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Two seventeenth-century Gobelins tapestries, given to The Metropolitan Museum of Art in 1953 and 1954 (Figures 1, 2), were not included in European Post-Medieval Tapestries and Related Hangings in The Metropolitan Museum of Art, the catalogue published in 1985, because, while on loan to another institution, they had been stolen. Recovered in London in 1994, they have now, happily, returned to the Museum.

Both tapestries are from well-known series designed by Charles Le Brun (1619–1690). They are uprights, portières, made to be hung in doorways; many tapestries of this type were used in seventeenth-century France. Philibert de l’Orme in his book Architecture, published in 1648, wrote that interior doors should be perfectly plain, “unies et sans ouvrage”; decorating them would be a waste of money, as any ornamentation would not be visible, “à cause de la tapisserie, qui est toujours devant une porte.”1 Half a century later, on May 22, 1693, Daniel Cronström wrote from Paris to the Swedish architect Nicodemus Tessin, then rebuilding the royal palace in Stockholm, and described how portières were used at Versailles and the Trianon: “Dans les chambres que ne sont pas incrustées, il n’y a point de basreliefs sur les portes et sur les cheminées. Les tapisseries, qui sont d’estoffes, règnent par tous, mesmes sur les cheminées et portes, nonobstant les tableaux dessus les cheminées et portes.”2 Though “tapisseries” here apparently means hangings of various materials, it is clear that there must have been many portières in these royal buildings and that they must have frequently needed to be replaced.

On January 7, 1695, Cronström wrote again about portières: “... il faut remarquer que les portières, selon la dernière mode, sont si hautes qu’elles vont depuis la corniche du plancher jusqu’à terre et couvrent tout le dessus des portes quand elles sont tirées.” He had informed Tessin, on May 7, 1694, that it was a wonderful time to buy portières because funding for the French royal manufactories had been cut off and “Tous les tapisseries des Gobelins... demandent quasy l’aumône”;3 tapestries could now be obtained for half the usual cost. The Gobelins had, in fact, been shut down to save money for the king’s wars.4 Cronström particularly recommended armorial portières: “L’on a coûté beaucoup de ces portières pour le Roy aux Gobelins, qui sont demeurées sur les metiers à moitié faites, tous les travaux étant suris, on les ferait aisément achever à bon compte; les dessins en sont charmants. Il seroit aisé d’y mettre les armes et les attributs du Roy, Nostre Maistre, en les achevant au lieu de celles du Roy de France.”5

The Gobelins records do indeed show many portières begun in 1693 and 1694, but not finished until 1699.6 As Cronström reported, they all include the arms of Louis XIV, though “charming” is probably not the adjective that would be chosen today for the designs.

The two portières in the Metropolitan Museum are of the type described by Cronström, with the arms and attributes of Louis XIV. Both have a large central cartouche with the insignia (France and Navarre) in ovals, encircled by the collars of the orders of Saint Michel and the Saint-Esprit. A small L and two sprays of laurel fill the space below the ovals. Powerful scrolling forms surround the arms and orders, and at the top of each tapestry is a closed royal crown of fleurs-de-lis. Here the resemblance of the two hangings ends and, as will be shown, each seems to carry a different message; confused in one case, but very clear in the other.

One tapestry (Figure 1) has been given the name of the Renommées; the figure on the right is indeed
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The notes for this article begin on page 133.
Figure 1. *Les Renommées*, French (Gobelins), 1693–1700, after Charles Le Brun (1619–1690). Wool and silk tapestry, 9 ft. 4 in. x 7 ft. 1 in. (284.7 x 216 cm). The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Gift of Mrs. Lionel F. Straus, in memory of her husband, Lionel F. Straus, 1953. 53.57. See also Colorplate 2
Figure 2. *Le Char de Triomphe*, French (Gobelins), 1662–1724, after Charles Le Brun. Wool and silk tapestry, 11 ft. 2 in. x 8 ft. 10 in. (340.6 x 269.4 cm). The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Gift of Thomas Emery, 1954. 54.159. See also Colorplate 3
This central section is framed by an egg-and-dart molding; the corner spandrels have white grounds with sprays of multicolored classical foliage. The rectangular border that completes the design has branches of oak, laurel, and other leaves strung on a twisted stem; there are rosettes at the corners and in the center of each side. The guards are dark blue.

Five sets of the series to which this tapestry belongs are recorded as woven, four of twelve pieces and one of twenty-four; the first two contained gold thread. The sets whose descriptions in the manufactory records correspond exactly to the tapestry in the Metropolitan Museum are the third, woven between 1693 and 1699, the fourth (1699–1700), and the fifth (1723–27); all are recorded in very similar terms. The fourth set appears as: "Douze portières de tapisserie laine et soye, en basse lisse, fabrique de Paris, manufacture des Gobelins, dessein de Le Brun, représentant les armes de France et de Navarre, soutenues par une Renommée et une Flore, dans une bordure couleur de bronze, avec des oves et un feston des feuilles de laurier qui règne autour; contenant ensemble 24 aunes de cours, sur 2 a. ¾ de haut." In the 1789 inventory of the Garde-Meuble “portières des Renommées” are listed at Versailles, Marly, and Choisy-le-Roi; some are described as “bonnes” or “belles,” but two were “mauvaises.”

But can the woman on the left be Flora, who has no reason to wear armor? She can hardly be another representation of Fame, having no trumpet, and has more plausibly been identified as Victory. This lack of precision is totally unlike Le Brun; it clearly confused the compilers of the Gobelins records, who named the women in the first two sets “Flores” and those of all the others, as has been quoted, “une Renommée et une Flore.”

The explanation for this anomaly is to be found in the complicated history of the design. There is a drawing signed by Le Brun in the State Hermitage, Saint Peters burg (Figure 3); the framework of the composition and some major elements, such as the upper parts of the cornucopias and of the festoons, are the same as those of the tapestry. Many details are also identical, including the rosettes at the corners of the outer borders and the bows on either side of the coats of arms. The piles of trophies and weapons, including the ewer, are partially visible behind the animals at the base of the design. The two children holding the crown (in the drawing, an open coronet) are the same figures, though reversed, as in the tapestry, but slung across their

---

Figure 3. Charles Le Brun. Drawing for a portière with the arms of Nicolas Fouquet, 1659–61. Black and red chalk and ink, washed with gray, 17 x 8½ in. (43.2 x 21 cm). Saint Petersburg, The State Hermitage, 18959 (photo: courtesy Charissa Bremer-David)

Fame, as she has wings and blows a trumpet. She holds it with her right hand, which also supports a long festoon of brightly colored leaves and flowers, including roses, tulips, and poppies. Fame rises from an acanthus-leaf cornucopia and her left arm passes behind her back so she can grasp the festoon as it falls down the side of the central cartouche. Her head is wreathed with laurel. The woman on the left is in classical armor with a small mask between her breasts; she wears a wreath on her head and with both hands holds a festoon like that of her companion. Two lively, winged, nearly nude children raise the crown between the women. On either side of the coats of arms and the collars of the orders are large bows. At the base of the design, behind the lower part of the central scrolling forms and the festoons, are two trophies of antique arms and spoils—spears, sword hilts, a striped flag, a ewer, a bowl (or drum), a string of pearls, and some drapery.
arms is a band with the inscription NON ASCENTE.

These words and the climbing squirrel that replaces the royal arms show that the drawing was made for Nicolas Fouquet (1615–1680), Louis XIV’s powerful minister, whose bold motto was Quo non ascendet? and whose arms were a rampant squirrel.\(^{11}\) The women who raise the festoons are very different from their counterparts in the tapestry and, as one would expect in a Le Brun composition, are clearly identifiable by their emblems. The one who corresponds to Fame, on the left in the drawing, has no wings, holds a key, and has a dog at her feet; she is Fidelity or Loyalty.\(^ {12}\) The woman on the right side of the Hermitage drawing wears the lion skin of Hercules but no armor; she has the same small mask between her breasts that is worn by the so-called Flora in the tapestry. The lion below her shows she must represent Power, Valor, Strength,

or Courage, the qualities of the king of beasts.

Any of these virtues could have been chosen by Fouquet for a tapestry. Fidelity is appropriate for a king’s minister. In his château of Vaux-le-Vicomte, he had a Salon d’Hercule with a ceiling painting by Le Brun showing Hercules in a chariot with the squirrel and the motto.\(^ {13}\) The Muses in the coving of the Salon des Muses celebrate the triumph of Fidelity, and in a corner motif, an eagle with a squirrel on its back holds a scroll with the motto in its beak.\(^ {14}\) Even more outspoken would have been the ceiling of the Grand Salon Ovale, which was to depict the palace of the sun with Apollo representing Fouquet.\(^ {15}\) This project reached only the modello stage, but Le Brun, never a man to let an opportunity escape him, had his design engraved and dedicated the print to the king; the only change necessary was to replace the squirrel with lilies.\(^ {16}\)
Fouquet set up a tapestry manufactory in Maincy solely to supply his château; it lasted from 1658 to 1662. The design of the Hermitage drawing was certainly intended to be woven there as a portière for the mansion.

What happened next is vividly illustrated in a Le Brun sketch in the Musée des Beaux-Arts, Besançon (Figure 4). The figure in the central oval has been described as Fouquet’s squirrel overlaid with the armorial snake of his deadly enemy—and ultimately successful rival—Jean-Baptiste Colbert (1619–1683). The woman on the left holds a pair of compasses, a symbol of Economy, and the unicorn at her feet proclaims the innocence and probity of the bearer of the arms. When Colbert adapted the design for his own use (Figure 5), he replaced the unicorn with the dog of Fidelity and gave the woman a sword instead of compasses. The figure on the other side has wings but no symbols, and at her feet is the cock of Vigilance. Colbert thus proclaimed his differences from his overambitious predecessor, condemned for peculation and pursuit of power. It was not as easy to adapt the design for the use of the king, so all the animals of the two drawings were left out and only one of the women was changed into a recognizable and appropriate personification, Fame.

A similar contrast between a tapestry design made for Fouquet and an altered form that rendered it suitable for the king is shown in two drawings by Le Brun now in the Nationalmuseum, Stockholm (Figures 6, 7). They are for the side borders of a Histoire de Constantin, of which five pieces were woven at Maincy. They included gold thread, making them extremely expensive. The earlier drawing (Figure 6) shows two of the winged children who hold the crown in the tapestry in Figure 1, the second from the top corresponding to the boy on the right in the tapestry; in the drawing he raises a medallion with crossed F’s, very like Louis’s usual crossed L’s. The child partially visible in the center of the drawing is like his counterpart on the left in the tapestry, but here he supports a trophy of arms. The boy at the base of the drawing holds a key and carries a medallion with Fouquet’s squirrel, here correctly facing left. A dog’s head below him resembles that of the dog in the Hermitage drawing (Figure 3).

A minimum of alterations was needed to make the second drawing (Figure 7) suitable for use at the Gobelins. A rayed sun is substituted for the crossed F’s, flanked by a pair of scales and surmounted by a royal crown and the king’s motto, Nec Pluribus Impar, as in the portière, the Char de Triomphe (see Figure 2). Fleurs-de-lis replace the
squirrel, and the keys and dog’s head of Fidelity have both gone.24

Two pieces of this set were hung at Vaux-le-Vicomte and were valued at two thousand livres when Fouquet was disgraced and his property appropriated by the king.25 The minister, in fact, is best remembered today for his spectacular fall and condign punishment. His motto expressed his belief that he was destined to become another Richelieu or Mazarin; Louis XIV thought otherwise. Mazarin died on March 9, 1661; the following day the king announced that he intended to rule alone, without a first minister. He paid a final visit to Vaux-le-Vicomte in August, where he would have seen the Hercules ceiling, the appropriation of a hero often identified with himself.26 He would presumably have heard of the proposed decoration of the Grand Salon, showing the palace of his own particular deity, Apollo, and everywhere he would have seen the audacious motto. As Voltaire dryly remarked in his life of Louis XIV, “L’ambition de cette devise ne servit pas à apaiser le monarque.”27 Fouquet was arrested in September and spent the remainder of his life in prison.

Fouquet’s possessions were confiscated, including everything at Maincy—looms, cartoons, and tapestries in progress. His men, great artists and humble weavers, went to work for the king. One of Fouquet’s portières appears in the royal inventory as “fabric de Mincy [sic], dessin de Le Brun, représentant des Vertus et des trophées, et au milieu un escureuil”; it has been identified as a portière listed in Fouquet’s inventory, taken after his dismissal, among the furnishings “qui ont été mis à part pour le Roy.” It was made part of a set of Gobelins portières, “où sont représentées les armes de France et de Navarre, soutenues par des Flores.” Eight of the pieces had apparently been begun at Maincy, as new coats of arms and crowns were made for them at the Gobelins. The complete set of twelve pieces was given an inventory number and is considered the first set of the Renommées series. It contained gold thread. No pieces of this set have been identified, and it is possible that they did not resemble closely the later weavings.28 The Virtues of the Maincy design were evidently not recognized or had been deprived of their attributes; in later weavings, one had been given the unmistakable emblems of Fame, but the other was apparently altered only enough to cancel its suitability for a minister rather than a king and to puzzle future describers.

No such problems arise with the second portière in the Metropolitan Museum (Figure 2). Every element of the design, even those that it shares with the Renommées, has been made more prominent, more emphatic. The cartouche with the coats of arms is larger, more three-dimensional, and more brightly lit. Green sprays of laurel curve over the collar of Saint Michel and cast shadows on one side of the cartouche. The royal crown is more conspicuously placed higher in the design and is clearly silhouetted against the substantial shell form behind it.

The triumphal chariot that has given its name to the series, the Char de Triomphe, is identified mainly by its two great golden wheels that seem to advance inexorably, like those of a colossal juggernaut, crushing a red-eyed snake writhing beneath them. A winged head in the lower center blows the trumpets of Fame, from which fall thin floral festoons. On each wheel is a trophy of arms, with a suit of classical armor in the foreground. Behind these are varied arrays of spears, swords, and other weapons, shields, trumpets, arrow-filled quivers, and striped flags. The cartouche with the coats of arms hangs from blue ribbons held by two winged nude children who sit comfortably on either side of an arch. Each holds a terrestrial globe. The arch is filled with the royal crown, a scroll inscribed NEX PLURIBVS IMPAR, a pair of scales, and the rayed face of the sun. Beneath it are two fruit-filled cornucopias with, under them, a blue drapery sprinkled with yellow fleurs-de-lis, caught up in large bows at each side. This falls behind the trophies of arms and is seen below them, where it terminates in a yellow fringe. The border of the whole design simulates a gilt frame, with small fleurs-de-lis and rosettes alternating in a guilloche pattern, and a larger fleur-de-lis at each corner.

Every important element in this design refers to Louis XIV. He is, of course, the sun. In his Mémoires historiques, he tells how he used this symbol in the carrousel of 1662, when he was dressed as Apollo.29 His motto then was Ut Vidi, Vici, referring to the clouds, his enemies, dispersed by the sun. But he says that another, Nec Pluribus Impar, was suggested to him: “par où ils entendaient, ce qui flattait agréablement l’ambition d’un jeune roi, que, suffisant seul à tant de choses, je suffirais sans doute encore à gouverner d’autres empires, comme le soleil à éclairer d’autres mondes, s’ils étaient également exposés à ses rayons.”30 He adds: “Je sais qu’on a trouvé quelque obscurité dans ces paroles,” but at the time, shortly after his marriage to Marie
Thérèse, the eldest child of Philip IV of Spain, in 1660, the conceit would clearly have suggested that the Sun of France, already illuminating one kingdom, was capable of giving light to another; between them, they would represent the world. The two globes carried by the children in the tapestry echo this idea.

A male heir to the Spanish crown was not born until 1663, so the possibility of a son of Louis XIV and Marie Thérèse inheriting both kingdoms, including the Spanish colonies in the New World, was for a time seriously considered. The scales in the tapestry, of course, represent Justice, a suitable virtue for a king, but the inscribed scroll twists closely around them, as if indicating the impartiality with which Louis would rule two hemispheres. The king’s chariot, laden with the spoils of victory, rolls forward as its wheels crush his enemies. No personifications or supernatural assistants are needed. Even Fame has been reduced to an ornamental figurehead; this king has no need of an allegorical trumpet-blowing woman to proclaim him.

The dates of the first and second sets of the Char de Triomphe are not known. They contained gold thread, as did the fourth of 1691–92; no pieces of these sets have been identified. The third weaving (1690–91), fifth (1693–99), sixth (1693–99), seventh (1699–1720), and eighth (1720–26) had no gold and are described in very similar terms: “Six portières de tapisserie, laine et soye, en basse lisse, fabrique de Paris, manufacture des Gobelins, dessein de Le Brun, représentant les armes et la devise du Roy dans un cartouche porté sur un Char de triomphe environné de trophées, dans une bordure d’un guillochis qui enferme des fleurs de lis et des roses couleur du bronze; contenant ensemble 14 a. ½ de cours, sur 3 aunes de hault.” The later weavings speak of “la devise de Louis XIV,” as it was not used by Louis XV. The eight sets comprised seventy-one pieces.

The Char de Triomphe was usually woven in sets of six pieces at the same time as six pieces of a third portière design called the Portière de Mars. The upper part of the design of this series is basically similar to that of the Char, with the nude children, royal crown, head of the sun, the motto Nec Pluribus Impar, and the coats of arms and orders. At the base is a large globe and two seated figures; one is unmistakably Mars, but the woman on the other side, though called Minerva in all the early records, does not have the chief attributes of this goddess. She sits on a pile of weapons, but has no helmet, and holds a caduceus and a pomegranate. She rests one arm on a cornucopia.

As has been mentioned, Fouquet’s men (except for La Fontaine), like his goods, were taken over by the king, though the more important of them had worked for him previously. Le Brun was already well known at court. At age nineteen, he had made a drawing celebrating the birth of an heir to the throne in 1638 and Louis XIII later made him a “peintre de Sa Majesté.” In 1660, when Le Brun was working at Vaux-le-Vicomte, he painted the Reines de Perse aux pieds d’Alexandre, which the king came to see in his studio. He was, in fact, the “premier peintre,” though not officially confirmed as such until after the death of Poussin in 1664; he was ennobled and given a coat of arms of gold fleurs-de-lis and a gold sun, as if to proclaim his allegiance to the king.

It is thus not surprising that the tapestry of the Char de Triomphe shows how completely Le Brun understood what to design, not only for a king but for this particular king. Maurice Fenaille, however, in his authoritative history of the Gobelins manufactory, has stated that the designs for the Char, the Mars, the Renommées, and two other portières were all made for Fouquet between 1655 and 1660, with the cartoons prepared by Yvert le père.

No sources are given for these statements, which are very hard to accept for the Char. For the Renommées, the case is different. The original design, as indicated by the drawings in Saint Petersburg and Besançon (Figures 3, 4), celebrated an ambitious man, but one who knew he was a servant. The mass of symbols proclaiming suitable virtues, such as fidelity, secrecy, probity, and courage, could be, and were, altered or exchanged for others to serve the master, but not with the wholehearted, single-minded result shown in the Char de Triomphe. It might be asked why the Renommées continued to be woven when the Char was so much more comprehensible and appropriate; perhaps portières were so purely functional that they were never examined very closely. Saint-Simon objected violently when he saw on one of the Histoire du Roi tapestries that some people were shown wearing hats on an occasion when they had no right to do so, but he is unlikely to have noticed that Flora on a portière was wearing armor and carried no flowers.
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