compositional design. Scholar-artists, by contrast, developed the calligraphic idiom of the Yuan, producing works that were more individualistic and self-expressive. The scholar-artist Shen Zhou (1427–1509) summed up the difference when he compared his own art to that of Lü Ji: “Lü paints with his hand; I paint with my heart.”

**Remonstrating with the Emperor**

Another product of the Ming Painting Academy made to enhance the prestige and further the propagandistic aims of the dynasty is *Ramonstrating with the Emperor* (pl. 10), bearing the signature and seal of the court artist Liu Jun (active ca. 1475–ca. 1505). This large colorful hanging scroll, executed in ink and vivid mineral pigments on silk, testifies to the continuation of the Song academic tradition of narrative art under the sponsorship of the Ming. Following Song precedents, paintings of loyal officials shown remonstrating with the emperor were commissioned to enhance the image of the emperor as a just ruler who welcomed frank criticism and encouraged honest admonitions from his officials. The reality was often quite the reverse.

*Ramonstrating with the Emperor* depicts an imperial audience that takes place in a palatial garden with an elaborate balustrade, ornamental rocks, towering pines, and blossoming hollyhocks and chrysanthemums. The emperor, seated on a throne backed by a large freestanding screen, leans forward to hear the words of an earnest official who, risking the sovereign’s displeasure, dares to

![Figure 82. Unidentified artist (12th century). *Breaking the Balustrade*. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 68 1/4 x 40 1/4 in. (173.9 x 101.8 cm). National Palace Museum, Taipei](image)
criticize him. The official stands his ground despite the efforts of a palace guard to drag him off, while a second guard behind the screen seems uncertain whether or not to intervene. At the left, attendants with a fan and the imperial sword gesture nervously and exchange glances; two officials in the foreground bow silently, holding up the ivory tablets traditionally used in imperial audiences.

In its subject matter and general composition, *Remonstrating with the Emperor* closely resembles the anonymous *Breaking the Balustrade* (fig. 82), a product of the Song Imperial Painting Academy. The earlier work illustrates a story from the *Hanshu* (Han Documents) in which a low-ranking official angers his sovereign by advocating the execution of a corrupt marquis. Confronting the emperor’s rage the official stands his ground, clinging to a railing until it breaks, while a loyal general intercedes on his behalf. In the end, the emperor relents and orders that the broken balustrade remain unrepaired as a reminder of the official’s courage.

Liu Jun’s work includes many of the same elements as the Song painting, but their treatment and placement in the composition reveal a strikingly different conception. In the earlier work the figures are part of a larger, well-ordered universe, highlighted by the spaciousness of the composition. The Ming painting focuses on the figures, in a compressed space that gives no sense of the universe beyond. While both artists narrate a story, the Song painter is concerned specifically with graphic representation; the Ming artist emphasizes symbolic content. In the Song painting, both the psychological and the spatial dimensions of a specific historical event are clearly delineated. Picture elements are ordered to create a believable spatial framework for the action, while the figures convey a sense of individual personality through facial expression and gesture. In the Ming painting, by contrast, the symbolic significance of remonstration rather than the illustration of a particular story is what matters. The image is intended to be read as an
emblem of the Ming ruler’s enlightened attitude toward honest criticism. As in Lü Ji’s images of birds and flowers, the painter’s objective is no longer to achieve an accurate description of nature but to convey a message through images imbued with symbolic meaning.

We know next to nothing about Liu Jun’s life. He was already a court painter in 1480 when he received a promotion; eventually he attained the honorary rank of Commander in Chief of the Embroidered Uniform Guard, probably under the Hongzhi emperor (r. 1488–1505), the same patron who approved of the didactic content of Lü Ji’s paintings. While most of his surviving works depict Daoist immortals, images that would connote the wish for longevity, Liu seems to have had a secondary specialization in paintings of the monarch taking counsel from his inferiors. In *Emperor Taizu Calling on Zhao Pu on a Snowy Night* (fig. 81), he depicts the founder of the Song dynasty calling on his minister Zhao Pu on a cold, wintry night. The state-ly simplicity and spaciousness of this composition recall Song prototypes and stand in marked contrast to *Remonstrating with the Emperor*, which exhibits a compositional density and schematization that typify works of the Ming Academy at the end of the fifteenth century.

**Drunken Immortal beneath an Old Tree**

During the first half of the Ming dynasty, the principal patron of professional artists was the court, but by the end of the fifteenth century imperial patronage had declined and professional artists turned increasingly to private sponsors and to the marketplace as outlets for their art. While court painters favored a meticulous, representational style appropriate to the didactic, symbolic, and decorative functions of their commissions, artists working outside the strictures of court dictates favored bravura execution to give their images fresh appeal. Artists such as Wu Wei (1459–1508), Guo Xu (1436–1532), and Zhang Lu (ca. 1490–ca. 1561) approached painting as a kind of performance art. Working in an idiom of bold monochrome brushwork and graded ink washes first employed by Chan Buddhist monk-artists during the Southern Song period, they made compositions with dazzling virtuosity, often before astonished onlookers. Although such artists were later loosely identified as belonging to the Zhe school, so named for the stylistic roots of this art in the Southern Song academic idioms that continued to be practiced around Hangzhou in Zhejiang Province, this tradition also thrived in the secondary Ming capital of Nanjing and in the southeastern provinces of Jiangxi, Fujian, and Guangdong, where artists produced work both for local patrons and for the lively maritime trade in painting that existed with Korea and Japan.

Chen Zihe (active late 15th–early 16th century) was a significant regional master in this tradition, a painter who came from the city of Pucheng in the northwest corner of Fujian, where it abuts Zhejiang and Jiangxi. The earliest account of his work appears in a brief biographical notice in He Qiaoyuan’s *Minshu* (History of Fujian), of 1629: “Chen Zihe excelled at figure painting. His brush ideas are free and untrammled and
Plate 11. Chen Zihe (active late 15th–early 16th century), *Drunken Immortal beneath an Old Tree*. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 68 ¾ x 40 ⅝ in. (174.5 x 102.5 cm). The Metropolitan Museum of Art. Ex. coll.: C. C. Wang Family, Promised Gift of the Oscar L. Tang Family
he called himself the Uninhibited Immortal [Saxian]. His cliffs and trees are strange and richly antique. Critics consider him to be on a par with Wu Wei and Guo Xu.”**

Zhu Mouyin, writing in the *Huashi huiyao* (Outline History of Painting; preface dated 1631,) adds that Chen began his artistic career as a sculptor, but when he took up painting his “figural ink renderings were filled with the spirit of the immortals.”**

Shih Shou-chien suggests that Chen’s bold ink-wash style derives in part from a local tradition connected to the Daoist centers of Mount Longhu and Mount Wudang—the latter only forty miles from Chen’s hometown—that favored ink-wash images executed in spontaneous brushwork. According to Shih, in Fujian this style overshadowed the literati traditions of the Jiangsu region through the mid-sixteenth century, which helps explain Chen’s subject matter and stylistic choices.** In choosing his sobriquet, Uninhibited Immortal, Chen consciously linked his personality to that of another Daoist painter, Wu Wei, known as the Little Immortal, and to Guo Xu, who called himself the Pure Madman.**

Chen’s affinities to Guo Xu may also be an outgrowth of a common regional background: Guo Xu’s hometown of Taihe, Jiangxi Province, is not far from Chen Zihe’s home in Pucheng. In his seventies, Chen began to proudly proclaim his age on his paintings. From these signatures we know that he was still painting at the age of eighty-three.

None of Chen’s extant paintings are dated, but a tentative sequence of his paintings is suggested by the changing way in which he signed his works and by the parallel changes in his painting style from a more meticulous manner to a looser, more spontaneous one. Chen’s most detailed, and therefore probably his earliest, works follow a style closely modeled on that of Lin Liang, one of the leading masters of flower-and-bird painting in the Ming Academy (fig. 86, page 138). These carefully executed flower-and-bird paintings bear only one artist’s seal or the modest signature “Zihe.”** In the 1490s, after Lin Liang had died and Wu Wei and Guo Xu had become the new artistic trendsetters, Chen’s work underwent a noticeable shift that is paralleled by a change in the way he signed his paintings: “Sketched by Chen Zihe” or “Uninhibited Immortal.”**

While he continued to produce flower-and-bird compositions during this period, Chen also pursued a varied repertoire of ambitious figural compositions. In both genres the work shows a bolder conception and brush style, indebted to the spontaneity and bravura execution of Wu and Guo. Chen’s signature also becomes more prominent and more flamboyant. In his later years, between the ages of seventy and eighty-three, the compositions become even simpler and freer, the brushwork is at its most unrestrained, and the signatures are highly conspicuous as Chen confidently declares his age in a dashing cursive script (pl. 11a).**

*Drunken Immortal beneath an Old Tree* (pl. 11), a humorous and somewhat provocative image, exemplifies Chen’s late style. The painting presents a sleeping, bearded man, his robe loosened to reveal an ample belly, lean-
ing against the trunk of a large tree. The clawlike root of the massive trunk and some wisps of grass establish a token separation between the viewer and the picture space. In the distance, a cliff drawn in pale ink tones frames a receding shoreline, an image that perhaps suggests a grotto opening onto a Daoist paradise or cave heaven." A sundial and a magic fungus at the right allude to the passing of time and immortality. The work is signed in bold cursive script, "Sketched by the seventy-seven-year-old Uninhibited Immortal Chen Zihe." The painting exhibits all the key conventions of Chen’s craft. The shallow picture space and tight composition are constructed of powerful diagonals that intensify the dramatic focus, and highly animated brushwork offers a lively counterpart to the massive scale of the picture elements, many of which are truncated by the picture frame, another focusing device.

Much admired by his contemporaries, Chen’s style was also appreciated in Japan, where most of his extant works have been preserved. By the end of the sixteenth century, however, Chen’s spontaneous ink-wash manner had begun to decline in popularity in China, disparaged by such critics as Xiang Yuanbian (1525–1596) and He Liangjun (1506–1573), who castigated professional artists working in the late Zhe school manner as "wild and heterodox." In contrast to the earlier, more conservative masters who had found employment at court, these painters were seen as decadent, having willfully perverted inherited traditions. In part, this criticism was motivated by the attempt of the literati elite to defend itself against the incursions of the new monied class and an art form that it viewed as synonymous with crass bourgeois taste; and in part, it was justified by a real decline in the quality of the work. Many lesser masters working in the Zhe school idiom used bravura brushwork at the expense of descriptive function, enlivening their images through stylistic extremism.

But embedded in the “wild and heterodox” art of men like Guo Xu and Chen Zihe were the seeds of a new artistic independence. Heretofore, professional painters had serviced either the court or the growing urban class with a stock group of conventional images—subjects appropriate for auspicious events such as birthdays or weddings, escapist visions of scholars dwelling in retirement, or fishermen and woodcutters living in the wilderness. The uninhibited figure in Drunken Immortal beneath an Old Tree reflects a new disregard for social conventions. Does the sleeping figure offer commentary on the artist or his patrons? The sardonic nature of the subject perhaps implies a subversive element of rebellious individualism, criticism aimed at the very literati who disapproved most strongly of this kind of art and the merchant class with which it was associated. Social commentaries of this nature are rare in sixteenth-century painting, becoming more common by the early seventeenth century in the work of professional artists such as Ding Yumeng (1547–ca. 1621), Wu Bin (active ca. 1583–1626), and Chen Hongshou (1598–1652). Chen Zihe’s painting is an important early precursor of this new artistic genre. Such defiantly rebellious and individualistic images also provide the context for a new form of protest art that developed in the later seventeenth century, after the Ming dynasty was vanquished by the Manchus.
In 1644 the Ming capital, Beijing, fell to rebels and the Manchus, a nomadic people from the frontier lands of the northeast, seized the opportunity to invade China, establishing the Qing dynasty, which survived until the founding of the Republic of China in 1911. Combining their native skills as warriors with Chinese techniques of administration, the new Manchu regime gradually softened its early policy of intimidation with a concerted effort at accommodation, winning Chinese allegiance by adopting much of the Ming bureaucratic structure and espousing Confucian ideals of government. The suppression of civil uprisings and the integration of Ming loyalists were not, however, achieved for two generations, and it was not until the Kangxi emperor (r. 1662–1722) assumed the throne that peace was reestablished.

The Manchu conquest instigated a period of traumatic change in the arts, when established patterns of culture were threatened. Ming loyalists, disillusioned by the failure of traditional Confucian values to keep corruption at bay, sought refuge in the anonymity of the Buddhist church or withdrew to the countryside to escape persecution. With time to pursue more solitary and personal interests, and working in isolation far from the traditional centers of culture, they found renewed meaning in the landscape, transforming images of precipitous cliffs or craggy pine trees into symbols of personal survival.

Bada Shanren (1626–1705), born Zhu Da, in Nanchang, Jiangxi Province, was raised in an environment of cultivation and privilege. Educated for a career in government, he passed the first-level civil service examination in the early 1640s, shortly before the Manchu conquest thwarted all hope of an official appointment. Taking refuge in a Buddhist temple and adopting the religious name Chuanqi as a means of disguising his identity as a member of the Ming imperial family, Bada became a disciple of Chan Buddhism and earned a reputation as a distinguished teacher. In 1672, he was appointed abbot of a local temple, but toward the end of the 1670s he began to show signs of mental instability, possibly feigned. About 1680, he renounced his status as a monk, returned to Nanchang, and was briefly married. After suffering from an emotional breakdown, he began to produce paintings and calligraphy as a means of supporting himself, and in 1684 he took the hiebao, or artistic name, Bada Shanren (Mountain Man of the Eight Greats). A staunch Ming loyalist throughout his life, Bada used painting as a means of protest. His is the poignant voice of the yimin, the leftover subjects of the fallen dynasty.

Two Eagles (pl. 12), a large hanging scroll painted in 1702, when Bada was seventy-six years old, is a heroic image of defiance. The two proud birds, each on a separate boulder, face in different directions, like vigilant sentries surveying their domain. One eagle peers to the left as if to fix some invisible intruder in its gaze; the other crane its neck upward, its regal bearing suggesting the commanding presence of a monarch. Aside from the boulders and a tree that stands largely outside the picture frame, there is no other indication of a setting. Occupying the craggy summit of their world, the birds stand forth in solitary splendor, silhouetted against the sky.
Bada often painted series of images that employ the same motif. *Two Eagles* is closely related to two very similar works. The earliest, dated 1699 (fig. 84.), depicts two eagles in nearly the same positions seen here, but within a more complex landscape setting. Another, undated image of a solitary bird probably painted about 1700–1701 (fig. 85) shows the same majestic bird seen in *Two Eagles*. In both earlier images, the silhouette of the bird is interrupted by groundlines or by intrusive branches that diminish the impact of the eagle’s form. In the 1702 composition these elements are separated, liberating the silhouette and lending grandeur to the image.

In contrast to Bada’s earlier images of small, vulnerable birds huddled close to the earth, often threatened by a precarious overhang or half-hidden beneath a tree or lotus, these birds seem almost aggressive in their self-assured independence. After decades of concealing his identity as a member of the Ming royal house, Bada in these depictions of eagles seems to declare his defiance of Manchu Qing rule. Richard Barnhart detects in the eagles’ proud stance and glaring eyes a return to the angry, brooding images that Bada had made a decade earlier and suggests that the impetus for its creation was the third southern inspection tour of the Kangxi emperor in 1699.10
Figure 86. Lin Liang (ca. 1430–ca. 1490), Two Hawks in a Thicket. Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk, 58⅞ x 35⅞ in. (149 x 84 cm). The Metropolitan Museum of Art. Gift of the Bei Shan Tang Foundation, 1993 (1993.385)

Figure 87. Qi Baishi (1863–1957), Eagle in a Pine. Hanging scroll, ink on paper, 68⅞ x 21⅞ in. (173 x 52.2 cm). The Metropolitan Museum of Art. Gift of Robert Hatfield Ellsworth, in memory of La Ferne Hatfield Ellsworth, 1986 (1986.267.216)

Significantly, the immediate sources of Bada’s imagery are the powerful representations of eagles and hawks created for the Ming court, in particular the dashing monochrome works of Lin Liang (fig. 86) and Chen Zihe. Under the Ming, heroic images of eagles were emblems of strength and courage and often presented on celebratory occasions to military officials. Bada personalized this imagery, transforming the conventional symbolism into an expression of confrontation and loyalty, his noble birds standing sentinel over a land now occupied by foreign conquerors.

In addition to drawing inspiration from Ming sources, the bold brushwork and carefully crafted geometry of Bada’s composition reflect his understanding of Zhe school conventions. Bada embraced the schematic qualities of late Zhe school art,
organizing flattened motifs into interlocking patterns that create unsettling ambiguities of positive and negative, mass and void. Compared with Lin Liang’s depictions of eagles, in which the twisting poses of the birds and layered bamboo and tree branches create a shallow space, Bada treats his image as a calligraphic design, setting the birds against the blank paper with almost no overlapping of forms and no suggestion of atmosphere. In his radically minimal brushwork, every nuance and gesture is deliberate and significant. The bravura brushwork is also natural and unforced. Bada painted Two Eagles when he was the same age (by Chinese count) as Chen Zihe when the latter painted Drunken Immortal (pl. 11). Bada shows the same combination of bold brushwork and easy assurance that marks a total confidence in his craft.

Throughout his life Bada remained unbroken by the Manchus and immune to the blandishments that attracted his cousin Shitao (1642–1707) to Beijing. Ironically, it was the Manchus’ very success at subduing and winning over the vast majority of Chinese that enabled them to tolerate the kind of lingering protest to their rule that Bada represented. His achievement became over time a paradigm for many later artists. If Dong Yuan’s scholar-recluse represents one image of the scholar in retreat from political discord, Bada’s eagle came to represent another, more defiant stand. In the early twentieth century, the artist Qi Baishi (1863–1957) drew on Bada’s imagery in his Eagle in a Pine (fig. 87), a work painted sometime in the late 1930s. Against the advice of his friends, Qi stayed in Beijing when the Japanese invaded China in 1931, being, as he wrote in his diary, too old to flee either with ease or by stealth. Qi’s eagle conveys the artist’s own resistance. Borrowing lines from the eighth-century poet Du Fu, Qi’s poem, inscribed at right, gives the image an ambiguous twist:

Why attack ordinary birds,
Spraying blood and feathers on the ground?

Whether Qi’s eagle personifies artist or aggressor, its symbolic role follows unmistakably in the tradition of Bada Shanren, whose art, like that of Dong Yuan and indeed all the artists here represented, served as a vehicle for political comment and self-expression.
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68. That Zhang and Li were friends is supported by their adjacent colophons appended to a rubbing of Wang Xizhi’s (ca. 303–ca. 361) Preface to the Orchid Pavilion. Seventeen Yuan scholars added colophons to this rubbing. The twelfth, Ting Jun (1299–1368), dated his inscription the fourth lunar month of 1347. Li Zuan and Zhang Xun are the fourteenth and fifteenth colophon writers. All three note that the rubbing was owned by a Wu Jingwen. See Christie’s, New York, sale cat., September 18, 1996, lot 56.

69. The Ruoye Stream lies at the foot of Mount Ruoye in Shaoxing Xian, Zhejiang. The Cloud
Gate Temple is on Ruoyue Stream. The last two lines of Ni Zan's poem paraphrase lines from "Song offered to district defender Liu for his newly painted landscape screen," a poem by Du Fu (712–770), who wonders in the poem when he will again visit such scenic spots; see Xiao Difei 1970, pp. 47–49. For translations of Du Fu's poem, see Underwood 1929, p. 97; and McClelland 1936, p. 59. I am indebted to Yiguo Zhang, Research Associate in the Department of Asian Art at the Metropolitan Museum, for this reference.

70. For Ni Zan's use of trees as symbols of himself and his friends, see Fong 1992, p. 483, fig. 196; and Fong et al. 1984, pp. 118–20.

71. For a discussion of the Yuan revival of the Li-Guo style, see Shih Shou-chien 1991. For other treatments of this revival, see Chu-tsing Li 1969 and 1970.

72. For discussions of Zhao Mengfu's works in the Li-Guo tradition, see Chu-tsing Li 1965; Suzuki 1968; Barnhart 1972; and Vinograd 1978.

73. For a fuller discussion of these paintings, see Fong 1992, pp. 93–97, pl. 11, and pp. 436–41, pl. 101.


75. Little evidence exists for Yao's dates. Yao was a friend of Yang Weizhen (1266–1370) and grouped by the biographer Xia Wenyuan together with Meng Yujuan (active ca. 1323–91) and Wu Tinghui; see Xia Wenyuan, "Tianbi huajian" (Precious Mirror of Painting; preface dated 1385), in Huashi congshu 1963, vol. 2, juan 5, p. 133.


78. For Winter Landscape, see Wu Tung 1947, no. 128; also Tomita and Haen 1961, pl. 15; for Fisherman in a Wintry Riverscape, see Zhongguo meishu guanji: Huihua bian 5, Yuanzai huihua 1989, no. 91; for Lerner Enough is Sane, see Eight Dynasties 1980, no. 112. All three works are reproduced in Barnhart 1977.

79. This attribution was first made in Barnhart 1977. For an earlier interpretation of the painting as a work by Yang Weizhen, see Fu Shen 1973.

80. See Vinograd 1982.


82. The absence of a signature or seals is a common problem with early paintings, from which the artist's signature and seals were often removed by unscrupulous owners who sought to reattribute the works to earlier masters. It is also possible that Yao painted the work for a patron who did not wish the artist to add his name.


84. For Wang Meng, see Chang Kuang-pin 1975, nos. 405–12, English text, pp. 33–38; Chinese text, pp. 27–32, 41–203; Cahill 1976, pp. 120–27; and Vinograd 1979b.

85. Wang Guoqi acquired an album of landscapes from Wu Zhen in 1551; see Chang Kuang-pin 1975, p. 22.

86. The closeness of the Wang and Zhao families is evidenced by the fact that Wang's father inscribed Zhao Yong's Noble Stands, while Wang's own Dwelling in the Qinghai Mountains was probably done for Zhao Lin. See Silbergeld 1985; and Vinograd 1982.

87. This decision was profoundly disillusioning to Wang Meng. In 1564, Wang made a painting of bamboo and rock for Zhang Deqi in which he included four poems whose veiled imagery clearly points to the disastrous consequences of Zhang Shicheng's unrestrained ambitions. See Vinograd 1979b, pp. 100–105. Zhang Deqi and his brother Zhang Dechang were sons of the well-known scholar Zhang Qian (1281–1370).

88. For the Yuan revival of tenth-century models, see Vinograd 1981.


90. For Yuan Zhongche, see Dictionary of Ming Biography 1976, pp. 1629–32.

91. See Barnhart et al. 1993, p. 205.

92. Translation after Rogers 1988, p. 116; from He Qiaoyuan, Mingxian cang (Collection of Famous Mountains), Ming ed., as cited in Mu Yiqin 1985, p. 46.

93. Translation after Sung 1933, p. 5, from Xu Xin, Mingzhushu, juan 6, p. 77; see also He Qiaoyuan, Mingxian cang, in Mu Yiqin 1985, p. 46.

94. Translation from Rogers 1988, p. 116; from Yin-xian zhi (Gazetteer of Yin County), juan 45, as cited in Mu Yiqin 1985, p. 48.

95. Translation after Rogers 1988, p. 116; from Yin-xian zhi, juan 18, as cited in Mu Yiqin 1985, p. 46.

96. See Bai 1999.

97. The depiction of mandarin ducks, often conjoined with flowering peonies, is a decorative motif that dates back to at least the eighth century in China, when the birds appear on silver wine cups. See Lu Jiagao and Han Wei 1985, pls. 124, 139.
Along the Riverbank

98. I am indebted to Professor Charles Hartman, State University of New York at Albany, for this interpretation (personal correspondence).
99. For illustration and discussion of all four scrolls, see Barnhart et al. 1993, pp. 209–10, figs. 125a–d.
100. For Yin Hong and Xiao Zeng, see ibid., nos. 61, 62, for Lü Tang, see Mu Yiqin 1983, pl. 42.
103. For Liu Jun, see Mu Yiqin 1995, pp. 34, 235–36; and Barnhart et al. 1993, pp. 91–92, 115–17.
104. For a discussion of these artists, see Cahill 1978, pp. 97–154; Rogers 1988, nos. 7, 8, 13; and Barnhart et al. 1993, pp. 223–49, 299–311.
105. For Chen Zhè, see Shih Shou-chien 1995; see also Minato 1980; and Mu Yiqin 1985, pp. 82, 129. Suzuki has attributed to Chen Zhè an unsigned painting in the Freer Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C., that bears the seal of Yuan Zhongche (1176–1438), the imperial physiognomist who also patronized Lü Ji. If this attribution is correct it would clarify Chen’s period of activity. Presuming that Yuan added his seal in the last years of his life and that Chen was then a young painter in his twenties, Chen’s dates would be about 1430 to 1515. But Joseph Chang, Associate Curator of Chinese Art at the Freer Gallery, reports (personal communication) that the Yuan Zhongche seal looks questionable and that the painting, while in the idiom of Lin Liang and Chen Zhè, cannot be confidently identified as the work of either artist. See Suzuki 1974, p. 188, fig. 710; and Suzuki 1979, p. 218, no. 8. Minato (1980) has suggested that Chen Zhè’s life was roughly contemporary with that of Wen Zhengming (1470–1559) or Zhang Lu (ca. 1490–ca. 1565), based in part on the absence of any mention of Chen in the Fujian Provincial Gazetteer of 1491.
106. He Qianyuan, Minhu, juan 135, as cited in Mu Yiqin 1985, p. 82.
109. The simplified form of the character sa (uninhibited) differs from the character jiu (wine) by only one stroke. This probably explains why Chen Zhè’s signatures and seals reading Uninhibited Immortal (Saxian) have sometimes been misread as Wine Immortal (Juxian).
110. For paintings that fall into this category, see Suzuki 1974, pls. 100, 101; and Minato 1980, fig. 8.
111. For Chen’s middle period, see Suzuki 1974, pl. 92; Minato 1980, fig. 92; and Barnhart et al. 1993, no. 108.
112. For late paintings with signatures, see Mu Yiqin 1985, p. 129; Chou and Brown 1994, no. 2; Minato 1980, n. 2 (†); Minato 1980, fig. 1; and Chou and Brown 1997, no. 6.
113. For Daoist conceptions of cave heavens, see Munakata 1990, pp. 11ff.
114. See Barnhart 1983b.
116. Richard Barnhart in Wang and Barnhart 1990, pp. 86–91. Wen Fong (1987, p. 9) has also detected other subtle protests to Kangxi’s actions in Bada’s paintings beginning in the early 1680s.
117. For Shitao’s relationship to the Kangxi emperor and members of the Manchu elite, see Fu and Fu 1973, pp. 36–40.
118. Translation from Ellsworth et al. 1987, vol. 1, p. 158.
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1. Attributed to Dong Yuan 傅 董元 (active 930s–60s)

Riverbank
X'ian tu 漢岸圖

Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk
87 x 42 7/8 in. (221 x 109 cm)
Ex. Coll.: C. C. Wang Family
Promised Gift of the Oscar L. Tang Family

Artist's signature
1 column in standard script, undated.

Painted by the Assistant Administrator of the Rear Park,
Servitor Dong [Yuan].

No artist's seals

Label strip
Zhang Daqian 張大千 (1899–1983), 1 column in running script, undated, 2 seals:

Dong Yuan's Riverbank, an authentic work of the divine class,
formerly in the collections of Zhao Oubo [Zhao Mengfu; 1254–1322] and Ke Lanqiu [Ke Jiusi; 1290–1341]. A cherished work in the Dafengtang Collection; [seals] Huayunjin; Mingyan.

董源《漢岸圖》真跡神品，趙孟俯與柯丹丘遺藏，大風堂永充供養。[印] 興雲筆，眠燕。

Colophon
(on the back of the mounting below the painting):
Ye Gongchuo 葉恭焯 (1881–1968), 1 horizontal line in regular script, undated, 1 seal:


References
2. Unidentified artist 佚名
(late 10th–early 11th century)

Palace Banquet

Qījiào mǔ 乞巧圖 or Qīxī mǔ 七夕圖

Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk
63 3/4 x 43 3/4 in. (162 x 106 cm)
Ex. Coll. C. C. Wang Family
Promised Gift of the Oscar L. Tang Family

No artist's signature or seals

Collector's seal
Wang Jiuan 王季駱 (己千; C. C. Wang; b. 1907); Wang Jiuan taiwai jian mingji 王季駱海外見名跡

Label strip
Zhényuan 真圖 (20th century), 1 column in clerical script, undated, 1 seal:
A Tang artist's "Sui Palace Banquet," a work of the supreme divine class. Authenticated by Zhényuan; [seal] Li nü bì.
唐人筆《隋宮謁遊圖》, 無上神品。真圖審定。[印] 業此不疲。

References

3. Zhao Cangyun 趙蒼雲
(active late 13th–early 14th century)

Liu Chen and Ruan Zhao in the Tiantai Mountains

Liu Chen Ruan Zhao ru Tiantai Shan 劉辰阮肇入天台山

Handscroll, ink on paper
8 1/3 x 22 1/4 in. (21.5 x 56.4 cm)
Ex. Coll.: C. C. Wang Family
Promised Gift of the Oscar L. Tang Family

Artist's inscriptions and signature
70 columns in running script, undated:

Liu Chen and Ruan Zhao were from Shan County [in present-day Zhejiang Province]. Although they came from old Confucian families, they were particularly interested in medicinal herbs and were accustomed to remaining aloof from the world. Thus did they in the fifteenth year of the Yongan [fifth year of the Yongping] reign era of Han emperor Mingdi [A.D. 72] take up hoe and basket and set off for the Tiantai Mountains to gather herbs.

Entering the deep mountains, they filled their baskets with herbs. After a short rest they started back, but lost their way. Their food exhausted, the two men looked at one another and were filled with fear and despair. Suddenly they saw a peach tree growing from the mountainside, heavy with ripening fruit. The men clambered up the mountain and picked the fruit—each man eating two peaches—after which their strength returned.

Peaches in their hands, they descended the mountain, seeking the way back. The winding path was rugged and difficult to make out. Eventually they found themselves at the foot of the mountain, and there they saw a clear, cold stream flowing through a ravine. Rinsing their hands, the men scooped up the water and took a drink. Suddenly they saw rutabagas flowing down the mountain stream, followed by a cup filled with sesame rice. The two men looked at one another and exclaimed: "There must be a house nearby."

The air about the mountains is dense,
The green peaks, lofty and contorted.
Gazing at them you are transported
To another world.

So they lifted up their baskets of herbs and waded into the stream. Because the water was nearly four feet deep, they lifted their robes in order to cross. After a third of a mile they found a small path, which led across a mountain to another stream.

The large stream at the foot of the mountain.

On the opposite side of the stream they saw two women whose marvelous beauty was of another world. The two women waved, calling Liu and Ruan by name as if they were old friends. When the two men crossed the stream the women giggled and said, "How late you are!" Their voices were dulcet, their fragrance alluring, as they spoke to the men and took them by the hand. Although they were [to the men] as brides, they behaved as if they had known them for many years. At first the two men suspected they might be witches, but gradually they lost their fear and regarded them as human.

The two women invited the men to accompany them home, leading them along a winding mountain path through hills covered with blossoming peach trees. After about a mile they reached their residence, which was decorated in an extravagant
manner beyond the likes of any mortal dwelling. To other side were serving women in light blue clothing, their manner sober and dignified, their appearance elegant and radiant as clouds. After a brief rest the women prepared a delicious meal of sesame rice and mountain goat, after which the men were no longer hungry. They inquired about the women’s families, but the ladies only laughed and made small talk, refusing to reveal anything. The two men eventually asked speaking. They realized these were strange women. And they observed that there were no men in the house.

In the courtyard a banquet was arranged, with wine and food set out to wish the men long life. After a few cups of wine guest immortals arrived at the ladies’ residence bringing peaches of longevity and announcing, “We have come to congratulate the grooms.” The two men paid their respects to the immortals, each of whom wore magical clothes and carried a musical instrument which they played in perfect harmony. For two or three hours the two men drank happily, while the two female immortals personally served them cups of wine and urged them to drink more. The beguiling melodies evoked an almost tangible sensation of spring, and the two men felt they were in paradise. The guests departed as the sun set.

The two women persuaded Liu and Ruan to remain for more than half a month, but then the men asked to return home. The women responded, “Coming upon us and living here is your good fortune. How can the herbal elixirs of the common world compare to this immortal dwelling?” So they begged the men to stay for half a year. Every day was like late spring, but the mournful cries of the mountain birds caused the two men to plead even more to return home. The woman said, “Traces of your karma have remained here, which is why you still feel this way.” So they summoned the other female immortals to bid them farewell with music, saying, “Not far from the mouth of this cave is a roadway leading to your home. It’s easy.”

The two men exited the cave and reached the roadway. They looked back, but saw only the brilliant glow of peach blossoms and the layered greens of the mountain. When they arrived home, they recognized no one. Greatly perplexed, they made inquiries until they realized that [the villagers] were their seventh-generation descendants.

Finding that their homeland held neither close relations nor a place to live, the two men decided to reenter the Tiantai Mountains and seek out the roadway that they had just followed. But the way was obscure, and they became lost. Later, in the eighth year of the Taikang reign era of Jin Wudi [A.D. 287], the two again entered the Tiantai Mountains. What became of them remains unknown.

Painted and inscribed by Cangyun Shantren (Gathering Clouds Mountain Man).

劉辰、阮肇，剡縣人也。家世業儒，尤留意於醫。曾嘗然有雲表之妙處。漢明帝永平十五年，二人尋雲宮，往天台山採藥焉。

入山既深，尋藥方盈笈。少懇將歸，遂失來路，且糧櫛俱盡，二人相顧，方恨失策。偶舉首，見山頜有桃木精狀，業實果然。二人往山上取桃實之，人各食二枚，如覺少健然。

食桃犹在手，下山求歸。路轉轉崎嶇，益難辨識。行次不覺至山麓，見澗中水流潺潺，清冷可可。二人以手把水飲之，且各潑其手面。偶見蔓蘿從山腹中出次，又有一杯流出，中有胡麻飯屑。二人相顧曰：“去人家不遠矣。”

氣氛清淵，山色毣毣。望中恍然，如接異境。

因負藥笈，以鉤探探水，水蟠四尺許，二人褰裳渡之。行及一里餘，得小徑，又度一山，又見一溪焉。

山麓處大溪。

隔溪見二女顏色絕妙，世所未有，便揮手喚劉阮姓名，似有舊交。二人渡溪，二女喜笑而語，曰：“郎來何晚也！”意味和澤，香氣襲人，相語相贊，雖合意之語，經數載未有如此之婉好也。二人始疑為媚，久之方辯識為人，而亦不之懼焉。

二女因邀歸家，所過山徑崎嶇，漫山桃花爛然，行及三里餘，到其所居洞室，朴樷服飾，非如人間所有。左右女侍侍者之衣，皆緣正莊嚴，端然如玄。少憩，煮胡麻飯、山羊臠，食之甘美。二人食畢，不覺倦，欲訪家絕。二女但相與譏笑慢之，略不之咎，二人亦不敢固問，知其為異人。久之，亦不見其家有男子也。

既而又當庭設席，陳酒肴為二人壽。方飛騋次，有數仙客，持蓋、五仙桃至女家，云：“來慶男女，各至席。”二人禮之，數仙客皆仙服，各出樂器奏之，肅肅和鴉。於此饮席二，三時候，二女酌各自舉卮，勸二人酒。飲至情，春氣可挹，二子恍然，如在天上也。日向暮，仙客遂還去。

二女還劉阮僧止宿，約半月餘，子求還。女答曰：“今來此，皆汝留畸所招得。至子仙館，比之流俗，有此篤否？”遂懇留住及半年。天氣常如春，山鳥樊鶴，二子求歸甚切。女曰：“吾謂未滅，令子心如此。”於時多諸仙女，共作歌吹，送劉阮歸，遂告曰：“從此山洞口出去不遠，至大夫、至家，易矣！”
二子出洞口，行至大道，回首，惟桃花燦爛，山色堆青而已。兩兄弟，並無相識，鄉里怪異，乃聞，得七代子孫，傳上祖入山不出，不知今何在。

二子在村，既無親屬，棲泊無所，卻同入天台山，尋當年所住之山路已迷，而不知所在。後至晉武帝太康八年，二子後入天台，不知其所之也。

蒼雲山人畫書。

No artist’s seals

Colophons

Hua Youwu 華幼武 (1307—after 1386), 10 columns in regular script, dated 1379:

High-minded and eccentric and fond of drinking, Cangyun Shanren was a member of the Song imperial clan. His paintings often attained the divine class. His landscapes, mostly in the boneless ink-wash style, are boldly conceived and filled with vitality. His delicate figure paintings possess a distinctive character rare to behold. This painting, "Liu [Chen] and Ruan [Zhao in the] TianTai Mountains," is free and unfettered, relaxed and elegant. Its draperies of Cao [Zhongdu: active ca. 590–77] and scarves of Wu [Daozi: 710–60] and its marvelous tonalities are unattainable by most artists. I think the story of Liu and Ruan may not be entirely without basis. Tang authors have observed that female immortals belong also to the demon world. And he who acquires this ink masterpiece may indeed believe that Spiritual Mountain and Western Garden still exist. Unrolling this scroll, I felt I was amid clouds of peach blossoms and waves of willow, cooled by a breeze and warmed by the sun. In his youth Cangyun was more famous than [his fellow clansmen] Ziang [Zhao Mengfu: 1254-1322] and Zigu [Zhao Mengjian: 1195–before 1267], but because he never married and never served as an official, but withdrew to live as a recluse amid the mountain forests and lakes, his presence was elusive. So when one of his paintings was acquired, it was considered precious as jade. He was a man of remarkable ingenuity but one who also suffered; alas, there can never be another like him.

In autumn, the ninth month, in the twelfth year of the Hongwu reign (1379), Hua Youwu wrote this at the Spring Thatch Hall.

蒼雲山人，本趙宋宗室。高奇嗜酒，繪事入神。山水橫放，大都俊爽有骨，而生動過之。人物工緻，別有姿態，世不多見。所作《剎院天台圖》，意境蕭散，神趣開遠。曹衣吳带，設色妍妙，有諸家所不能及者。竊意劉阮未必非烏有子虛，唐人云：“仙妹宜屬魅界。”但得此墨妙，覺靈山巖然，西園猶在，晨夾不知身在桃雲柳浪，清風爽目也。蒼雲早歲，名在子孫之類，不為不重。每隱於山林湖舫，觀觀事無從跡，世人得其片腦，幾如拱璧，此其匠心俱意，備極精妙，著不能有二也。

洪武十二年秋九月，華幼武書於春草軒。

Yao Guangxiao 姚廣孝 (1335-1418), 7 columns in running script, dated 1386:

In the second month of spring in the hingin year, 1386, I was feeling bored and so took a boat with Hua Qibi [Hua Youwu] and sailed about the lakes for a full month. Hua's conversation and poetry were eloquent, his eyes were keen. He was very knowledgeable and loved antiques and had a large collection of呼叫 calligraphies. Contentedly we passed the time, discussing and evaluating works of art and sharing interests beyond the ordinary. From time to time we would view Zhao Cangyun's "Princely Grandsons in the Tiantai Mountains," admiring the animation and emotion of his figures and scenery and delighting at his conception as we rolled and unrolled the scroll. It is a painting that has attained the three perfections. Qibi had already added a colophon, and so I added mine. I have been a traveler for a long time; these days I am almost never able to quit this wandering. Do I live in this painting?

Recorded by Daoyan.

丙寅春仲，無軀中鼓般過。華棲碧湖上留連巨月，談誇甚通，且目洞爽。華君沉博嗜古，所藏碑帖甚夥。高朋佳客，彼此欣然，盡得異物所尚之趣。因誇趙蒼雲《王孫天台圖》，人物景物飛動有情，宛轉奪合，極快意事，作揮筆之欲，是入繪中三昧者。棲有題，予讀其後，子久作東西南北人，他年一會僕然未散，其在斯乎？

道行識。

Song Yong 宋邕 (unidentified), 13 columns in running script, undated:

"Liu and Ruan Encounter Immortals in a Cave"

The sky merges with the verdant color of the trees, layered clouds and mountain mists obscure the road. Rising vapors envelope the mountains; the birds are silent. The murmur of the valley stream is like the music of pipes and reeds.

In the green cave, there is no gulf between heaven and earth. The red branches of the trees are as long lived as the sun and the moon.
4. **Wu Zhen 吳鎮**
(1280–1354)

**Lofty Virtue Reaching the Sky**
**Gaojie lingyun tu 高潔凌雲圖**
Dated 1338

Hanging scroll, ink on silk
65 1/2 x 38 1/2 in. (166.4 x 98 cm)
Ex. Coll: C. C. Wang Family
Promised Gift of the Oscar L. Tang Family

**Artist's inscription and signature**
4 columns in cursive script, dated 1338:

Tall stalks pierce the clouds.
Perhaps you find it strange,
Not recognizing the wings of a phoenix.
A pure sound enters the realm of the soundless,
Don't let Zhonglang blow his long-necked flute.

In the fifth month of summer, the fourth year of the
Zhiyuán reign era [1338], Meihua Daoren [the Plum Blossom Daoist] played with ink.

高潔凌雲圖自書，誰人識是鳳凰絳。
至音已入無聲譚，莫把中郎碧笛吹。
至元四年夏五月，梅花道人畫記。

**Artist's seals**
Meihuaan 梅花盦
Jiaying Wu Zhen Zhonggu shubua ji 嘉興吳仲主書畫記

**Label strip**
Zhao Shang 趙仲晚期 (15th century), 1 column in clerical script; 2 columns in regular script, undated, 1 seal:

In the fifth month of summer, the fourth year of the
Zhiyuán reign era [1338], Meihua Daoren [the Plum Blossom Daoist] played with ink.

**Collectors’ seals**
Zhang Bowen 張伯文 (unidentified): Zhang Bowen fu 張伯文父
Wang Ji Qian 王季遷 (己千; C. C. Wang; b. 1907): Wang Ji Qian shi shengding zhenji 王季遷氏審定真跡

**Reference**
Barnhart 1982a, pp. 103–5, figs. 145, 146.
5. Ke Jiushi 柯九思
(1290–1345)

**Bamboo after Wen Tong**

*Lin Wen Tong mozhu tu 臨文通墨竹圖*

Dated 1343

Hanging scroll, ink on silk
42 1/8 x 18 3/4 in. (107 x 47.5 cm)

Ex coll: C. C. Wang Family
Promised Gift of the Oscar L. Tang Family

**Artist’s inscription and signature**

2 columns in running script, dated 1343:

The first day of winter in the jiye year of the Xining reign era [1069], Wen Tong, Yuke, of Bajun

On the Duanwu Festival of the guiwi year of the Zhizheng reign era [May 28, 1343], Ke Jiushi, Dansiu, made this copy.

熊出已見于冬至，巴郡文通與可。

至正癸未端陽節，丹丘柯九思臨。

**Artist’s seals**

*Xixian 襄縣*

*Ke shi Jingchong 柯氏敬仲*

*Yinzhengzai 咸真齋*

**Label strips**

Unidentified, 1 column in running script, undated:


元柯九思仿文與可竹枝。

Bi Long 碧濁 (active late 18th century), 2 columns in regular script, undated, 1 seal:


Bi Long 碧濁

元柯九思臨文通州《倒垂竹枝》真跡，真定梁

**Collectors’ seals**

Liang Qingbiao 梁清標 (1620–1691): Tangeun shending

愛村審定: Jiaolin 藝林

Bi Long 碧濁 (active late 18th century): Bi Jiufei mijii yín

華濁邀審御印

Feng Gongdu 風公度 (dates unknown): Gongdu suowang Song Yuan mingji 公度所藏宋元名跡; Feng Gongdu [? [? [? [?] 風

公度□□□

Two unidentified seals: Kechu jiaoyang zhixuan zuixian baozhi 可竹家藏珍玩子孫寶之; [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?]

Wang Jiqian 王季遷 (乙千; C. C. Wang br. 1917): Wang shi Jiqian zhengang zhi yín 王氏季遷珍藏之印

**References**

Lu Shihua, Wayne suqian shubua lu (1776), juan 3, p. 12; Dubosc
1954, no. 786; Chiang I-han 1976, p. 41; Chiang I-han 1977,
p. 108; Cahill 1980, p. 251; Barnhart 1981a, pp. 176–179, fig. 62;
Han, 1983, p. 141, fig. 44; Zong Dian 1984, p. 57.

6. Zhang Xun 張遜
(active ca. 1295–after 1349)

**Rocky Landscape with Pines**

*Shishang songhua tu 石上松花圖*

c. 1346

Hanging scroll, ink on silk
35 1/2 x 16 1/2 in. (90 x 42 cm)

Ex coll: C. C. Wang Family
Promised Gift of the Oscar L. Tang Family

**Artist’s inscription and signature**

3 columns in regular script, undated (top left):

In the rocky [landscape] pine blossoms fall and bloom again. The mountain Ailanthus, useless [for its timber], has grown
to full size.

No cart has ever reached this woodcutter’s path.
Now with gray hair, I have passed fifty years in vain.

Zhang Xun.

石上松花落又開，山樗無用亦成材。

蒲輪不到樵人徑，白髮徒過五十朱。

張遜。
7. **Yao Yanqing 姚彦卿**
(Yao Tingmei 姚廷美; ca. 1300—after 1360)

**Traveling through Snow-Covered Mountains**
*Lüshen xingli tu* 雪山行旅圖

Hanging scroll, ink on silk
38 3/4 x 21 1/4 in (98.5 x 54 cm)
Ex coll: C. C. Wang Family
Promised Gift of the Oscar L. Tang Family

**Label strip**
Wang Jiupian 王季遷 (乙千; C. C. Wang; b. 1907), 1 column in running script, dated 1989:
Yao Tingmei's [Yao Yanqing's] masterpiece, “Traveling through Snow-Covered Mountains.” Inscribed on the fifth day of the twelfth month of the jisui year [1989].

**No collectors’ seals**

**No references**

8. **Wang Meng 王蒙**
(ca. 1308–1385)

**Simple Retreat**
*Suan tu* 素庵圖
ca. 1368

Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk
53 1/2 x 17 3/4 in. (136 x 45 cm)
Ex coll: C. C. Wang Family
Promised Gift of the Oscar L. Tang Family

**Artist’s inscription and signature**
1 column in seal script, 2 columns in regular script, undated:
“Simple Retreat.”
The Yellow Crane Mountain Woodcutter, Wang Meng, painted this for the lofty scholar of the Simple Retreat.

**References**
10. Liu Jun 劉俊
(active ca. 1475–ca. 1505)

Remonstrating with the Emperor
Najian tu 謂諫圖

Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk
65 x 41 7/8 in. (165 x 106.5 cm)
Ex coll: C. C. Wang Family
Promised Gift of the Oscar L. Tang Family

Artist’s signature
2 characters in regular script, undated:
Liu Jun

Liu Jun 劉俊

Artist’s seal
Liu 右下印\

Label strip
Unidentified, 1 column in running script, undated:
Ming Liu Jun “Remonstrating with the Emperor.”

Collector’s seals
Wang Jiian 王李遷 (己干; C. C. Wang; b. 1907): Wang shi Jiian zhencang zhi yin 王氏李遷珍藏之印; Wang Jiian shi shending zhenyi 王李遷氏審定真跡

Reference

9. Lü Ji 呂紀
(ca. 1430–ca. 1504)

Mandarin Ducks and Hollyhocks
Yuanyang fushou tu 賈禽芙蓉圖

Hanging scroll, ink and color on silk
68 1/8 x 39 1/8 in. (173 x 99.5 cm)
Ex coll: C. C. Wang Family
Promised Gift of the Oscar L. Tang Family

Artist’s signature
2 characters in running script, undated:
Lü Ji

呂紀
11. Chen Zihe 陳子和  
(Active late 15th–early 16th century)

**Drunken Immortal Beneath an Old Tree**

_Gumu juxian tu_ 古木酒仙圖

Hanging scroll, ink on silk  
68 3/4 x 40 3/8 in. (174.5 x 102.5 cm)  
Ex coll: C. C. Wang Family  
Promised Gift of the Oscar L. Tang Family

**Artist’s inscription and signature**  
2 columns in cursive script, undated:  
Sketched by the seventy-seven-year-old Uninhabited Immortal Chen Zihe.  
七七叟酒仙陳子和寫。

**Artist’s seal**  
Pucheng Chen Long zhi yin 浦城陳郎之印

**No collectors’ seals**

**References**  
Minato 1980, pp. 19, 23, fig. 14; Suzuki 1980, A14–A17;  

12. Bada Sharen 八大山人  
(Zhu Da 朱耷; 1626–1705)

**Two Eagles**

_Erying tu_ 二鷹圖

Dated 1702  
Hanging scroll, ink on paper  
73 x 35 1/2 in. (184.4 x 90 cm)  
Ex coll: C. C. Wang Family  
On loan from Oscar L. Tang

**Artist’s inscription and signature**  
1 column in running script, dated 1702:  
Painted in the _yin_ year, the sixteenth day of the third month [April 12, 1702], Bada Shanren.  
壬午三月既望寫，八大山人。

**Artist’s seals**  
_Bada Sharen_ 八大山人  
_Heyuan_ 何園  
_Zhishang_ 珠賞
Figure 88. Schematic diagram of Riverbank showing principal areas of repair
Appendix

Riverbank: The Physical and Documentary Evidence

MAXWELL K. HEARN

THE PHYSICAL EVIDENCE

In 1998-99, Riverbank (pl. 1, page 2) was given a detailed examination by members of the Starr Asian Art Conservation Studio of The Metropolitan Museum of Art. This examination made extensive use of digital photography to create enhanced computer images of the entire painting, including the signature and early collectors’ seals. From the full-size digital reproduction of the scroll a precise map of all repaired areas was produced. This map was the basis for the schematic diagram seen on the facing page (fig. 88). Carbon dating of the painting’s silk was deemed unfeasible as it would require subjecting the painting to a complete remounting in order to secure a sample.

Painted on silk that is darkened with age, Riverbank is worn from repeated handling and remounting. Presently mounted as a hanging scroll 87½ inches high by 43¼ inches wide, it is formed of two unequal widths of silk, the left width measuring 20½ inches, the right 23 inches. These two panels reflect the standard loom width of about 23 inches for painting silk used through the early Northern Song era.

While fourteenth-century seals along both outside edges attest to the composition’s being in this form as early as the Yuan dynasty, most early paintings of this scale originally functioned as multipanel screens within an architectural framework. It is therefore likely that Riverbank as it now survives formed only the left panel of a three-panel screen composition similar to that seen in Scholar Examining Books (fig. 10, page 15). Assuming that the lost central panel was twice the width of each of the side panels, the original three-panel screen would have been made up of eight widths of silk measuring more than seven feet high and fourteen feet wide.

Since its remounting as a hanging scroll, probably in the Song dynasty, the painting has suffered additional losses along the exterior edges as a result of fraying or trimming during subsequent remountings. This is most evident along the left border, where the artist’s inscription and several early collectors’ seals have been closely cropped or partially lost. Strips of silk have been added along the borders as a way of restoring the even contours of the composition. The interior seam, where the two lengths of silk are joined, has fared better. While the two panels are not perfectly aligned, the composition is without appreciable interruption on either side of the join. The painting surface is thus fairly well preserved, though numerous small areas of wear and damage have been repaired by the addition of silk patches cut to the exact shape of the missing fabric and inserted so as to be flush with the original surface. In some instances, the silk used in these repairs appears to be identical in weave to the original. The most prominent example is the large rectangular repair, about ten by nine inches, at the top of the composition just to the right of the central seam. Slightly darker than the surrounding silk, it most closely matches the darkened silk at the top left. If the silk was taken from the top of the left panel, then the original composition would have been nearly twelve inches taller. Presumably, the panel was cut down either to better align the two panels or simply to allow the painting to fit more comfortably within the hanging-scroll format. Because the same silk was used extensively for other repairs to the painting, it would appear that Riverbank was restored most extensively when it was transformed from a screen panel to a scroll.

Where repairs have interrupted the original composition, the in-filled portions have been painted with sketchy suggestions of the missing information and then repainted in ink tones slightly lighter than those of the original. On the river-

Figure 89. Detail of Riverbank showing restoration around riverside pavilion
Signatures and seals may be added to a work of art at any time as a way of enhancing its pedigree, while written sources are usually not specific enough to allow conclusive identification; they may also serve as the point of departure for a later forger. This said, once the painting’s period of execution has been established through stylistic analysis, other sources of evidence may be judiciously employed to fill out the painting’s likely history of transmission.

The physical documentation of Riverbank begins with the inscription in the lower-left corner: “Painted by the Assistant Administrator of the Rear Park, Servitor Dong Yuan” (pl. 1a, page 6). Although no reliable signatures of Dong Yuan survive to corroborate the authenticity of this inscription, the calligraphic style, which follows that of the leading Tang calligrapher Yan Zhenqing (709–785), is typical of the tenth and eleventh centuries. It compares closely, for example, in both form and brush technique, to the calligraphy of the Northern Song scholar Ouyang Xiu (1007–1072; fig. 15, page 21). We may therefore conclude that the inscription dates to no later than the early Song period.

There are nineteen collectors’ seals impressed on Riverbank, including seven that range in date from the mid-thirteenth to the late-fourteenth century (figs. 90a, 90b; see pages 147–55 for the identification and transcription of all the seals). The two earliest seals, impressed along the lower-left edge of the painting, are those of the Southern Song prime minister and collector Jia Sidao (1123–1275; fig. 91). The upper seal, only the top portion of which has survived, corresponds to a gourd-shaped
seal of Jia’s with the legend Yuehong, but it is too fragmentary to compare with other known examples. Directly below is a rectangular seal with the legend Qinbi, one of Jia Sidao’s sobriquets. Similar seals with this legend exist, but the size and cutting of this impression do not match those of published examples.

The next oldest seal on the painting, “seal of the Tianshui Commandary Calligraphy and Painting Collection” (*Tianshui* shenxiang yinji; fig. 92a), is impressed several inches below Jia Sidao’s seals and may be identified as belonging to Zhao Yuqin (late thirteenth century), a collector and member of the Song imperial family who is recorded as having owned *Riverbank*.

“Tianshui Commandary” refers to the Zhao family’s ancestral home in northern Shaanxi and Gansu Provinces. During the Song dynasty, this title was conferred upon some members of the royal house and during the Yuan several descendants of Song royalty continued to use seals that included “Tianshui Commandary” in their legends. Zhao Mengfu (1254–1322) employed a seal reading “Painting and calligraphy seal of the Tianshui Commandary” (*Tianshui* tu shuyin; fig. 95); his wife, Guan Daosheng (1262–1316), and his son Zhao Yong (1289–1360) also used seals that included “Tianshui Commandary” in their legends. But the Tianshui seal on *Riverbank* cannot be that of Zhao Mengfu. That seal was used specifically for collected works, and it appears on Zhao Mengfu’s own Old Trees, Bamboo, and Rock of about 1320, as well as on seven other early paintings and calligraphies (figs. 92b, 92c). The most logical explanation is that the Tianshui seal on *Riverbank* belonged to Zhao Yuqin and that it continued to be used by members of his family during the early Yuan period, as evidenced by the seal’s appearance on several works that postdate Zhao Yuqin’s lifetime.

The chronological sequence of seals on *Riverbank* continues with three seals belonging to Ke Jiusi (1290–1343), a noted collector and bamboo painter (pl. 5, page 101) who also served as connoisseur for the Yuan imperial collection under emperor Wenzong (r. 1128–12). Two of Ke’s seals appear in the lower-left corner of the painting; a third is situated in the lower-right corner. This unusual disposition of a single collector’s seals has led Richard Barnhart to suggest that the two lengths of silk that make up the present composition may have been mounted separately as independent hanging scrolls. If that is the case, it is possible that Ke Jiusi was responsible for reuniting the two panels. That parts of Ke’s seals are now missing attests to the continued repairs and loss of silk that the painting sustained subsequent to Ke’s ownership. The upper seal on the left (fig. 94a) compares closely with another extant example (fig. 94b), while the other two seals (figs. 95, 96) are distinct from published examples.
The latest early seal on Riverbank, impressed in the lower-right corner, is the official inventory seal of the Ming imperial collection, used about 1374–84 (fig. 97a). Numerous works that bear Song and Yuan imperial seals also bear the left half of this seal (fig. 97b), which suggests that it was used to record works seized at the beginning of the Ming dynasty, most notably those pieces assembled in the Yuan imperial collection. Because Ke Jiushi is known to have presented works to the throne during his tenure as official connoisseur under Wenzong, it is possible that Riverbank entered the Yuan palace at this time.7 Because Wenzong’s reign ended abruptly and because his successors had little concern for art, it is not surprising that the scroll never received an official Yuan seal.

The remaining twelve seals are twentieth century in date and are those of the painting’s recent owners, Zhang Daqian (1899–1983—one seal is in fact that of Zhang’s brother, Zhang Shanshui, 1882–1949) and C. C. Wang (b. 1907).

THE DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE

The physical evidence of Riverbank’s transmission is complemented by the documentary evidence found in early textual sources. Three late-thirteenth-century references to Riverbank attest to the renewed interest in Dong Yuan on the part of artists and collectors living in South China during the early Yuan period. The earliest source is Zhou Mi’s (1212–1298) Record of What Was Seen as Clouds and Mists, in which a listing of works in the collection of the late-thirteenth-century collector Zhao Yuqin includes the entry “Dong Yuan’s Riverbank.”8 The same source also notes that the painting later entered the holdings of the early-Yuan collector Wang Ziqing (active ca. 1290–1310).9 A third mention of the painting appears in the collected poetry of Zhao Mengfu. Zhao, a friend of both Zhou Mi and Wang Ziqing, composed a poem entitled “For Dong Yuan’s Riverbank” sometime before he went north to present himself at the Mongol Yuan court in Beijing in 1286.10 Although no further references to Riverbank appear in Yuan written sources, numerous works by the late-Yuan landscape master Wang Meng (ca. 1308–1385; see pl. 8, page 121), a grandson of Zhao Mengfu, suggest that he too was familiar with Riverbank, which he could have seen as a young man either at his grandfather’s house or through Ke Jiushi.

Once the painting entered the Ming imperial collection, it was removed from circulation and remained unavailable to Ming scholar-artists, including Dong Qichang (1555–1636), whose investigations into Dong Yuan’s painting style indicate that he had no knowledge of the painting. Riverbank was also unknown to Qing artists. The next written reference to the painting is in a letter dating from late 1938 or early 1939 that was sent from Singapore by the painter Xu Beihong (1895–1953; fig. 40, page 47) which attests to his rediscovery of Riverbank in}

Figure 97a. Detail of official Ming inventory seal of ca. 1374–84 on Riverbank

Figure 97b. Detail of official Ming inventory seal of ca. 1374–84 on Poem Written in a Boat on the Wu River, ca. 1100, by Mi Fu (1052–1107). Handscroll, ink on paper, 12¼ x 220¼ in. (31.3 x 559.8 cm). The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Gift of John M. Crawford Jr., in honor of Professor Wen Fong, 1984 (1984.174)

Guilin. In his letter, Xu notes the presence of both the artist’s inscription and the early seals discussed above. Because Guilin was the seat of an important Ming princehood, it is possible that Riverbank was removed from the main Ming imperial collection early in the dynasty and found its way into the holdings of the Guilin branch of the Ming royal family where it would have been inaccessible to Ming artists, even those serving at court. The painting apparently remained in the Guilin area during the ensuing Qing dynasty.

Shortly after acquiring the painting, Xu Beihong relinquished it to the noted artist-collector Zhang Daqian (1899–1983). The painting remained with Zhang for thirty years. About 1968, Zhang sold the painting to C. C. Wang. As soon as he acquired it, Mr. Wang enlisted the services of Meguro Sanji, a Japanese restorer also used by Zhang Daqian, to remount Riverbank in its present state. In 1973, when the Metropolitan Museum acquired a group of twenty-five Song and Yuan paintings from C. C. Wang, he declined to include Riverbank in the group. At the time, his son, S. K. Wang, was still living in China and Mr. Wang believed that he might have to relinquish Riverbank to the Chinese government in order to secure his son’s emigration. Only after
S. K. Wang and his family had moved to the United States did C. C. Wang consider parting with the scroll. He has regarded the painting, since its acquisition, as his most important possession.

1. See Barnhart 1984a, p. 57.

2. Zhao Yuqin's exact dates are unknown, but a rough idea of his period of activity is established by the dates of two other Zhao clan members who share the same first character in their given names, Zhao Yuqi (1224–1297) and Zhao Yuzhao (1242–1303), thus identifying them as belonging to the same genealogical generation. For Zhao Yuqin's ownership of Riverbank, see Zhou Mi, Yuyuan guoyuan lu, in Qinghe shuahang, juan 1, p. 156.

3. For a brief discussion of the Zhao family's use of the title "Tianshui Commandary," see Yang 1998, pp. 39–41. For the historical area known as Tianshui Commandary, see Cidian, vol. 2 (Shanghai: Shanghai cishu chubanshe, 1979), p. 2860. For Emperor Huizong's (r. 1007–1106) use of a seal with this legend, see Xianhe yeji (Historical Remnants from the Xianhe era) in Congshu juheng (Gathered Collectanea), 1986, vol. 5889, p. 68. For an early-twelfth-century use of the title "Tianshuijun" by a fifth-generation descendant of Emperor Taizong (960–976), see Mi Fu's (1052–1107) Epitaph for the Marquis of Zong (Zongguo Gong Muxi; private collection, Japan), dated 1107, in Shouji zhenfu, 1939, vol. 18, pp. 199–202.

4. For Guan Daosheng's seal "Zhao Family from Tianshui" (Tianshui Zhao shi), see Gugong shubua tuhu, 1989, vol. 5, pp. 93–96; for Zhao Yong's seal, "Tianshui Painting and Calligraphy" (Tianshui tuhui), see Zongguo shubua yinjian, 1991, vol. 2, pp. 12–13.

5. Kathleen Yang (1998, pp. 39–41, and personal correspondence) was the first to document other examples of the Tianshui seal also found on Riverbank. Works identified by Yang that bear this seal are: Gentlemen on a Spring outing, attributed to Zhao Yan (d. 924), see Gugong shubua tuhu, 1989, vol. 1, pp. 49–50; Bamboo in the Wind, attributed to Li Po (701–767); see ibid.; Listening to Wind in the Pine, dated 1246, by Ma Lin (ca. 1180–after 1260); see ibid., vol. 2, pp. 193–195; Luehan, attributed to the Song dynasty; see ibid., vol. 3, pp. 31–142; a volume of rubbings from the Chaohuang compendium (engraved 922), see Fine Chinese Painting, Calligraphy, and Rubbings, sale cat., Christie's, New York, Sept. 18, 1996, lot 107; and Old Tree, Bamboo, and Rock, by Zhao Mengfu (1254–1322), see Gugong shubua tuhu, 1989, vol. 4, pp. 173–178. In addition, Yang has discovered two recorded references to a seal with this legend: Compendium of Six Song Calligraphies (whereabouts unknown), see Shouji baqi xubian, vol. 5, p. 1671; and a manuscript of poetry in regular script by Yu Ji (1272–1348), leaf 29 in Album of Preious Writings of the Song and Yuan (whereabouts unknown), see Shouji baqi, vol. 1, p. 466. Yigu Zhang, of the Metropolitan Museum, has discovered another example of this seal on a painting of plum blossoms by Wang Mian (1287–1353); see Garland of Chinese Painting, 1967, vol. 2, pl. 32.


7. In the Huaqian (1959 ed., p. 12), Tang Hou (active ca. 1320) lists Riverbank as one of the paintings he saw in the imperial collection. Another version of Tang Hou's text appears in Gujin huaqian, 1937 ed., p. 6. The two versions are nearly identical, but several characters in the Gujin huaqian version appear to be erroneous transcriptions, including the rendering of the painting title Kongzi lu yan Qizi tu as Huang Zijin yan Qizi tu. Because Huang Zijin is another name for Huang Gongwang (1269–1354), this error led Barnhart to suggest that Riverbank could have been in Huang's collection, but this seems unlikely; see Barnhart 1970, p. 15, n. 110.

8. Zhou Mi, Yuyuan guoyuan lu, in Qinghe shuahang, juan 1, p. 156.

9. Ibid.

10. Zhao Mengfu, Songxuzhai ji, juan 2, p. 12. For an English translation of this poem, see page 30 in this volume.
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Dong Yuan (active 908–968), 60, 72–73, 110, 120
Awaiting the Ferry at the Foot of Summer Mountains, 40, 41
Dong Qichang on, 20–46
Jurian and idiom of, 28
Mi Fu on, 28
Riverbank See: Riverbank and Southern School of painting, 41–42, 59, 72
Summer Mountains, 40
Travelers amid Autumn Mountains, 3, 3, 42–43
Travelers amid Streams and Mountains, 43, 43
Wind and Rain [The Dragon] Rising after Hibernation, 48
Winyo Groves and Layered Banks, 5, 6, 23, 28, 28, 44, 45–57, 115
The Xiao and Xiang Rivers, 6, 6, 40–42, 47, 48, 51
The Xiao River, 42
Drunken Immortal Banquet on an Old Tree (Chen Zhihe), 113–14, 113, 155
Du Fu (712–770), 19, 119
Dunhuang, 19, 46, 69
Dwelling in Salutation in Summer Mountains (Wang Meng), 35, 37, 41, 110, 120
Dwelling in the Fuchan Mountains (Huang Gongwang), 31, 34, 140, 166

Enjoy (Bada Shanren), 137, 137
Enjoy in a Pine (Qi Baishi), 138, 139
Early Spring (Gao Xiu), 3, 4, 23, 25, 27
Elegant Rocks and Spiral Trees (Zhao Mengfu), 94, 95
Emperor Minghuang’s Journey to Shu (Li Zhaozao), 25, 59–63
Empty Pavilion in a Pine Grove (Ni Zan), 31, 34
Entertaining Skills Festival in the Palace (Zhang Xuan), 119–120
Fan Kuan (active ca. 900–930), 28
Travelers amid Streams and Mountains, 3, 4, 6, 12, 27
Fandong (12th century), The Sixteen Lushans, 99, 99
Feng Gongdu (dates unknown), 152
First Snow along the River (Zhao Gan), 26, 26–27, 71, 80, 140–140
Fisherman in a Winyo Rivercape (Yao Yunqing), 115
Fisherman’s Retreat on a Flowing Stream (Wang Meng), 16, 38, 120
For Dong Yuan’s Riverbank” (Zhao Mengfu), 10, 160
forgery, 4, 11–12, 52–56, 158
Four Anecdotes from the Life of Wang Xizhi (Zhao Mengfu), 159
Four Seasons (Qingling mausoleum painting), 23, 24

Gateway Towers (unidentified artist; early 8th century), 67, 67
Gentle Ladies Entertaining Skills on the Seventh Evening, 140
Going Up the River at the Qingming Festival (Zhang Zeduan), 69, 69, 77, 140, 161
Gu Hongzhong (active ca. 945–975), 13, 60, 75
The Night Entertainment of Han Xizai, 70–71, 70, 140

Guan Duo (1262–1305), 159
Guan Tong (active ca. 907–93), 3
Travelers at the Mountain Pass, 39, 39
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Yongle emperor (Ming dynasty, r. 1402–1424), 91
Yuan dynasty (1279–1368), 34, 35, 59, 84, 86, 92–93, 100, 105, 112, 115, 118–119
Yuan Zhongche (1276–1458), 125, 144b105
Yue Zhongji (1686–1754), 154
Zhan Zaiqian (ca. 550–604), Spring Outing, 12, 13, 14
Zhang Bowen (unidentified), 131
Zhang Daqian (1899–1983), 46–56
After Dong Yuan’s “Along the Riverbank at Dusk,” 48, 51
Famous Paintings in the Dafengtang Collection, 48
Plum Blossom Spring, 43, 53
Riverbank and, 11–12, 43, 46, 51, 147, 150
Water and Clouds at the Xiao and Xiang Rivers, 49, 51
Zhang Deqi, 141b57
Zhang Li (ca. 1490–ca. 1561), 131
Zhang Qian (1285–1370), 142b77
Zhang Shanzhi (1088–1140), 147, 150
Zhang Shen (active late 14th–early 15th century), 106, 142b66
Zhang Shicheng (1211–1261), 15, 119, 143b77
Zhang Xian (1900–1978), Ten Poems Celebrating a Gathering at the South Garden, 68, 68, 70
Zhang Xuan (active ca. 714–42), Entracting Skills Festival in the Palace, 139–41b06
Zhang Xun (ca. 1235–after 1345), 106
Outline Bamboo and Rocks, 106, 106, 142b66
Rocky Landscape with Pine, 34, 105–10, 107–9, 152–53
Zhang Yanyuan (active ca. 847), 13
Zhang Zeduan (active 11th century), Going Up the River at the Qingming Festival, 69, 69, 77, 140b21
Zhang Zhou, “The Calligraphy and Painting Bun,” 47
Zhao Cangyuan (active late 14th–early 14th century), 89–91
Lin Chen and Yuan Zhao in the Tianzui Mountains, 86–87, 81–92, 143b27, 148–51
Zhao Gan (active ca. 960–975), First Snow along the River, 26–27, 71, 80, 140–41b25
Zhao Heqin (20th century), 151
Zhao Lin (active ca. 1190–70), 119, 143b56, 159
Zhao Mengfu (1254–1322), 30–34, 46, 91–92, 112, 119, 159–60, 159
Autumn Colors on the Qiao and Hue Mountains, 20–21, 24
Elegant Rocks and Sparse Trees, 92, 93
“For Dong Yuan’s Riverbank,” 7, 90, 160
Four Anecdotes from the Life of Wang Xizhi, 159
Grain and Horse, 141b13
Old Tree, Bamboo, and Rock, 98, 99, 159
portrait of Su Shih, 90, 91–92
Twin Pines, Level Distance, 111, 112
Two Odes on the Red Cliff, 90
Zhao Mengjian (1199–before 1267), 90–91
Zhao Pu, 150, 151
Zhao Shiqing (1874–1945), 151
Zhao Yong (1185–after 1260), 119, 143b56, 159
Zhao Yujiao (1242–1301), 160b2
Zhao Yuan (1224–1297), 161b2
Zhao Yufeng, Prince (late 14th century), 7, 30, 147, 158, 159, 160, 161b2
Zhe school, 131, 134, 138–139
Zhengde emperor (Ming dynasty, r. 1506–21), 135
Zhenyuan (20th century), 148
Zhonglang (Su Wu, 139 B.C.—after 60 B.C.), 95–97
Zhou dynasty (ca. 1059–221 B.C.), 83
Zhou Jing (1440–1510), 125
Zhou Mi (1232–1298), 7, 30, 160
Zhou Wenzhao (active ca. 949–951), 7, 30, 75
In the Palace, 70–71, 79
Playing Warg, 140b20
Scholars of Lushi Hall, 66–67b25
Zhu Da, Sr. Bada Shanren
Zhu Duren (1294–1366), 100, 112
Zhu Di (1360–1444), Sr. Yongle emperor
Zhu Gong, 40
Zhu Yuanzhang (Taizu; Ming dynasty, r. 1368–98), 35, 118, 119, 124, 139, 151