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CONDÉ NAST and VOGUE magazine are privileged to honor and celebrate the late designer Gianni Versace’s remarkable legacy to the world of fashion by co-sponsoring The Costume Institute’s 1997 exhibition “Gianni Versace” at The Metropolitan Museum of Art.

The exhibition, which had always been a dream of Gianni’s, a longtime supporter of The Costume Institute himself, traces his lifetime of work and his extraordinary career. “Gianni Versace” reflects the designer’s major themes, monuments, and inspirations from both art and history. Our sponsorship of “Gianni Versace” is a bittersweet tribute to a man whose commitment and contributions to the art of fashion will be deeply missed.
As a museum director, I occasionally long to celebrate all of those ardent and frequent visitors who love The Metropolitan Museum of Art and learn endlessly from its collections and exhibitions. In this instance, I have the rare pleasure of commending one such visitor, Gianni Versace (1946–1997). Versace was an avid fan of the Museum, even using in his last couture collection the Byzantine crosses that he remembered seeing in “The Glory of Byzantium” exhibition a few months before. Also, Versace gave generously to The Costume Institute, always offering to the collection the pieces requested by The Costume Institute’s curator. He was a generous patron to the 1995–96 exhibition “Haute Couture.”

The exhibition “Gianni Versace” is, of course, not about his love of The Metropolitan Museum of Art but about the Museum’s admiration for Versace. As the exhibition demonstrates, Versace earned, despite his early and tragic death, a place in fashion history. He created design at every level and in a variety of media, expanding in later years into home furnishings and tableware. But his essential craft was always the clothing.

In this exhibition and book we see the Versace garment no longer on the luminous runways, on supermodels and superstars, or with the benefit of lavish “image” campaigns and advertising icons. As always, The Costume Institute serves as the place where fashion is rendered inanimate yet with no loss of splendor or magic for the purpose of study. The truth is that Versace does not need the aura and charisma that he prized and used to benefit the clothing. Subjected to the analytical examination of our exhibition, dresses in plastic, boisterous appropriations from contemporary art and art history, and tour-de-force dresses with safety-pin bridges across skin resonate not with spectacle alone but with introspection and serious intelligence. Years ago, Richard Martin, Curator of The Costume Institute, wrote that “Versace’s clothing is far less diva and dominatrix than it might seem.” That assessment is borne out in an exhibition rich with historical influences from Poiret, Grès, and Vionnet as well as versatile in suits, evening dresses, and daywear.

In September, The Metropolitan Museum of Art was the site of Gianni Versace’s American memorial, a very private and moving ceremony. There, we said farewell. In this exhibition, we acclaim and applaud a lifetime of bold artistic exploration worthy of fashion history. Indeed, this exhibition should also be viewed as a commitment on the part of The Costume Institute to display more frequently in the future contemporary fashion design in its exhibition program.

The Museum gratefully acknowledges substantial support from Condé Nast and The David H. Koch Charitable Foundation. Additional assistance was received from Fairchild Publications Inc. and VH1, and we extend our most appreciative thanks for their generosity.

Philippe de Montebello
Director
The Metropolitan Museum of Art
Fashion, the art that affords inclusion, delineates individuals, and constitutes protocol, has changed fundamentally in our time. Strategies of beauty in the 1980s transformed fashion in the most important way since its transfiguration from a class system to a mass consumption energy in the late 1960s. Gianni Versace reorganized the etiquette of apparel. He did not aspere to decorum. Rather, he accorded fashion with desire, substituting the lust of fashion and body concupiscence for the cause of correct behavior and social calibration.

In wanting to bestow upon Versace his grand place in fashion history, one cannot forget that modern fashion has not always been prim or sedate. Those who contrived to situate it back into place as a criterion for enforcing systems of pseudo-aristocracies of the 1970s and 1980s were the ones with short fashion memories. Versace's *épater la bourgeoisie* stance commands the longer history of modern fashion: it is not polite but aggressive. Father Abraham to the fashion genealogy, Charles Frederick Worth did not adhere to class distinctions. The rise of the couture accompanied the new monies and flailing monarchies of the middle years of the nineteenth century. Worth was dressing the imperial courts, the “best” ladies, and the very “best” courtesans and stage performers. The client list that established the modern art of fashion was as cross-cultural as Edouard Manet’s contemporaneous vision. A century earlier, fashion had been associated with moral vituperation, denounced when convenient as an instrument of economic tyranny. Worth pulled fashion away from its elitist constituencies and moral function.

Versace posed and provoked the basic issues of fashion’s role. Versace tantalized us with vulgarity. In this, he adapted a strategy from the fine arts in the twentieth century, including elements of the banal and coarse in his sensibility. The collage, smarmy joke, offensive imagery, and ready-made object pertinent in the juggernaut of modern art are evidence of an attempt to be vulgar. Versace employed a similar strategy, perhaps to determine an audience like that of contemporary art with its feint to the liberal left designed to evade at each step the possibility of becoming a bourgeois commodity.

Modern art found one great ideal in the prostitute. As Toulouse-Lautrec discovered the aesthetic probity of the demimonde and the ideal model in the streetwalker during the 1880s and 1890s, so too Versace located the prostitute as the last unexamined figure in fashion’s twenty-year sociology of the street. Yves Saint Laurent had plucked trenchant elements of fashion from the denizens of the street, day and night, rendering glamorous the effects of sailors, drag kings, and men in black. Later, in the 1970s and 1980s, Rei Kawakubo sagaciously surveyed the street for the vitality in the swaggering and provisional drape of the displaced and the punk, much in the manner of Manet’s epic scanning in the 1860s. By the 1980s, Jean Paul Gaultier was collecting from the street for his zany anthropology of a complex, pluralistic modern life.

But with all of this scavenging of the street for transfiguration into style, one creature of every street metaphor remained untouched. Versace
found her as a boy on the streets of Reggio Calabria and never forgot her confident style. He saw her in the great films of Federico Fellini, Luchino Visconti, and others who defined the Italian postwar cinema as an international success. He saw her in the new license of sex worker, gender-proud and gender-heroic, in the sexually liberated world of the 1970s and 1980s. She is Mary Magdalen and Vivian Ward Pretty Woman (1990), the prostitute not only with a heart of gold but with a gold mine of design ideas as well. No one had taken the prostitute into fashion as Versace did. In a feat worthy of literature, Versace seized the streetwalker’s bravado and conspicuous wardrobe, along with her blatant, brandished sexuality, and introduced them into high fashion.

But Versace did not, like some of his followers, simply convey the prostitute to the salon and runway. He did what fashion can do when it finds inspiration on the street. He represented her as glamor, accepting the extreme flirtatiousness of short skirts, the seduction of shiny cloth and cognate materials, and understanding the motive of sex, but rendering each hyperbolic and expressive, not merely a portrayal of what had existed in the wardrobe of the street. Versace gave as much to the prostitute as he took from her style. He supplied her with a new suppleness that made the body-clinging drapery work in the manner of early Madame Grès. He worked the transparency of lace with the shine of metal mesh as if to both dazzle and seduce the prostitute’s client in one fell swoop. He accommodated her lack of expertise and her excess in pattern mixing with design juxtapositions that are extravagant but not clashing. He made her in rich silk and long gown with a train that is a cross between Cinderella and Delilah.

Prostitute style was always present on the Versace runway. Soon after she was concocted in the 1980s, she was melded into runway glamor by the thin nonchalance of high-fashion models and the spectacle of the mediagenic fashion show. By the beginning of the 1990s, she had almost lost her original identity, as if she had immediately been accepted in late-twentieth-century society as a tycoon’s ravishing second wife, regardless of background. But art museums are, of course, filled with portraits of prostitutes and parvenues, their posings always most interesting because of their aspirations. We could hardly imagine the history of modern art without those flagrantly tawdry women who came to define the progressive and transgressive limning of the modern.

In making his deliberate choice to exalt the streetwalker, Versace risked the opprobrium of the bourgeoisie. As a designer and as a human being, Versace never sought the middle road or the middle class. Rather, he forged a unity between the independent of spirit and will, the rich, the young, and the intrepid. Without explicitly rejecting the bourgeoisie, he never affiliated his fashion with conventional sensibility and never was grounded in the proprieties that middle-class values implicate. In fact, it is the middle class alone that still withholds its approval from Versace, often distancing itself from his purported vulgarity and his unabashed embrace of consumption. When one considers that fashion designers of the past
who were enthusiastically welcomed by the bourgeoisie, such as Christian Dior and Cristobal Balenciaga, posed uncouth décolletage or peasant inspirations for high fashion, we understand the special case of Versace. Like Chanel, he ensnared more than fashion. He was defining the character of the modern woman, reassigning power, and infusing lifestyle issues into the fabric of clothing. His valorization of the prostitute was an exquisite choice, recognizing the independence and strength of the streetwalker not as an enslaved sex worker but as an autonomous, self-defining figure of awesome visual authority among the ambiguous and compromised figures of modern visual culture.

For even beyond playing Pygmalion to the prostitute, Versace was, like Chanel some fifty years before, enlisting sex into fashion. It is said that Chanel designed a skirt with a bit of concavity at center front not merely for suppleness in appearance but also to remind the viewer of the woman’s body. She was not the analytical cubist striving for abstract cones and cylinders; she was the sensuous feminist, acknowledging an inner truth to the body underlying the clothing. Likewise, Versace’s sensuous drapery of the 1980s and 1990s revels in the body within; it falls onto the body not as a scrim but as three-dimensional teasing veils. For example, a leather and lace dress with net midriff inevitably becomes a moiré pattern contingent on the pressure and release of the body underneath. Yet, even again like Chanel, Versace lived to see his initially deemed outrageous work grow to be accepted. *New York Times* fashion writer Amy Spindler (August 5, 1997) wrote, after Versace’s death: “What was so jarring about much of his work in the 1980s was that he used references that at the time were unacceptable in designer fashion: leather, denim, brash prints, bondage, metal mesh, and even sexiness that, for its time, was considered ‘happy hooker’ lewd. Time made those references part of the standard fashion vocabulary.”

Recognizing Versace as the first post-Freudian designer is honoring the truth and utter lack of shame or guilt in him. The moral, religious, or decorous reticence and remorse of other fashion about sex is lacking in Versace. He accepted sex not merely as a fact of life but as a celebration of life. The long tradition of fashion’s coy expression of sexuality, alluding as by metaphor to sex, is ultimately grounded in the conventions of refinement. By those conventions, Versace is raw and impudent. Yet it would be hard to imagine the cultural construction by which in the 1980s and 1990s refinement denies sexuality. Further, Versace’s candor and the primacy he gave to sexuality apply to men as well as women. His menswear designs suggest the same forthright eroticism that he exercised in womenswear. He would not tolerate repressed sexuality for either men or women.

Central to Versace’s work is his acuity in understanding fashion as an art of the media. Not only did he thrust fashion into the gobbling jaws of the media of the contemporary spectacle in runway shows and alliances with rock music, dance, and performance, he also grasped and was empathetic to the charisma of media performers. While other fashion
designers have also understood the media’s attraction for fashion, Versace was a virtuoso performer in this regard. The clothes address this role for they—at least, the most familiar garments—are seldom made for the polite drawing-room discourse or even the private ballroom candlelight of most fashion design. Rather, they radiate under the lights of the camera, of the runway, and of video’s revealing eye. Versace designed for the visually voracious, media-saturated generations that have come of age only in the last quarter of the twentieth century. No other time could have convened fashion of plastic, intended for spectacular effect, and grand dresses designed for an opulence not only in the details but also in the effect and charismatic afterlife of the image. Versace knew that fashion could participate in the great Gesamtkunstwerk of the end of the millennium that had recruited equal parts of rock, special effects, the cult of personality, and unadulterated eroticism. Versace put fashion into that farrago not as an ancillary measure but at parity with all the other arts of media dynamic. Media discernment may, in some ways, displace the social judgment implicit in most fashion. In social terms, plastic is an inappropriate material for dress. In media terms, plastic provides the excitement of sheen and muted transparency. Hence, Versace played with plastic skins combined with silk linings. Leather, still bold in the social setting, stimulates media tumult and suggests power. Versace reads at least as forcefully from the distance of media as from the traditionally closer proximity of social relationships. It is as if the designer had an instinctive media sense for the perception of fashion, the art that he practiced at hand in draping but that he also perceived from afar in synergy with media.

In the deliberate choice in this book to represent Versace’s work on mannequins rather than on the famous super-models in fashion photography, we have pursued fashion as a still life. The evidence provided by these images is that Versace offers impact and excitement even when detached from the seeming codependency of media energy. To bring Versace to the museological preserve denies nothing of the inherent animation of his work. Deprived of the stars, his landmarks are still indispensable documents of style in our time. Divested of the designer’s undeniable personal charisma, the clothing remains important and elegant.

If media augmented fashion’s expectation of spectatorship from a social distance, it has been not only fashion’s observer but also its genesis. As early as the 1980s, Versace was creating to the scale of film. His several affiliations with dance and opera certify that he could demand that clothing be read on proscenium, but he also knew that clothing ideas came not only from the garment but from film and media as well. His admiration for Grès and Vionnet always had a touch of Hollywood and Jean Harlow added, thus reinvesting his clothes with movie-star sensuality. He envisioned fashion as if it were appearing in a movie or video, in the extreme and the representational, not merely in the ideal paradigm of apparel. The drapery in his metallic slave-girl dresses is not Greek or Roman, though Versace did understand and enlarge upon the principle of classical wet-drapery. Versace was also versed in gladiator films: his
historicism is thorough and knowing, but by the end of the twentieth century, historicism necessarily includes the media's dilations of "real" history into hyperbolic and imagined history. Similarly, Versace's inspirations from the eighteenth century glow as if for the candlelight of court chambers and ballrooms while commanding as well the spotlight of the modern spectacle.

On seeing his work in a museum exhibition or in this book, it becomes clear that Gianni Versace is not merely a figure of sentiment or cultural inquiry, or subject-object of the media spectacle. Under the dissecting light of a museum's examination, Versace achieves another and equally positive effect. The encyclopedic knowledge, the virtuoso performance of techniques, the sensibility to experiment, and the equilibrium between history and contemporaneity are perhaps seen even more clearly here. Thus, his landmarks must hold their own without the presence of the celebrities or circumstances that inaugurated them or that burnished them in memory. The inspirations from art are not to be museum equivalents, but they must now represent something more than runway souvenirs that reference art. Experiments in materials and in the transubstantiation of reality into fantasy and opera-scale theatricality must be plausible as avant-gardism or as performance documents. The fashion designer who so embodied the vitality of recent years continues to transmit that same quality on mannequins and in a museum setting. Cynics say of contemporary fashion, especially of Versace: take away the rock and roll, the advertising budgets, and the super-models, and what have you got? They expect the answer to be: nothing. For Versace, the answer is: incredible fashion that answers still to the indomitable spirit of century's finale.
Every designer creates landmark pieces, charting the course of his or her design evolution. Because of the public designer that Gianni Versace was in the 1980s and 1990s, his landmarks were imposed, even more than exposed, on the common memory. Almost anyone can recall with vividness the first impressions of the benchmark works that were truly seen around the world. Versace’s ethos of the spectacular and his feeling for an eye-scorching cultural and aesthetic avant-gardism, always venturing into the most hyperbolic form of any style, made it seem that his work would defy any retrospective. Yet, in a certain sense, the landmarks do stand as a brief retrospective of the designer’s work.

Today, we perceive Versace landmarks without the corollary media sensationalism and even, to some degree, without their being inhabited by the most beautiful and the most famous. They stand alone as design objects. Richard Avedon, Irving Penn, Bruce Weber, and other leading photographers have so successfully and compellingly acquainted us with Versace that the existing photographic icons have deliberately not been employed here in order to enforce new and sustained examination.

Amy Spindler of the New York Times, in a retrospective article “Versace’s Errors Showed Him a Way” (August 5, 1997), saw the Versace method as one of experiment, design labor, refinement, and ultimate success. Spindler argued that no idea, once tried, was ever wholly abandoned and that, in the manner of any laboratory for experiment, one could observe favored ideas improving in form over time. Versace’s

Evening gown (side detail),
spring-summer 1994
Black silk with silver and gold-tone metal safety-pin ornaments
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Chanel’s little black dress was revolutionary as social statement about the economic upheaval of the 1920s, but it has long since lost its socio-economic sting. Like all that becomes popular, it has been absorbed into cultural acceptance with null radicalism. Versace opened up the little black dress to a fresher revolution, anathema to the middle class in the 1990s. After more than sixty years, the little black dress is flagrantly voluptuous.
Evening gown, ca. 1992
Brown, white, and gold leopard-printed
and baroque-pattern-printed silk
microfaille with beaded shoulder straps
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

To dress in little more than a scarf is not
merely akin to Salome’s dance; it is also
in tune with the simplifying ambition of
much modern fashion. A fancy, even
flashy, scarf is diagonally disposed to
become the basis of this dress, already
possessing the gold overlay and border,
animal print, and dynamic required to
make a dress as Versace made dresses, by
the age-old process of draping.

landmarks are of such complexity, for they are not necessarily the only
versions of the ideas in question, but rather they are what seem to be some
of the most important and compelling forms of the continuing experiment.
Thus, a selection of Versace’s landmarks demonstrates the designer’s
eclectic but tenacious interests, often expressed in series and occasionally
in single pieces. But the singles are the exception, for Versace never
believed in and seldom accepted “well enough.” His opulent prints are
bold enough to encompass gilded neoclassicism and wild-animal prints,
baroque fetes and the exaggerated resort style of South Beach, rich
classical imagery and the patterns of Ravenna mosaics. The puncturings
and suturings of Versace’s sui generis high punk, confecting a high art
where the style and impulse had never reached above class and adolescent
rebellions, are a complete transfiguration of their source materials. The
punk impulse to accumulate and tether was an additive strategy. Versace
took the element of suturings and fastenings to his eternal impetus to let
the body break through the barrier of clothing. His extravagant
appropriations from popular culture are characteristic of many of
Versace’s most innovative work. Though the idea pre-existed in some way,
he manipulated the reasoning and the fulfillment of the form, ending with
a landmark that is genuinely Versace. It is not surprising that the 1996 art-
fashion conjunction in Florence juxtaposed Roy Lichtenstein and Versace.
Both artists were respectful of the past but insistent on the right to
reinterpret pre-existing images in their work, often bringing the dull and
uninflected art of the commonplace into an extreme, hyperbolic form.

Of course, to determine which punk-inspired garment is the landmark, given the designer's insistence on perfecting an idea on his own terms, is a difficult task. Among the great sari dresses, there must be some choice, but the designer played with the sari as a composer plays with sonata form. Here, the slashing and violation of the age-old form carry a political implication, and Versace pointedly confronted the eternity of the sari with the ephemerality of punk. With the criticality worthy of a philosopher holding two principles in opposition and determining some adjudication between the two but an appreciation of both, Versace created a synthesis of a 1970s London and an India of the Raj or of even more primal times.

But there is also an irrefutable memory to Versace's ultimate draping with pin-bridged openings. The Elizabeth Hurley dress (1994) emerges from the sari development, but it is of another, quite remarkable synapse in the designer's keen mind. The color and drape of the sari are eschewed in favor of the little black dress. Versace opened up the side from bust to waist and again at the upper leg. Versace's dress is as startling in design conception as it was arresting when seen on Hurley. The little black dress is almost as much a tradition, at least for the twentieth century, as is the sari and likewise connotes convention and implacable design. Versace attacked the little black dress savagely, letting go of Chanel's coy sensuality through suppleness and elasticity and unleashing a body-exposing,

Day ensemble, fall–winter 1991–92
Black silk twill printed with gold baroque motifs
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The gold classicism and gold baroque that became signatures for Versace recur again and again. Symbols of the comfort and opulence that Versace wanted to project, they migrate from scarves and accessories into the clothing and back again. In the 1990s, they also inhabit Versace interiors and tableware designs. This day ensemble is able to convey the essence of the designer simply by the talismanic quality of its baroque elements.
Evening ensemble, spring-summer 1996
Zebra-printed synthetic stretch mesh,
yellow-and-black leopard-printed silk
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Amazing and sensuous combinations are
characteristic of Versace. Polite matching
was a trifle to Versace. He preferred the
surprise, even the initial dissonance, of
unexpected and frenetic combinations.
His disposition to pose the controversial
rather than the polite and conventional is
at the heart of every design decision, even
including the uproarious pattern mix.

process-displaying working method. Thus, it is ironic to think of a Versace
creation as a landmark, inasmuch as his work was so often about
demolishing landmarks in contemporary fashion.

Similarly, Versace’s remarkable corset and lingerie dresses are not
merely like those of the many contemporary designers who were still, in
the 1980s and 1990s, stimulated by antique lingerie redesigned at its own
scale to a single-layer dressing. Versace extended the corset to function
as an evening dress, establishing a newly sinuous line from the bust to
the toes.

Versace’s landmarks are not definitive. Rather, they are only
moments from a rich, varied scrapbook of remembrances that can take any
spectator into the realm of memory and yield even more through their
knowledge of design history.
Evening dress, spring-summer 1994
Yellow-and-orange crimped synthetic jersey
Gift of Gianni Versace, 1996
(1996.202.3)

Crimped jersey sets in the wrinkles that imply a first order of disarray. Versace refied the process by using grandiose punk safety-pins as if they are a part of the draping process. Thus, he set the dress out as if it were the most rudimentary process of the draping imagination, using studio discards for material. Elsewhere, the safety-pins offer their curious dialogue between the faux-elegant and the practical, but here their role is to render the gist of draping on the mannequin.
Evening gown and shorts ensemble, 
spring-summer 1994 
Purple, orange, and yellow crimped 
synthetic jersey 
Gift of Gianni Versace, 1996 
(1996.202.1 a–c)

Versace confronted two histories, one 
monumental and one quite recent. The 
sari represents the grand tradition of 
wrapping in Indian dress. It is vitiated by 
the ruptures and their closure with the 
gargantuan safety pins that allude to 
Versace’s caricatural reference to punk, 
its own a recent and ephemeral British 
style. Further, there is another classic 
confrontation: that the disestablishment 
character of punk assails the eternity of 
the sari as a way of dressing. While 
Versace did not function explicitly as a 
historian, he performed a critical task by 
means of the elements he put together 
and reconciled, at least for the purpose 
of the dress itself.
Evening gown, spring-summer 1994
Orange and purple synthetic jersey
Gift of Gianni Versace, 1996
(1996.202.4)

Although best known for his evening wear, Versace never lost the faith with sportswear that he established in the 1970s. Conversant with Italian sportswear traditions, including Irene Galitzine and Simonetta, and the American tradition of easy dressing, often inflected by the East, Versace could firmly place shorts and slacks in the midst of high style.
Evening gown, spring-summer 1994
Black silk with silver and gold-tone metal safety-pin ornaments
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Perhaps forever to be known as the Elizabeth Hurley dress, as it was first worn by her in 1994, this dress is the definitive resolution of Versace's use of punk safety pins. That the British model took the design home in a sense to England is paradoxical, for what is manifestly high style in this instance has lowly British roots. But what had been a sari or other fashion classic is now resolutely the little black dress, its simplicity providing the perfect foil for the intensity of Versace's safety-pin detail with the body's presence showing through from beneath. Just as Gabrielle Chanel rendered her little black dresses as the tabula rasa on which a whole panoply of costume jewelry and idiosyncratic personal style could take place, Versace rendered the little black dress more revealing and more voluptuous than ever.
Evening dress, fall–winter 1991–92
Quilted black silk crêpe, chiffon, and reembroidered lace
Gift of Gianni Versace, 1993 (1993.52.5)

With a nod to the Balenciaga baby doll of the 1950s, Versace extended the lace of lingerie to make a skirt for the modified form of a back-laced corset. Imparting utmost elegance to what is a combination of historical styles, Versace reinforced a conceptual premise with the employment of a multitude of beautiful details. He pointedly never held history up to ridicule. Rather, he celebrated traditional technical virtuosity and historical styles within the embrace of his own time.
Studded ensemble, fall–winter 1991–92
Black leather and silk crepe with silver and gold-tone metal studs
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Studding, a practical reinforcement, became design for Versace. A fret along the hem and patterns on sleeves and front represent Versace’s talent for turning the rugged sportswear motif into a decorative one, treating the studding as if it were a form of printing. The triumph of the ensemble—and a surprise in fashion history—is that Versace made new ornamentation from the obdurate and practical device of the studs. A corresponding detail only confirms that there is a visual delight found where we expected only physical reinforcement. If the effect is more Greek than Byzantine, the idea owes something to the cognate Byzantine pieces in the same collection, in which mosaic tesserae are implicated into metal on fabric and leather. Here, classicism and ornament are discovered in the same principle of small metal details being read as design.
Day suit, spring-summer 1994
Black-and-white glen-plaid wool with float weave
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

If there is any metaphor to represent Versace's suits, it is the elegant textile pattern of this one. Establishing a grid, but releasing one float weave in series, this suit benefits from both the regular pattern and its inherent sense of deviation. This subversive note within the otherwise formal assembly of the luncheon or day suit represents Versace's keen interest in all fashion traditions. In short, if Dior did it, then Versace wants at least to try it.
Bolero day suit, fall–winter 1994–95
Light-blue synthetic plush
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The designer who had held the bourgeoisie at arm’s length in the late 1980s offered signs of peace and reconciliation with his smart suits in the 1990s. These were not automatically the taste even then of the skeptical and haughty. Their cuts are almost brutal (here, the bolero jacket emphasizes the waist); Versace showed them with extremely short skirts; and their buttons and accessories never sacrifice the Versace bravado. The bolero jacket is, of course, not the flattering and acquiescent form of the cardigan or suit jacket that softly camouflages the waist and mollifies the hips; Versace offered not the convention, only a small compromise. That a related ensemble was represented in unforgettable 1994 photographs by Richard Avedon with a satyrlike nude male suggests that the image-making also conceived an image beyond chic lunch.
Day dress, ca. 1984
Polychrome-striped silk
Gift of Marilyn Linzer, 1996
(1996.496.1)

Big shoulders, which Versace remembered fondly as one of his hallmarks in the late 1970s and early 1980s, conform to the 1940s look that Versace admired early in his career. Movies, both Italian and American in this case, contributed to this imagery made especially graphic by stripes and the relaxed disposition radiating from a flaccid center front. Merging the easy nonchalance of the soft silk with the regimentation of stripes, Versace enjoyed the sportswear graphic, while yielding to the draping of the 1930s and 1940s.
Day dress ensemble, spring-summer 1980
Navy-and-white striped sheer silk chiffon, with red cotton-blend knit, blue leather and coral plastic accessories
Gift of Gianni Versace, 1980
(1980.399.1 a–e)

The early work of Versace includes expert sportswear, combining disparate materials with the virtuoso hand of the American sportswear pioneers. Chiffon, plastic, and leather are all used in an ensemble that bespeaks utility and semaphore-like clarity. Considering such work in the development of Versace’s oeuvre, one realizes the latent sexuality in his sheer panels of cloth, bare interstices, and joyous materials.
Day pant ensemble, spring-summer 1980
Navy-and-white striped sheer chiffon and red cotton knit
Gift of Gianni Versace, 1980
(1980.399.2 a–f)

The nautical forthrightness of this sports ensemble demonstrates Versace's early and outstanding ability to make the ordinary luxurious. Capacious pants, sheer chiffon, and soft wrapping give the outfit the utmost elegance along with comfort. Here, what comes close to a cliché of summer dressing is saved by its reliance on the unpretentious mix of ordinary and exceptional elements.
Evening gown, fall–winter 1987–88
Black metallic mesh with rhinestone and
reembroidered cotton lace trim
Gift of Gianni Versace, 1993 (1993.52.6)

The way this dress clings across the
poitrine and glistens with mesh brilliance
might suggest Versace's icon of the
prostitute, but the fineness of the lace
trim and retardataire train suggests the
delicacy of Boué Soeurs or Callot Soeurs
in the style of a court-presentation dress.
Once again, Versace attributed so much
cognition and so much fashion history to
the visionary prostitute that she became
the paradox of the woman of the night,
paragon of history. Nonetheless,
Versace's keen fondness could not be
excused by some critics, who could
hardly see the historicism for the sense
that this might be a less than proper
dress. Versace would never exculpate nor
be disdainful of the prostitute: it is her
presence as ideal that prevented Versace
from ever being bourgeois.
Sleeveless dress
Top-stitched lavender silk
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The attenuated effect of lingerie is achieved by extending what seem to be the functional lines of the lingerie into the long verticals of this dress. With the extension of these lines, the dress becomes both a column and an item of lingerie, beginning to rationalize its length and taking advantage of the shaping lines found in lingerie construction, here rendered large and long.
Evening gown, fall–winter 1991–92
White silk crépe and ribbed silk with
rhinestone grommets and shoulder straps
Gift of Gianni Versace, 1993 (1993.52.3)

Amidst the flurry of 1990s fashion
stimulated by newly supple and
externalized corsetry, Versace boldly
extended the line of the corset into a
gown. In so doing, he did not resort to
the body exaggerations of supported
bust or narrowed waist of other
practitioners of the 1990s corset. Instead,
he allowed the line to be sinuously
modern. In a sense, Versace was doing
what many other designers were doing at
the same time, but he was steadfast to
his ideal of the sensuous, body-revealing
dress, not employing the caricatural body
of many who explored body shaping.
Art excited Gianni Versace. He responded as connoisseur, enthusiast, collector, and designer. From among all those roles, it was always Versace the designer who was most stimulated by art. He seized with alacrity any opportunity to work with an artist.

While Versace’s historical episodes depend upon a respect for art history, the specific interest and joy in Versace’s collecting and in his absorption of art into the design process is the modern tradition, from Gustav Klimt, Robert Delaunay, and Alexander Calder earlier in the century to Andy Warhol and Jim Dine later. When challenged by one of the artists he had championed and collected and whose motifs he had used in his work, Versace replied that art was for everyone, for sharing, and for the lengthening of pleasure. Versace was such an idealist about art. Even if the position is difficult for an artist to understand, as he or she watches work achieve profits for others, Versace’s largesse about art is evident in his work and life. His keen sense of synaesthesia encouraged collaboration with ballet and opera; his profound gratitude to the image-makers led him to support photographic exhibitions and publications.

His multiple roles regarding art did create confusion for some. The generous collector and benefactor could hardly seem to be the same man who could seize upon an artist’s ideas and render them into cloth with acknowledgment, but without copyright and licensing transactions. As Versace elsewhere seized the oxygen and aura—l’air du temps—of contemporary culture for his energy and design, so too he felt that the
Evening dress, fall-winter 1984–85
Gray, yellow, and silver metal mesh
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

As the metal mesh became a virtuoso material for Versace, he found its inherent properties. He considered in this case, first, the rectangle, and created a silhouette attentive to the straight line and right angle. But inevitably, this reference is to the field of painting, and its decoration is now in the manner of Gustav Klimt and other decorative painters. Acceding to flatness and the rectangle, Versace did what every good modernist of art critic Clement Greenberg's orientation does and realized the full potential of flat surface.

The Promethean fire of art was merely another ember for his torchy fashion. While Versace loved art and was always an avid museum goer, he did not observe the distinction that many place between popular culture and the high culture of art. In being so willing to take on art, he was not intimidated by museum solemnity nor even art's stern auteur sovereignty; it was all merely another captivating image for Versace. Characteristic is Versace's habit of visiting The Metropolitan Museum of Art in recent years, most often starting with The Costume Institute but proceeding to other galleries as well. The seamless flow of a visit to the multifarious Metropolitan Museum offers all the arts equally for the designer's consideration, so that one art is not perceived sacrosanct and another merely métier.

For each Versace example inspired by art, there is not only the paradigm but also the designer's impulse and his remodeling of it into a living art. Thus, the fragile, air-driven constellation of a Calder mobile is translated into a soft, floating, tissue-like dress that allows us to feel a gentle motion akin to that of a mobile. As Amy Spindler (New York Times, January 21, 1997) described: “Mr. Versace made walking mobiles of his models, in airy translucent dresses painted with Calder forms and wires.” It is precisely the animation that Spindler described that is the designer's necessary objective in using art authentically: to render it in conjunction with the living model and to allow it to live in a new way. Now the Calder mobile is not merely suspended from the ceiling but
dances on a strapless gown of gossamer tissue. Likewise, the rich faceting of Delaunay as practiced by Versace mediates Robert Delaunay’s ideas and the brilliant textile inventions of his fellow artist Sonia Delaunay. There is no passivity in Versace’s use of these artists; there is instead a capturing of the essence and a desire to see an art translated into apparel made for spectacle.

Perhaps Versace’s most famous art equivalence is with Andy Warhol. Cavalier and commercial creatives both, they are less a design odd-couple than one might imagine. Instigators and voyeurs, they both were charmed by and became agents for the popular culture. In the same manner in which it is impossible for the contemporary conceptual artist to come of age without a Duchampianism, sometimes derivative, a contemporary artist of media proclivity cannot come of age without a Warholianism, most likely derivative, and Warhol served Versace both as affinity and explanation. His Pop Art dresses testify to Versace’s place in the world. They bear their own sensationalism a quarter of a century after Warhol’s brazen gestures. Even beyond their specific renderings—conflating James Dean and Marilyn Monroe, trumping Warhol to the later cultural exaggeration and excess, even beyond that of the 1960s—the Warhol apparel comprises Versace’s declaration that fashion is to art what art was once to the popular culture—sordid scavenger and beautiful correspondent, both at once.
Day dress, spring-summer 1983
Black linen
Gift of Carol R. Reiss, 1994
(1994.472.3 a,b)

For Versace, a linen dress is as simple as a Barnett Newman painting, which is to say that it is calculated and contemplated to every measurement and variation. Like Claire McCardell, Bonnie Cashin, and other pioneers in American sportswear, Versace used the precise measure of apron- and kimono-like folds in determining unpretentious wrap dresses, the sole decoration being the trim and the inherent measurements. For a designer later so identified with the surface treatments and excitements of apparel, Versace’s first impulses were akin to minimalism.
Sleeveless evening gown, spring-summer
1991
Partially beaded silk twill printed with
polychrome images of Marilyn Monroe
and James Dean
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

If Byzantine icons were fair game for
Versace in 1991, then the icons of the
1960s were also ready for renewal and
transfiguration. The movie-star
idealization of Andy Warhol, more
recently described as “The Warhol Look:
Fashion, Style, and Glamour,” was
reinforced by the tandem of Monroe and
Dean. By the time Versace appropriated
these images, not only were both stars
dead but so too was Warhol. Versace
employed icons that are implicated in a
lesser history than those of Byzantium
but that were nonetheless historical by
1991. His interest was not in truly
“contemporary” art but in Warhol as an
Old Master, though the personal
affinities and sensibility for media and
self-projection were also subjectively
keen for Versace.
Strapless evening dress, fall–winter 1989
Polychrome-beaded and embroidered
black synthetic mesh
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The artists Robert and Sonia Delaunay,
and their jagged, bristly, and colorful
modern planes, were a stimulus to
Versace, especially given that Sonia
Delaunay had worked in fashion, and in
other design forms, and was one of the
first promoters of the kind of universal
artist-designer that Versace wanted to be.
Strapless dress, spring-summer 1997
White-and-black hand-painted and
appliquéd silk chiffon
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The fragile, suspended world of an
Alexander Calder mobile is re-created in
the delicate scrim and layers of a Calder
dress by Versace. Versace created a
homage to the artist, but it is a knowing
one that captures the artist in a
comparable suspension rendered in a
floating modern dress.
Evening gown, fall–winter 1984–85
Black metal mesh with gold and copper design motif
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Metal mesh served Versace as the abstract canvas, irresistible to the color blocks and intervals that we associate with the history of abstract painting. Ultimately, Versace was more drawn to the narrative forms, but his regard for the artists Gustav Klimt, Vasily Kandinsky, Joan Miró, and Alexander Calder, and for modernist painting in general testifies to an acute interest in abstraction as well.
Gianni Versace was an optimist Atlas to a history that never seemed burdensome to the designer. In Ingrid Sischy’s smart summary, the one word by which to characterize and remember Versace is fearless. Dame History, formidable and intimidating to many creatives, never scared Versace; he was fearless and ready to assume that history was indivisible from contemporary design and from contemporary living. So gladly, so gleefully did Versace cull from history that the accustomed archaeological dust never attached itself to Versace’s history. Instead, it was a splendid combination of objective and subjective. Versace focused on four important epochs: classicism, Byzantium, the eighteenth century, and the 1920s and 1930s (Madeleine Vionnet, Madame Grès, and Art Deco). The history that Versace made has an antiquarianism and visually aware base but is also a fiction as apparent as any invention of tradition. For example, the Versace classicism, distilled in the logo of the Medusa now perhaps as twentieth-century an image as a Nike swoosh, was not Johann Winckelmann’s but neither was that eighteenth-century art historian’s history purely objective. Rather, we know that history to be a dreaming desire. History itself may be a muse as much as it is a chronology.

For Versace, history was the legacy needed for contemporary imagination. The classical draperies he perfected were not correct to art or history. They have their debt to Cecil B. DeMille and their place in Versace’s imagination of a vigorous, sensuous, pagan world. Versace’s eternal prostitute takes her place in historical garb as a Roman slave girl,
A dress can be slashed, in which case its cut through to the body is an after-the-fact and additional gesture. In this instance, Versace did not slash but characteristically found his gap within the design. Leaving the gap and its corresponding drape from the bust-seam can appear accidental or incidental in a way no slash would ever be. Deconstruction as a fashion metaphor has often been a matter of destroying what is wholly made. But in the most talented hands—Rei Kawakubo for Comme des Garçons, for example—the knowledge of pattern and draping allows the design to render a select detail incomplete or unconnected. Versace’s boast that he always draped is consequential when he not only constructs through draping but also deconstructs.

often with a skirt shorter than the 1920s length but looking most like the Technicolor lustiness of “epic” classical films.

Classical drapery came to Versace not with the propriety of Grès hemlines, which reach the floor in the manner of a Roman matron. Versace mixed Grès with Federico Fellini, coming up with a slave girl out of narrative art as much as out of historical evidence, but showing leg and thigh. For the Roman dresses, Versace did not reconstruct the Roman Empire; he preferred a glory in contemporary and libidinous imagination, rendering bordello Rome in its decadence and what Versace imagined as a culture in Italy of unembarrassed sexuality and of opulence similarly unblushing. Can the historian wholly confirm or deny this robust representation of history? Versace invented from a matrix of knowledge, drawing on his vast library for images out of history but insisting on extracting them from the historical disposition into a boisterous sensibility of the contemporary.

Versace’s translation of Byzantium derived from his inspection of artifacts and his certainty that he could perform something akin. The mosaics of Ravenna did not hush Versace among all the international tourists; it is as if history inspired Versace to say “I can do that,” even with regard to the most venerated and monumental traditions. Even the piety of monumental crosses and Virgin and Child in mosaic tesserae did not daunt Versace, who manifestly worked in a secular time and in a carnal, sensuous manner. Ravenna was an inspiration. At a later point, in 1997, The Metropolitan Museum of Art’s exhibition “The Glory of Byzantium” cast Versace back into that incense-imbued world of dreams, glitter, and monumentality.

Versace’s Byzantine collections called upon specific characteristics of his work. His recurrent interest in the heavy encrustation of metal embroidery corresponded to the luster of Ravenna’s colorful and shining mosaics. Shrewdly, Versace rendered his Byzantium in weighty ornamentation, but he also intuited another Byzantine premise. The radiant mosaic walls of Ravenna that transmute mass into splendid message are in marked contrast to the heaviness of the construction itself. In like manner, Versace’s 1997 Byzantine dresses are leather, a surface we are least likely to associate with a crust of embroidery. The result is that we have an implacable field that is made miraculous in the presence of the sparkling, story-giving surface, just as we experience on entering a Ravenna building.

For Versace, such historical references are not re-creation; they are the re-situation of the effects of history to a new circumstance in apparel. In like manner, Versace’s extravagant dix-huitième, an amalgam of art’s representation and fashion’s grandiose silhouette, skims or channel-surfs the eighteenth century for the dispositions he craves: ribald sensuality worthy of Casanova’s account of the court or Fielding’s revelation of upwardly mobile lives; the flagrantly ornate world of horror vacui decoration, delighting in rococo excess and letting the fêtes galantes of the era seem even more suggestive as skirts open and bras announce love-plays.
and sweet elegance; and a silhouette of ballooning skirt topped not with a rib-grinding corset and bodice but with a top of deep décolletage and rib-revealing closeness to the body.

About dress inspired by the column-and-cylinder decades of the twentieth century, Versace respected both the dressmakers and the artists of the 1920s and 1930s; he understood their discovery of the flat in modern dress as a counterpart to his own modernist tendencies and his own desire to drape the dress to fall against the body. But one principle alone was not borrowed in this case. Versace loved the circles and simplified decoration of the time, suggesting that the ornate even for Versace succumbed in some way to the International Style, with its decoration that is solely determined and required by function.

History, never a burden for Versace, was treated with the legerdemain of a designer who wanted to extract the essence of the historical example when it accorded with a contemporary need. In this, we have the model of a contemporary history and of the historicism that can enlighten the creative process.
Evening gown with asymmetrical hem, spring-summer 1995
Draped and pleated light-blue synthetic jersey
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

In one of his most blatant examples, Versace demonstrated his Fellini-like ability to respect history and to eroticize it and render it hyperbolic and unforgettable. This is a strumpet of the decadence of the Roman Empire, the prostitute emergent from history. But Versace’s passionate history is not without artistic evidence: as strident as this image may be, it is based on his equivalent to ancient statuary’s wet drapery. But surely, his is not the history of high-school text: it is the erotic pageant and history expanded in terms of our current culture and ideas.
Evening gown, fall–winter 1984–85
Gun-metal gray metal mesh studded with rhinestones
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

As hard and cold as metal might be, Versace draped it as if it were a liquid fabric, defying all the austerity of gun-metal gray and the heaviness of additional rhinestones. Versace treated the material as if it were gossamer, even when it was not.
One-shoulder evening gown
Cream silk satin and chiffon with silver-bead embroidery
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The one-shoulder-draped and manipulated gowns of Madame Grès were a great inspiration to Versace, who let a simple neoclassical line inflect the natural flow of the drapery. Even before the “Madame Grès” exhibition held in the fall of 1994 at The Costume Institute, The Metropolitan Museum of Art, on being told that the exhibition was being planned, Versace exclaimed that she had probably been the greatest inspiration to him among all designers in history.
Evening dress, fall–winter 1997–98
Silver-tone metal mesh with Greek-cross appliqués
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The mosaic tesserae are the common ground of landscape, figures, and crosses in such architectural examples as the Romanesque churches in Ravenna, and similarly, Versace used the metal surface throughout a piece, equalizing the soft drapery and the rigid form of the cross. The complicated surface of the metal mesh, read from afar as a shimmer, is the logical counterpart to the mosaic, melded from a distance but multivalent up close.
Evening gown, fall–winter 1997–98
Gold-tone metal mesh with Greek-cross appliqués
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The heavy metal dresses of the season, weighing in at a shoulder-numbing twenty pounds, are Christian soldiers of a type that perhaps only Versace could have envisioned. They imply the considerable physical power of the woman, suggesting a Xena heroine among fashion models, but they also convey the emotional power of the cross. The hard surface of both dress and cross serves as the equivalent of the mosaic field and surface of the Byzantine crosses of Ravenna. In her memorial recollection of Versace, editor Ingrid Sischy remembered the zeal of Versace when leading her on a visit to Ravenna, a place of great ardor for the designer.
Dress, fall–winter 1997–98
Black leather embroidered with Greek-cross motif
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

In the Atelier Versace collection inspired by The Metropolitan Museum of Art’s “The Glory of Byzantium” exhibition, Versace returned to the great crosses he had used in the earlier 1990s. Risking sacrilege, Versace employed a symbol as potent as the cross in pursuit of secular fashion. The probability of being criticized is often inhibiting to fashion designers and to clothing’s wearers, given the necessary social function of the art. But Versace could defy or at least mystify the Church at least as much as he defied and mystified the middle class who would find such an incendiary gesture offensive. In this sense, though, Versace’s design is not about being ingratiating; it is about pursuing a vision.
Byzantine halter ensemble, fall–winter 1991–92
Polychrome beaded and embroidered black leather, black silk satin, and chiffon
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Versace solicits interpretation. This ensemble requires some explanation, not only for the religious imagery but even for the presence of the leather jacket. As I argued at the time ("Sailing to Byzantium: A Fashion Odyssey, 1990–1991," *Textile & Text* 14:2, 1991): "But a Virgin and Child taken from mosaic to embroidery, gearing down the scale but keeping even the process more or less intact, is to declare representation an affinity with clothing and apparel something other than an uninterpreted, unintelligible field of design. What cannot be said about this [Versace] clothing is that it is meaningless....

Versace takes a supremely recognizable image and applies it to clothing to make explicit his demand that clothing is an eloquent, rhetorical mode.” Versace knew this imagery to be provocative, and he chose to be a provocateur.
Suit, fall-winter 1991–92
Polychrome-printed silk velvet
Gift of Anne H. Bass, 1993
(1993.345.5 a-c)

Versace’s romanticism and synaesthesia are suggested in this elegant suit that places romantic painting and dance at the service of the fashion designer’s most tender emotions and the textile printer’s consummate mastery. Art history, always at the designer’s beck and call, mollified Versace’s sensibility in the early 1990s to enable him to make the smart suits and tailored daywear that emerged in the 1990s to accompany his more famous (and infamous) eveningwear.
Bustier ensemble, spring-summer 1992
Embroidered, appliquéd, and beaded blue silk moiré and blue denim
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Modern dress in silhouette, the bustier and jacket are in surface decoration evocative of the eighteenth century. The notable décolletage of eighteenth-century separate bodices may be suggested by the bustier, but the irony between the two epochs appears chiefly in the modern suit jacket offered with the decoration of the ancien régime. It is as if Versace had all the parts of each era but only wanted to make a wanton jigsaw puzzle, juxtaposing various parts and not quite playing by the rules.
Evening ensemble, spring-summer 1992
Denim and polychrome-printed silk faille
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The open gown of the eighteenth-century French court gave Versace license to offer the most extravagant version there-of. The sweet games of love that might have intrigued a court were not Versace’s milieu. Instead, he made his woman more courtesan than court lady. With, however, a wonderful comprehension of eighteenth-century dress, Versace released all the potential for sensuality and love play.
Evening ensemble, spring-summer 1992
Navy-blue denim, gold-and-black
baroque-patterned silk faille
Courteesy Gianni Versace Archives

This evening outfit steps out of the
candlelight of the eighteenth century
with a stiffened skirt, petticoat-like
interventions and a palimpsest of lace,
and wondrous baroque silk with a
network of horror vacui decoration. But
never content to let a style rest in one
epoch, Versace lurched back into
twentieth-century sportswear with a
denim top. Yet even as he gave us the
bodice of a cowgirl, he also understood
eighteenth-century décolletage. While the
mannequin is seen here from the back,
we might expect the runway view with
several buttons unbuttoned: the effect of
a voyeur's Versailles rendered in rodeo
denim.
Evening ensemble, spring-summer 1988
Beaded and embroidered red net and rose-printed red synthetic twill
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

A sheer bodice tops a leg-revealing skirt of eighteenth-century style, its unrestrained floral pattern speaking of both Versace's excesses and those of the eighteenth century. The skirt opens up and falls to the sides in a bagged-up, pouchd manner of the late eighteenth century. It is clear that this is not by any means historical re-creation, yet it is shrewdly aware of how high-spirited and how profligate eighteenth-century dress could be.
Sleeveless evening dress with panniers, 
spring-summer 1988
Polychrome floral-printed silk
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The eighteenth century is a souvenir of opulence, color, and seductive intrigue. The extreme brevity of this pannier-distended skirt is not entirely practical for modern life, but Versace could not bring himself to take on the ponderous volume of eighteenth-century apparel. His version is, in fact, indebted to Dior of the 1950s and Lacroix in the 1980s, but he went shorter than either and far bolder in spareness to the body.
Evening ensemble, spring-summer 1992
Blue denim, gazar, and silk with gold-tone metal accessories
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

A vivacious print and the girlishness of a short skirt emerge from the eighteenth century but are pipelined by Versace right into the spirit of the twentieth century, more so as accompanied here by a denim jacket. Again and again, Versace evoked the eighteenth century only to confront it with more casual and more modern principles.
Evening slip gown (and detail), spring-summer 1996
Pleated silver silk satin with embroidered sheer insets
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

To imagine that the eighteenth century, with its articulated and engorged silhouettes, is an inspiration to a willowy slip gown by Versace requires a leap of faith or, in Age of Enlightenment terms, a leap of reason. Versace’s silhouette is of our time, but the referencing of petticoat visibility and the elaboration of the inset panels match dix-huitième stomachers elaborating the center and the splaying of skirts to reveal equally beautiful, even more delicate layers beneath.
Asymmetrical one-shoulder evening gown, fall–winter 1997–98
Yellow rayon jersey and black leather
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Indebted to Madame Grès, but rendered shockingly disruptive by the intervention of black leather, this evening gown is Versace’s own version of the 1920s. In a famous photograph, probably intended chiefly for a process demonstration, a Grès mannequin exposes one breast in an asymmetrical draping. It is as if Versace took that idea and added one of his leather dresses to incorporate his version of propriety. Yet, by setting the leather as apparent contrast to the draping of the jersey, Versace made no effort to reconcile; he only disordered his examplar in Grès, making the 1920s look provocative in the 1990s.
Evening gown with asymmetrical draping and gathering, fall–winter 1997–98
Pink silk jersey
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The historical source here is clearly Madame Grès, but Versace replaced the French designer’s discreet elegance with his own penchant for the vampish and glamorous. Grès emphasized the classical and the comfortable. Versace displaced those characteristics with his sexy siren.
Evening gown, spring-summer 1997
Appliquéd purple silk chiffon
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The ease and innate modernity of teens and twenties dresses enthralled Versace. Sheer layers allow dressmaking to stand out and display print and decoration. This classic modernism was clearly Versace’s great alternative to body-hugging tightness and the look of the prostitute. It assumes a lyricism for the designer, still letting the body be expressed but far less overtly erotic than in other instances.
Evening dress, spring-summer 1995
Purple silk chiffon with satin appliqués and beaded shoulder straps
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The tuniclike layering of 1920s style is suggested by Versace in this evening dress that in silhouette might even honor Poiret, but that is gossamer and body-clinging in a way that shows off in the hot light of the contemporary runway.
Evening gown, spring–summer 1997
Yellow silk chiffon with circular yellow-and-mustard satin appliqués
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Diaphanous effects encase the body in gossamer cylinders of forms, evoking the principles of twentieth-century fashion. For the teens and the twenties, when these forms originated, they suggested a new sexuality, but Versace rendered obvious the connection between these floating forms and his joy of the beauty perceived along with the gauzy surface.
Evening dress (and detail),
_spring-summer 1982_
Beaded and printed blue silk chiffon
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

This chiffon tube epitomizes the new
cylindrical fluidity and the cubist clarity
of the fashion new in the 1920s,
enhanced by the further referencing to
Art Deco decoration in Mediterranean
colors. It is as if Versace took his favorite
fashion designers of that period and put
them in concert with the best in
contemporaneous painting and
decorative arts.
Evening gown, spring-summer 1997
Mustard-and-orange silk chiffon
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Sweetly redolent of Mycenaean civilization, this dress of an Art Deco archaeology also expresses the 1990s, enhancing the body and utilizing the contemporary disposition to sheer, body-revealing form.
Bias-cut evening gown, spring-summer
1997
Cream hammered silk satin with chiffon
back panel
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

This dress for a contemporary diva could
almost have stepped out of the 1920s. Of
course, Versace's 1920s drapery was
often influenced by the movie-star
version Grecian dresses and bias-cut
gowns of the 1930s silver screen that
always entranced him. The sultry
sexuality of those dresses came into play
when Versace reconceived the 1920s for
the 1990s.
Evening gown, fall–winter 1987–88
Black metal mesh and synthetic lace
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The early years of the twentieth century rendered fashion cylindrical and with cling that would adhere not to an artificial structure but to the body itself, and Versace brought the same idea to this dress that uses the teens and twenties style but clearly identifies the modern woman. The presence of a train seems historical; the cleaving to the bust and ribs seems erotic.
Evening dress, fall–winter 1991–92
Powder-blue silk crepe, chiffon, and lace
Gift of Gianni Versace, 1993 (1993.52.2)

Like the poet determined to represent both innovation and conformity and structure as well, mastering the restraints, Versace imparted every possible complication to the elements of lingerie dressing. He did not merely posit the wearing of innerwear as outerwear but combined the techniques, providing lace, accompanying it with quilting, adding bracing, and pleating the lace.

Evening dress (and detail), fall–winter 1991–92
Pale-pink silk crêpe, chiffon and lace
Gift of Gianni Versace, 1993 (1993.52.1)

This flirtatious evening dress that is little more than the structure of a slip is just as rich in its couture values as it is flamboyant in flaunting sexuality. The dazzle of dressmaking performance was for Versace the one possible counterpart to the sizzle of sensuality. Lace, pleating, and quilting all meet in the small expanse of inches of fabric. Multiple techniques and the investment of such couture practice in a garment that could from a distance pass for sleazy lingerie are Versace tenets. After all, when Chanel seized the little black dress in wool jersey from the maid, she had to give it all the couture finishes to guarantee that it was transfigured. So, too, Versace seized the ostensibly vulgar, imparted a panoply of technical skills, and left the garment changed and the spectator overwhelmed by the merger of complete opposites.
Animal-print ensemble, spring-summer 1992
Yellow-and-black printed silk with gold-tone metal accessories
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Never too much, never too rich, and perhaps even never too thin—Versace added the category of willful excess and extravagance to fashion's ability to evoke desire. Rich printing, varied materials, and wild coordinations are part of the Versace aesthetic. He preferred decadence and immoderation to any standard of good taste. He also invoked, as many designers do, the spirit of Diana Vreeland, in the whirlwind of animal prints, flamboyance, and high style. dusky and somewhat illicit world of motorcycle jackets but rather is employed structurally. Moreover, leather is not a male prerogative for Versace. Of course, other and earlier designers had used leather for womenswear, especially Yves Saint Laurent in his pioneering work. But Versace cast the referencing of leather back and forth between the templates of menswear design such as motorcycle jackets and the consummately womenswear leather he had made into skirts going back to the 1970s. Leather is studded, but leather can also be quilted; its bits become the geographic integers of a mesh map, or it can constitute a field upon which the delicacy of embroidery seems even more fragile on a staunch skin; and its practical application is mingled with its most symbolic and most transgressive use in S & M.

Of all the materials advanced by Versace, plastic is the quintessential and the most controversial. Transparency was an easy cause for twelfth-century Gothic architect Abbot Suger, but it is a very difficult one for contemporary fashion. It risks the very invisibility of the emperor's new clothes, but it also can suggest possibilities for a modern Cinderella. Versace honored a fashion convention in shielding the inside, where it grazes the skin, with a soft skin, exposing relatively little of the wearer's body to the plastic itself. The spectator's anxiety suggests that there is even greater discomfort to the viewer than for the wearer.

Versace answered to the early-twentieth-century Italian artists' Futurist Manifesto, when he introduced new materials to fashion and
Tank dress with cutout midriff, fall–winter 1994–95
Yellow vinyl
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Risking reference to the 1960s but bold enough to speak to the 1990s are Versace’s uses of the recalcitrant material of vinyl with a dressmaker’s deftness of hand. It is perhaps difficult to imagine such dresses as other than an Austin Powers parody of the Sixties, but Versace made the dress so much his signature that we may think 1960s, but we still remember—and are saved by the fact—that we are in the 1990s.
Tank dress with cutout midriff, fall–winter 1994–95
Fuchsia vinyl
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Versace assembled a conclusive catalogue of the horrors of the middle-class sensibility. Brightly colored vinyl has to be of top rank on such a list of anathemas. Versace seized the contemptible materials and acted as if he were dealing with silk or wool. This is the tour de force performance of the artist who knows exactly what alienates his audience and who knows equally well that he can perform magic using the reviled materials.
insisted on new uses for some old textiles and techniques. In trading with the vernacular, Versace was perhaps only continuing the great tradition of Chanel and others. In going beyond fashion for new resources, he may have been preceded by Elsa Schiaparelli, but his enterprising and far-reaching search for materials feels even more like an artist’s endless forage for the best material, however unconventional or even unknown, through which to discover form.

Dress, fall–winter 1995–96
Cream wool with clear vinyl yoke and pockets
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The use of clear vinyl causes this wool dress to seem more glued to the body, the transparency suggesting both coverage and noncoverage. In the syndrome of the emperor’s new clothes, the vinyl overwhelms the more traditional material and makes the dress seem to vanish.
Coat, fall–winter 1992–93
Quilted black leather with fur trim
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Versace’s leathers have not the planes of hard jackets but the stuff of sculpture, and ultimately the stuff of dreams. Concavity and convexity, reinforced by the quilted grid, give the leather a vitality by making the material always appear light, almost inflatable. Even Pop sculptures by Niki de Saint-Phalle and Claes Oldenburg are suggested in these alternately swelling and compressed shapes.
Evening tank dress, spring-summer 1996
Black synthetic net with black leather appliqués and beading
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

As they do in his plastic dresses, Versace's net dresses of spring-summer 1996 offer islands of scattered beading and appliqués to present some reasonable coverage of the body. Black leather floats in patches in an effect that appears to be a wholly random and uncontrolled order.
Evening dress, fall–winter 1996–97
Silver-tone mesh with black cotton lace trim
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Lingerie, that layer formerly unseen but very visible in the 1990s, has been treated by many designers by showing the delicacies and balance between innerwear and outerwear, public and private. But Versace was not content with the conundrum as it existed. Rather, he added Joan of Arc to the fray, offering a sheer lingerie with the silver-tone reflections of armor or, at very least, industrial design. The effect is to fabricate the sheer layer as undeniably self-sufficient. Black cotton lace trim only furthers the sense of industrial strength and design.
Evening tank dress, spring-summer 1997
Silver-tone chain mail and mint-green
metal mesh over mint-green silk
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Versace rendered the insubstantial
silhouette of the 1920s in metal, but he
never let the metal seem hard or heavy.
Instead, he relied on the metallic sheen
and the play between silver and green to
provide the equivalent of silk or even
sheer textile. The tour de force of this
performance is Versace’s genuine
adherence to the 1920s ideal, plus his
transference into another material, even
into technology. The formless mail
dresses proved the supple possibilities of
the medium, but the re-creation of a
whole Deco silhouette is even more
prodigious.
Evening dress, fall–winter 1995–96
Transparent vinyl with all-over polychrome beading
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Transparent plastic is distanced from nudity only by the scattering of beading, conceived as a kind of aleatory all-over pattern but sufficient to deflect the eye from a direct reading of the body within. But Versace clearly knew that this is a scrim and a diversion with a more important sense of addressing the body and making the dress as see-through as possible.
Sarong ensemble, spring-summer 1989
Beaded black synthetic net and hand-painted brown silk velvet
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The sarong came naturally from Versace's background and expertise in sportswear wrapping and the global sources implied by wraps. Like his lush skirts in sportswear, the sarong also contains an element of surprise. The amplitude of the fabric is largely concealed when it is tightly draped to the side in the sarong manner. Now in sumptuous material, the sarong becomes even more extravagant.
Evening gown, fall–winter 1992–93
Cut-out and banded black wool with
top-stitched wool and leather banding
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The easy and tempting allusion this
collection makes to bondage and
sadomasochistic fetish created the
expected outrage in 1992. The collection
was denounced by some, reaffirming
Versace's fashion base as the
adventuresome and avant-garde. In fact,
the collection seems to refer less to The
Mineshaft or other fetish clubs than to
the means of overlacing the body with a
minimum of structure, as spaghetti straps
and fashion exploration had always
done. The device may have had an
allusion that was sure to be incendiary
and judgmental for some, but the usage
was standard practice for advanced
twentieth-century fashion. This is not to
exculpate Versace from enjoying the
sensation his streetwise evocation
caused—in the manner of many
contemporary vanguard artists—but to
recognize that the prime cause was more
conservative than most thought. But
Versace was not trying to be politically
correct any more than he was trying to
be proper. He prized being labeled a
hedonist, for hedonism was the matrix of
his fashion sensibility.
Wrap evening gown, spring-summer
1987
Black metal mesh with beaded fringe
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Extravagant though he may have been, Versace admired fashion of astringency and discipline; perhaps he could master the discipline and then take the style to a more ornate expression. His great loves among the designers of the 1920s were Madeleine Vionnet and Madame Grès, each a fashion ascetic of a kind. But Versace could also convey his romance with the 1920s by his use of dynamic, animated fringe and the sheathing flow of popular 1920s style.
Strapless evening gown with matching underpants, fall–winter 1995–96
Beaded yellow synthetic jersey
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Braver than brave, Versace never took refuge in the solemnity or importance of his materials. Rather, a synthetic jersey in scorching color would suffice and would, of course, create a similar, if not greater, spectacle, as a related long dress in refined materials. Versace could, like most designers, create evening gowns with ease, but what he could do in such singular fashion was to make one that would stand out in the crowd on such occasions as awards nights and openings.
Evening gown, spring-summer 1994
Crinkled cream silk satin and synthetic
lace with gold-tone metal safety-pin
ornaments
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The wrinkled look of 1994 and 1995 is a
paradox. Versace was going mainstream
in many ways, but he was not going
bourgeois. One means of retaining his
outsider identity is the crumpled cloth,
even as it is massed into extraordinary
shapes. It is as if Versace was striving for
the shapes of Worth but insisting on
using punk pins and materials with a
disarray that would have shamed the
Charles Dickens Great Expectations
character Miss Havisham.
Jumpsuit (detail), spring-summer 1991
Silk and synthetic net with allover polychrome beading in Vogue magazine motif
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The Vogue logo, now stable, was in the 1920s capable of changing from month to month in the hands of the creative illustrators such as Georges Lepape, Helen Dryden, and Benito who made evocative covers, including logos, suitable to the month. The logo could be jewelry, skywriting, cloud formations, Art Deco blocks, or the simulation of medieval manuscript illumination. A necklace that incorporates a Vogue logo worn as a necklace might be reminds us of the vintage illustrated covers of Vogue.

Textile pattern, which provided a primary demonstration of virtuosity for Versace, formed a part of the designer’s avowed preference for luxuriance and has remained so strong a touchstone that it translated successfully in the early 1990s in tableware and home furnishings. Rich and wondrous patterns came to be expected of Versace.

Versace used his expertise in prints to incorporate word and image, permitting not only the fusion of design and printed message but also the grander union of garment and graphic. In the Atelier Versace collection for fall 1997, tour-de-force leather dresses with Japanese writing and Chinese and Japanese symbols illustrate the interest that calligraphy, most especially that which he could not read, held for Versace. In this instance, the leather dress has come to serve as a kind of didactic blackboard on which the messages of personal identity and international understanding are inscribed. Gianni Versace’s name appears in a vertical column, a clumsy transliteration. Elsewhere, a mix of symbols of joy and happiness contribute to the capacity of the dresses to speak even more eloquently than in explicit languages. The presence of these words and symbols is deferential. At the same time, these 1997 dresses remind us of the early Versace jumpsuits with Japanese and other Asian symbols that inspired Versace and came full circle into his last collection.

More than mere meaning applies as well to the several garments Versace created using Vogue covers, not only the quite recent but vintage ones as well. These garments connect fashion to media. For some, the
Halter evening gown, spring-summer 1991
Silk jersey printed with polychrome Vogue magazine motif
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

When Vogue celebrated its centennial with the book On the Edge: Images of 100 Years of Vogue (1992), writer Kennedy Fraser claimed: “In the main, Vogue has been a good friend to women.” Surely its pages, covers, and ideas have played a role in the lives of many American women. Versace rendered a homage, using recent and historical covers of the journal, that acknowledges both a visual source in the world of women and the power of the media.
Jumpsuit, spring-summer 1991
Silk and synthetic net with all-over polychrome beading in Vogue magazine motif
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Seeking to justify the modernity and gender role of Vogue, Kennedy Fraser offered: “Outwardly, for most of the time, American Vogue seems to believe in a sort of feminine Utopia of ever healthier, more flat-bellied, and thoroughly fulfilled young professionals.” Clothed in beaded journal covers, the woman who wears the jumpsuit that Versace offered as metaphor to the modern and efficient, recalls Versace’s roots developing out of American sportswear and all that such sportswear implied for the effective and up-to-date woman. Versace’s “advertising” is largely subservient to this effort to embody the modern woman as envisioned by magazine and designer.
Bathing ensemble, spring–summer 1994
Purple and polychrome paisley-printed
Nylon stretch jersey
Gift of Gianni Versace, 1996
(1996.202.2 a–c)

The prints of Versace have often been compared to the popular prints of Emilio Pucci that likewise enthralled a worldwide audience, especially Americans, with their applications to sportswear. The beautiful prints that had adhered only to silk became for Versace the resplendent patterns of all his works, including bathing outfits. For Versace, the impulse was democratic. Opulent prints, once the privilege of only the most expensive and rarefied textiles, became the mode of everyday life.

Specific connection between fashion and the fashion magazine is publicly denied and disdained with the claim that the two are independent in the traditional separation of advertising and editorial. Yet fashion magazines today walk a tightrope. Fashion designers, such as Versace, who delighted in the image campaigns that consume massive pages of advertising in many magazines and certainly in *Vogue*, hold considerable power. Versace offered a novel trade-off; he advertised *Vogue* on clothing, thus placing the magazine’s pages on a garment. Who could resist some reciprocity? Word and image were for Versace about media seduction and the desire for clothing to communicate. One imagines that Versace, had he lived a few years longer, would have incorporated the music he also dearly loved into his clothing. He had already tried his hand at rock clothing originating from Elton John and Tina Turner that used words, lyrics, and the suggestion of the spoken (or sung) word.

Ultimately, Versace’s goal would have been the sensory *Gesamtkunstwerk*. No parochial ideas moved Versace, only the possibilities of eliding media and letting clothing assume a larger place in the world.
Strapless evening dress, fall–winter
1997–98
Black leather embroidered with
Japanese characters
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

For Versace, lover of sound and music, there was a purposeful glossolalia to the inchoate minglings of language. The declaration in Japanese, going back to his 1985 jumpsuit, is not one of a required, specific knowledge but rather of a sense of implied meaning. Versace understood every tourist's pleasurable moment when being so involved in a foreign place that one is intuitively certain, as in a dream, that everything being said is fully understood only to return then to the reality of incomprehension. Thus, the optimism present in the symbol is likewise the optimism of the dress: surety that we understand one another or will make every act of faith to communicate.
Strapless evening dress, fall–winter
1997–98
Black leather embroidered with
Japanese characters
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Colorful and cheerful messages of the
symbols of greeting and joy literally
stand out against the field of leather.
They cause us to look at the leather
more carefully and to realize that this is
no sinister skin but instead a very
compliant material treated with the effect
of a textile. A flexible tube of leather on
the body is a primeval form of dress, but
in its utter simplicity it is no Wilma
Flintstone garb. Rather, its wrap may
suggest the simplification of the kimono.
It is as if Versace understood that dress
communicates even more instinctively or
basically than a learned language.
**Men**

Man’s leather jacket (detail), spring-summer 1993
Black leather with silver-tone metal beads
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

In menswear even more than in womenswear, details are often prized. Versace’s leather fringe, with metal beads working more or less as joints but reinforcing the cowboy dandyism of the jacket, testifies to such consequential attention to details.

In the twentieth century, fashion design has focused on women. Many of the greatest designers of the century never produced menswear. Men and menswear are, however, intrinsic to Gianni Versace’s thinking. He invented the syndrome of the “pretty woman,” the prostitute who becomes a standard of beauty. He also invented, beginning his menswear collection only a year after the womenswear, her counterpart, one as appropriate as Ken is to Barbie. The proud strumpet, flaunting body and exercising a politically incorrect sensuous femininity, is complemented by the “man without tie,” the gym-built poseur and sensualist, the lusty male.

As Versace’s ideal for women is blatant sexuality, assuming spectatorship, so his ideal for the male is overt sexuality, inviting spectatorship both of the body revealed in draped shirts that reveal the torso and of fetish-types of virile clothing, such as leather, fringe, and studs. Significantly, Versace shirts are shaped and are never the boxy, full cuts that have so long obscured the male body. A Versace shirt is more like a blouse than the traditional man’s shirt in materials, cut, and color.

Attention is given to the upper torso by draping so that the pectorals and even the nipples come to constitute part of what the shirt reveals. As I wrote in *The Advocate* (September 2, 1997) of Versace: “His menswear was genuinely revolutionary, insisting on men as sex objects. He became the standard-bearer of gay men’s fashion because he eschewed decorum and designed for desire.”

Versace’s manifesto *Men Without Ties*, often read only as a
Man’s shirt, spring-summer 1991
Silk twill printed with polychrome
Warhol-inspired imagery
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Because of the importance of men in Versace’s vision of fashion, he readily transferred the motifs of womenswear to menswear. The Andy Warhol inspired imagery of Marilyn Monroe and James Dean also appears on a Versace woman’s evening gown of the same collection. Admittedly, not everyone would choose to wear this shirt with bared torso as we have pictured it, but the draping is, as in all Versace’s shirts, more body-clinging and blouselike than is customary in men’s shirts. If the imagery can cross over between men and women, the three-dimensional form can also be similar. A scrapbook of images of beautiful men, goes further to provide a warrant for the sensuous man. To be without a necktie is the metaphor to being self-reliant after the industrial models for men’s behaviors and for menswear. Versace attempted to reverse the principle of “The Great Male Renunciation” by which nineteenth-century men forsook their long-prized embroideries, brilliant colors, dashes of lace, and luxurious materials for the gray and dark-blue and black frock coats and suits that would be apt for the sooty cities and dour tasks of modern industrialization and management, leaving all that was beautiful and decorative to the sphere of women. Versace wanted men to be just as sexy as women; he demanded that they be physically open. In guaranteeing a positive aesthetic of masculinity, Versace offered a perfect balance to the women he envisioned.
Man's ensemble, spring-summer 1992
Black-and-white printed silk and black-and-white printed denim
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Versace's Roman warriors, as his ideal men might be described, inhabit a classical civilization, even when outfitted in denim. The black-and-white mosaics of ancient times rise up in the patterns of the jeans in black and white in a way that may or may not be explicitly recognized as ancient Rome. Versace's uncanny ability to transport history into the present is operative: after all, there are standard men's black jeans, and there are standard men's white jeans. But only Versace put black and white together and made them look like floor mosaics.
Man’s jeans and shirt ensemble,
spring-summer 1993
Cotton and leather
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Versace understood that the ubiquitous
fashion black also applied to menswear
and generally shunned the menswear
compromises of navy-blue and gray.
Instead, casual black and formal black
are virtually interchangeable, as are
materials as well. Versace used leather
for shirt and jeans but also combined a
cotton shirt with leather jeans. The ethos
of Versace’s book *Men Without Ties* is
evident in casual wardrobe-building,
unified both by black and by the
enjoyment of the sensuous male body.
Man’s leather jacket,
spring-summer 1993
Black leather with silver-tone metal beads
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

In this fringed leather jacket, the devices of the rodeo cowboy and the motorcycle jacket are combined. The complication of the fringing, articulated with metal beads and balls, testifies to Versace’s disavowal of the austerity of menswear. Rather, the Versace menswear ideal always has a touch of the dandy, smatterings of spectacle, and a hint of historicism. If 1990s menswear has come to schism between the body-aware and the self-aware and the vestigial forms of “The Great Male Renunciation,” Versace was clearly on the body’s side and aligned with spectacle. His menswear has, of course, been popularly endorsed by celebrities and especially by rock entertainers.
Man's black leather jacket,
spring-summer 1993
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

In life as in design, the black leather jacket served Versace as the menswear version of the little black dress. It is not a business suit; it incorporates sexuality, and it engages versatility. For Versace himself, it was a standard of his personal wardrobe worn from day through evening, casual to formal.
Man's chain-mail ensemble (and detail),
fall-winter 1982–83
Black leather with metal applied
decoration, and black denim
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Of a closely related chain-mail jacket
from the same collection, I wrote in 1982:
"Perhaps we would not anticipate ... that
we would find a counterpart in apparel
design to this early post-modern
monument by Gwathmey/Siegel, but a
fall 1982 leather jacket by Gianni Versace
may suggest the same characteristics. In
the Versace jacket, the materials are most
significant not only as a sensuous surface
but also as historical allusions.... Leather
became for Versace a medieval evocation
when combined with inset steel knit
suggestive of chain mail.... As the shell of
the architecture [Whig Hall, Princeton
University] is seemingly pierced to
discover the refreshed post-modern
interior, so too the leather jacket is, as it
were, opened to reveal the inset steel
net" ("Post-Modern Menswear: Irony
and Anomaly in Men's Attire of the
1980s," Dress, 1982). Versace's
menswear can refer to such heroic
possibilities as knighthood and chivalry.
Man's studded ensemble,  
spring-summer 1993  
Black leather with gold- and silver-tone  
metal studs  
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Always preferring excess, Versace offered  
a proliferation of studs almost as if he  
were manufacturing heavy-traction tires.  
The pinpointing of a few studs would be  
the customary designer translation of  
popular and fetish-effect leather, even for  
a Mad Max apocalyptic image, but  
Versace chose to cover the body with  
studs, letting the exorbitance become the  
aesthetic. Virility might then reside in the  
leather attire made dandified by the  
lavishness of studded decoration in  
contrast to its practical origin. Versace  
broadcast the leather of the streets into the  
leather of luxury.
Man's Nehru-style suit,
spring-summer 1997
Gray pinstripe synthetic twill
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Perverse and clever, Versace yielded to
pinstripes but not to the boardroom.
Even as his womenswear would not yield
to middle-class convention, so too
Versace resisted middle-management
menswear. Pinstripes seem almost the
contradiction of the Nehru style; the
former is a forward gesture, while
the other is a conservative convention.
Man’s jeans (and pocket detail),
fall–winter 1990–91
Printed cotton-and-nylon blend twill
Gift of Brooks Adams and Lisa
Liebmann, 1996 (1996.237.7)

Bold Asian prints suffused even Versace
jeans in the early 1990s, as he looked yet
again to the Far East and Near East.

Even jeans were subject to Versace's
unremitting sense of decoration and his
horror vacui penchant for narrative
adornment, more or less transferring the
crowded pages of Eastern illustration to
the form of contemporary jeans. His rich
illustration is not entirely meant for
conventional reading, given that it
reverses with the pocket details.
"All the world's a stage." No one believed or lived this aphorism from Shakespeare more fully than Gianni Versace. Creating costume for daily life and for special occasions is the métier Versace knew well. But his version of daily life is so spectacular, as if planned for the prosenium rather than the street, that there is little difference between the theater designer that he became for opera and dance and his sensibility for the operetta of our lives.

Versace always created to the grand scale. Even the early sportswear achievements added rich accents and set the scale bigger, allowing blouses with deep troughs of materials above capacious skirts, ready for the opera star to step into. By the mid-1980s, his work assumed even more the principle of visible concupiscence, taking on the stagelike presence of the prostitute, who was taking on the role of the diva long established.

This selection for the dream incorporates several dresses from the fashion repertoire that suggest the essential silhouette and the semaphore for elegance that could transport us into the dream. Versace's little black dress with covered-up front and uncovered back is in this category. A woman entering a room in such a dress would suggest reserve and utmost propriety. Even as Versace achieved mainstream status in the 1990s, a dress this aloof, chaste, and formal would seem most uncharacteristic. But the dress has yet to reveal itself. When seen from the back, this woman of decorum now becomes a seductress, making a spectacular, perhaps vulgar,
Sleeveless evening dress with panniers and oversized stole, spring-summer 1988
Black-and-white filigree-printed silk
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

This evening dress with panniers inevitably recalls the eighteenth century, even though it is brusquely short. Versace had made note of the Lacroix pouf of 1987, and he created his own version, perhaps even more graphic and even more flirtatious in its streamlined form. Even with a reference to another designer of embellished and historicist form, Versace made his own version more addressed to the body.

exit. This is dressmaking and stagecraft for Versace. While he has canted the fabric in order to provide the minimal juncture at the back, this dress is theater for Versace, implying that fashion plays a dramatic role.

Versace's gargantuan ambitions for fashion included a role for it in all the arts. To imagine the runway, the rock-and-roll concert, the opera stage, the grand public event, and even Hollywood as a continuous platform is what Versace did. Timeless metaphor and the eternal yearning for synaesthesia were for the first time not in the hands of a poet, playwright, composer, or even impresario. Chanel, Dior, Schiaparelli, and others designed for theater and film from the experimental to the commercial. But Versace's model for the dream, the accustomed fantasy of fashion now endowed with a new trait of media, was that the fashion designer was a fundamental dreamer, one who planned and not merely one who followed other artists. Rather, this crucible for the arts was imagined by a fashion designer.

The concept is as simple as it is startling. Creating a utopian design or conceiving the medium of spectacle can be a fashion designer's initiative. The fashion designer is no longer ex post facto staff to artists of enterprise in other media. Versace dreamed a dream of the spectacle that begins with fashion and engages every sense and every vision.
Theater dress, 1987
Cut-work cream linen
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

In this combination of splendor and vernacular dress for Maurice Béjart’s dance Souvenir de Leningrad at the Palais de Beaulieu in Lausanne, Versace exercised his interest in the graphic clarity of Russian art and regional dress along with his capacity to create a costume that had to move on dancers as they move strenuously on the stage. For Versace, opera costumes could be static and statuesque, but those for the dance could be more directly of service to modern life and its movement.
Evening slip gown, fall–winter 1996–97
Fuchsia cotton lace studded with rhinestones
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

Versace understood fashion as spectacle, allowing for “entrance dresses” that would have knocked Edith Wharton and all cultures of confidence. Versace’s eclectic historical range was always expressed with the assurance of someone who made fuchsia a great declaration and who combined the boldness of color with the clinging silhouette and low neckline of the woman who wants to shock. In another time, such a woman might have stood for a John Singer Sargent portrait; she might have been Mrs. Rita Lydig, for example. Style history has always depended upon those women and their determined style that was dazzling in its complete lack of reticence. Thus, Versace created a dress not for spectacle or theater per se, but that is inherently the memorable “drop-dead” dress that can bear no apology but otherwise bares much.
Back-drape evening gown, fall–winter 1990–91
Black silk jersey
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

This dress, ready to surprise the viewer coming and going, symbolizes Versace’s emancipation from any bourgeois values. From the front, it pleases and appeases: it is conservative social garment. From the back, the dress is pure spectacle, edging away from polite society. It is a piece of theater in itself. Of course, Versace may have been thinking about such designers as Augustabernard and Madeleine Vionnet, who in the 1920s and 1930s provided deep descent in the back, often accompanied by a high neckline in front. But Versace clearly added an épater la bourgeoisie twist via the extreme descent at the back.
Theater dress, 1987
Cream and black silk with three-dimensional black chiffon sleeve caps
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

This dress for Herodias in a La Scala production of Wilde-Strauss's Salomé is a captivating creation for theater. Versace thought in terms of the body-clinging form he most admired, but he extended the shoulders as elsewhere he extended the hips with eighteenth-century panniers. There, the elaboration was true to history. Here, he did more than Adrian or Edith Head (whom he admired for their movie work) to make powerful shoulders through their extension into rectangles.
Theater dress, 1989
Cream and black silk with black satin, velvet, and net appliqués
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The doll of a poignant Maurice Béjart dance suggests Versace’s ability to create for dance and for the synthesis that a memorializing doll might represent. This boldly patterned theater dress makes credible fashion and creates the opportunity for a symbol as well.
Theater dress, 1989
White silk satin appliquéd with black
silk satin, net, crêpe, and braid
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

This behemoth eighteenth-century dress
for Clairon in the San Francisco Opera
production of Richard Strauss's
_Capriccio_ could sweep an entire stage,
but all of Versace's dreams of the
eighteenth century are about great
inflated dresses, triumphant music, and
male and female elegance of a kind
unequaled today. The pretext was
Strauss, but the vision is pure Versace.
Theater ensemble, 1987
Hand-painted and appliquéd silk
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

The features of regional dress, with its hand-painting and sense of layering and pastiche, are not, after all, far removed from Versace’s own characteristics of emphatic, rich dressing. This ensemble conveys a grandiose effect without class pretension, projecting the full joie de vivre of common folk and natural exuberance. Appreciating such virtues, Versace made a dress of extravagant, but not necessarily costly, effects.
Panniered theater dress, 1991
Quilted blue silk satin with black-and-white satin appliqués
Courtesy Gianni Versace Archives

In this costume for a production of Strauss’s *Capriccio* at the Royal Opera House in London, the fullness of an eighteenth-century dress with petticoats and panniers explodes into full lateral expansion in a contemporary caricature of the wide, extravagant dimensions. Insinuations of modern design cover the surface, giving the effect of abstract pattern discernible on an eighteenth-century silhouette.
AFTERWORD

I well remember inviting Gianni Versace to see the first exhibition I curated at The Costume Institute, “Infra-Apparel,” which took place in the spring of 1993. As we were parting at the end of the visit, I described forthcoming shows and especially my excitement on soliciting Gianni to write a tribute for the catalogue to our 1993–94 exhibition “Diana Vreeland: Immoderate Style.” Wanting to be sure that Gianni and Antonio d’Amico would come back to see other exhibitions at The Costume Institute, I ventured that I would invite them to see subsequent shows. In his very efficient manner, Gianni replied, “I come to every one of your exhibitions. I see them all.”

And so he did, always quietly, always enjoying extended visits in the gallery. Fashion designers are often quick visitors to costume exhibitions, but Gianni was not. I ran into Gianni and Antonio at the “Madame Grès” exhibition and chatted briefly with them before proceeding into my office. An hour later, I came out for lunch and found Gianni and Antonio still studying the exhibition.

Fashion designers sometimes alight on their pieces and appraise placement and quantity. Again running into Gianni by chance as he arrived to see the “Bare Witness” exhibition, I advised him that his piece on loan was in one of the last galleries in accord with the natural sequence of the exhibition. But Gianni was again resolute. “I start there,” he said, gesturing toward the first gallery and striding in that direction.

I wish I could believe that I will turn a corner in the course of this or another exhibition and see Gianni making a beeline toward a Grès or a Vionnet. But somehow I have come to know that he comes to all The Costume Institute exhibitions; as promised, he sees them all.

Richard Martin


