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FOREWORD

The Hermitage is pleased to present to the American public an exhibition of masterpieces by Dutch and Flemish painters of the seventeenth century. The paintings in the exhibition are all of the highest quality and have been selected to reflect the diversity of the Hermitage collections.

The exhibition includes six works by the great painter Rembrandt van Rijn, among them The Holy Family (no. 25) and the Portrait of an Old Jew (no. 27). Of equal interest are five paintings by Peter Paul Rubens in various genres, including The Adoration of the Shepherds (no. 44) and Landscape with Stone Carriers (no. 46), and two important oil sketches, The Arch of Ferdinand (no. 47) and The Arch of Hercules (no. 48). Visitors to the exhibition will certainly admire Gerard ter Borch’s Catrina van Luenink (no. 3) and A Glass of Lemonade (no. 4). Another painting worth particular attention is Frans Hals’s A Young Man Holding a Glove (no. 11), part of the Gotzkovsky collection, which was purchased in 1764 and whose acquisition marked the foundation of the Hermitage collections, soon to celebrate their 225th anniversary.

The establishment of contacts with American museums and the exchange of exhibitions have been most satisfying developments for us. In 1986, for example, the United States sent to the Hermitage the impressive exhibition Masterpieces of French Painting from the First Half of the Nineteenth Century to the Early Twentieth Century, and a large exhibition devoted to the Impressionists and twentieth-century French painters traveled to the United States.

The Hermitage is confident that the proposed exchanges of art exhibitions will strengthen the trust and friendship between the peoples of the Soviet Union and the United States.

I would like to offer my thanks to the administrative staffs of the two American museums that helped to organize the exhibition.

Boris Piotrovsky
Director
The Hermitage
In August 1975 an agreement was signed between The Metropolitan Museum of Art and the U.S.S.R. Ministry of Culture establishing a series of exchange exhibitions. The excitement that greeted this event in the United States, especially in New York City, was intense, since the American people knew that in short order they would have an opportunity to see the unparalleled riches of such legendary museums as the Pushkin, the Hermitage, and the Kremlin. They were not disappointed. A matchless exhibition of Scythian gold in 1975 was followed by a lavish display of Russian costumes. Two years later we were introduced to Russian and Soviet paintings, and in 1979 enameled and jeweled wonders from the Kremlin were presented.

In return the Soviet people enjoyed an exhibition of one hundred of the finest paintings in the Metropolitan Museum, which was followed by examples from the Metropolitan's holdings of pre-Columbian gold, a selection of American Realist paintings from its American Wing, and a number of works from its Classical collections.

With the signing of a new cultural agreement by General Secretary Gorbachev and President Reagan at the Geneva summit meeting in 1985, the way was prepared for a renewal of exchanges. In due course a fresh protocol was issued by the U.S.S.R. Ministry of Culture and two American museums, the Metropolitan and The Art Institute of Chicago. The protocol specified two exchanges from each country to be realized during the next several years. This exhibition of Dutch and Flemish paintings from the Hermitage is the first of the Soviet exchanges. As it makes its tour of New York and Chicago, a reciprocal exhibition of French nineteenth-century and early twentieth-century paintings will open to audiences in Moscow and Leningrad; of the fifty-one canvases from the United States, thirty have been selected from The Art Institute of Chicago, with the balance from The Metropolitan Museum of Art.

In addition, in 1989–90 we have made a commitment to share with the U.S.S.R. examples of art from the Medieval collections of the Metropolitan Museum and the Art Institute, and the U.S.S.R. Ministry of Culture has graciously agreed to lend us some of their finest works of French painting from the early seventeenth to the twentieth century in an exhibition titled Poussin to Matisse.

Both of these exchanges have been made possible with the generous support of Sara Lee Corporation. We are grateful to John H. Bryan, Jr., Chairman and Chief
Executive Officer of Sara Lee Corporation, for his personal interest in these exhibitions and for the early commitment that has allowed us to proceed with their realization.

The Dutch and Flemish pictures in the Hermitage comprise one of the greatest collections of Northern European paintings anywhere and illustrate an important chapter in the history of collecting and connoisseurship since about 1700. Peter the Great, as noted in the introduction, included the Netherlands in his Western travels of 1696 and 1718, when he purchased paintings by Rubens, Rembrandt, Jan Steen, Adriaen van Ostade, and such favorites of eighteenth-century amateurs as Philips Wouwerman and Pieter van der Werff. The czar's agents maintained an ambitious program of acquisitions in Holland and at Antwerp and Brussels, but their efforts now appear as a mere prelude to the extraordinary purchases of pictures, and entire collections, by Catherine the Great after her accession to the throne in 1762. Her passionate pursuit of works of art, which involved the most distinguished connoisseurs and critics of the eighteenth century, tends to obscure the achievements of later periods, when the Hermitage gradually evolved into a museum and continued to expand rapidly in an increasingly scholarly fashion. For example, the methodically amassed collection of Petr Semenov-Tianshansky, which included some seven hundred Dutch and Flemish paintings, was acquired in 1910, adding almost two hundred names to the list of Northern artists represented in the Hermitage. Today the Hermitage's collection is a vast and comprehensive repository that contains some of the supreme masterpieces of Western art.

We are of course delighted that after a hiatus of ten years we once again have the opportunity to display some of the greatest works of art from the most celebrated Soviet museums, and it is our fondest hope that the overtures and agreements following in the wake of the cultural agreement of 1985 will prove to be only a harbinger of things to come.

Philippe de Montebello
Director
The Metropolitan Museum of Art

James N. Wood
Director
The Art Institute of Chicago
In 1981 I had the good fortune to visit Leningrad for the first time. There, in the city that has been called “the Venice of the North,” I was struck by the pervasiveness of the magical light. Citizens gathered at dusk in the famed Summer Garden to read poetry, while colors deepened on the walls of eighteenth-century palaces designed by Italian architects. Observing these sights, I was reminded of the historical importance of Leningrad: Peter the Great conceived his capital to be a seat of power and an international gateway. Diderot, Berlioz, and Mahler are among some of the world’s great thinkers who at one time lived in Leningrad, inspired by its illumination and by the drama of its history.

It is only fitting, then, that some of the finest seventeenth-century Dutch and Flemish canvases are housed in Leningrad’s Hermitage, for the old masters were above all concerned with exploring illumination as a spiritual phenomenon. The glow suffusing a church nave, the gleam in the eye of a cavalier, the shimmering surface of a canal—all were part of the special province of Rembrandt, van Ruisdael, van Dyck, and their compatriots. Their paintings are touchstones of universal communication, allowing us, as Marcel Proust observed, “to see the world multiply until we have before us as many worlds as there are original artists.”

Since that first trip to Leningrad, I have hoped that the old master paintings of the Hermitage would someday come to the United States. Sara Lee Corporation is proud to help bring these masterpieces to this country in an unprecedented exchange of exhibitions between the Hermitage and two outstanding American institutions—The Metropolitan Museum of Art and The Art Institute of Chicago. We at Sara Lee aspire to the originality, quality, and talent evident in the plans of beautiful cities and the works of master painters, and we are honored to contribute to a continuing international dialogue conducted in the spirit of great art.

John H. Bryan, Jr.
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Sara Lee Corporation
INTRODUCTION

The collection of seventeenth-century Dutch and Flemish masterpieces at the Hermitage is one of the treasures of the museum and enjoys a reputation as one of the most important collections of its kind in the world. Today it comprises more than 1,800 canvases, including works by Rembrandt van Rijn, Peter Paul Rubens, Anthony van Dyck, Frans Hals, and Jacob van Ruisdael. With rare exceptions—the Hermitage has no works by Johannes Vermeer, for example—the collection contains paintings by all the major artists of the Dutch Golden Age, with a number of these artists extensively represented. Practically all stages in the evolution of Dutch and Flemish art, with their various genres and local schools, are included in the collection. In particular, paintings by pupils of Rembrandt and from the studio of Rubens are seen with exceptional diversity. But side by side with these masterpieces there are also works of fine quality by lesser-known artists. An important feature of the collection is the exceptional condition of the paintings, which is due to the fact that most of them came into the gallery during the first half of the eighteenth century, did not later change hands, and were thus not subjected to repeated restoration. In many ways the collection reflects the artistic tastes of the periods during which it was formed, as well as the personal tastes of those who assembled it. The present exhibition comprises a number of the most famous masterpieces in the Hermitage, including six works by Rembrandt and five by Rubens, spanning different stages in their artistic careers.

A few words about the gallery itself are in order. Although the collection is generally said to have been founded in 1764, when 225 canvases bought from the Berlin merchant I. E. Gotzkovsky arrived in Saint Petersburg, it includes several examples of Dutch and Flemish painting acquired at an earlier date, during the reign of Peter the Great (r. 1682–1725). The Russian czar’s deep interest in Holland is well known. During his first tour abroad, in 1696, and on his second visit to Holland, in 1718, he often attended art auctions. According to his biographer Jacob Stoehlin von Storcksburg, Peter’s favorite artists were Rembrandt, Rubens, Jan van Eyck, Jan Steen, Philips Wouwerman, Pieter Brueghel, Pieter van der Werff, and Adriaen van Ostade. In the early eighteenth century the czar’s emissaries Yuri Kologrivov and Osip Solovev bought some 280 paintings at sales in The Hague, Amsterdam, Antwerp, and Brussels for the newly built palace of
Monplaisir at Peterhof. Of particular note among these works, which included paintings by Steen, Simon de Vlieger, Jacob Ochtervelt, and Jan Fyt, is Rembrandt’s *David’s Farewell to Jonathan*, the first work by this master to arrive in Russia. Purchased at an auction of Jan van Beuningen’s collection held in Amsterdam on May 13, 1716, the canvas was valued at only 80 florins, while a work by Gerard de Lairesse was sold at the same auction for 12,000 florins. Another work bought during Peter’s reign, Fyt’s still life *Hare, Fruit, and Parrot*, is included in the present exhibition (no. 41).

The collecting of Dutch and Flemish paintings in Russia proceeded at a brisk pace. As early as the 1750s picture galleries in the palaces of Oranienbaum and Tsarskoye Selo were filled predominantly with Netherlandish paintings, some of which have ended up in the Hermitage. But the actual shaping of the collection and the establishment of an artistic standard took place from the 1760s to the 1780s. Shortly after coming to the throne in 1762, Empress Catherine II set about realizing her plans to create a court gallery that would rival the collections of Western Europe. The acquisitions she made over a period of twenty years remain the nucleus of the Hermitage’s collection of masterpieces. The era began with the purchase of 225 paintings from I. E. Gotzkovsky in payment of a debt to the Russian state. The collection arrived in Saint Petersburg in the summer of 1764 and contained many works by Dutch and Flemish artists. Although the overall composition of the collection was mixed, it included a number of outstanding
works, among them canvases by Rembrandt, van Dyck, Jacob Jordaens, Henrik Goltzius, and Abraham Bloemaert. It was from this collection too that Hals's *A Young Man Holding a Glove* (no. 11) was acquired. Another early acquisition made during Catherine's reign was van Ruisdael's *The Marsh* (no. 29), one of his most famous landscapes.

If the purchase of works from Goltskovsky was rather arbitrary, subsequent acquisitions made in Western Europe demonstrated a purposeful and well-thought-out selection policy. In selecting paintings Catherine relied not only on her own tastes but also on the authoritative advice of experts—above all, the French encyclopedist Denis Diderot, the French sculptor Etienne-Maurice Falconet, and the German critic Melchior Grimm. Thanks to their support, in a remarkably short time both individual paintings and entire collections were bought at auction in Paris, at that time the most important art center in Europe. In the first years of the gallery's existence a major role in shaping the collection was played by Prince Dmitri Alekseyevich Golitsyn (1738–1803). This well-educated and gifted diplomat was an enthusiastic follower of the ideas of Diderot and Voltaire, and he combined the talents of a man of letters, a historian, and a natural scientist. From 1754 to 1768 he was posted at the Russian embassy in Paris, and in 1768 he was named Russian ambassador to The Hague. Golitsyn selected paintings for the gallery with exceptional energy and taste. It was thanks to him that the Hermitage secured Rembrandt's *Return of the Prodigal Son*. Two works in the present exhibition, Rembrandt's *Flora* (no. 22) and van Dyck's *Family Portrait* (no. 37), were also bought through his mediation. The former painting was included in a sale of Herman Arents’s collection in Amsterdam in April 1770, while the latter was in the Paris collection of La Live de Jullly. Appropriately enough, Prince Golitsyn also played a prominent role in establishing diplomatic contacts between Russia and the United States of America, after the latter country declared its independence in 1776. In 1789 he participated in the first direct diplomatic exchange between Russia and the United States, and his son, Dmitri Dmitrievich Golitsyn, lived in America for many years as a missionary under the name of Father Smith.

The first purchases for the gallery were made in Paris in 1766–68, when two collections were bought. One belonged to the portraitist J. A. J. Aved, and the other to the connoisseur Jean de Jullienne, a friend of Jean-Antoine Watteau. It was from this latter collection that Gabriel Metsu's *The Doctor's Visit* (no. 18) was acquired. But the most valuable purchases—those that were to be decisive for the formation of the Dutch and Flemish sections at the Hermitage—were from three other collections. The most important (1769) was that of Count Heinrich Brühl, the minister of August III of Saxony. The collection of Count Karl Cobenzl, minister to the Austrian court, was purchased in 1768. And in 1773 a number of works from the renowned picture gallery of Pierre Crozat in Paris were acquired.

In addition to the enormous collection of drawings (4,000 sheets) that now forms the basis of the Hermitage’s Drawings Department, Count Cobenzl’s collection contained forty-six paintings. Though small in number, it included
such brilliant works as Rubens’s *A Statue of Ceres in a Niche, Portrait of Charles de Longueval, Roman Charity* (no. 45), and *Venus and Adonis*.

Count Brühl’s collection, on the other hand, comprised about 600 paintings, most of them by Dutch masters. The Hermitage bought three works by Frans van Mieris the Elder, four by Gerard ter Borch, five by van Ostade, numerous works by Wouwerman (a painter highly esteemed in the eighteenth century), and a magnificent selection of Italianate landscapes by Nicolaes Berchem, Jan Asselijn, and Adam Pynacker. Of particular significance, however, were four canvases by Rembrandt (one of them the *Portrait of a Scholar*, no. 23) and landscapes by van Ruisdael, whose *Waterfall in Norway* is included in the present exhibition (no. 30). The Flemish section contained works by Paul Bril, David Teniers, and David Ryckaert and two masterworks by Rubens, *Landscape with a Rainbow* and *Perseus and Andromeda*. The Brühl collection was also the source of Jan Brueghel I’s *Village Street* (no. 36) and the earliest Rubens in the Hermitage collection, *The Adoration of the Shepherds* (no. 44).

The collection assembled by the Paris financier Pierre Crozat (1661–1740) was bought through the active intervention of Diderot and the Geneva collector François Tronchin. One of the collector’s heirs—his nephew Louis-Antoine Crozat, Baron de Thiers and Marquis de Mois—had added to his share of the inheritance with his own acquisitions. By the end of his life he possessed 427 paintings of various schools, a huge library, and fine collections of sculpture and engravings. Since there was no male heir to continue the line, Crozat decided in his later years to part with these treasures. The purchase of his collection in 1772 enriched

---

Fig. 3  The Hermitage: Dutch and Flemish galleries. Photo: James N. Wood
the Hermitage immeasurably. All the sections—Italian, French, Dutch, and Flemish—contained paintings of rare quality. From this collection came the Portrait of a Lady’s Maid, The Dismissal of Hagar, Bacchus, and five oil sketches, all by Rubens, and five portraits by van Dyck (his Self-portrait is in the present exhibition; no. 39). Prominent among the Dutch paintings were works by Rembrandt, especially his Danaë and The Holy Family (no. 25).
In addition to the Crozat collection, other acquisitions, more modest in number but nonetheless extremely important, were made. In 1770 Prince Golitsyn informed Empress Catherine of an opportunity to buy the collection of François Tronchin, with whom he had engaged in a friendly correspondence. As a result the Hermitage added about a hundred paintings from various schools to its collections. For the most part these were smaller, more intimate works, such as Willem van de Velde’s seascape Ships in the Roads (no. 32) and Emanuel de Witte’s A Protestant Gothic Church (no. 33). Neither van de Velde nor de Witte had previously been represented in the Hermitage.

In 1774 the first printed catalogue of the collections was published. Totaling 2,080 entries, this catalogue marked the culmination of an important stage in the history of the museum. The creation of a major collection of paintings in Saint Petersburg—an enterprise about which even Diderot had been skeptical at first—had become a reality.

The Hermitage’s Netherlandish holdings were further enriched by a number of important acquisitions made during the 1770s. Among the smaller collections purchased was that of the English collector John Blackwood in 1771. Of the forty-three works in this collection, the finest was Rembrandt’s tragic and mysterious Haman Recognizes His Fate (David and Uriah?) (no. 26).
Crucial purchases during this period included the collection of the British statesman Sir Robert Walpole, comprising 198 works, acquired in 1779, and the Baudouin collection, 119 works, bought in Paris in 1781. Walpole, who had served as prime minister to both George I and George II, kept his paintings at Houghton Hall, his family seat, and his gallery was reputed to house one of the finest private collections in England. The Walpole holdings included eight small oil sketches by Rubens; the Madonna with Partridges and ten portraits by van Dyck; a self-portrait by Jordaens; and four large still lifes by Frans Snyders. In addition to these works there were Rubens’s Landscape with Stone Carriers (no. 46) and his sketches of The Arch of Ferdinand and The Arch of Hercules (nos. 47 and 48), the latter for the decoration of Antwerp on the occasion of Cardinal-Infante Ferdinand’s visit in 1635; van Dyck’s Henry Danvers, Earl of Danby (no. 40); and a single work by Rembrandt, The Sacrifice of Isaac (no. 24).

The Baudouin collection mainly supplemented the Dutch section of the Hermitage’s holdings. It included nine works by Rembrandt (among them, Portrait of an Old Jew; no. 27) and numerous examples of Dutch landscape painting. Thus, by the end of the eighteenth century, the collections of Dutch and Flemish painting had for the most part taken their final form.

Some acquisitions for the gallery were also made in the Russian domestic
market. The great private collections of such Russian aristocrats as I. I. Shuvalov, Prince A. M. Beloselsky, and Chancellor Prince A. A. Bezborodko were formed during the latter half of the eighteenth century. In 1763 the Imperial Academy of Arts in Saint Petersburg acquired a self-portrait by Michael Sweerts (no. 50) from the collection of I. I. Shuvalov. In the same year The Dairymaid (no. 7), an early work by Aelbert Cuyp in the possession of Prince G. A. Potemkin-Tavrichesky, was bought by Catherine II, along with other paintings from this collector’s heirs.

Neither in scale nor in scope can the acquisitions made in the nineteenth century compare with those made during the reign of Catherine II, although the collection continued to grow at a remarkable rate. The most important additions of this period were the thirty-eight paintings that Emperor Alexander I bought from the Malmaison gallery of Napoleon’s wife, Josephine, in 1814. Exemplary of the high quality of the Malmaison collection are ter Borch’s A Glass of Lemonade (no. 4), Paulus Potter’s The Watchdog (no. 21), and Teniers’s Monkeys in a Kitchen (no. 51). The Hermitage’s finest works by Potter were acquired with this collection, including his famous The Farm.

The Hermitage obtained a number of first-class Dutch paintings at the auction of works belonging to King Wilhelm II, held in 1850 at The Hague. Most of these paintings, however, had little impact on the collection as a whole, and the real significance of this period was the Hermitage’s gradual transition from court gallery to museum. The buildings adjoining the Winter Palace that Catherine had constructed to house her works—the Small Hermitage (1765–75, designed by the architects Felten and Delamot) and the Old Hermitage (1771–87, designed by Felten)—could no longer accommodate the rapidly expanding collections. The New Hermitage, designed by the German architect Leo von Klenze and constructed between 1840 and 1849, contained special exhibition galleries. On the second floor of this building, masterpieces of Dutch and Flemish painting were shown.

Toward the middle of the nineteenth century the first attempts were made to study the collection in a scholarly way. In 1864 a book by the noted authority Gustav Waagen was published; it was followed in 1873 by Wilhelm von Bode’s study on the Dutch paintings in the Hermitage. In the latter part of the century the catalogues of the Dutch and Flemish collections went through several editions.

The long process of amassing the Netherlandish collection reached its culmination in the early years of the twentieth century. In 1910 more than 700 paintings by Dutch and Flemish artists were purchased from the collection of Petr Šemenov-Tianshansky (1827–1915). This prominent explorer, civil servant, and scholar, who was the author of definitive works on geography, geology, entomology, botany, and statistics, had a passion for collecting, and he pursued it in a scientific and single-minded way. In buying the works of Dutch and Flemish masters over the course of half a century throughout Western Europe and Russia, Šemenov-Tianshansky took into account the gaps that existed in the Hermitage’s collections. By consulting such major experts as Bode and Bredius, he amassed
a remarkable collection that included, in addition to works by famous masters, canvases by lesser-known artists. With the acquisition of his collection the names of 190 artists were added to the Hermitage’s catalogue.

After the Revolution of 1917 many private collections owned by the Russian nobility were nationalized and their contents added to the Hermitage. Among these works were Hendrik ter Brugghen’s The Concert, from the collection of Count V. N. Argutinsky-Dolgorukov (no. 6); a self-portrait by Samuel van Hoogstraten formerly in the Anichkov Palace (no. 13); and van Dyck’s Nicolaes Rockox, formerly in the collection of Count Stroganov (no. 38). In 1922 the contents of the gallery of the Academy of Arts, the nucleus of which was the collection that Count N. A. Kushelev-Bezborodko had bequeathed to the Academy in 1862, were added to the Hermitage collections. Of particular note in this group are ter Borch’s Catrina van Luemink (no. 3) and the monumental Italian Landscape by Jan Both (no. 5). Subsequent acquisitions were made through a specially appointed State Purchasing Commission, formed in 1935. Today private collections remain the primary source for the museum’s holdings. In recent years the Hermitage has bought from private individuals works by Berchem, Both, Bloemaert, and Roelant Savery.

The Hermitage has experienced many dramatic episodes in its history. Twice —during World War I and World War II—the gallery’s collections had to be evacuated; they were preserved only through the heroic efforts of its curators.

Irina Sokolova
Curator
The Hermitage

Note to the Reader

The catalogue entries were written by the following members of the curatorial staff of the Hermitage:

NB N. Babina KS K. Semenova
NG N. Gritsai IS I. Sokolova
IL I. Linnik

The research editors at the Hermitage were I. Linnik and K. Semenova.

The entries are in alphabetical order according to the artists’ names.
DUTCH PAINTINGS
OF THE
SEVENTEENTH
CENTURY

Detail: Plate 24
GERRIT BERCKHEYDE

1638 Haarlem—1698 Haarlem
Probably studied with his older brother Job Berckheyde. Worked in Haarlem and from 1660 was a member of the painters' guild. Made a long journey with his brother along the Rhine, visiting Cologne and Heidelberg (1650–53). Painted townscapes, church interiors, and, to a lesser extent, genre scenes.

1. The Departure for the Hunt
   Oil on canvas, 20⅞ × 24⅞ in. (53 × 62.5 cm.)
   Signed lower left corner: Gerit Berck/Heyde
   Inv. no. 959

This painting draws on impressions and possibly sketches from the artist's travels in Germany. Berckheyde is known to have used his drawings of German cities as the source for architectural motifs in the paintings he did after his return home. The model for the building depicted here is probably the Stapelhaus, a fifteenth-century castle in Cologne, though the image is far from a literal rendition. Berckheyde would often place an actual architectural motif in an imaginary setting or assemble monuments from a number of different towns in a single composition. A variation on the Hermitage painting, The Return from the Hunt (Willem Russell collection, Amsterdam), shows several interesting differences in the treatment of the castle. The themes of departure for and return from the hunt occur in a number of paintings by Berckheyde. In his biography of the artist Arnold Houbraken recounts that in Heidelberg Gerrit and his brother Job often watched the Elector and his suite departing for the hunt and made this the subject of a painting that brought them considerable acclaim.

The sunlight, bright coloring, and transparent shadows, as well as the figure of a girl carrying a basket of vegetables on her head, demonstrate the painter's familiarity with works of the Italianate school.

PROVENANCE: Entered the Hermitage between 1763 and 1774

EXHIBITIONS: 1974 Le Havre, no. 1
LITERATURE: Waagen 1864, p. 255; Bode 1873; Fechner 1979, p. 42; Sokolova 1985, p. 68
ABRAHAM BLOEMAERT

1564 Gorinchem—1651 Utrecht
Painter, draftsman, and engraver. Studied with his father, the architect
and sculptor Cornelis Bloemaert, and with Joost de Beer and Antoon
van Blocklandt in Utrecht. Lived in Paris (1580–83) and worked in Amsterdam
(1591–92) and Utrecht (from 1593).

2. Landscape with the Prophet Elijah in the Desert
Oil on canvas, 28½ × 37¼ in. (72 × 97 cm.)
Signed lower left: Bloemaert fecit.
Inv. no. 6802

Bloemaert emerged as the leader of the Utrecht school in the first decade of
the seventeenth century. He was a multifaceted artist who painted religious,
mythological, and genre subjects, as well as landscapes and portraits. In his
landscapes he attempts to abandon the Mannerist scheme of three differently
colored zones of space and to move from a global, cosmic view of nature to a
more intimate and lyrical one. His paintings are sometimes small and include
motifs of everyday village life, such as the gate, fence, and little building in the
present painting. Yet he never entirely escaped the Mannerist legacy, and his
depictions of nature retain a darkened foreground, unnatural color schemes,
and mannered ornamental foliage. Bloemaert often incorporated biblical epi-
isodes into his scenes of country life; here he has drawn on 1 Kings 17:1–7.

Müller (1927) dates the landscape to the second decade of the seven-
teenth century, a date confirmed by comparison with a number of landscapes
engraved after Bloemaert by Boetius à Bolswert in 1614. They are similar in
their general composition, as well as in the tendency to simplification and a
more expansive approach to composition.

PROVENANCE: Returned to the Hermitage
in 1931 from the Pavlovsk Palace Museum.
Entered the Hermitage before 1797. In
a dispatch sent to London the British
ambassador to Holland, Sir Dudley Carlton,
mentions the sale at auction on July 4, 1616,
of a painting by Abraham Bloemaert on the
same subject as the Hermitage work (Oud
Holland, 1985, p. 177). It is possible that the
work in question is the Hermitage painting.
In the sale catalogue of the Cornelis Sybilla
Roos collection, sold in Amsterdam on
November 24, 1806, no. 8 is described as
The Cross Feed Elijah in the Desert, a
painting by Abraham Bloemaert (40 × 50 in.
[101.6 × 127 cm.]). It is difficult to determine
if this was the Hermitage work.
HERMITAGE CATALOGUES: 1958, II, p. 139;
1981, p. 104
LITERATURE: Trubnikov 1912, p. 17;
Kharinova 1923, p. 89; Pappé 1926, p. 198;
Müller 1927, p. 208; Delbancé 1928, no. 17;
Fechner 1963, p. 167; Fechner 1971, pp. 111–17
GERARD TER BORCH

1617 Zwolle—1681 Deventer
Studied with his father, Gerard ter Borch the Elder, and with Pieter Molijn. Worked in Haarlem, Münster, Amsterdam, Zwolle, and Deventer. Painted genre scenes and portraits.

3. *Catrina van Luenink*
   Oil on canvas, 31½ × 23¼ in. (80 × 59 cm.)
   Inv. no. 3783

The exquisite refinement found in many of ter Borch’s works is even more evident in his portraits, with their elegantly slender figures placed in calm, natural poses and their noble bearing and gracefully restrained gestures. In *Catrina van Luenink* ter Borch uses the most subtle gradations of whites, grays, and blacks in an outstanding display of virtuoso technique.

The sitter’s identity was established by Gudlaugsson (1959–60) from the coat of arms on the back of the canvas. Catrina van Luenink (1635–1680) was the wife of Jan van Suchtelen, the burgomaster of Deventer. Ter Borch painted members of van Suchtelen’s family on more than one occasion. The composition of the Hermitage portrait suggests that there was a companion portrait of van Suchtelen himself whose whereabouts is now unknown. Gudlaugsson believes that the Hermitage portrait was the first in a series of female portraits executed from the early 1660s on. Judging from the costume and the sitter’s age, the portrait was painted in 1662–63.

**PROVENANCE:** Transferred to the Hermitage in 1922 from the Museum of the Academy of Arts, Petrograd; formerly in the Kushchev Gallery; prior to this in the collection of the van Suchtelen family

**HERMITAGE CATALOGUES:** 1958, II, p. 279; 1981, p. 173

**EXHIBITIONS:** 1971 Tokyo—Kyoto, no. 46; 1975–76 Washington, D.C., no. 22; 1981 Madrid, p. 58; 1985 Rotterdam, no. 4

**LITERATURE:** Akademia Khudozhestv 1886, no. 74; Hofstede de Groot 1912, V, no. 407; Pappé 1925, p. 47; Gudlaugsson 1959–60, no. 184; Kuznetsov and Linnik 1982, pl. 52

KS
GERARD TER BORCH

4. A Glass of Lemonade
    Oil on canvas, 26¾ x 21¼ in. (67 x 54 cm.)
    Inv. no. 881

Ter Borch's A Glass of Lemonade, with its soft chiaroscuro modeling, subtle color scheme, and masterful execution, is among the finest works of the Dutch school in the Hermitage. A brilliant painter, ter Borch combined great technical skill with a poetic approach to his subject matter. The lyrical mood that suffuses A Glass of Lemonade and the appearance of its protagonists, modeled on the painter's brother Moses and his sister Gesina, gave rise to a long-standing assumption that the painting depicts a scene in a family home. It is generally accepted now that the painting records a scene at the house of a procress, a subject frequently encountered in Dutch art. This reading is borne out by the eloquent gesture of the old woman, who bares as if by chance the girl's shoulder, by the bed in the background, and by the significant glances exchanged by the young people.

A Glass of Lemonade was painted in the second half of the 1660s. An eighteenth-century engraving by A. L. Romanet (Choiseul collection, Paris) shows that at some point an anonymous artist added a lapdog on a chair (at left), a chandelier hanging from the ceiling (above), and a monkey chained to a metal ball (lower right). In the late eighteenth century these elements were removed, leaving only the ball with a length of chain.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage in 1814 from the collection of Empress Josephine at Malmaison; sold at the N. K. Hasselar auction held in Amsterdam, April 26, 1742 (no. 11); subsequently in the Gaëtjart collection in Paris in 1734; included in a sale of the Choiseul collection in Paris, April 6, 1774 (no. 25); sold at the Choiseul-Pralins auction in Paris, February 18, 1793 (no. 104), and then at the Choiseul-Pralins auction of May 18–19, 1808 (no. 18); later appeared at the de Séréville auction in Paris, January 22, 1812

EXHIBITIONS: 1974 The Hague–Münster, no. 52; 1985 Rotterdam, p. 26, no. 3
LITERATURE: Livret 1838, XL, p. 35; Waagen 1864, p. 192; Neufroyev 1898, p. 238; Benois [1910], p. 345; Hofstede de Groot 1912, V, no. 87; Gudlaugsson 1948–49, pp. 235–67; Gudlaugsson 1960, no. 192; Kuznetsov and Linnik 1982, pls. 101, 102

KS
JAN BOTH

ca. 1615 Utrecht—1652 Utrecht
The year of his birth is not known; dates ranging from 1615 to 1620 appear in the literature. Studied with his father, Dirk Both, and, according to Joachim von Sandrart (1675), with Abraham Bloemaert. Worked in Rome (1638–41) and Utrecht (1641–52). Painted landscapes.

5. **Italian Landscape**

Oil on canvas, 60 3/4 x 68 1/2 in. (154 x 174 cm.)
Signed bottom left: JBoth f (J and B in ligature)
Inv. no. 3738

In this majestic canvas by a major Dutch contributor to the Italianate movement, an exceptional harmony has been achieved through the contrast of open and closed spaces, of foreground and background, and of shapes in sunlight and those in shadow. The groups of trees to left and right are wings through which a river landscape is seen. The scene is suffused with a classical mood of contemplative peace. The canvas probably dates from the later period of Both's career, judging by such stylistic elements as the cool palette and the combination of broad brushwork with a detailed treatment of the leaves, grasses, and flowers in the foreground. The large size of the painting is also significant, for it was in the last years of his life that Both turned to such monumental landscapes.

**PROVENANCE:** Transferred to the Hermitage in 1922 from the Museum of the Academy of Arts, Petrograd, which it entered in 1862 as part of the N. A. Kushelev-Bezborodko bequest

**HERMITAGE CATALOGUES:** 1958, II, p. 143; 1981, p. 107

**LITERATURE:** Akademia Khudozhestv 1863, no. 71; Waagen 1864, p. 422; Akademia Khudozhestv 1868, no. 326; Akademia Khudozhestv 1886, no. 8; Shcherbacheva 1924, p. 12; Pappé 1926, p. 200; Fechner 1963, pp. 120, 172, ill. 86; Kuznetsov and Linnik 1982, pl. 214
HENDRIK TER BRUGGHEN

1588 Deventer–1629 Utrecht
Studied with Abraham Bloemaert in Utrecht. Visited Italy (1604–16); was a follower and perhaps a pupil of Caravaggio. Worked in Utrecht (from 1616/17 was a member of the painters' guild). Painted pictures on religious and mythological themes and also genre scenes.

6. The Concert
Oil on canvas, 40\%34 × 32\%34 in. (102 × 83 cm.)
Signed on sheet of music at right: HTB.1626.
Inv. no. 5599

Hendrik ter Brugghen's art has a distinctly national flavor. Although he spent his youth in Italy he remained an artist of striking originality. In The Concert the youthful fervor, the sweeping gestures, and the mischievous expressions of the young musicians create an atmosphere of spontaneous merrymaking. Ter Brugghen's specifically Dutch character can be seen in the types he depicts—simple lads and lasses, broad-faced and perhaps a little coarse. It is in these figures—without conventional prettiness but nonetheless extremely attractive and likable—that ter Brugghen's great strength lies. His work is further distinguished by subtle painterly nuances, soft contours, and an austere palette.

Nicolson (1958) has noted a similarity between the Hermitage painting and Gerrit van Honthorst's paintings Concert (1623; Statens Museum, Copenhagen) and A Merry Company (Alte Pinakothek, Munich).

A copy of this painting was previously in the R. J. Sergejeff collection, Geneva (36\%34 × 31\%34 in. [92 × 79 cm.]). A partial copy, showing the figure of the violinist but without the violin, was sold at the Jürg Stuker auction, Bern, November 26–30, 1957, attributed to Honthorst (25\%34 × 18\%34 in. [64 × 48 cm].)

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage in 1921 from the V. Argutinsky-Dolgorukov collection, Petrograd

EXHIBITIONS: 1956 Moscow–Leningrad, p. 87; 1969 Leningrad, no. 75; 1972 Dresden, no. 45; 1973 Leningrad, no. 60; 1977 Tokyo–Kyoto, no. 17; 1981 Madrid, p. 60; 1985 Rotterdam, no. 6
LITERATURE: Nicolson 1958, no. 38; Vsevolozhskaya and Linnik 1975, no. 12; Nicolson 1979, p. 99; Zolotov 1979, p. 58

IL
AELBERT CUYP

1620 Dordrecht–1691 Dordrecht
Born into a well-known family of Dordrecht painters. Studied with his father, Jacob Gerritsz Cuyp. Two formative influences were Jan van Goyen and Jan Both. Worked in Dordrecht; traveled throughout Holland. Landscape and animal painter, occasional portraitist.

7. The Dairymaid
Oil on canvas, 41 1/4 × 67 3/4 in. (106 × 172 cm.)
Signed lower right: A Cuyp
Inv. no. 828

This painting can be dated on stylistic grounds to about 1647. Several known works by Cuyp are variations on this broad, sunlit landscape with a young dairymaid in the foreground. Unlike many of his contemporaries, who introduced staffage only to enliven a landscape, Cuyp gave animals and people an important place in his paintings. The structure of this composition, the light color range, and the figure of the peasant girl show lingering traces of the style of the painter’s father and teacher, Jacob Gerritsz Cuyp. A preparatory study of the dairymaid was formerly in The Hague (reproduced in Reiss [1975]; present location unknown). In the Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam, there is a sheet by Cuyp depicting a recumbent cow, a motif found in the present painting.

VARIANTS: Duke of Sutherland collection; Weber collection, Hamburg, 1907 (Hofstede de Groot 1908, II, no. 367); National Gallery of Ireland, Dublin; Museum Boymans–van Beuningen, Rotterdam
PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage between 1794 and 1797; may have been in the Prince Potemkin-Tavrichesky collection, Saint Petersburg

LITERATURE: Livret 1838, p. 117, no. 31; Smith 1842, suppl., p. 649, no. 3; Waagen 1864, pp. 237–38; Bode 1873, p. 36; Neustroyev 1898, p. 295; Réau 1912, p. 483; Shcherbachova 1924, p. 16; Reiss 1975, no. 57; Tarasov 1983, p. 145
GERBRAND VAN DEN ECKOUT

1621 Amsterdam–1674 Amsterdam

8. Abraham and Three Angels
   Oil on canvas, 28 × 32¾ in. (71 × 82 cm.)
   Traces of signature and date at bottom left of bench leg:
   G. v. Eec . . . 1656
   Inv. no. 8523

Gerbrand van den Eeckout entered Rembrandt’s studio at a very young age. According to contemporary reports, he became his master’s favorite pupil and his friend. He was more gifted than his fellow pupils Ferdinand Bol and Govert Flinck, although his achievements proved to be less important than theirs. Abraham and Three Angels is based on Genesis 18:1–5. Its composition is related to a work by Lastman (1616) in a private collection, but it has the elegance characteristic of van den Eeckout’s work.

There are two other paintings by the artist on this theme: one is in the Rembrandtshuis, Amsterdam; the other, painted in 1672, was in an auction in Frankfurt in 1897.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage in 1938 through the Leningrad State Purchasing Commission; formerly in the Schidlovsky collection, Saint Petersburg

EXHIBITIONS: 1956 Moscow–Leningrad, p. 106; 1984 Warsaw, no. 6
LITERATURE: Sumowski 1983, no. 422

IL
AERT DE GELDER

1645 Dordrecht–1727 Dordrecht
Studied with Samuel van Hoogstraten in Dordrecht and with Rembrandt (early 1660s) in Amsterdam. Painted portraits and history pictures, more rarely landscapes.

9. **Self-portrait**
   Oil on canvas, 31⅛ × 25½ in. (79.5 × 64.5 cm.)
   Signed right background: A. de Gelder; f.
   Inv. no. 790

Aert de Gelder was Rembrandt’s last and most loyal pupil. He entered his workshop at a period when the aging master had fallen out of fashion and had few students. In this self-portrait of about 1710, de Gelder holds Rembrandt’s *Hundred Guilder* print, as if to stress his devotion to his teacher’s memory. This is all the more remarkable since it was painted at the end of the seventeenth century, when idealizing trends reigned triumphant in Dutch art.

It is also significant not only that de Gelder remained faithful to Rembrandt’s realism but that he tried to develop it still further by searching for the psychological expressiveness of an image and by enriching his palette with new and more delicate tones.

Several scholars have expressed doubts as to whether this is in fact a self-portrait of de Gelder. However, as Sumovsky (1983) correctly pointed out, this same figure appears at different ages in two other self-portraits by de Gelder.

**PROVENANCE:** Acquired by the Hermitage in 1895 from Lazienski Palace, Warsaw; prior to this was sold to J. Eier at the Jan van der Marck auction in Amsterdam, August 8, 1773 (no. 411)
**HERMITAGE CATALOGUES:** 1916, no. 831; 1958, II, p. 177; 1981, p. 126
**EXHIBITIONS:** 1956 Moscow–Leningrad, p. 74; 1972 Leningrad, no. 323; 1985 Rotterdam, no. 7
**LITERATURE:** Kramm, 1857–64, I, p. 556; Lacroix 1856–65, VIII, p. 54, no. 102; Moes 1897, I, no. 2669; Lilienfeld 1914, pp. 107, 114, no. 163; Sumovsky 1983, no. 811; Raupp 1984, p. 348.

Il
JAN VAN GOYEN

1596 Leiden—1656 The Hague
Studied with Isaac van Swanenburgh in Leiden, Willem Gerritsz in Hoorn, and most importantly with Esaias van de Velde in Haarlem. Spent time in France (ca. 1615), Flanders (1615), and Germany and traveled extensively in his own country. Worked in Haarlem (1615–17 and 1634), Leiden (until 1631), and The Hague (1631–56). One of the creators and great masters of the monochrome landscape.

10. Landscape with an Oak
Oil on canvas, 34¾ × 41¼ in. (83 × 105 cm.)
Signed and dated at right: VGOYEN 1634 (V and G in ligature)
Inv. no. 806

This painting’s central motif, an old oak with dead branches and a twisted trunk, appears in a number of paintings by van Goyen from the 1630s to the early 1640s. Among the artist’s drawings are several studies of a tree, of which the closest to the Hermitage painting is a drawing in the collection of Dr. Lisa Oehler, Kassel (Beck 1972–73, I, no. 133). According to Romanov (1936), this motif is iconographically linked to Gerard Segher’s engraving Old Oak Tree and Distant View (Hollstein, XXVI, p. 207, no. 28). It should be pointed out that about 1600 a single tree with fantastically twisted branches was a recurring motif in the work of Dutch artists (notably Hendrik Goltzius, Abraham Bloemaert, and Jacques de Gheyn the Elder). But whereas these older artists were attracted by the tense, fantastic forms of the trunk and branches broken by stormy weather, van Goyen minimizes the oak’s dramatic aspect and makes the tree just another characteristic feature of the humble country landscape.

The two riders conversing with pilgrims at the left depend from a drawing dated 1634 (art market, Vienna, 1969). This same genre scene was later used by van Goyen’s pupils.
FRANS HALS

1581/85 Antwerp–1666 Haarlem

Studied with Carel van Mander in Haarlem. Worked in Haarlem.
Painted single and group portraits and genre subjects. Among his students
were Adriaen van Ostade, Adriaen Brouwer, Judith Leyster, and Jan Miense
Molenaer.

11. A Young Man Holding a Glove

Oil on canvas, 31½ × 26½ in. (80 × 66.5 cm.); strips added to
the sides and the upper edge. Monogram in right background:
FH; traces of a second monogram at left
Inv. no. 982

This portrait reflects the changes in Hals’s work during the 1640s. The optim-
ism of his earlier period, with its hint of rebelliousness and democratic
challenge, has given way to greater restraint and composure; traces of wear-
iness and melancholy, sometimes irony and skepticism, have appeared. The
spontaneous poses of Hals’s previous works have been replaced by a sense of
repose, and the palette resonates with cold silver blacks and olive-gray tones.

Slive (1970–74) has shown that the Hermitage portrait served as the model
for an engraving by E. le Devis, who combined it (in reverse) with the so-called
Mulatto (Museum der Bildenden Künste, Leipzig). The engraving was pub-
lished in London about 1670 under the title The Mountebank Doctor and His
Merry Andrew. This combination is not surprising: the mountebank doctor and
his buffoon assistant would stage theatrical performances in the market square
to attract a crowd, then move on to selling their medicines and “physicking.”

Slive correctly concludes that the combination of these two figures on a
single page would have been E. le Devis’s idea, since Hals would not have united
a traditional portrait with a comic figure that, moreover, had been painted a
decade earlier. He further suggests that the sitter for the Hermitage portrait
could have been a real doctor and that at some point the portrait found its way
to England. In support of this theory Slive refers to one of G. Wartier’s notebooks,
in which Wartier mentions a portrait of a doctor by Frans Hals in his possession
(signed with Hals’s monogram), and to information provided by R. I. Hutchinson,
the curator of the Scottish National Portrait Gallery, Edinburgh, regarding the
appearance of a “fine portrait of a doctor by Francis Hals” at a sale of the
collection of Colonel Charteris about 1732 (no. 99). In both cases it is highly
probable that the work in question was the Hermitage portrait.

Bode (1883) dates the portrait to the 1640s, Hofstede de Groot (1910) to
1647, and Valentin (1923) to 1645. Slive finds it close in style to Hals’s
Portrait of a Man (Wallraf-Richartz-Museum, Cologne) and Portrait of the
Regents of Saint Elizabeth’s in Haarlem (Frans Halsmuseum, Haarlem) and
dates it to about 1640.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage
in 1764 from the Gotskovsky collection,
Berlin; before this was possibly in England
ca. 1670

HERMITAGE CATALOGUES: 1797, no. 1239;
1863–1910, no. 771; 1958, II, p. 170; 1981,
p. 176

EXHIBITIONS: 1972 Moscow, pp. 59–60; 1977
Tokyo–Kyoto, no. 19

LITERATURE: Waagen 1864, no. 771; Bode
1883, p. 129; Moes 1909, p. 181; Hofstede
de Groot 1910, III, no. 308; Bode and Binder
1914, pl. 194; Valentin 1923, p. 197; Trivas
1941, p. 76; Slive 1970–74, I, p. 97, II.
p. 214; III, p. 74, no. 134

KS
GERRIT VAN HONTHORST

ta. 1590 Utrecht—1656 Utrecht
Studied with Abraham Bloemaert in Utrecht. Worked in Rome (1610–19), Utrecht (1620–30, 1652–56; from 1622 a member of the painters’ guild), London (1628), and The Hague (1635–52).

12. Christ in the Garden of Gethsemane
Oil on canvas, 44 1/2 x 43 3/4 in. (113 x 110 cm.)
Inv. no. 4612

Gerrit van Honthorst was the most famous and prolific of the Dutch Caravaggists, and in such early works as the present painting came closest to Caravaggio’s mature style. This painting demonstrates those qualities that won Honthorst fame in Italy: the agitated energy with which he invests his narrative, his mastery of chiaroscuro and composition, and his undoubted gifts as a colorist. It was also in Italy that he was given the nickname Gerardo della Notte (Gerard of the Night), because of his fondness for night scenes illuminated by artificial light. The light in Honthorst’s paintings not only emphasizes the mass of things and creates an unusual compositional sharpness but also lends the scene a peculiar intimacy and feeling.

The story of Christ in the Garden of Gethsemane occurs in all four Gospels, but Honthorst’s interpretation corresponds most closely to Luke (22:41–44), which tells that an angel appeared to Christ as he prayed to “strengthen” him.

The painting was initially attributed to an anonymous seventeenth-century Italian artist. The attribution to Honthorst was made by Shcherbacheva (1956) after comparison with the paintings Madonna and Child with Saint Francis of Assisi and Saint Bonaventure (Albano monastery, near Rome) and The Adoration of the Angels (Galleria degli Uffizi, Florence).

The author agrees with Judson (1959), who dated the Hermitage painting to about 1617.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage before 1859
EXHIBITIONS: 1956 Moscow—Leningrad, p. 96; 1973 Leningrad, no. 73; 1985 Rotterdam, no. 14

LITERATURE: Shcherbacheva 1956, I, pp. 118–21; Judson 1959, no. 39; Vsevolozhskaya and Linnik 1975, nos. 95–97; Nicolson 1979, p. 58; Zolotov 1979, p. 56; Linnik 1980, p. 72; Kuznetsov and Linnik 1982, pl. 120

IL
SAMUEL VAN HOOGSTRATEN

1627 Dordrecht–1672 Dordrecht
Studied with his father Dirk van Hoogstraten in Dordrecht and with Rembrandt in Amsterdam. Worked in Dordrecht (1648–51, 1654–62), London (1662–66), and The Hague (1668–71; from 1668 a member of the Pictura confraternity). Visited Vienna (1651) and Rome (1652). Painted genre scenes, religious and historical subjects, and portraits. Wrote on the history of art (his *Inleyding tot de Hooge Schoole der Schilderkonst*, published in Rotterdam in 1678, is particularly significant).

13. **Self-portrait**
   Oil on canvas, 40 3/8 × 31 3/8 in. (102 × 79 cm.)
   Inv. no. 788

Van Hoogstraten painted many self-portraits; the majority of them have long been known, but those in Soviet collections (the Hermitage and the Voronezh Museum of Art) have been identified only recently.

When it was acquired by the Hermitage the present self-portrait was attributed to Ferdinand Bol under the title *Portrait of an Artist*. It appeared as such in the 1958 catalogue of paintings in the Hermitage. The assumption that it is in fact a self-portrait by van Hoogstraten has been expressed by a number of scholars, including Bauch (1966). This opinion is shared by the present author.

**PROVENANCE:** Transferred to the Hermitage in 1918 from Anichkov Palace, Petrograd
**EXHIBITIONS:** 1985 Rotterdam, no. 16

**HERMITAGE CATALOGUES:** 1958, II, p. 142 (as Ferdinand Bol, *Portrait of an Artist*); 1981, p. 182 (as Hoogstraten [?], *Self-Portrait*)

JAN VAN HUYSUM

1682 Amsterdam–1749 Amsterdam
Studied with his father, Joost van Huysum, a painter of decorative floral still lifes. Worked primarily in Amsterdam. Painted still lifes of flowers and fruits and also arcedian landscapes.

14. A Bouquet of Flowers

Oil on canvas (transferred from wood in 1835), 31½ × 23½ in.
(79 × 60 cm.)
Signed and dated right corner: Jan Van Huysum fecit 1722
Inv. no. 1051

This magnificent bouquet, composed of a variety of luxuriant seasonal blooms, stands in a vase on a stone pedestal. The flowers' structure, form, and coloring have been captured with stunning virtuosity. In contrast to the older generation of artists, Jan van Huysum is known to have painted his flowers from nature; the yellow rose at the lower right (Rosa huysumiana) was a particular favorite of his. The plant world also had a symbolic subtext: the butterflies (the personification of the human soul), insects, and torn, wilted rose are well-known emblems of vanitas, reminding the viewer how transitory is the blossoming of earthly things. The putti on the ceramic vase are also part of this moralizing tradition. In the distance there is a park landscape with a sculptural group modeled on Gianlorenzo Bernini's Apollo and Daphne (Galleria Borghese, Rome).

Huysum first used this type of composition—a bouquet of flowers shown against a light background—about 1720. The Hermitage painting is one of his most refined works. Together with its companion piece, Flowers and Fruits (Hermitage, inv. no. 1049), it entered the Walpole collection at Houghton Hall in the eighteenth century. The two paintings were seen and described by George Vertue, who noted that Walpole bought them for 300 francs. In the old Hermitage catalogues both paintings appear with the note "commissioned by... Walpole," but there is no documentary evidence to support this claim.

A mezzotint of the painting was made by Richard Earlom in 1778 (Le Blanc 1854–89, no. 53).

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage in 1779 from the Walpole collection, Houghton Hall, England
EXHIBITIONS: 1983 Tokyo, no. 45
LITERATURE: Aedes Walpolianae 1752, p. 70; Aedes Walpolianae 1767, p. 70; Smith 1833, VI, no. 99; Livret 1838, p. 470, no. 22; Waagen 1864, p. 275; Bode 1873, p. 45; Neustroyev 1898, p. 311; Shcherbacheva 1926, pp. 47, 57; Hofstede de Groot 1928, X, no. 68; Vertue 1930, I, p. 80, note 7; Shcherbacheva 1943, pp. 57, 70; Grant 1954, no. 28; Vertue 1968, VI, p. 179; Fechner 1981, pp. 34, 172; Kuznetsov and Linnik 1982, pl. 286
PIETER JANSSENS ELINGA

Brugge 1623–before 1682 Amsterdam(?)
Probably studied with his father, the painter Gisbrecht Janssens. Influenced by Pieter de Hooch. Worked in Rotterdam and Amsterdam. Painted genre scenes and still lifes.

15. **Room in a Dutch House**

   Oil on canvas, 24¼ × 23¼ in. (61.5 × 59 cm.)
   Inv. no. 1013

Janssens Elinga’s art was directly influenced by the painting of Pieter de Hooch. Like de Hooch he devoted his career to glorifying the bourgeois way of life and the cult of home and hearth. In artistic terms he was most interested in the problems of depicting sunlight as it penetrates a room and capturing the light and the air that fill interior spaces.

   Like most of Janssens Elinga’s genre paintings, *Room in a Dutch House* was long attributed to Pieter de Hooch. Lipgart (1912) was the first to attribute it to Janssens. There are two variants of the Hermitage canvas: one is in the Musée du Petit Palais, Paris; the other was formerly in the Rickoff collection, Paris. Hofstede de Groot (1891) and Briëre-Misme (1948) have suggested that *Room in a Dutch House* and *Woman Reading* (Alte Pinakothek, Munich) were conceived as companion pieces. Briëre-Misme has also argued that the two paintings formed a diptych, with one canvas showing the active life and the other the contemplative life. However, neither of these hypotheses has been substantiated.

**PROVENANCE:** Acquired by the Hermitage in 1912 from the P. S. Stroganov collection, Saint Petersburg

HERMITAGE CATALOGUES: 1916, no. 1970
   (as Pieter Janssens?); 1958, II, p. 288; 1981, p. 189

**EXHIBITIONS:** 1968–69 Belgrade, no. 37

LITERATURE: Waagen 1864, p. 412 (as Pieter de Hooch); Hofstede de Groot 1891, pp. 286–88; Lipgart 1912, p. 33; Briëre-Misme 1948, pp. 347–54; Fechner 1979, pp. 39, 252; Sutton 1984, p. 203

KS
WILLEM KALF

1619 Rotterdam–1693 Amsterdam
Pupil of Hendrick Pot. Lived in Paris (1642–46) and possibly for a short
time in Italy. Worked in Amsterdam. Painted still lifes and small pictures
depicting peasant courtyards and kitchens.

16. The Dessert
   Oil on canvas, 41⅜ × 34½ in. (105 × 87.5 cm.)
   Signed lower left background: W Kalf (W and K in ligature)
   Inv. no. 2822

This work was created between 1653 and 1664, when, under the influence of
the Amsterdam school and Rembrandt’s later work in particular, Kalf pro-
duced his finest paintings. Like most of Kalf’s still lifes from this period, the
present work is distinguished by its refinement and the care with which the
artist has selected his subjects—the costly dishes and ripe fruits arranged in a
pyramid on a table covered with a magnificent Persian carpet. The deep, warm
colors, unified by muted chiaroscuro, create an impression of sumptuousness
and nobility. The objects in the still life reappear in other paintings by Kalf: the
silver dish with the roemer, fruits, and knife recalls a still life in the T. H. Smidt
van Heller collection in Arnhem, while the same akelpokal (golden guild cup) is
depicted in several still lifes painted by Kalf about 1653–54.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage
   in 1915 from the P.P. Semenov-Tianshansky
   collection, Petrograd
HERMITAGE CATALOGUES: 1958, II, p. 198;
   1981, p. 134
EXHIBITIONS: 1915 Petrograd, p. 25; 1972
   Dresden, no. 86; 1984 Leningrad–Moscow,
   no. 36; 1981 Madrid, p. 42; 1983 Rotterdam,
   no. 17

LITERATURE: Semenov 1906, p. 150, no. 244;
   Shchwinsky 1909, p. 259; Shcherbacheva
   1945, pp. 52–53; Kuznetsov 1966, pp. 184,
   185, no. 41; Grisebach 1974, p. 156, no. 79;
   Fechner 1981, pp. 22, 25, nos. 45, 46;
   Kuznetsov and Linnik 1982, pl. 264

KS
PIETER LASTMAN

1583 Amsterdam—1633 Amsterdam
Studied with Gerrit Pietersz Sweelinck and Cornelis Cornelisz van Haarlem.
Worked in Rome (1603–5); then returned to Amsterdam. Influenced by
Adam Elsheimer and Caravaggio.

17. Abraham on the Road to Canaan

Oil on canvas (transferred from wood), 28⅛ × 48 in.
(72 × 122 cm.)
Signed and dated on stone at left: Pietro Lastman fecit A° 1614
Inv. no. 8306

Rembrandt’s teacher Pieter Lastman was a leading exponent of history painting, and his name is linked to the most important achievements in this genre during the pre-Rembrandt period.

As early as the second decade of the seventeenth century, Lastman had created a type of history painting new for his time. In 1614 he painted one of his best works, Abraham on the Road to Canaan (Genesis 12:1–7, especially verse 7, “And the Lord appeared to Abram, and said, ‘Unto thy seed I will give this land.’”). This biblical episode spoke directly to the Dutch people. In 1609 the United Provinces (Holland, Zeeland, Utrecht, Gelderland, Overijssel, Friesland, and Groningen) concluded a truce with Spain, ending a long struggle for independence. Political speeches, verses by poets, and sermons expressed great joy at the long-sought achievement of peace. Biblical metaphors were universally used—the Dutch were the Chosen People; like Abraham, they had a covenant with God, who pledged that they would possess the Promised Land. It is not surprising that Lastman expressed his own feelings in universally understood biblical images.

Lastman was among the main innovators in history painting. He rejected the high-blown language of his predecessors and believed that a history painting should have the feel of events observed in real life. Lastman took pains to unite the landscape and the figures; he captured vivid, expressive gestures and introduced a wealth of carefully rendered details.

His paintings enjoyed great success among his contemporaries. They also exerted a strong influence on the young Rembrandt, evident not only in the compositional methods and painterly technique that Rembrandt mastered during his six months in Lastman’s studio but also, and more importantly, in the search for a realistic, expressive approach to history painting that he shared with his teacher.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage before 1797; sold in 1854 at an auction of Hermitage paintings; subsequently in the Volkov, Korotkevich, and Solomon collections; returned to the Hermitage in 1938 through the State Purchasing Commission.


EXHIBITIONS: 1956 Moscow—Leningrad, p. 80; 1969 Leningrad, no. 54; 1985 Rotterdam, no. 18

LITERATURE: Semenov 1885, I, pp. 158–59; Semenov 1906a, p. XXX; Freise 1911, p. 32, no. 2; Wrangel 1913, p. 91, no. 162; Shcherbachev 1940, pp. 40–41, no. 8; Tümpel 1974, pls. 43, 45; Wittrock 1974, pp. 10–11; Tümpel 1980, II, p. 145; Kuznetsov and Linnik 1982, pl. 119
GABRIEL METSU

1629 Leiden–1667 Amsterdam

Thought to have studied with Gerard Dou in Leiden. Influenced by Jan Steen and Johannes Vermeer. Worked in Leiden (in 1648 was a founder of the painters' guild) and Amsterdam (1657–67). Painted genre scenes and, more rarely, historical compositions.

18. The Doctor's Visit

Oil on canvas, 24 × 18¾ in. (61 × 48 cm.)
Signed upper left above door: G Metsu
Inv. no. 919

Among Dutch genre painters Gabriel Metsu is distinguished by his refined and subtle painting and his interest in conveying the emotional state of his protagonists. Though he used the subject matter and pictorial structure of his contemporaries, Metsu sometimes escaped the confines of simply recording everyday life by investing a standard subject with his own more profound and more subtle understanding of life. This is evident in both The Sick Woman (Staatliche Museen, Berlin-Dahlem) and the poignant The Sick Child (Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam). In the Hermitage's The Doctor's Visit, however, the subject is treated with a certain duality. The sinister figure of the doctor, enveloped in his black cloak, the half-swooning young woman, and the room shrouded in semidarkness all convey the impression of some tragic event. Yet at the same time the symbolic accessories and the slyly inquiring look on the old woman's face are traditional allusions to the possible cause of the young lady's illness—a lover's tryst may perhaps have led to an unexpected pregnancy.

The theme of the doctor visiting a young lady is frequently encountered in Dutch painting and is usually treated in a playfully frivolous manner. The lover's ailment, known in seventeenth-century Holland as soetepijn, minne-pijn, or minne koorts, was hinted at by such details as love letters, statues of cupids, suggestive paintings on the walls, ribbons dipped in urine, which were used to diagnose pregnancy, and so forth. Some of these details are present in the Hermitage painting. The doctor was an indispensable figure in such a situation and, dressed in his semitheatrical attire and flaunting his pseudoscientific knowledge, would have been understood by contemporaries as a comic character in the commedia dell'arte tradition.

Most scholars believe that the picture was painted in the 1660s, arguing that the high quality of the work places it at the very peak of the artist's creative powers. A copy by Pieter van Slingeland is in the Art Gallery and Museum, Glasgow. There is also a drawing treating the subject in a similar manner, formerly in the H. Oppenheimer collection, London, which Regteren Altena (1963) has identified as a copy by Matthijs van den Bergh.
PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage in 1767 at sale of the Jean de Julienne collection, Paris; until 1702 was in the Jan Agges collection, Amsterdam.


EXHIBITIONS: 1974 Le Havre, no. 22; 1981 Madrid, p. 44; 1985 Rotterdam, no. 19

LITERATURE: Livret 1838, XXX, no. 26; Waagen 1864, p. 195; Hofstede de Groot 1907, I, no. 114; Pappé 1927, p. 15; Regteren Altena 1963, pp. 13–19; Robinson 1974, pp. 57, 85, note 102; Kuznetsov and Linnik 1982, pl. 107

KS
ISAACK VAN OSTADE

1621 Haarlem—1649 Haarlem
Studied with his brother Adriaen van Ostade. Worked in Haarlem. Painted landscapes and scenes from peasant life.

19. The Frozen Waterway

Oil on canvas, 23 3/4 × 31 3/4 in. (59 × 80.5 cm.)
Signed and dated lower right on wall of house: Isaack van Ostade 1648
Inv. no. 907

With his paintings of trees and canals, shown in both summer and winter and enlivened by many figures, Isaack van Ostade occupies a place midway between landscape painter and genre painter. He was the younger brother and pupil of the well-known painter Adriaen van Ostade, and his early works show his brother’s influence. By the mid-1640s, however, Isaack van Ostade had developed a style of his own, marked by considerable mastery of composition and execution. The Frozen Waterway is the work of a fully mature painter capable of rendering aerial perspective and capturing the effect of sunlight breaking through clouds hovering over water.

Hofstede de Groot mistakenly dated the painting 1642, noting, however, that the last number was unclear. After a thorough study of the signature and the date, Kuznetsov (1960) discovered that the final number was not a 2 but an 8 written on its side.

EXHIBITIONS: 1960a Leningrad, no. 43; 1974 Le Havre, no. 16; 1983 Tokyo, no. 13; 1985 Rotterdam, no. 20

LITERATURE: Livret 1838, p. 24, no. 50; Smith 1842, IX, suppl. 10; Waagen 1864, pp. 210–11; Neustroyev 1898, p. 243; Hofstede de Groot 1910, III, no. 262; Benois [1910], p. 39; Tartakovskaya 1933, p. 16; Kuznetsov 1960; Fechner 1963, p. 74, nos. 167, 177

KS
ADAM PYNACKER

1621 Pijnacker—1673 Amsterdam
Painter and engraver. Worked in Delft (1649), Schiedam (1657–58), and Amsterdam. Traveled to Italy. Painted Italianate landscapes.

20. *Mountain Landscape with a Waterfall*
Oil on canvas, 27½ × 23½ in. (70 × 60 cm.)
Signed lower right: APynacker (A and P in ligature)
Inv. no. 1096

A waterfall cascading from a tall cliff is the main subject of this elegant landscape with its masterly treatment of sunlight. It is no accident that in the foreground the artist depicts a shepherd pointing to the cascade of water and beside him a peasant girl mounted on a donkey. Steland has established that the waterfall motif was first used as an independent theme about 1640 by Dutch Italianists working in Rome—Herman van Swanevelt, Jan Both, and Jan Asselijn (Steland 1984, pp. 85–104). Somewhat later Pynacker also turned to this theme, and in his earliest work, dated 1654 (Staatliche Museen, Berlin-Dahlem), he incorporated the waterfall motif. The present painting shows traces of Jan Both’s influence in the slender trees with their fine, translucent foliage. But hallmarks of the mature Pynacker are already apparent in the restless outlines of the cliffs, in the broken silhouettes of the bare trunks and branches, and in the unsettled movements of the staffage group.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage in 1769 from the Brühl collection, Dresden
HERMITAGE CATALOGUES: 1774, no. 313;
EXHIBITIONS: 1983 Tokyo, no. 17

LITERATURE: Livret 1838, p. 112, no. 15;
Waagen 1864, p. 247; Bode 1873, p. 43;
Scherbacheva 1924, p. 13; Hofstede de Groot 1926, IX, no. 57; Fechner 1963, p. 121, ills. 90, 91; Steland 1984

IS
PAULUS POTTER

1625 Enkhuizen—1654 Amsterdam

Studied with his father, Pieter Salomonsz Potter, and possibly also with Claes Moeyaert. Worked in Delft (became a member of the painters’ guild in 1646), The Hague (1649–50), and Amsterdam (1652–54). Landscape and animal painter.

21. *The Watchdog*

Oil on canvas, 38 × 52 in. (96.5 × 132 cm.)
Signed on box at right: Paulus Potter f
Inv. no. 817

Paulus Potter was one of the first Dutch painters to confront the problem of rendering the effect of daylight on the physical world. His landscapes are permeated with fresh transparent air and light and with the sense of serene well-being and tranquility that distinguishes all mid-seventeenth-century Dutch painting. Potter’s particular interest was animal painting, and he made several attempts to create a monumental work in this genre. One of the most successful is the present painting. With its dense right section and, on the left, a view leading off into the distance, the composition recalls Potter’s *The Young Bull* of 1647 (Mauritshuis, The Hague). Here Potter has combined his skill as a painter, his rare powers of observation, his poetic inspiration, and his precision in recording nature. Describing a similar work by Potter, Eugène Fromentin wrote: “The animal is its right age, correct in type, in character and temperament, in length and height, in joint, bone and muscle, in rough and smooth, short and curly hair, in loose and tight skin—the whole is done to perfection” (Fromentin 1948, p. 120). These words apply equally to the present painting. Six (1907) has suggested that *The Watchdog* was commissioned by Dirk Tulp, the son of Potter’s patron the physician Nicolaes Tulp, who was earlier Rembrandt’s patron. Six believes that Tulp may have brought the dog back with him from Moscow when he returned from a journey through Russia in 1648.

The painting’s broad brushwork dates it to 1653–54. Hofstede de Groot (1911, no. 174a) states that a study for the Hermitage painting (oil on wood, 12 ¼ × 12 ¼ in. [31.2 × 31.2 cm.]), dated 1653, was sold at the Jan van der Marck auction, held in Amsterdam, August 25, 1773.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage in 1814 from the collection of Empress Josephine at Malmaison. Previously sold at the Jan van der Marck auction, Amsterdam, in 1773 (no. 246), then at the Naugard collection auction, Paris, in 1780, and subsequently at the auction of the Marquis de Marain collection, Paris (no. 86). The painting was then acquired by the Gemäldegalerie, Kassel, whence it was removed by the French in 1806; bought by Smeet van Allen and sold at a sale of his paintings in 1810; bought by Lebrun and sold by him in 1811, in Paris; then entered the Malmaison collection.

EXHIBITIONS: 1977 Tokyo–Kyoto, no. 20; 1983 Rotterdam, p. 68, no. 21
LITERATURE: Livret 1838, X1, no. 37; Smith 1842, V, no. 34; Waagen 1864, p. 225; Neustroyev 1898, p. 293; Six 1907, VII, pp. 8, 11, 12; Benois [1910], p. 393; Hofstede de Groot 1911, IV, no. 132; Trubnikov 1912, p. 7, no. 9; Tarasov 1983, p. 156
REMBRANDT VAN RIJN

1606 Leiden–1669 Amsterdam
Painter, draftsman, and engraver. Pupil of Jacob van Swanenburgh in Leiden (1624–27) and of Pieter Lastman in Amsterdam (ca. 1631). Had many pupils, including, in Leiden, Gerard Dou, and, in Amsterdam, Jacob Backer, Govert Flinck, Gerbrand van den Eeckout, Ferdinand Bol, Philips de Koninck, Carel Fabritius, Jan Victors, Nicolaes Maes, and Aert de Gelder. Painted portraits, historical, religious, allegorical, and mythological subjects, and, more rarely, landscapes.

22. *Flora*

Oil on canvas, 49⅜ × 39⅜ in. (125 × 101 cm.)
Signed and dated lower left: Rembrandt f. 1634
Inv. no. 732

Here Rembrandt has depicted his first wife, Saskia, as Flora, the Roman goddess of spring and flowers. Saskia was the daughter of Rumbartus van Uylenburgh, the burgomaster of Leeuwarden. She was betrothed to Rembrandt in 1633, and their marriage took place the following year. Both events were recorded in Rembrandt's art. Three days after their betrothal he made a silverpoint drawing of Saskia wearing a broad-brimmed hat decked with flowers and holding a flower (Kupferstichkabinett, Berlin-Dahlem). The drawing is inscribed in Rembrandt's hand: "This is my wife at the age of twenty-one, three days after our betrothal. June 8, 1633." Also from that year is a painted portrait of Saskia (Gemäldegalerie, Dresden). Then in 1634 Rembrandt painted *Flora*, following it the next year with a second version (National Gallery, London), in which only the pose of the goddess was substantially altered.

Rembrandt's technique in *Flora* recalls that of his teacher Pieter Lastman, particularly in the execution of the clothing and the elegant furnishings. But he has obviously taken great pains to make his brushwork varied and expressive. In the modeling of the face and hands the strokes flow smoothly into one another, become thick around the edges and folds of clothing, and are boldly linear in defining the flowers and foliage.

In the Hermitage's early inventories and catalogues *Flora* was called *The Young Jewess* and *The Jewish Bride*. These names refer to a 1635 etching by Rembrandt called *The Great Jewish Bride* (Bartsch 340). There is undoubtedly a strong similarity between the faces, coiffures, and costumes of the subjects in the etching and in the London and Leningrad versions of *Flora*. But the title of the etching, by which it has been known since the eighteenth century, was purely conventional: in fact it probably depicts the subject of Esther About to Set Out for Artaxerxes. A preparatory drawing (Benesch 292) in the Nationalmuseum, Stockholm, shows that Saskia was also the model for this etching.

The Hermitage *Flora* appeared at the sale of the Arensberg collection in Amsterdam in 1770, under the title *Portrait of a Lady Dressed as a Shepherdess Shown Full-length Against a Landscape*. In this case the title was not purely fortuitous, for it was a fashion in Holland in the first half of the seventeenth century to use costumes of shepherds and shepherdesses in portraits. This vogue was stimulated by the publication about 1605 of Pieter Cornelisz Hooft's pastoral romance *Granida and Daifilo*. In 1636 Rembrandt's pupil Govert Flinck painted companion portraits of his teacher as Daifilo (Rijksmuseum,
Amsterdam) and of Saskia as Granida (Herzog Anton Ulrich-Museum, Brunswick). This image of Saskia the bride in her flower-trimmed straw hat played an important part in Rembrandt's conception of Flora. There is, in addition, a drawing that belongs both chronologically and formally between the Berlin drawing and the Leningrad and London paintings. This is the Young Woman in a Wide-Brimmed Hat Holding a Crook (Rijksprentenkabinet, Amsterdam), which Slive (1965) believes to be a study for the figure of Flora. While conceding the formal similarity between the drawing and the two paintings, we are more inclined to believe that the young woman is a shepherdess, because of the flask shown at her right side. If so, this would establish a new stage in the history of Flora's conception. Saskia did in fact pose as a shepherdess, and several scholars, among them Kieser (1941–42) and MacLaren (1960), are still of the opinion that in both Flora's Rembrandt depicted his wife as an arcadian shepherdess.

It is this author's opinion that, in the process of working on the painting, Rembrandt abandoned his original intention and decided instead to portray Saskia as the goddess Flora. This change of heart probably came about after he had seen Titian's Flora (Galleria degli Uffizi, Florence), which was then in the collection of Alphonso Lopez in Amsterdam. Titian's painting made a profound impression on Rembrandt, and it was this model he had in mind when, in 1656, he used Hendrickje Stoffels as the model for his new Flora (Metropolitan Museum, New York).

It is in the seventeenth-century sources that we finally discover the true title of the Hermitage painting. In a note written in 1635 in Rembrandt's own hand on the back of a drawing (Kupferstichkabinett, Berlin-Dahlem; Benesch 448) the artist records the sale of several paintings by his pupils that depict Flora. The assumption that these paintings were copies of the Leningrad and London canvases by Rembrandt is thus proved to be correct, and Michel's theory (1893) can now be confirmed by documentary evidence. As a typical work of the 1630s, permeated with classical mythology, Flora fits perfectly into that body of Rembrandt's work inspired by Saskia: Bellona (1633; Metropolitan Museum, New York), Minerva (1635; J. Weitzner collection, London), and Sophonisba (1634; Prado, Madrid). There is an early, partial copy (cut down?: oil on canvas, oval format, 27½ x 21¾ in. [70 x 55 cm.]) in a private collection in The Hague.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage before 1775; appeared in 1770 at the Harmen Arents auction in Amsterdam
EXHIBITIONS: 1956 Moscow–Leningrad, no. 8; 1956 Amsterdam–Rotterdam, no. 24; 1968 Tokyo–Kyoto, no. 3; 1975–76 Washington, D.C., no. 18; 1981 Madrid, p. 481; 1982 Tokyo, no. 3; 1984 Warsaw, no. 1; 1985 Rotterdam, no. 22
REMBRANDT VAN RIJN

23. Portrait of a Scholar
Oil on canvas, 41 × 36½ in. (104 × 92 cm.)
Signed and dated lower right: RHL 1631
Inv. no. 744

Early in autumn 1631 Rembrandt moved from Leiden to Amsterdam. Houbraken (1718) remarked, "In order to paint portraits and other pictures he often had occasion to be in Amsterdam, and seeing that conditions in this city were favorable and might lead to his advancement, he considered it useful to make it his permanent place of residence."

The portraits that occasioned Rembrandt's frequent trips to Amsterdam in 1631, and his subsequent move there, still survive. These are the portrait of the Amsterdam merchant Nicolaes Ruts (Frick Collection, New York) and the Hermitage's so-called Portrait of a Scholar. At one time, on the basis of a conjecture by Waagen (1864), the portrait was thought to be of the famous Amsterdam calligrapher Lieven Coppenol. Later, when comparison with an authentic portrait of Coppenol ruled out Waagen's suggestion, scholars were divided in their opinions. Bode (1883) surmised that the sitter was a professor at Leiden University; Hamann (1948) and Bredius (1969) suggested that he was an Amsterdam or Leiden scholar. It is possible, however, that the sitter was not a scholar but rather a public servant or a municipal employee.

Rembrandt followed contemporary Flemish models in painting his first large commissioned portraits, and the Portrait of a Scholar was modeled on Rubens's Caspar Gevartius (Royal Museum of Fine Arts, Antwerp), of about 1628. In reworking the prototype, however, he nearly eliminated the posed quality that Rubens emphasized. The sense of stiff formality disappears, and the portrait becomes simpler and more intimate. In his effort to make the painting lifelike Rembrandt has captured the sitter with his hands paused in the act of writing. The slight edge of irritation in the scholar's expression is psychologically convincing in a man interrupted at his work. The painting's limited palette—the blacks and whites of the clothing and the gray-green background—the smooth contours around the light and dark patches, and the unusual, almost square format all convey an aura of seriousness, solidity, and stability.

The artist's brushwork is likewise calm and measured. It follows each shape closely, revealing its volume and texture. The viewer not only sees but as it were feels the soft skin of the hands, the cool page of the open book, the loose weave of the tablecloth. These objects provide contrasts in color and in texture, with the artist emphasizing their essential differences.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage in 1769 from the Brühl collection, Dresden
EXHIBITIONS: 1936 Moscow—Leningrad, no. 3; 1938 Leningrad, no. 144; 1956 Moscow—Leningrad, pp. 40–50; 1968 Tokyo—Kyoto, no. 1; 1968—69 Belgrade, no. 35; 1969 Leningrad, no. 2; 1982 Tokyo, no. 1
LITERATURE: Waagen 1864; Bode 1883, 1864; Valentiner 1909, p. 65; Hofstede de Groot 1913, VI, no. 775; Weislach 1926, p. 263; Benesch 1935, p. 11; Hamann 1948, p. 138; Knattel 1956, p. 45; Levinson-Lessing 1956, p. 51; Bauch 1966, no. 349; Gersch 1968, no. 54; Bredius 1969, no. 146; Levinson-Lessing 1971, no. 3; Rembrandt Corpus 1986, II, A44; Tümpel 1986, p. 77, no. 191
REMBRANDT VAN RIJN

24. *The Sacrifice of Isaac*

Oil on canvas (transferred to a new canvas), 76 × 52¼ in.
(193 × 132.5 cm.)
Signed and dated lower left: Rembrandt f. 1635
Inv. no. 727

During the mid-1630s Rembrandt’s art coincided most closely with the prevailing Baroque style. The large painting *The Sacrifice of Isaac* dates from this period. Rembrandt has chosen to depict the most dramatic moment of the Old Testament narrative (Gen. 22:10–12): the father’s dagger is about to pierce the son’s throat when an angel appears and stops the sacrifice. The suddenness of these events is underscored by the dagger falling from Abraham’s hand and the expression of terrified amazement on his face. The composition has been conceived as a beautiful and elegant theatrical spectacle. The fluttering folds of Abraham’s cloak and his disheveled hair; the restless flashes of light falling on Isaac’s face, hands, and naked body; and the jagged outlines and dynamic splashes of color create an effect of heightened drama. Held (1969) noted the painting’s theatrical composition and suggested that Rembrandt may have been familiar with *Abraham Making His Sacrifice*, a play by Theodore Beza (1519–1605) that emphasized the aspects of Abraham’s behavior and emotional state that are highlighted in the painting.

The following year Rembrandt returned to this theme in a drawing (British Museum, London; Benesch 90), after which one of his pupils executed a painting in 1636 (Alte Pinakothek, Munich). The main compositional innovations in this version are the altered pose of the angel and the addition of a lamb caught in the bushes, which is to take Isaac’s place on the sacrificial pyre. The Munich painting owes a great deal to a picture by Pieter Lastman on the same subject. (Lastman’s painting exists in two versions: one, dated 1616, is in the Louvre; the other has not survived and is known only through an engraving by J. van Somer.) The angel is shown flying toward Abraham, not from the left as in the Hermitage painting, but from behind, as in Lastman’s. Weisbach (1926) has shown that the angel in the Hermitage canvas has its prototype in Titian’s *Sacrifice*, painted for the ceiling of the Church of Santa Maria della Salute, Venice. But both the Hermitage and Munich paintings retain the expressive gesture of Lastman’s angel.

The Hermitage painting was engraved in 1781 by John Murphy and published in Walpole’s *Set of Prints . . .*, 1788, no. XXIII (Le Blanc 1854–59, III, p. 73, Murphy no. 1).

**PROVENANCE:** Acquired by the Hermitage in 1779 from the Walpole collection, Houghton Hall, England (acquired by Walpole in 1767); previously sold in Amsterdam, September 16, 1760 (no. 1).

**HERMITAGE CATALOGUES:** 1863–1916, no. 792; 1958, II, p. 252; 1981, p. 164

**EXHIBITIONS:** 1936 Moscow–Leningrad, no. 8; 1936 Moscow–Leningrad, p. 51; 1968 Tokyo–Kyoto, no. 5; 1969 Amsterdam, no. 4a; 1969 Leningrad, no. 8; 1982 Tokyo, no. 4


IL
REMBRANDT VAN RIJN

25. *The Holy Family*

Oil on canvas, 46⅜ × 35⅝ in. (117 × 91 cm.). A strip of canvas 1 in. (2.5 cm.) wide has been added at the top, and a concealed strip 5⅜ in. (1.5 cm.) wide has been added at the bottom.

Signed and dated bottom left: Rembrandt f. 1645

Inv. no. 741

In the 1640s Rembrandt painted a series of canvases depicting the Holy Family, the finest of which is the present work. Rembrandt’s treatment so much resembles a genre scene that the painting might well be called *The Carpenter’s Family*. Rembrandt’s *Holy Family* is not, however, merely a scene from everyday life, found so often in Dutch art, but a work suffused with a profound lyricism. The painting is based on preparatory studies, and the figure of Mary may well have been modeled directly on Hendrickje Stoffels, the young peasant girl who entered Rembrandt’s house as a servant and became his devoted companion. Three drawings have survived which are undoubtedly related to the painting. One of these is a general compositional sketch showing the Holy Family seated (L. Clark collection, Cambridge, Mass.; Benesch 569) and possibly depicting Joseph’s Dream, the event preceding the Flight into Egypt. According to the New Testament, however, this episode should show a single angel rather than little cherubs, warning Joseph that Jesus is in danger, and it is this scene that Rembrandt depicts in another painting, of 1645, in the Staatliche Museen, Berlin-Dahlem (Bredius 569). In the Clark drawing the group of cherubs and the basket in which the Infant Jesus lies are shown in great detail and are very close to the painting. The second drawing directly related to the Hermitage picture is a general sketch in the Musée Bonnat, Bayonne (Bredius 567). Finally, the H. Oppenheimer collection contained a sketch of the basket with the sleeping infant which is an exact replica of the motif in the Hermitage work. *The Sketch of the Head of a Seated Girl* (private collection, United States; Bredius 375), which is also considered a study for this painting, now appears to be a partial copy by Rembrandt’s pupils, as suggested by Gerson (1968).


REMBRANDT VAN RIJN

26. Haman Recognizes His Fate (David and Uriah?)
Oil on canvas, 50 × 45% in. (127 × 116 cm.)
Signed lower right: Rembrandt
Inv. no. 752

In his late historical paintings Rembrandt avoided detailed storytelling. As a consequence there are a number of paintings whose subject matter is extremely difficult to decipher and which remain somewhat enigmatic. The Hermitage's Haman Recognizes His Fate is one such work.

For many decades following its acquisition in 1769 the painting was known as Haman's Disgrace because it was thought to illustrate the events narrated in Esther 4–6. Despite the fact that neither the characters (with the exception of the principal figure) nor their psychological state correspond to the biblical narrative, the traditional attribution remained unchallenged until the twentieth century. Valentiner (1921) suggested that the canvas depicted an event from 2 Samuel 11:1–27. This theory was supported by Linnik (1956), and the painting was given the title David and Uriah. Valentiner suggested yet another reading of the subject in 1957: Jonathan Leaves Saul's Feast (1 Kings 20:24–34). But here too the mood of the protagonist had little in common with the biblical story.

As early as 1911 Hofstede de Groot, in his book Rembrandt's Bible, had interpreted the painting's traditional title as a reference to events described in Esther 7 rather than 6. Ahbel (1964–65) and Kahr (1965) arrived at the same conclusion, as did Tümpel (1968). Nevertheless, this reading also failed to account fully for the content of the painting, and Nieuwenstraten (1967) rejected it, arguing instead that the painting had been cut down and had thus lost details essential for a correct reading of the story. However, examination of the edges of the canvas negates the hypothesis that the canvas was substantially cut down and once contained major figures or details that might have shed some light on the subject.

The powerful psychological insight with which the central figure is painted makes this one of Rembrandt's most impressive works. The gray, pinched face beneath an enormous turban seems small and pathetic against the luxurious crimson clothing, a color-saturated combination of red and ochre brushwork. By contrast the figures in the background are painted in a different palette and a less intense, rather simplified psychological key. It is as if, having put all his strength and emotion into his protagonist, Rembrandt saw these secondary figures only as an accompaniment to the sufferings of the hero. This impression of disparity is strengthened by the scale of the figures: those in the background are reduced more drastically than the distance separating them from the foreground demands. In fact several specialists have questioned whether the background figures are by Rembrandt himself.

The painting is generally dated to about 1665.


Il
REMBRANDT VAN RIJN

27. Portrait of an Old Jew
Oil on canvas (relined), 42⅞ × 33⅞ in. (109 × 84.8 cm.),
including strips added to the bottom and sides; 35 × 30⅞ in.
(89 × 76.5 cm.) minus the strips
Signed and dated in left background near the shoulder:
Rembrandt f. 1654
Inv. no. 737

The United Provinces were known for their great religious tolerance and gave refuge to Jews fleeing the Inquisition in Italy and Portugal. The majority of them lived in Amsterdam on the Jodenbreestraat, where Rembrandt’s house was situated. As a devoted reader and interpreter of the Bible, Rembrandt found in this milieu the models for his biblical and evangelic compositions; he also made etchings and paintings of his Jewish friends, many of whose names are unknown. One of these anonymous sitters was the old man with the wise and expressive face who posed for the present portrait. His unconventional clothing suggests that this was no standard commissioned portrait. The expressiveness of the image has been created by what seem to be extremely limited means: the figure posed symmetrically in the form of a broad-based triangle, the folds of the clothing falling freely and rhythmically. This compositional austerity adds to the portrait’s monumental grandeur, while the sitter himself conveys great spiritual strength.

This portrait may be a companion piece to the Portrait of an Old Woman, also in the Hermitage (inv. no. 738), although there are as yet no grounds to conclude that the two models were a married couple.

Tümpel (1986) maintains firmly that the two paintings are not portraits but are depictions of historical personages who appear in the narrative paintings of Rembrandt and his pupils.


IL
JACOB VAN RUISDAEL

1628/29 Haarlem—1682 Amsterdam
A nephew and possibly a pupil of Salomon van Ruysdael, whose influence can be seen in van Ruisdael’s early works. Also influenced by Cornelis Vroom. Traveled in Germany with the painter Nicolaes Berchem (ca. 1650). Worked in Haarlem (a member of the painters’ guild from 1648) and later in Amsterdam (from 1657).

28. Small House in a Grove
Oil on canvas, 41⅛ × 64⅛ in. (105 × 163 cm.)
Signed and dated right center: JvRuisdael 1646
(J. v. and R in ligature)
Inv. no. 939

Small House in a Grove is one of the earliest dated works by Jacob van Ruisdael. Two years after he painted it, in 1648, the young artist was admitted to the Haarlem Guild of Saint Luke. His mature handling of the duny landscape, his careful observation of and his precision in depicting plant motifs, and his ability to focus on essentials are evidence of his exceptional individuality as an artist. As Hofstede de Groot (1911) noted, “it is hard to believe that this is the work of a seventeen-year-old youth.”

The subject of the painting, the choice of motifs, and the color scheme indicate the painters who influenced van Ruisdael in his first years as an independent artist. Cornelis Vroom among them. Similar compositions can be found in the work of such artists of the older generation as Salomon van Ruysdael and Jan van Goyen. What is striking in the present painting, however, is the artist’s attempt to create a monumental image of nature. This is particularly evident in the large size of the canvas, so unexpected in the work of an inexperienced painter.

The composition is dominated by a group of tall trees on a hill, a motif that reappears in more dramatic form in an etching by van Ruisdael of 1649 (Hollstein 1978, XX, p. 175, no. 6).

There have been several opinions expressed on the staffage in the Hermitage painting. Hofstede de Groot (1911) maintained that the figures were the work of another artist. Simon (1930 and 1935) attributed them to Jacob’s father, Isaack van Ruisdael, but as Slive and Hoetink (1981) have correctly noted, this suggestion seems improbable. Jacob van Ruisdael himself frequently painted the people and animals in his early works.

A smaller version of this painting (oil on canvas, 27½ × 34½ in. [68.8 × 87.5 cm.]) is in Gouverneurs Huis, Paramaribo, Surinam.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage before 1838
LITERATURE: Waagen 1864, p. 243; Bode 1873, p. 38; Benois [1910], p. 410; Wurzbach 1910, II, p. 520; Hofstede de Groot 1911, IV, no. 893; Scherbacheva 1924, p. 21; Pappé 1926, p. 200; Rosenberg 1928, no. 552; Simon 1930, p. 189 (staffage by Isaack van Ruisdael); Simon 1935, p. 17 (staffage by Isaack van Ruisdael); Fechner 1958, p. 9; Fechner 1963, p. 93, Ills. 62, 63; Slive and Hoetink 1981, no. 3
29. *The Marsh*

Oil on canvas, 28¾ × 39 in. (72.5 × 99 cm.)
Partially effaced signature lower left: IvRuisdael
(I, v. and R in ligature)
Inv. no. 934

*The Marsh*, one of the most renowned paintings in the Hermitage collection, is a key work from Jacob van Ruisdael’s finest period. His search for a heroic image of nature finds here its classic fulfillment. Van Ruisdael uses gigantic trees of various ages, grouped in a dense circle around a forest pond, to express the primordial power of nature and to present the eternal cycle of birth, maturity, and decay. For all its external tranquillity this secluded landscape has great tragic power. The thick crowns and convulsively twisted branches of the trees spread out like a tent above the stagnant water, while the solitary figure of a man half-obscured among the powerful trunks is dwarfed by the massive forms. In the 1660s van Ruisdael painted several canvases of similar composition and subject, only one of which he dated (1660; formerly in the H. A. Clowes collection, Norbury, Ashbourne, Derbyshire).

It has long been recognized that the prototype for the present painting was *Stag Hunt in a Marsh* by Roelant Savery (1576–1639). A well-known engraving of *The Marsh* was done by Egidius Sadeler (1570–1629) (Hollstein 1980, XXI, no. 233). Rubens’s study *Hunters at Sunrise* (Bayerisches Staatsgemäldesammlungen, Munich) also goes back to Savery’s composition, with its theme of the chase and its striking use of the bright distance peeping through the interwoven trunks and branches. Van Ruisdael, however, excludes both of these elements, and in contrast to Savery’s fanciful, Mannerist landscape his painting is characterized by clarity and sobriety. Waagen (1864) dates the Hermitage painting to between 1660 and 1670, Rosenberg (1928) to between 1665 and 1669.

**PROVENANCE:** Acquired by the Hermitage between 1763 and 1774
**HERMITAGE CATALOGUES:** 1774, no. 1367; 1863–1916, no. 1136; 1938, II, p. 250; 1981, p. 163
**LITERATURE:** Smith 1835, VI, no. 306; Livret 1838, no. 4; Somov 1859, p. 114; Waagen 1864, p. 243; Bode 1873, p. 39; Michel 1890, p. 60; Benois [1910], p. 411; Wurzbach 1910, II, p. 520; Hofstede de Groot 1911, IV, no. 508; Roh 1921, p. 331; Shcherbachev 1924, p. 21; Rosenberg 1928, pp. 48, 49, 91, no. 313, ill. 103; Simon 1930, p. 46; Gerson 1934, p. 79; Bode 1956, p. 232; Fechner 1958, p. 17; Vipper 1962, p. 87; Fechner 1963, pp. 70, 93–94, ills. 66, 68; Stechow 1966, p. 75; Rosenberg, Slive, and Kuile 1972, p. 268, pl. 214; Kuznetsov 1973, XIV, p. 31; Slive and Hoetink 1981, p. 108; Tarasov 1983, p. 221.
JACOB VAN RUISDAEL

30. Waterfall in Norway
Oil on canvas, 42 1/2 x 56 1/2 in. (108 x 142.5 cm.)
Signed lower right: IvRuisdael (I, v, and R in ligature)
Inv. no. 942

Jacob van Ruisdael first turned to the theme of Scandinavian landscapes in the mid-1660s in a series of vertical paintings. The prospect of grandiose cascades of water, full of power and energy, could not fail to attract an artist whose inspiration fed on heroic images. His northern landscapes are based not on actual observations, however, but on the paintings of his older contemporary Allart van Everdingen (1621–1675), who popularized the treatment of Scandinavian waterfalls in Dutch art. In fact, van Ruisdael never traveled farther than the border region between Holland and Germany, which makes his masterly renderings of the dramatic grandeur of the northern landscape all the more striking.

Waterfall in Norway was probably painted in the late 1660s or early 1670s, when van Ruisdael returned once more to the theme that had captured his fancy. In the present painting the earlier sense of tension is replaced by a classical clarity. This change is evident in the transition to a horizontal format allowing a broad range of space, in the precise articulation of planes, and in the signs he provides of human habitation: it is not by chance that a peasant house sits on the rocky rise beside the waterfall and an idyllic figure of a shepherd is seen on the riverbank. The staffage in this painting is traditionally attributed to Adriaen van de Velde (1636–1672), in which case the work could not have been painted after 1672, the year of van de Velde’s death.

In addition to this canvas van Ruisdael painted several landscapes of similar composition in the early 1670s. They are in the Wallace Collection, London; in the Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam; and in a private collection in London.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage in 1769 from the Brühl collection, Dresden.
EXHIBITIONS: 1968–69 Belgrade, no. 34; 1983 Rotterdam, no. 26, p. 142
LITERATURE: Livret 1838, I, no. 18; Somov 1859, p. 113; Waagen 1864, p. 244; Bode 1873, p. 39; Benois [1910], p. 420; Wurzbach 1910, II, p. 520; Hofstede de Groot 1911, IV, no. 276; Rosenberg 1928, no. 178; Fechner 1938, p. 19; Fechner 1963, p. 94; Kuznetsov 1973, p. 35; Slive and Hoetink 1981, p. 107; Tarasov 1983, p. 224
MATTHIAS STOMER (STOM)

c. 1600 Amersfoort—ca. 1650 Sicily(?)
Influenced by Gerrit van Honthorst and the later works of Caravaggio.
Lived in Rome (ca. 1630), Naples, and perhaps Sicily.

31. *Esau Selling His Birthright*
Oil on canvas, 46½ x 64½ in. (118 x 164 cm.)
Inv. no. 2913

Dutch Caravaggism had many fine representatives, among them Gerrit van Honthorst, Hendrik ter Brugghen, and Dirk van Baburen. Matthias Stomer occupies a place apart in this movement, rejecting humorous genre scenes and elaborate decorative allegories in favor of scenes from the Old and New Testaments. The present painting is based on Genesis 25:29–34.

Stomer was an outstanding master. It would be difficult to find a work in which the flickering light from a candle flame is conveyed with such rich tonal effects as in his *Esau Selling His Birthright*. And how telling is the encounter of five hands in the center of the composition, which allows the viewer to sense the source of the conflict. This work was painted in the 1640s.

It was formerly attributed to Honthorst, but a reattribution to Stomer, made by Shcherbacheva (1964), has been confirmed by comparison with many other paintings by the artist, particularly a quite similar version of the Hermitage work in the Staatliche Museen, Berlin-Dahlem. A second version, in a very poor state of preservation, is in the Gilberto Algranti collection, Milan.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage in 1915 from the P. P. Semenov-Tianshansky collection, Petrograd.
EXHIBITIONS: 1973 Leningrad, no. 56

LITERATURE: Semenov 1885, I, p. 232; Semenov 1906, no. 222 (as Honthorst); Semenov 1906b, p. 358; Shcherbacheva 1964, pp. 24–26; Vsevolozhskaya and Linnik 1975, nos. 146–48; Nicolson 1977, pp. 239, 242; Nicolson 1979, p. 93
WILLEM VAN DE VELDE THE YOUNGER

1633 Leiden–1707 London

Studied with his father, Willem van de Velde the Elder, in Amsterdam, then with Simon de Vlieger in Weesp. Worked in Amsterdam (until 1672). Accompanied the Dutch fleet during the war with France and England. Went with his father to England (1672), where both entered the service of the Stuart kings Charles II and James II. Painted marine scenes.

32. Ships in the Roads

Oil on canvas (transferred to a new canvas in 1893), 42 × 48 in.
(106.7 × 121.9 cm.)
Signed and dated lower right: W.v.Velde 1653
Inv. no. 1021

This early work by van de Velde depicts sailing and rowing vessels becalmed at sea. In the center is a royal yacht with a tall, richly adorned stern bearing the coat of arms of the House of Orange.

The picture belongs to the type of seascape often painted by Simon de Vlieger and Jan van de Cappelle in the mid-seventeenth century. Van de Velde enlivens the subject by introducing a bathing scene in the foreground. His rendering of the moisture-laden air is masterly, and the sense of peacefulness is intensified by the rhythmic placement of the sails and their reflections in the water. Works such as this, painted in a bright palette, earned the artist great popularity in the eighteenth century.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage in 1770 from the Tronchin collection, Geneva

LITERATURE: Waagen 1864, p. 251; Clément de Ris 1879, II, p. 387; Benois [1910], p. 415; Wurzbach 1910, II, p. 757; Willis [1911], p. 83; Hofstede de Groot 1915, VII, no. 92; Fechner 1963, pp. 145, 146, 173, ills. 102, 104; Stechow 1966, p. 120, pl. 239; Levinson-Lessing 1970, p. 12, no. 77; Bol 1973, p. 233
EMANUEL DE WITTE

ca. 1614 Alkmaar–1692 Amsterdam
Studied with still-life painter Evert van Aelst. Influenced by Gerrit Houckgeest and Hendrick van Vliet. Lived in Rotterdam (1639–40), Delft (ca. 1641–51), and Amsterdam (ca. 1652). Painted church interiors, genre scenes, and mythological subjects, as well as portraits and harbor and market scenes.

33. **A Protestant Gothic Church**
Oil on canvas, 31½ × 26 in. (80 × 66 cm.)
Traces of a signature lower left: E.DE . . .
Inv. no. 803

Here the nave and the transept are seen from the south aisle. At the right is the pulpit, where a preacher stands. The congregation fills the space between the tall columns, beyond which is the organ with open shutters.

Like a series of mature works by de Witte, the present painting combines motifs taken from two Amsterdam churches, the Oude Kerk and the Nieuwe Kerk. As Manke (1963) has noted, de Witte freely combines architectural elements from actual interiors, much as a landscape painter adapts his sketches from nature in the studio. By using this method de Witte succeeds in making an imaginary interior extraordinarily convincing. A sense of depth is captured not only through the use of linear perspective but also through the masterly distribution of patches of sunlight. The geometric pattern thus formed on the columns and walls creates a feeling of light and air. The soaring space, pierced by a dynamic stream of sunlight, and the sharp contrasts of light and shadow make a strong emotional impression.

The painting has been variously dated. In the catalogue of the François Tronchin collection, where it remained until 1770, it is said to carry a date of 1671, though no traces of such a date have survived. However, a similar composition in a private collection is dated 1669 (reproduced in Liedtke 1982, pl. XIII). Manke (1963) places the Hermitage work in the period around 1685.

**PROVENANCE:** Acquired by the Hermitage in 1770 from the Tronchin collection, Geneva; transferred in the nineteenth century to the Gatchina Palace; returned to the Hermitage in 1924

**HERMITAGE CATALOGUES:** 1774, no. 551; 1958, II, p. 162; 1981, p. 122


**LITERATURE:** Jantzen 1910, p. 177, no. 687; Shchavinsky 1916, p. 75; Manke 1963, p. 101, no. 99; Levinson-Lessing 1964, no. 53; Levinson-Lessing 1970, p. 10, no. 46
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JOACHIM WITTEWAEL

c. 1566 Utrecht–1638 Utrecht
Studied with his father Antonie Wittewael, a glass painter, and Joost de Beer.
Worked in Utrecht (from 1611 member of the painters’ guild). Visited Italy
(1587–92). Painted religious, mythological, and genre subjects and also
portraits.

34.  The Baptism of Christ
    Oil on canvas, 36 ¼ × 57½ in. (92 × 146.5 cm.)
    Inv. no. 5187
    Signed and dated lower left on rock: Jo ute wael/fecit  Anno 1607

The great social changes that took place in the United Provinces after indepen-
dence had been achieved were not immediately felt in the art of the Dutch
republic. The Mannerist tradition lasted well into the seventeenth century,
and the Utrecht painter Joachim Wittewael belonged to the last generation of
Dutch Mannerists. His painting differs from that of the Haarlem Mannerists
Henrik Goltzius and Cornelis van Haarlem primarily in its brighter and more
varied palette. In The Baptism of Christ the principal scene has been placed far
to the back of the composition, while the entire foreground is occupied by a
crowd of spectators in mannered poses. The clear reds, blues, and yellows of
their clothing create a festive and elegant spectacle.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage
in 1926 through the State Museum Fund
HERMITAGE CATALOGUES: 1958, II, p. 286;
1981, p. 186

LITERATURE: Loewenthal 1982, pp. 145–46,
no. 180, pl. III

IL
FLEMISH PAINTINGS
OF THE
SEVENTEENTH
CENTURY

Detail: Plate 50
JAN BRUEGHEL I

1568 Brussels–1625 Antwerp
Younger son of Pieter Brueghel the Elder. Pupil of Pieter Goetkint and possibly of Gillis van Coninxloo. Called Velvet-, Flowers-, and Paradise-Brueghel. As a young man visited Cologne; later spent many years in Italy, working in Naples (1590), Rome (1593–94), and Milan (1595–96), where he entered the service of the Archbishop of Milan, Federigo Borromeo. On return from Italy settled in Antwerp, where he lived until his death (from 1597 a master of the Guild of Saint Luke; in 1601–2 dean of the guild). Went to Prague (1604); traveled to Holland with Rubens and Hendrik van Balen (ca. 1613). Became court painter to the rulers of the Spanish Netherlands, Archduke Albert and Archduchess Isabella (1609). Collaborated with Rubens and other artists. Painted landscapes, still lifes, and animals, as well as religious, mythological, and allegorical subjects.

35. *Edge of a Forest (The Flight into Egypt)*
Oil on copper, 9 3/4 × 14 3/4 in. (25 × 36 cm.)
Signed and dated lower left: BRVegehel 1610
Inv. no. 429

One of the landscape motifs Brueghel liked to paint was the forest thicket or the forest edge. These paintings had their origin in the forest scenes of Gillis van Coninxloo (1544–1607), the initiator of the forest landscape in Western European painting. But in contrast to the romantic, somewhat gloomy atmosphere that pervades Coninxloo’s landscapes, Brueghel’s works have a serene character. The world he creates has an enchanted atmosphere, devoid of storms and passions and softly lyrical in mood. In accordance with tradition Brueghel incorporates scenes from the Bible in his landscapes, but he treats them by and large as genre or narrative scenes. The figures in the Hermitage painting, which shows the Holy Family’s Flight into Egypt (Matthew 2:13–15), are treated as if they were part of an episode Brueghel had observed in everyday life.

The authenticity of the painting’s signature and execution has not been questioned by experts, with the exception of Ertz (1979), who without any evidence considers it a forgery. The painting has all the hallmarks of Brueghel’s mature style: the soft harmony of bright, radiant colors, the precise draftsmanship, and the virtuoso finesse with which he paints the smallest details. The donkey in the figural group in the foreground is taken almost unchanged from the study of a donkey in the center of his *Animal Studies* (Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna).

A copy of the Hermitage work, attributed to Pieter Gysels, was in a sale at the P. de Boer Gallery, Amsterdam, in spring 1965 (no. 31, oil on copper, 11 1/4 × 16 3/4 in. [28.5 × 42.5 cm.]).

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage in 1772 from the Crozat collection, Paris
EXHIBITIONS: 1978 Leningrad, no. 3
LITERATURE: Crozat 1755, p. 80; Somov 1859, p. 105; Waagen 1864; Semenov 1885, I, pp. 106–7, 326; Benois [1910], p. 258; Thiery 1953, p. 176; Ertz 1979, p. 232
36. **Village Street**  
Oil on copper, 10 × 15 in. (25.5 × 38 cm.)  
Inv. no. 430

This painting belongs to a large group of landscape works by Brueghel that have as their subject vignettes from everyday life in village streets. Although they were probably inspired by one of his father’s graphic series depicting simple village scenes (for example, *Praediorum villarum*, a series of engravings published by I. Kok), they were always based on sketches from nature made during Brueghel’s travels and his long walks in the environs of Antwerp.

The Hermitage work is based on Brueghel’s drawing *The Forest Path* (Staatliche Museen, Berlin-Dahlem), which depicts an actual place. Brueghel then transforms his direct impressions into a bright, fairy-tale world as he strives for his unique velvety surface. It was this surface quality that confirmed his nickname Velvet-Brueghel, originally coined by his contemporaries because of his passion for wearing expensive clothing.

The painting was apparently executed about 1611, since it appears to be a copy by Brueghel of an analogous composition, dated 1611, in a private collection in Utrecht (reproduced in Ertz 1979, no. 232). Another copy by the artist is in the Wellington Museum, London. Two other versions are known: one, dated 1610, was in the Stern Gallery, Düsseldorf, in 1936 (reproduced in Ertz 1979, no. 222); the other was in the Alte Pinakothek, Munich, until 1939 (reproduced in Winner 1961, p. 213, ill. 23).

**PROVENANCE:** Acquired by the Hermitage in 1769 from the Brühl collection, Dresden.  
**HERMITAGE CATALOGUES:** 1774, no. 524; 1863–1916, no. 516; 1958, II, p. 40; 1981, p. 34  
**EXHIBITIONS:** 1978 Leningrad, no. 4  
**LITERATURE:** Livret 1838, p. 14, no. 33; Waagen 1864, p. 128; Semenov 1885, I, p. 106; Ertz 1979, p. 598, no. 242  

NG
ANTHONY VAN DYCK

1599 Antwerp–1641 London

37. Family Portrait
Oil on canvas, 44 ⅞ × 36 ⅞ in. (113.5 × 93.5 cm.)
Inv. no. 534

Of the numerous paintings of this type by van Dyck, the present work is one of the most heartfelt. He succeeded magnificently in capturing the atmosphere of warmth and cordiality that existed within his family. Attempts by scholars (Waagen 1864, Cust 1900, Rosenbaum 1928) to identify the sitters as Frans Snyders or Jan Wildens and their families were rejected after the Hermitage painting was compared with authenticated portraits of these two Flemish painters by van Dyck himself (cf. Frans Snyders, Schloss Wilhelmshöhe, Kassel, and Frick Collection, New York, and Jan Wildens, Detroit Institute of Arts). Moreover, Snyders had no children.

An X-ray has revealed that the man’s collar was repainted. Originally it was a large millstone type whose outlines are still visible. Van Dyck may have made the change to avoid overloading the upper part of the composition.

The canvas was painted at the end of 1621, just before van Dyck’s departure for Italy. Two copies exist: one is in the Staatsgalerie, Stuttgart; the other is in the Franklin Friesenthal collection, England.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage before 1774; previously in the La Live de Jully collection, Paris
LITERATURE: Lalive 1764, p. 114; Schnitzler 1828, p. 103; Smith 1831, III, p. 28, no. 300; Smith 1842, IX, p. 382, no. 51; Blanc 1857, I, p. 165; Waagen 1864, p. 149; Guiffrey 1882, p. 112; Bode 1889, p. 46; Neustroyev 1898, p. 185; Cust 1900, pp. 18, 236, no. 56; Rooses 1904, p. 116; Benois [1910], p. 251; Damont-Wildens 1910, p. 15; Heidrich 1913, p. 73; Bode 1921, p. 350; Drost 1926, p. 64; Rosenbaum 1928, p. 34; Glück 1931, pl. 108; Bazin [1958], p. 152; Varchavskaya 1963, pp. 100, 101; Levinson-Lessing 1964, no. 15; Larsen 1980, no. 128; Brown 1982, p. 50, pl. 41; Gritsai 1982, p. 8, pl. 1; Millar 1982, p. 12

NB
ANTHONY VAN DYCK

38. Nicolaes Rockox
Oil on canvas, 50⅞ × 46¼ in. (128 × 117.3 cm.), including strips added later to top (¾ in. [1.5 cm.]) and bottom (1¾ in. [4 cm.])
Inv. no. 6929

Nicolaes Rockox (1560–1640) was a numismatist, a collector, and from 1603 to 1636 the burgomaster of Antwerp. His identity is based primarily on an engraving by Lucas Vorsterman (Le Blanc, IV, p. 156, no. 72).

Rockox is shown in his study. Through the window can be seen the tower of the Hanseatic building in Antwerp, where the burgomaster evidently conducted business and which is as much an attribute of his activities as the books and the antique sculptures. In van Dyck's painting a marble bust of Plato (?) stands on the table, whereas in Vorsterman’s engraving the bust is of Demosthenes. This difference can most likely be explained by the fact that Rockox did not acquire the bust of Demosthenes until after van Dyck had painted his portrait; Vorsterman then included it in his engraving (executed between 1622 and 1624) at the sitter’s request.

A preparatory drawing for the Hermitage portrait is in London (reproduced in Hind 1923, 2, p. 60, no. 30; oval format, showing only the head). Several scholars (Hind 1923; Hulst and Vey 1960) attribute it to van Dyck, while others (Glück 1931) consider it to be a copy.

PROVENANCE: Transferred to the Hermitage in 1932 from the Stroganov Palace Museum, Leningrad. Mentioned as no. 4 in the posthumous inventory of Rockox’s possessions of December 19/20, 1640 (Cuyck 1881). According to Hoet (1752) the painting appeared at the Anna Theresa van Halen auction in Antwerp, August 19, 1748, and in the Frederico van Tors auction in Leiden, April 7, 1750. It was listed in the latter sale as Portrait of an Antwerp Burgomaster and attributed to Rubens (the only instance of confusion over van Dyck’s authorship of this painting). Mariette (1833–54) claims that in the eighteenth century the portrait was in the Vetellier collection in Paris. It was acquired by Count A. S. Stroganov between 1769 and 1779, during his stay in Paris (manuscript preserved in the Hermitage; J. Schmidt, Burgermeister Rockox, 1931)

HERMITAGE CATALOGUES: 1938, p. 52; 1981, p. 39


NB
ANTHONY VAN DYCK

39. **Self-portrait**

Oil on canvas, 45\(\frac{3}{8}\) × 36\(\frac{3}{8}\) in. (116.5 × 93.5 cm.)

Inv. no. 548

In the course of his life van Dyck painted several self-portraits. One of his first works, painted at a very early age, was a portrait of himself (Akademie der Bildenden Künste, Vienna).

The present self-portrait is one of three similar works painted at different periods and apparently based on a single study, which has not survived. The other versions are in the Alte Pinakothek, Munich, and The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York.

Stylistic and technological evidence suggests that the present painting was executed not earlier than the late 1620s or early 1630s. It is certainly the latest of the three versions. Van Dyck was older than he portrayed himself here, and he apparently wished to create an idealized representation of himself. His elegant pose, the picturesque disarray of his clothing, and the romantic setting (the broken column and the twilit sky) are in accord with the youthful charm of his face with its dreamy expression and its halo of golden curls.

When van Dyck painted the present portrait, he was already a celebrated artist and the creator of a type of aristocratic portrait that remained a standard in Western Europe for many years. Here he endows his own image with aristocratic features, stressing the elegance of his figure and his well-groomed hands with their long, slender fingers.

The portrait was engraved by J. van der Bruggen in 1682 (Le Blanc, I, p. 530, no. 15) and by S. Silvestre (1694–1738; Le Blanc, III, p. 516, no. 2); the latter shows only the head.

A copy of the portrait is in the Devonshire collection, Chatsworth.

**PROVENANCE:** Acquired by the Hermitage in 1772 from the Crozat collection, Paris

**HERMITAGE CATALOGUES:** 1774, no. 1025; 1863–1916, no. 628; 1958, II, p. 56; 1981, p. 39


**LITERATURE:** Crozat 1755, p. 7; Livret 1838, p. 361; Smith 1842, IX, p. 395, no. 98; Waagen 1864, p. 150; Cust 1900, p. 233, no. 42; Glück 1931, pl. 122; Glück 1934, p. 195; Puyvelde 1950, pp. 96, 125, 130; Speth-Holterhoff 1957, p. 25; Gerson and Kuile 1960, p. 121; Varchavskaya 1963, pp. 110–12; Levinson-Lessing 1964, no. 17; Stoffmann 1968, p. 96; Larsen 1980, no. 256; Brown 1982, p. 52; Gritsai 1982, p. 8, pl. 4; Millar 1982, p. 15; Broos 1986, pp. 160, 161

**NB**
40. **Henry Danvers, Earl of Danby**

Oil on canvas, 87 3/4 × 51 3/4 in. (223 × 130.6 cm.)

Inv. no. 545

Henry Danvers (1573–1644), Earl of Danby and Knight of the Order of the Garter (1633), took part in military campaigns in France, Flanders, and Ireland. It was probably in one such campaign that he received the wound on his left temple, whose scar is here covered by a dark patch. Danvers founded the first botanical garden in England, at Oxford.

A stylistic analysis of the painting shows a lack of uniformity in the brushwork, which suggests that only the head was painted by van Dyck, with the clothing and accessories being painted by his assistants.

The portrait was painted in the late 1630s. It was engraved by V. Green for the Walpole collection in 1788.

There is a preparatory drawing in the British Museum, London (reproduced in Hind 1923, p. 68, no. 57). Glück (1931) cites two copies of the portrait: one in the Stamford collection at Denham Massey, England, the other in the collection at Wentworth Castle, England.

**PROVENANCE:** Acquired by the Hermitage in 1779 from the Walpole collection, Houghton Hall, England; was earlier a gift from Sir Joseph Danvers to Robert Walpole.

**HERMITAGE CATALOGUES:** 1863–1916, no. 615; 1958, II, p. 60; 1981, p. 40

**EXHIBITIONS:** 1982–83 London, no. 20

**LITERATURE:** Aedes Walpolianae 1752, p. 72; Schnitzler 1828, p. 103; Smith 1831, III, p. 188, no. 647; Livret 1838, p. 350; Smith 1842, IX, p. 394, no. 92; Waagen 1864, p. 151; Guiffrey 1882, p. 264; Neustroyev 1898, p. 183; Cust 1900, p. 124, 273, no. 61; Rooses 1904, p. 115; Benois [1910], p. 252; Hind 1923, p. 68; Glück 1931, pl. 247; Bazin [1958], p. 152; Varchavskaya 1963, p. 127; Larsen 1980, no. 934; Millar 1982, p. 62, no. 20

**NB**
JAN FYT

1611 Antwerp–1661 Antwerp

41. Hare, Fruit, and Parrot
Oil on canvas, 27 3/4 x 38 3/4 in. (70.5 x 97 cm.)
Signed and dated lower left on sheet of paper: Joannes Fyt 1647
Inv. no. 616

Unlike his teacher Snyders, who always emphasized the plastic, sensuous qualities of objects, Fyt approached the still life as a specific painterly problem. Although, as a true Flemish painter, he conscientiously rendered the plastic values of forms, his attention was focused on the search for subtle color combinations and refined decorative effects. Fyt captured with far greater subtlety than Snyders the different textures of each of the objects he painted. In the present painting he juxtaposes the soft, fluffy golden-brown fur of the hare, the white of the tablecloth, and the silky pearl-gray plumage of the partridge. While Snyders usually constructed his still lifes on an equilibrium of broad color patches and often used wide planes of saturated red as a foundation for his color composition, Fyt avoided large planes of bright color. The composition of the Hermitage still life is based on a delicate harmony of olive, gray, brown, and yellowish tones, enlivened by small red, green, and blue patches.

The central motif in this painting was repeated by Fyt in Still Life: Hare, Partridges, and Fruit (Metropolitan Museum, New York), which is a greatly amplified version of the Hermitage work.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage before 1774; from the late eighteenth century was in the Hermitage pavilion in Peterhof; returned to the Hermitage in 1921

EXHIBITIONS: 1983 Dresden, no. 64; 1984 Leningrad–Moscow, no. 105; 1985 Rotterdam, no. 34
LITERATURE: Waagen 1864, p. 364; Levinson-Lessing 1926, p. 36

NG
JACOB JORDAENS

1593 Antwerp–1678 Antwerp
Apprenticed in 1607 to the Antwerp painter Adam van Noort, who was also Rubens’s teacher. In 1615 became a master of the Antwerp Guild of Saint Luke, specializing in tempera, gouache, and watercolor (dean of the guild, 1621–22). In 1616 married van Noort’s eldest daughter, Catharina. Lived and worked in Antwerp. Converted to Calvinism in the 1650s but continued to accept commissions from Catholic churches and monasteries. Painted religious, mythological, historical, and allegorical subjects, as well as portraits and genre scenes.

42. Self-portrait with Parents, Brothers, and Sisters
Oil on canvas, 69 × 54⁵/₁₆ in. (175.2 × 137.5 cm.)
Inv. no. 484

This portrait was long believed to show Jordaens surrounded by his own family or by the family of his teacher and father-in-law, Adam van Noort. Held (1940) established that it actually portrays the artist’s parents—the Antwerp linen merchant Jacob Jordaens and his wife, Barbara van Wolschaten—the artist himself, holding a lute, and seven of his brothers and sisters. In the foreground are the twin brothers, Abraham and Isaac (b. 1606), while the little girl on her mother’s lap is apparently Elizabeth (b. 1613). The young woman to the left of her mother is probably Maria (b. 1596), and near her is Anna (b. 1597). The girl peeping out from behind her mother’s shoulder may be Catharina (b. 1600); and finally, the child to the right of the father appears to be Magdalena (b. 1608). Jordaens’s parents had three more children: Anna (b. 1595), Elizabeth (b. 1605), and Suzanna (b. 1610), all of whom evidently died in infancy. True to Netherlandish tradition, the figures hovering above the group personify the souls of these children.

Based on this identification, the portrait can be dated to about 1615 and is thus one of Jordaens’s earliest known group portraits. It was possibly painted to commemorate Jordaens’s acceptance as a master by the Guild of Saint Luke and perhaps depicts the family’s celebration of this event. Here Jordaens used a type of multigure composition, common in Netherlandish painting from the 1560s on, which shows the family grouped around a table with some of the members playing musical instruments (cf. Frans Floris, Van Berchem Family, 1561, Museum Wuyts-Van Campen en Baron Caroly, Lier, and The Moucheron Family, 1563, by an Amsterdam master, Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam). The composition of the Hermitage portrait was evidently inspired by Rubens’s sketch of about 1605 for an altarpiece depicting the Circumcision for the Church of Sant’Ambrogio, Genoa (Akademie der Bildenden Künste, Vienna). Jordaens could have seen the sketch in Rubens’s studio, after Rubens brought it back from Italy in 1608.

Jordaens’s portrait was engraved by James Watson (under the title Rubens and His Family) shortly before 1779 and lithographed by E. Guillot for Gohier-Desfontaines, Galerie Impériale de l’Ermitage, Saint Petersburg, 1845–47.


EXHIBITIONS: 1938 Leningrad, no. 60; 1968–69 Belgrade, no. 3; 1972 Leningrad, no. 339; 1977 Tokyo–Kyoto, no. 14; 1978 Leningrad, no. 15; 1979 Leningrad, no. 1; 1985 Rotterdam, no. 35


NG
43. **Portrait of an Old Man**

Oil on canvas, 60% × 46% in. (154 × 118.5 cm.)
Inscribed on column base at lower left: Aetatis 73
Inv. no. 486

Attempts to identify the sitter as either the Antwerp merchant Jacques van Lein or the painter Adam van Noort have been unsuccessful. **Portrait of an Old Man** is a companion piece to **Portrait of a Middle-Aged Lady** (Lord Farringdon collection, Bascott Park, England), which is inscribed “Aet. 66.”

**Portrait of an Old Man** is typical of the numerous commissioned portraits that Jordaens painted during the 1630s and 1640s. In these works he drew on the whole repertoire of devices for creating a ceremonial, representational portrait which Rubens and van Dyck had so brilliantly developed: a magnificent architectural background, bright draperies, an elegant costume, and a low viewpoint that makes the sitter appear to be on a pedestal. But whereas van Dyck always strove in his ceremonial portraits to stress the sitter’s inner nobility and the significance of his spiritual world and his aristocratic blood and spirit, Jordaens clearly wished to depict an actual social type—the prosperous bourgeois. In the Hermitage portrait he did not flatter his aged and corpulent sitter. Yet the architectural decor and the bulk of the figure, rendered in precise outlines and substantial forms, lend a grandeur to the image.

The portrait was painted before 1641, the date inscribed on a second version (Thyssen-Bornemisza Collection, Lugano), which also records the sitter’s age as seventy-three. This second version is inferior to the present painting and appears to be a copy painted somewhat later, with minor alterations, in Jordaens’s studio. A. N. Nemilov has commented (in conversation) that the Lugano portrait originally bore a different date: the number 37 is clearly discernible beneath the number 41. From this we may conclude that the sitter was seventy-three not in 1641, but in 1637, when he sat for Jordaens. The Hermitage portrait was evidently painted at the earlier date, a hypothesis borne out by the painting’s style: the brushwork is identical to that of the Hermitage’s **Feast of the Bean**, painted about 1638. The revised date of 1641 on the Lugano portrait probably refers to the year it was copied from the Hermitage original, and it may be that the sitter was no longer alive when the later work was commissioned. It should also be pointed out that the Hermitage portrait shows a much closer correspondence to a preparatory drawing than does the Lugano version.

**PROVENANCE:** Acquired by the Hermitage in 1772 from the Crozat collection, Paris
**HERMITAGE CATALOGUES:** 1774, no. 992; 1863–1916, no. 653; 1958, II, p. 65; 1981, p. 49
**EXHIBITIONS:** 1938 Leningrad, no. 61; 1972 Leningrad, no. 340; 1979 Leningrad, no. 6; 1985 Sapporo, no. 28

NG
PETER PAUL RUBENS

1577 Siegen (Westphalia)–1640 Antwerp
Son of Jan Rubens, an Antwerp lawyer who emigrated to Westphalia during
the governor-generalship of the Duke of Alba. After his death in Cologne
(1587) the family returned to Antwerp, where Peter Paul Rubens attended a
Latin school. In 1591 began to study painting with Antwerp artists: first with
Tobias Verhaecht, then with Adam van Noort, and finally with Otto van
Veen. Lived in Italy (1600–1608), where he became court painter to the Duke
of Mantua. Worked in Rome, Mantua, Genoa, and Venice. Appointed court
painter to the Spanish rulers of the southern Netherlands, Archduke Albert
and Archduchess Isabella. Lived in Antwerp (1609). Also involved in the
diplomatic service. Traveled to Paris (1622–25), Holland (1627), Madrid
(1628–29), and London (1629–30). On return from Italy became head of a
large studio which for many years was the center of the country’s artistic life.
Received numerous commissions from all parts of Europe which he carried
out together with his assistants and pupils, many of whom were independent
masters. Painted religious, mythological, allegorical, and historical subjects,
hunting and battle scenes, landscapes, and portraits.

44. The Adoration of the Shepherds
Oil on canvas (transferred from wood in 1868),
25 × 18\(\frac{1}{2}\) in. (63.5 × 47 cm.)
Inv. no. 492

This sketch is a model for the altarpiece The Adoration of the Shepherds, which
once hung in the Constantin chapel in the Oratorian Church of Santo Spirito
(San Filippo Neri) in the Italian town of Fermo. (The altarpiece is now in the
Pinacoteca Comunale, Fermo.) Documents from the archive of the archbishop
of Fermo, published by Jaffé (1963), show that in February 1608 Rubens was
commissioned by Flaminio Ricci, rector of the Oratorians in Rome, to paint a
Nativity for the church in Fermo. This was Rubens’s second major commis-
sion in Italy, and together with his altarpiece for Santa Maria in Vallicella,
Rome, represents the culmination of his Italian period. These two commis-
sions established him as one of the leading painters in Rome, and in a letter of
March 12, 1608, accompanying Rubens’s receipt of twenty-five scudi in pay-
ment for “a painting depicting the Nativity,” Ricci wrote: “I did not wish to
specify the composition or other particulars regarding the painting’s figures
and qualities, because my opinion of the artist was such that it would be better
to leave everything to his discretion, since he is now poised on the threshold of
great fame.” Rubens carried out this commission with extraordinary speed,
and on May 17, 1608, Ricci wrote to Fermo that the canvas was already far
advanced. Then, less than a month later, on July 7, he reported: “The painting
is finished.” The present sketch would thus appear to have been painted not
later than the beginning of May 1608.

The Adoration of the Shepherds has its scriptural source in Luke 2:15–21.
Rubens’s prototype for his treatment of this event is known to have been
Correggio’s Nativity Night (“La Notte”) (Gemäldegalerie, Dresden), which he
may have seen in the Church of San Prospero, Reggio. There are similarities
both in the overall structure of the composition and in a number of details.
Rubens may well have had Correggio’s painting in mind when, in concluding
his contract, he promised Ricci “to paint no fewer than five large figures, namely the Madonna, Saint Joseph, three shepherds, and the baby Jesus in the manger, and furthermore to paint above the manger what is usually called a glory of angels.” The deliberately homely shepherds in Rubens’s sketch, who differ sharply from Correggio’s idealized figures, show the influence of the great reformer of Italian painting, Caravaggio. The old woman in particular, with her hands raised in prayer and her face turned toward Mary in veneration, is a reworking of a similar figure from Caravaggio’s Madonna di Loreto (Sant’Agostino, Rome). Further evidence of Caravaggio’s impact is the strong contrast of light and shade in this sketch.

Although using Correggio’s composition as his starting point, Rubens modified it to suit his own purposes. In Correggio’s painting the infant is illumined by a bright light that imbues the scene with a sense of immateriality; the event seems to be a miraculous vision, a miracle. Rubens, by contrast, emphasized the palpable corporeality of each figure, inspiring belief in the reality of the scene being depicted.

A preparatory drawing for the sketch is in the Museum Fodor, Amsterdam. A copy of the altarpiece itself, executed in Rubens’s studio, is in the Church of Saint Paul, Antwerp.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage in 1769 from the Brühl collection. Dresden
HERMITAGE CATALOGUES: 1774, no. 134;
1863–1916, no. 659; 1958, II, p. 79; 1981,
p. 60
EXHIBITIONS: 1965 Brussels, no. 184; 1977
Cologne, no. 20; 1978 Leningrad, no. 17
LITERATURE: Benois [1910], p. 242; Burchard
1926–27, p. 3; Longhi 1927, pp. 191–97;
Puyvelde 1952, pp. 101, 201, note 33; Held
76–77, 184, note 30; Jaffé 1963, pp. 232–34,
240; Varchavskaya 1973, pp. 55–60;
Vsevolozhskaya and Linnik 1975, pls. 155–57;
Held 1980, pp. 446–47, no. 321

NG
45. **Roman Charity**
Oil on canvas (transferred from wood in 1846).
55 3/4 × 71 in. (140.5 × 180.3 cm.)
Inv. no. 470

In *Factorum et dictorum memorabilium libri ix* (5:4) Valerius Maximus recounts a story that became emblematic of filial piety. Pero, a young Roman woman, saved her father, Cimon, who had been sentenced to death by starvation, by suckling him at her breast. Rubens painted several versions of this scene, of which this painting is the earliest. Its composition corresponds to Pompeian frescoes that depict the same subject, but several scholars have suggested that Rubens might also have been familiar with other, now lost antique depictions of Cimon and Pero. With its clarity of compositional structure and its plastic figural modeling, the Hermitage painting is one of the finest examples of Rubens’s “classical” period. But if the beauty of the figures demonstrates the lessons Rubens learned in studying antique art and particularly the antique canon of proportions, the beauty of the painting itself clearly points to the Netherlandish love of saturated, “open” colors. On first impression the painting seems rather cold, because of the precise rationalism of its construction, the serene and balanced composition, and the restricted palette, all of which Rubens adopted from Renaissance art. However, the painting has a great deal of inner emotion. The strong spiritual bond that unites father and daughter is expressed through the static, classical form of the pyramid, which underscores the drama of the scene.

This work is stylistically similar to Rubens’s *Jupiter and Callisto* (Gemäldegalerie, Kassel), signed and dated 1613. In the Kassel work, however, the relationship between the figures is somewhat more complex and a landscape has been added; the picture also shows the brownish palette associated with Rubens’s first post-Italian works. These differences suggest a date of about 1612 for the Hermitage painting.

The composition was partially adopted by Artus Quellinus (1609–1668), a sculptor from Rubens’s circle, in two works: the general figural placement can be seen in his terracotta *Samson and Delilah* (Staatliche Museen, Berlin-Dahlem), while the head of Pero appears in the terracotta model of *Cimon and Pero*, a sculpture intended for an uncompleted fountain in the courtyard of the Amsterdam town hall (Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam).

The Hermitage painting or a copy of it was engraved in the late seventeenth century by Cornelis van Ceucencken (Voorhelm-Schneevogt 1873, p. 141, no. 48).

**PROVENANCE:** Acquired by the Hermitage in 1768 from the Gobenzl collection, Brussels; in the late seventeenth century was possibly in the collection of Carl van den Bosch, Bishop of Brussels

**HERMITAGE CATALOGUES:** 1774, no. 30; 1863–1916, no. 1785; 1938, II, p. 79; 1981, p. 61

**EXHIBITIONS:** 1978 Leningrad, no. 21; 1985 Rotterdam, no. 36

**LITERATURE:** Livret 1838, p. 363, no. 13; Smith 1839, II, p. 159, no. 556; Smith 1842, IX, p. 303, no. 218; Waagen 1864, p. 138; Rooses 1890, IV, pp. 105–7, no. 870; Neustroyev 1909, p. 23; Benois [1910], p. 224; Shmidt 1926, p. 16; Varchavskaya 1975, pp. 72–75, no. 3; Varchavskaya 1981, pp. 8–9
PETER PAUL RUBENS

46. **Landscape with Stone Carriers**
   Oil on canvas (transferred from wood in 1823).
   33 ⅞ × 49 ¼ in. (86 × 126.5 cm.)
   Inv. no. 480

Although one of Rubens's favorite genres was landscape, he usually painted such works for his own pleasure. This perhaps explains the large number of landscapes listed in the inventory of his collection that was made after his death. Rubens's interest in this genre was evident during his years in Italy, but it was not until the end of the 1620s, when he was in his forties, that he produced his first landscapes. He always treats the landscape as a grandiose universalized panorama rather than as a modest corner of some actual locality. His landscapes are imbued with heroic, cosmic elements. The stormy dynamism of his figure paintings in the years around 1620 is especially evident in the early group of landscapes to which the Hermitage canvas, painted about 1620, belongs. This work is of particular interest because in it Rubens pays tribute to an artist he respected highly, the German painter Adam Elsheimer (1578–1610), of whom he wrote enthusiastically that as a landscapist "he had no equal." Here the evening landscape on the left, with the moon and its watery reflection, is heavily indebted to Elsheimer's *Flight into Egypt* (Alte Pinakothek, Munich). Rubens knew the work well and advised the painter's widow to send it to Flanders, "where the painter has so many admirers."

The cart is taken from Rubens's drawing *Farmyard with Farmer and Cart* of about 1615–17 (formerly in the Devonshire collection, Chatsworth; Christie's, London, July 3, 1984, lot no. 52). The painting also incorporates, with minor alterations, elements from another drawing by Rubens, *The Dry Willow* (ca. 1620; British Museum, London).

The painting has been frequently copied and engraved. In the seventeenth century both Boetius and Schelte Adams Bolswert made engravings of it (Voorhelm-Schneevogt 1873, p. 233, no. 53:5), as did several anonymous engravers.


**HERMITAGE CATALOGUES:** 1863–1916, no. 594; 1958, II, p. 82; 1981, p. 62

**EXHIBITIONS:** 1967 Montreal, no. 80; 1972 Warsaw, no. 84; 1975–76 Washington, D.C., no. 16; 1977 Antwerp, no. 42; 1978 Leningrad, no. 32; 1981 Vienna, pp. 112–15; 1985 Sapporo, no. 27

**LITERATURE:** Aeides Walpolianae 1752, p. 87, no. 44; Smith 1830, II, p. 157, no. 547; Livret 1838, p. 12, no. 24; Waagen 1864, p. 143; Rooses 1890, IV, pp. 369–70, no. 1178; Shmidt 1926, p. 23; Glück 1945, pp. 18, 56, no. 7; Grossmann 1951, p. 20, no. 65; Held 1959, I, pp. 34, 144, 146; Varchavskaya 1973, pp. 127–31, no. 19; Vergara 1982, pp. 48–55

**NG**
47. The Arch of Ferdinand

Oil on canvas (transferred from wood in 1864),
41 × 28½ in. (104 × 72.5 cm.)
Inv. no. 502

This is a sketch for the decoration of Antwerp on the occasion of the triumphal entry, on April 17, 1635, of the new governor-general of the Netherlands, Cardinal-Infante Ferdinand, successor to Archduchess Isabella and brother of the Spanish king Philip IV. In November 1634 the Antwerp town council commissioned Rubens to design temporary wooden structures decorated with painting and sculpture. Under his supervision and using his plans, a large group of Antwerp artists created a remarkable decorative ensemble. Today the only surviving records of the project are Rubens’s sketches (most of them in the Hermitage) and engravings by his pupil Theodor van Thulden (1606–1669), which were published in 1642, after Rubens’s death, in a book commemorating Ferdinand’s visit.

In developing a program for the city decorations, Rubens collaborated with two prominent Antwerp humanists: Nicolaes Rockox, a scholar, collector, and former burgomaster, and Jan Caspar Gevartius (Govarts), who was secretary of the town council and a writer and authority on classical authors.

This sketch shows the reverse side of the arch that stood at the entrance to New Street. The arch celebrated Ferdinand’s victory over the Swedish army, which he achieved with his ally, the Hungarian king Ferdinand (the future Emperor Ferdinand III), outside Nördlingen, Bavaria, on September 4–5, 1634.

The main scene above the arch’s central bay is The Triumph of Ferdinand After the Battle of Nördlingen. Ferdinand rides in his chariot, while a Victory crowns him; a second Victory, accompanied by Hope, flies above him holding a trophy and a palm branch, symbol of peace. In front of the chariot are a bust representing the genius of the city of Nördlingen and an imperial standard (labarum) with the letter F. Captives are grouped to either side of the chariot, while a standard-bearer and a soldier who carries a trophy occupy the foreground. The coat of arms of the Spanish king, guarded by lions, is shown above the painting with the inscription AUSPICE PHILIPPI MAGNI REGIS (under the aegis of King Philip the Great). The picture is flanked by statues: to the left Honor holds a scepter and cornucopia; to the right Valor, clothed in a lion skin, holds a club and sword. In the left niche the king’s generosity is personified by a figure scattering coins from a cornucopia; the inscription below reads LIBERALIT REGI. In the right niche Foresight holds a globe and helm; the inscription below reads PROVIDENT. The medallion above the left bay shows Nobility with the inscription NOBILIT. Above the right bay Ferdinand as a youth is depicted; the inscription in the medallion’s background reads JVENT, and that below it JVENTAS FERD. P. Trumpeters, trophies, shackled captives, and Victories with shields appear on left and right. On the shield of the Victory at the right is inscribed RIDES MILITUM (loyalty to the army). On the cornice at the left, beneath a group of captives, is the inscription HAUD VIRES ACQUIRIT EUNDI (His fame cannot grow any greater), a paraphrase of a verse from Virgil’s Aeneid (4:174): VIRESQUE ACQUIRIT EUNDO (His fame grows greater and greater). The
arch is crowned by a winged horse and the scarcely discernible figure of the Morning Star (Lucifer) or Aurora.

The Arch of Ferdinand was apparently the first of Rubens's sketches for the Antwerp decorations. Martin (1972) has published documents that allow the sketch to be dated to before November 24, 1634, when the wooden skeleton of the arch was commissioned.

The composition was engraved by Theodor van Thulden in Pompa introitus... Ferdinandi... (1642; pls. 28, 29).

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage in 1779 from the Walpole collection, Houghton Hall, England; was earlier in the collection of Prosper Hendrik Lankrink, London


PETER PAUL RUBENS

48. The Arch of Hercules
Oil on canvas (transferred from wood in 1871),
40½ x 28½ in. (103 x 72 cm.)
Inv. no. 503

This is one of Rubens's sketches for the decoration of Antwerp on the occasion of the entry, on April 17, 1635, of Cardinal-Infante Ferdinand (see cat. no. 47). It shows the front of the arch erected on Monastery Street, at the entrance to the cloister of Saint Michael, the governor-general’s residence.

Above the central bay of the arch is a depiction of Hercules at the Crossroads. Vice is represented by Venus, who stands with Bacchus and Cupid, and Virtue by Minerva, who summons the hero to the temple of fame.

Ferdinand’s military victories had begun to open a path to peace and prosperity for the Spanish Netherlands, and this welcome aspect of the governor-general’s services was glorified in the completed decorative cycle. The Arch of Hercules and the Arch of Ferdinand contain no military attributes, except for two flaming bombshells which are not so much attributes of war as emblems of the sovereign's “military prudence” (Held 1980). The present arch is crowned by a palm tree, a symbol of virtue, justice, and moral victory; the palm is winged to signify victory, fame, peace, and reason and is flanked by banners and by
two Victories holding the military standards of Philip and Ferdinand. In the cartouche is found a sphinx, symbol of sobriety, courage, and good sense.

Martin (1972) has published documents that allow the sketch to be dated after January 5, 1635, when the Fugger banking house provided the city with additional funds for the construction of a third arch in addition to those of Philip and Ferdinand.

The composition was engraved by Theodor van Thulden in *Pompa introitus . . . Ferdinandi . . .* (1642; pls. 37, 38).

**PROVENANCE:** Acquired by the Hermitage in 1779 from the Walpole collection, Houghton Hall, England; was earlier in the collection of Prosper Hendrik Lankrink, London.

**HERMITAGE CATALOGUES:** 1863–1916, no. 563; 1938, II, p. 94; 1981, p. 65

**EXHIBITIONS:** 1968–69 Belgrade, no. 20; 1977 Antwerp, no. 96; 1978 Leningrad, no. 51


NG
FRANS SNYDERS

1579 Antwerp–1657 Antwerp
Studied with Pieter Brueghel the Younger and possibly also with Hendrik van Balen. Master of the Antwerp Guild of Saint Luke (1602). Worked in Italy (1608–9). On return to Antwerp became a close friend of Rubens and often collaborated with him, as well as artists in his circle, among them Anthony van Dyck, Jacob Jordaens, Cornelis de Vos, and Jan Boeckhorst. Painted still lifes and animals.

49. **Fruit in a Bowl on a Red Cloth**
   Oil on canvas (transferred from wood in 1867),
   23½ × 35¼ in. (59.8 × 90.8 cm.)
   Inv. no. 612

The still life was one of the most innovative forms in seventeenth-century Flemish art. It proved to be a particularly direct medium for manifesting the life-affirming spirit that Rubens had introduced. The flowers, fruits, vegetables, fish, and game depicted in Flemish still lifes have such abundant energy and strength—they radiate such an exultant joy in earthly existence—that it is impossible to apply the term *nature morte* to them. These vital qualities are present in Snyders's work, most strikingly in his large monumental "Shops," but no less so in his dynamic and heroically conceived cabinet pieces, of which the Hermitage's *Fruit in a Bowl on a Red Cloth* is an example.

The central motif—a large faience bowl filled with fruit—is typical of Snyders's earliest still lifes. The painting can be dated to about 1616 because of its similarity to a group of works by Snyders painted between 1610 and 1616. In its composition and execution it most closely resembles his *Basket of Grapes with a Parrot and Cat*, dated 1616 (formerly in a private collection, London; reproduced in Robels 1969, ill. 36). A second version of the painting, *Fruit in a Bowl and Shells on a Table*, is in the Gemäldegalerie, Berlin-Dahlem.

PROVENANCE: Acquired by the Hermitage before 1797
EXHIBITIONS: 1977 Tokyo–Kyoto, no. 13; 1978 Leningrad, no. 77; 1985 Rotterdam, no. 38

LITERATURE: Livret 1838, p. 220, no. 77; Waagen 1864, p. 269; Benois [1910], p. 239; Levinson-Lessing 1926, p. 19

NG
MICHAEL SWEERTS

1618 Brussels–1664 Goa
Lived in Rome (1640–52/54?). Mentioned in Brussels (1656), where the town council allowed him to establish a drawing academy, which was short-lived. Joined a religious community, the Société des Missions Étrangères (1660). Accompanied Bishop François Pallu to Marseilles (1661) and then on a voyage to the East. Quarreled with his traveling companions and moved from Isfahan to Goa, the center for Portuguese Jesuits, where he died in 1664. Painted portraits, genre scenes, and religious and allegorical subjects.

50. Portrait of a Young Man (Self-portrait)
Oil on canvas, 44⅜ × 36¼ in. (114 × 92 cm.)
Signed and dated on paper attached to tablecloth: A.D. 1656
Ratio Quoque Reddena Michael/Sweerts F
Inv. no. 3654

The profound melancholy of this young man has long been singled out as the dominant psychological feature of this portrait. In the old catalogues of the gallery of the Academy of Arts the painting was called The Bankrupt, because of the still life included in the composition. The books, the inkwell and quills, and the purse and coins gave rise to a theory that the painting showed a man of business in his study, in the tradition of depictions of moneychangers or notaries so popular in Netherlandish painting. It was therefore assumed that the sitter’s mood was connected to his financial difficulties. Neustroyev (1907) cast doubt on this interpretation. Valentiner (1930) and a number of other, later authors (among them, Hall 1963 and Raupp 1984) proposed that the painting is a self-portrait of Sweerts. A comparative analysis and certain iconographic peculiarities in the portrait argue in favor of this opinion. The figure in the Hermitage canvas is similar to that in a self-portrait by Sweerts in the Manuel Gasser collection, Zurich. Raupp has shown that the painting is a pensiero (pensive) portrait, based on the fifteenth-century Neoplatonic concept that melancholy is the distinguishing feature of the creative character. Dürer’s famous engraving Melencolia I (1514) established a paradigm that prevailed for several centuries. The characteristic pose of the head resting on the hand found in Dürer’s print is repeated here and is found in a great many self-portraits by seventeenth-century Dutch masters.

The allegorical significance of the objects in the painting is consistent with this interpretation. The old books, empty purse, gold coins, and inkwell are all typical symbols of temptitas and are seen in many Dutch still lifes that express the transitoriness of earthly existence. The Hermitage portrait is further elucidated by its moralizing inscription, RATIO QUIQUE REDDENA (Every man must give an accounting). This allegorical strain is characteristic of Sweerts’s art.
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51. Monkeys in a Kitchen
Oil on canvas (transferred from wood), 14¼ × 19¼ in.
(36 × 50 cm.)
Signed lower right: D. TENIERS.F.
Inv. no. 568

The iconography and composition of Teniers’s painting in the Hermitage is
taken from Frans Francken II’s The Monkeys’ Kitchen (Wilhelm-Lembruck-
Museum, Duisburg).

Teniers has endowed these animals with grotesquely satirical features, in
contrast to his more naturalistic animal sketches (cf. Studies of Monkey Heads,
Musées Royaux d’Art et d’Histoire, Brussels). The motif of monkeys imitating
human activities—for example, playing cards as in the Hermitage painting
—recurs in many of Teniers’s works, where he uses it to embody such themes
as the senseless copying of nature (The Monkey Artist) and the soulless
approach to classical art (The Monkey Sculptor; both in the Prado, Madrid).

In the Hermitage painting the monkeys are all differentiated according to
their costumes and their positions (the leader of the group, for instance, occupies
the stool, in a parody of the social order in human society).

Teniers’s series of Monkeys was used in political satire in the late eighteenth
century. During the Directorate, one of these paintings was copied in a
French broadsheet under the title The Majority and Minority in the Directorate.
However, Teniers’s signature was not reproduced in full by the anonymous
engraver, who also added a different date: “Tenier px. 1797” (Musée Carnavalet
1977, p. 42, no. 210; the catalogue also mentions a similar etching—Five
Monkeys—without naming Teniers and his painting as the source).

Rosenberg (1901) dates the Hermitage canvas to the middle of Teniers’s
career (1640–60), Smolskaya (1962) to the mid-1640s. There is a version in the
Dullière collection, Brussels (oil on wood, 12¾ × 18¾ in. [32 × 48 cm.]), and
two analogous works by Teniers, both called Feasting Monkeys, are in the Alte
Pinakothek, Munich, and the Prado, Madrid.
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